

STONE-WEIERSTRASS THEOREMS FOR SEPARABLE C^* -ALGEBRAS

JOEL ANDERSON and JOHN W. BUNCE

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose A is a C^* -algebra and B is a C^* -subalgebra of A . In this context, the classical commutative Stone-Weierstrass theorem asserts that if B separates the pure states of A , then $B = A$. The main results of this paper are as follows. Assume A is separable and unital.

- 1) If B separates the pure states of A and for each state f on B , $\pi_f(B)''$ contains a regular maximal abelian subalgebra, then $B = A$.
- 2) If B separates the factor states of A and each factor state on B extends to a factor state on A , then $B = A$.

See [1], [4], [7], [9], [10], and [12] for other Stone-Weierstrass theorems for non-commutative C^* -algebras.

If A is a nonunital C^* -algebra, let \tilde{A} denote the C^* -algebra obtained by adjoining an identity to A . As noted in [12], if B separates the pure states of A and zero, then $C^*(B, 1)$ separates the pure states of \tilde{A} . We may thus assume that A has a unit. Moreover, if B separates the pure states of A , then B contains the unit of A [12, Lemma 1]. We therefore assume throughout that A is unital and $1 \in B \subseteq A$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect some results that will be needed in the sequel. Section 3 contains the main results and in Section 4 we present some miscellaneous related facts.

If A is a C^* -algebra, then we use $S(A)$, $P(A)$, and $F(A)$ to denote the states on A , the pure states on A , and the factor states on A , respectively. If $f \in S(A)$, then π_f , \mathcal{H}_f , and 1_f denote the cyclic representation arising from f , the Hilbert space on which $\pi_f(A)$ acts and the canonical cyclic vector. If S is a set of operators on a Hilbert space, then $W^*(S)$ denotes the von Neumann algebra generated by S and if η is a vector, then $[S\eta]$ denotes the closed subspace generated by elements of S acting on η . Finally maximal abelian subalgebras of von Neumann algebras are always assumed to be self-adjoint.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Probably the best partial solution to the Stone-Weierstrass problem for C^* -algebras is due to Sakai [13, 4.7.6] or [12]. Since it will be used repeatedly, we begin by recording it. Throughout A and B shall denote fixed unital separable C^* -algebras with $1 \in B \subset A$.

SAKAI'S STONE-WEIERSTRASS THEOREM. *Suppose B separates $P(A)$, $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a representation of A on a separable Hilbert space and \mathcal{M} is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\pi(A)'$. If Φ is a linear norm one map of $\pi(A)$ into $W^*(\pi(A), \mathcal{M})$ such that $\Phi(\pi(b)) = \pi(b)$ for all b in B , then $\Phi(\pi(a)) = \pi(a)$ for all a in A .*

We now present some corollaries to Sakai's theorem. Some have appeared elsewhere and, no doubt, the rest are known to many experts.

COROLLARY 1. *If B separates $P(A)$, $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a representation of A on a separable Hilbert space and \mathcal{M} is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\pi(A)'$, then \mathcal{M} is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\pi(B)'$.*

Proof. Fix a projection P in $\pi(B)' \cap \mathcal{M}'$ and define Φ on $\pi(A)$ by

$$\Phi(\pi(a)) = P\pi(a)P + P^\perp\pi(a)P^\perp$$

where $P^\perp = 1 - P$. As \mathcal{M} is maximal abelian in $\pi(A)'$, $\mathcal{M}' = W^*(\pi(A), \mathcal{M})$ and so Φ maps $\pi(A)$ into $W^*(\pi(A), \mathcal{M})$. Since $P \in \pi(B)'$, $\Phi(\pi(b)) = \pi(b)$ for b in B . Clearly Φ has norm one, so Sakai's theorem applies and $\Phi(\pi(a)) = \pi(a)$ for a in A . It follows that $P \in \pi(A)'$ and so $\pi(B)' \cap \mathcal{M}' = \pi(A)' \cap \mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}$.

For a von Neumann algebra R , let $Z(R)$ denote the center of R .

COROLLARY 2. *If B separates $P(A)$ and $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a representation of A , then $Z(\pi(B)'') \subseteq Z(\pi(A)'')$.*

Proof. First suppose \mathcal{H} is separable. If $z \in Z(\pi(B)'') = Z(\pi(B)')$, then z belongs to every maximal abelian subalgebra of $\pi(B)'$ and so by Corollary 1 z belongs to every maximal abelian subalgebra of $\pi(A)'$. Hence $z \in Z(\pi(A)') = Z(\pi(A)'')$. Now suppose π is an arbitrary representation and write $\pi = \sum \oplus \pi_\alpha$ where each π_α acts on a separable Hilbert space. If $z \in Z(\pi(B)'')$, then $z = \sum \oplus z_\alpha$ where each $z_\alpha \in Z(\pi_\alpha(B)'')$. By the first part of the proof, $z_\alpha \in Z(\pi_\alpha(A)'')$ for each α and therefore $z \in \pi(A)'$, so that $z \in Z(\pi(A)'')$.

Note that since A^{**} may be identified with $\pi_u(A)''$, where π_u denotes the universal representation of A and B^{**} may be identified with $\pi_u(B)''$, the corollary shows that if B separates $P(A)$, then $Z(B^{**}) \subseteq Z(A^{**})$.

COROLLARY 3. *If B separates $P(A)$ and $f \in F(A)$, then $f|_B \in F(B)$.*

Proof. Suppose $f \in F(A)$ so that $\pi_f(A)''$ is a factor. If we write $g = f|_B$, then π_g is equivalent to a subrepresentation of $\pi_f|_B$. By Corollary 2, $\pi_f(B)''$ is a factor and so [5, 5.3.4, 5.3.5] the weak closure of each subrepresentation of $\pi_f|_B$ is a factor. Hence $g \in F(B)$.

The following corollary is a special case of a result due to Effros [7, Theorem 11.1]. Let $r : S(A) \rightarrow S(B)$ denote the restriction map.

COROLLARY 4. *If B separates $P(A)$ and there is an affine map $D : S(B) \rightarrow S(A)$ such that $r \circ D$ is the identity, then $B = A$.*

Proof. As D is affine on $S(B)$, a standard argument (for example a slight variant of the proof of Lemma 6.7 in Chapter III of [15]) shows that D extends to a linear map (also denoted by D) of B^* into A^* such that D is continuous with $\|D\| \leq 2$. Since D maps $S(B)$ into $S(A)$, the adjoint map $D^* : A^{**} \rightarrow B^{**}$ is positive and self-adjoint. As $r \circ D$ is the identity, $D^*(b) = b$ for all b in B and since D^* is weak*-continuous, D^* is a projection of A^{**} onto B^{**} which has norm one by the Russo-Dye theorem (see [3, p. 211]). Fix a separable representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\tilde{\pi}$ denote its unique normal extension to A^{**} . By [1, Lemma III.4] there is a projection q in $B^{**} \cap Z(A^{**})$ such that qA^{**} is the ultra-weak closure of $\ker \pi$. Define $\Phi : \pi(A) \rightarrow \pi(B)''$ by $\Phi(\pi(a)) = \tilde{\pi}(D^*(a(1 - q)))$. It follows that Φ is a well-defined norm one map with $\Phi(\pi(b)) = \pi(b)$, for b in B . By Sakai's theorem, $\pi(A)'' = \pi(B)''$. Thus, every representation that is cyclic for A is cyclic for B . But this is impossible unless $B = A$ [1, proof of III.7].

3. THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section the theory of decomposition of states shall be used to obtain our main theorem (Theorem 5). This theory has a long history and important contributions have been made by many authors including Choquet, Ruelle, Sakai and Skau. For further details and references see [3, p. 451–454]. We shall employ the theory of orthogonal measures first exposed by Skau [14]. We shall also refer to the slightly different expositions found in [15, IV Section 6] and [3, Sections 4.1 and 4.2].

Throughout this section we continue to assume that A and B are separable unital C^* -algebras with $1 \in B \subseteq A$. We say that a Borel subset S of $S(A)$ is a *set of agreement* for B if the restriction map r is injective on S and if $\pi_f(A)'' = \pi_f(B)''$ for each f in S . We now recall some facts and introduce some notation. If μ is a probability measure on the Borel subsets of $S(A)$, let f_μ denote the resultant of μ given by the formula

$$f_\mu(a) = \int_{S(A)} \hat{a}(f) d\mu(f)$$

where $\hat{a}(f) = f(a)$ and let $\{\pi_\mu, \mathcal{H}_\mu, 1_\mu\}$ denote the cyclic representation of A that arises from f_μ . Also if $\varphi \in L^\infty(S(A), \mu)$, then the formula

$$(K_\mu(\varphi) \pi_\mu(a) 1_\mu, 1_\mu) = \int_{S(A)} \varphi(f) \hat{a}(f) d\mu(f)$$

defines an element $K_\mu(\varphi)$ in $\pi_\mu(A)'$. If μ is an orthogonal measure, then K_μ is a $*$ -isomorphism of $L^\infty(S(A), \mu)$ onto an abelian von Neumann algebra in $\pi_\mu(A)'$. The range of K_μ is denoted by \mathcal{N}_μ . Conversely, if $f \in S(A)$ and \mathcal{N} is an abelian von Neumann algebra in $\pi_f(A)'$, then there is a unique orthogonal measure μ on $S(A)$ such that $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_\mu$ and $f = f_\mu$.

THEOREM 5. *If $S \subseteq S(A)$ is a set of agreement for B and ν is an orthogonal measure on $S(B)$ with $\nu(r(S)) = 1$, then there is an orthogonal measure μ on $S(A)$ such that*

- i) *The resultant f_μ on A extends f_ν .*
- ii) *If $\{\pi_\nu, \mathcal{H}_\nu, 1_\nu\}$ is regarded as a subrepresentation of $\{\pi_\mu|B, \mathcal{H}_\mu, 1_\mu\}$ so that $1_\nu = 1_\mu$ and $\mathcal{H}_\nu \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\mu$, then $\mathcal{H}_\nu = \mathcal{H}_\mu|B$ and $\pi_\mu|B = \pi_\nu$.*
- iii) *$\mathcal{N}_\nu = \mathcal{N}_\mu$ and $\pi_\mu(A)'' \subseteq W^*(\pi_\mu(B), \mathcal{N}_\mu)$.*
- iv) *If $P(A) \subseteq S$, then the representation $\pi_\mu : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\mu)$ is the unique representation of A such that π_μ extends π_ν and $\mathcal{N}_\mu \subseteq \pi_\mu(A)'$.*

Proof. Since A and B are separable, $S(A)$ and $S(B)$ are Polish spaces and since r is continuous it is a Borel mapping. As $r|S$ is injective, its range $T = r(S)$ is a Borel set and $r|S$ is a Borel isomorphism of S onto T [2, Theorem 3.3.2]. For a Borel subset E of $S(A)$ write

$$\mu(E) = \nu(r(E \cap S)).$$

Since $r|S$ is a Borel isomorphism and $\nu(T) = 1$, this formula defines a Borel probability measure μ on $S(A)$. Note that if φ is an integrable Borel function on $S(A)$, then

$$(*) \quad \int_S \varphi(f) d\mu(f) = \int_T \varphi \circ r^{-1}(g) d\nu(g).$$

In particular if b is in B , then

$$f_\mu(b) = \int_S \hat{b}(f) d\mu(f) = \int_T \hat{b}(g) d\nu(g) = f_\nu(b)$$

and f_μ extends f_ν . (We use the symbol \hat{b} to denote both the function b induces on $S(A)$ and the analogous function on $S(B)$.) We next show μ is an orthogonal measure. Fix a Borel subset E in $S(A)$ and let p_μ and q_μ denote the resultants of $\mu|E$ and $\mu|S(A) \setminus E$, respectively. If p_ν and q_ν denote the resultants of $\nu|r(E)$ and $\nu|S(B) \setminus r(E)$, then it follows from $(*)$ that $p_\nu = p_\mu|B$ and $q_\nu = q_\mu|B$. If t is a positive functional on A such that $t \leq p_\mu$ and $t \leq q_\mu$, then $0 \leq t|B \leq p_\nu, q_\nu$ and since ν is orthogonal $t|B = 0$. But $1 \in B$, so $t(1) = 0$ and therefore $t = 0$. Hence μ is an orthogonal mea-

sure. Since f_μ extends f_ν , we may regard π_ν as a subrepresentation of $\pi_\mu|_B$ with $1_\nu = 1_\mu$ and $\mathcal{H}_\nu \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\mu$. Note that under this identification we have $\pi_\mu(b)1_\mu = \pi_\nu(b)1_\nu$ for all b in B . We next use direct integral theory to show $\pi_\mu(A)'' \subset W^*(\pi_\mu(B), \mathcal{N}_\mu)$. First note that by [15, IV 8.31 and its proof] there is a unitary operator U mapping \mathcal{H}_μ onto

$$\int_S^\oplus \mathcal{H}_f \, d\mu(f)$$

such that for a in A and φ in $L^\infty(S, \mu)$

$$U\pi_\mu(a)U^* = \int_S^\oplus \pi_f(a) \, d\mu(f) \quad \text{and} \quad UK_\mu(\varphi)U^* = \int_S^\oplus \varphi(f) \, d\mu(f).$$

Thus, for each a in A , $U\pi_\mu(a)U^*$ is a decomposable operator and $U\mathcal{N}_\mu U^*$ is the diagonal algebra associated with this direct integral decomposition. Fix a dense subsequence $\{b_n\}$ in B and let R denote the von Neumann algebra generated by the diagonal algebra and the decomposable operators of the form

$$U\pi_\mu(b_n)U^* = \int_S^\oplus \pi_f(b_n) \, d\mu(f).$$

Clearly $R = UW^*(\pi_\mu(B), \mathcal{N}_\mu)U^*$. By [6, Theorem 1 (ii), p. 171] R contains every decomposable operator

$$\int_S^\oplus x(f) \, d\mu(f)$$

such that $x(f) \in \{\pi_f(b_n) : n = 1, 2, \dots\}'' = \pi_f(B)''$ for almost all f . Since S is a set of agreement for B

$$U\pi_\mu(a)U^* = \int_S^\oplus \pi_f(a) \, d\mu(f)$$

has this form for every a in A . Thus, $U\pi_\mu(A)U^* \subset UW^*(\pi_\mu(B), \mathcal{N}_\mu)U^*$.

We assert that $\mathcal{N}_\mu \mathcal{H}_\nu \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\nu$. Fix a projection P in \mathcal{N}_μ and let E denote the Borel subset of $S(A)$ such that $K_\mu(\chi_E) = P$, where χ_E denotes the characteristic function of E . If b, c are in B and we write $F = r(E)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (P\pi_\mu(b)1_\mu, \pi_\mu(c)1_\mu) &= \int_S \chi_E(f) \widehat{c^*b}(f) \, d\mu(f) = \\ &= \int_F \chi_F(g) \widehat{c^*b}(g) \, d\nu(g) = (K_\nu(\chi_F)\pi_\nu(b)1_\nu, \pi_\nu(c)1_\nu) = \\ &= (K_\nu(\chi_F)\pi_\mu(b)1_\mu, \pi_\mu(c)1_\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if Q denotes the projection of \mathcal{H}_μ onto \mathcal{H}_ν , then $QPQ|_{\mathcal{H}_\nu} = K_\nu(\chi_F)$ and QPQ is a projection. It follows that $QPQ^\perp PQ = 0$ and Q commutes with P . Hence $P\mathcal{H}_\nu \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\nu$ and our assertion follows. Now note that

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = [\pi_\mu(A) 1_\mu] \subseteq [W^*(\pi_\mu(B), \mathcal{N}_\mu) 1_\mu] = \mathcal{H}_\nu$$

so that $\mathcal{H}_\nu = \mathcal{H}_\mu$ and $Q = 1$. Moreover if E is a Borel subset of $S(A)$ the calculation above shows that $K_\mu(\chi_E) = K_\nu(\chi_E)$. Since $r|_S$ is a Borel isomorphism, $\mathcal{N}_\mu = \mathcal{N}_\nu$. It only remains to show iv). Suppose $\rho : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\nu)$ is a representation of A such that ρ extends π_ν , and $\mathcal{N}_\mu \subseteq \rho(A)'$. Select a maximal abelian subalgebra \mathcal{M} of $\rho(A)'$ that contains \mathcal{N}_μ and define Φ on $\rho(A)$ by $\Phi(\rho(a)) = \pi_\mu(a)$. We have $\ker \rho \cap B = \ker \pi_\mu \cap B$ so by [1, Lemma III.4] $\ker \rho = \ker \pi_\mu$ and Φ is a well-defined $*$ -isomorphism. By iii) we have

$$\pi_\mu(A) \subset W^*(\pi_\mu(B), \mathcal{N}_\mu) = W^*(\rho(B), \mathcal{N}_\mu) \subset W^*(\rho(B), \mathcal{M})$$

and so by Sakai's theorem $\rho = \pi_\mu$.

THEOREM 6. *If B separates $P(A)$, $f \in S(B)$ and \mathcal{M} is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\pi_f(B)'$, then there is a representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ such that*

- i) π extends π_f ,
- ii) $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \pi(A)'$,
- iii) $\pi(A)'' \subseteq W^*(\pi_f(B), \mathcal{M})$

and

- iv) π is the unique representation of A that satisfies i) and ii).

Proof. Since A is separable, $P(A)$ is a Borel subset of $S(A)$ [11,4.3.2] and since B separates $P(A)$, $P(A)$ is a set of agreement for B and $r(P(A)) = P(B)$ [5,11.1.7]. Write ν for the orthogonal measure on $S(B)$ with $\mathcal{N}_\nu = \mathcal{M}$. Since \mathcal{M} is maximal abelian in $\pi_f(B)''$, ν is supported by $P(B)$ [14]. Thus, Theorem 5 applies and the theorem follows.

COROLLARY 7. *If B separates $P(A)$, $f \in S(B)$ and $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ is a representation that extends π_f then π is the unique representation that extends π_f if and only if $\pi(A)'' = \pi_f(B)''$.*

Proof. If π is the unique representation that extends π_f , then by Theorem 6 $\pi(A)'$ contains every maximal abelian subalgebra of $\pi_f(B)'$ and so $\pi(A)'' = \pi_f(B)''$. If $\pi(A)'' = \pi_f(B)''$, $\rho : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ is a representation of A that extends π_f and \mathcal{M} is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\rho(A)'$, then by Corollary 1 \mathcal{M} is maximal abelian in $\pi_f(B)' = \pi(A)'$ and so $\rho = \pi$ by part iv) of Theorem 6.

THEOREM 8. *If B separates $P(A)$ and for each f in $S(B)$ there is a unique representation $\hat{\pi}_f : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ that extends π_f , then $B = A$.*

Proof. It suffices to show there is a map $D : S(B) \rightarrow S(A)$ satisfying the hypotheses of Corollary 4. For f in $S(B)$ define $D(f)$ on A by

$$D(f)(a) = (\hat{\pi}_f(a) 1_f, 1_f).$$

As $\hat{\pi}_f$ extends π_f , $r(D(f)) = f$. We need to show that D is affine. Fix g and h in $S(B)$, $0 < t < 1$, and write $f = tg + (1 - t)h$. As $tg \leq f$, there is an element b' in $\pi_f(B)'$ such that $0 \leq b' \leq 1$ and $tg(b) = (\pi_f(b) b' 1_f, b' 1_f)$ for b in B . By Corollary 7, the range projection Q of b' lies in $\hat{\pi}_f(A)'$. We may then identify π_g with $Q\pi_f|_{Q\mathcal{H}_f}$ so that $1_g = (1/\sqrt{t})b' 1_f$. Since $Q \in \hat{\pi}_f(A)'$, $Q\hat{\pi}_f|_{Q\mathcal{H}_f}$ is a representation of A that extends π_g , and therefore

$$tD(g)(a) = (\hat{\pi}_f(a) b' 1_f, b' 1_f).$$

A similar argument shows that

$$(1 - t)D(h)(a) = (\hat{\pi}_f(a) b'' 1_f, b'' 1_f),$$

with $(b'')^2 + (b')^2 = 1$. Therefore,

$$tD(g) + (1 - t)D(h) = D(f).$$

Recall that a maximal abelian subalgebra \mathcal{M} of a von Neumann algebra R is said to be *regular* if R is generated by the unitaries U in R that normalize \mathcal{M} in the sense that $U\mathcal{M}U^* = \mathcal{M}$.

PROPOSITION 9. *If B separates $P(A)$, $f \in S(B)$ and $\pi_f(B)'$ contains a regular maximal abelian subalgebra, then there is a unique representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ that extends π_f .*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{M} is a regular maximal abelian subalgebra of $\pi_f(B)'$ and select by Theorem 6 a representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ that extends π_f and such that $\pi(A)'$ contains \mathcal{M} . If U is a unitary in $\pi_f(B)'$ that normalizes \mathcal{M} and we write $\rho(a) = U\pi(a)U^*$, then ρ is a representation of A that extends π_f . Moreover $\mathcal{M} = U\mathcal{M}U^* \subseteq \rho(A)'$, and so by part iv) of Theorem 6 $\rho = \pi$. Hence $U \in \pi(A)'$ and since $\pi_f(B)'$ is generated by such unitaries $\pi(A)'' = \pi_f(B)''$. By Corollary 7 π is the unique representation that extends π_f .

We say that a C^* -algebra C is *regular* if $\pi_f(C)''$ contains a regular maximal abelian subalgebra for each f in $S(C)$.

THEOREM 10. *If B is a regular C^* -algebra and B separates $P(A)$, then $B = A$.*

Proof. By Theorem 8 it suffices to show that for each f in $S(B)$ there is a unique representation extending π_f . Fix f in $S(B)$ and select an orthonormal basis $\{\eta_n\}$ for \mathcal{H}_f with $\eta_1 = 1_f$. Let ω_n denote the vector state on $\pi_f(B)''$ defined by $\omega_n(X) =$

$= (X\eta_n, \eta_n)$ and write $g = \sum 2^{-n}\omega_n$. The state g induces a normal representation $\{\theta, \mathcal{H}_g, 1_g\}$ of $\pi_f(B)''$ such that 1_g is a cyclic and separating vector for $R = \theta(\pi_f(B)'')$. Moreover if we write $\rho = \theta \circ \pi_f$, then $\{\rho, \mathcal{H}_g, 1_g\}$ is the cyclic representation of B arising from $g \circ \pi_f$ and $\rho(B)'' = R$. Since B is regular R contains a regular maximal abelian subalgebra \mathcal{M}_0 . By Tomita's theorem [11, 8.13.14] there is an isometric involution J such that $JRJ = R'$ and $\mathcal{M} = J\mathcal{M}_0J$ is a regular maximal abelian subalgebra of R' . By Proposition 9 there is a representation $\hat{\rho} : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_g)$ that extends ρ and such that $\hat{\rho}(A)'' = R = \rho(B)''$. It follows that for every subrepresentation $\{\rho_0, \mathcal{H}_0\}$ of ρ there is a representation $\hat{\rho}_0 : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_0)$ that extends ρ_0 and such that $\hat{\rho}_0(A)'' = \rho_0(B)''$. Since $1/2 f \leq g \circ \pi_f$, π_f is unitarily equivalent to a subrepresentation of ρ and there is a representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ extending π_f and such that $\pi(A)'' = \pi_f(B)''$. By Corollary 7 π is the unique representation that extends π_f .

We do not know of an example of a von Neumann algebra that does not contain a regular maximal abelian subalgebra. Many von Neumann algebras do contain such algebras (see, for example [8, p. 332] or [16]). It is straightforward that every type I von Neumann algebra contains a regular maximal abelian subalgebra and therefore type I C^* -algebras are regular. We do not know of any other examples of regular C^* -algebras although it seems likely that many others exist.

We now turn to a consideration of the factorial Stone-Weierstrass problem. If A is abelian, then $F(A) = P(A)$. Thus, it is possible that the correct generalization of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem should require that B separate $F(A)$. The content of our next theorem is that the only obstacle in the way of establishing this weaker version is showing that factor states extend to factor states.

PROPOSITION 11. *If B separates $F(A)$, then $F(A)$ is a set of agreement for B .*

Proof. As A is separable $F(A)$ is a Borel subset of $S(A)$ [11, 4.8.3] and since B separates $F(A)$, the restriction map r is injective on $F(A)$. Fix f in $F(A)$, a unit vector η in \mathcal{H}_f and a unitary U in $\pi_f(B)'$ and define f_1 and f_2 on A by

$$f_1(a) = (\pi_f(a)\eta, \eta), \quad f_2(a) = (\pi_f(a)U\eta, U\eta).$$

As η and $U\eta$ are unit vectors f_1 and f_2 are states on A and since $U \in \pi_f(B)'$, $r(f_1) = r(f_2)$. Moreover, f_1 and f_2 give rise to representations that are unitarily equivalent to subrepresentations of π_f and so f_1 and f_2 are factor states [5, 5.3.4, 5.3.5]. Thus, $f_1 = f_2$ and since η was arbitrary $U \in \pi_f(A)'$. Therefore $\pi_f(A)'' = \pi_f(B)''$ and $F(A)$ is a set of agreement for B .

We remark that if B separates $F(A)$ the proof given above also shows that if π is any representation of A with $\pi(A)''$ a factor, then $\pi(A)'' = \pi(B)''$. It can also be shown that disjoint factor representations of A restrict to disjoint factor repre-

sentations of B . Thus, by analogy with [5,11.1.1] we may say that if B separates $F(A)$, then B is *ultrarich* in A .

THEOREM 12. *If B separates $F(A)$ and each factor state on B extends to a factor state on A , then $B = A$.*

Proof. By Proposition 11, $F(A)$ is a set of agreement for B . Our additional assumption means that $r(F(A)) = F(B)$. Fix f in $S(B)$ and let ν denote the central measure for f . If Z denotes the center of $\pi_f(B)''$, then by [15, IV 6.29,6.32] ν is the orthogonal measure associated with Z and ν is concentrated on $F(B)$. Hence Theorem 5 applies and there is a representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ that extends $\pi_f = \pi$, and such that $\pi(A)'' \subseteq W^*(\pi_f(B), Z) = \pi_f(B)''$. The theorem now follows from Corollary 7 and Theorem 8.

Recall that a maximal abelian subalgebra \mathcal{M} of a von Neumann algebra R is said to be *semiregular* if the unitaries in R that normalize \mathcal{M} generate a factor.

PROPOSITION 13. *If B separates $P(A)$, $f \in F(B)$ and $\pi_f(B)'$ contains a maximal abelian subalgebra \mathcal{M} such that either*

i) \mathcal{M} is a semiregular maximal abelian subalgebra of $\pi_f(B)'$,

or

ii) there is an injective factor R such that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq R \subseteq \pi_f(B)'$

then f extends to a factor state on A .

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{M} is a semiregular subalgebra of $\pi_f(B)'$ and let $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ denote a representation of A that extends π_f and is such that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \pi(A)'$. As in the proof of Proposition 9, it follows that the unitaries that normalize \mathcal{M} belong to $\pi(A)'$ and therefore there is a factor R such that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq R \subseteq \pi(A)'$. As \mathcal{M} is maximal abelian the center of $\pi(A)'$ is contained in \mathcal{M} and therefore lies in the center of R . As this latter algebra consists of the scalar multiples of the identity $\pi(A)''$ is a factor and f extends to a factor state on A . Now suppose $\mathcal{M} \subseteq R \subseteq \pi_f(B)'$, where R is an injective factor and let $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ be as before. We have then

$$\pi_f(B)'' \subseteq R' \subseteq \mathcal{M}' = W^*(\pi_f(B), \mathcal{M}).$$

Since R' is injective, there is a norm one projection mapping $B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ onto R' . This projection restricts to a map Φ on $\pi(A)$ that satisfies the hypotheses of Sakai's theorem. Thus

$$\pi(A)'' \subseteq R', \quad \mathcal{M} \subseteq R \subseteq \pi_f(A)'$$

and as above f extends to a factor state on A .

Again there does not seem to be an example known of a factor that does not contain a semiregular maximal abelian subalgebra. Regarding ii) of Proposition 13: One of Kadison's Baton Rouge problems was: Is each self-adjoint element in a II_1 factor contained in some hyperfinite subfactor? In ii) we are asking whether every factor contains just one maximal abelian subalgebra contained in an injective factor. If so, and if B separates $F(A)$, then $B = A$.

4. MISCELLANEOUS RELATED RESULTS

We continue to use A and B to denote separable unital C^* -algebras with $1 \in B \subset A$.

PROPOSITION 14. *If B separates $F(A)$ and $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a representation with \mathcal{H} separable, then $\pi(A)'' = W^*(\pi(B), Z)$, where Z denotes the center of $\pi(A)''$.*

Proof. By the central decomposition of representations [5, Chapter 8] there is a measurable field $x \rightarrow \pi(x)$ of factor representations of A such that

$$\pi = \int^{\oplus} \pi(x) \, d\mu(x)$$

for some measure μ . Moreover the diagonal algebra for this direct integral decomposition is Z . By the proof of Proposition 11 we have that for each x , $\pi(x)(A)'' = \pi(x)(B)''$. The proof is now completed by arguing as in the proof of part iii) of Theorem 5.

COROLLARY 15. *Suppose B separates $F(A)$, $f \in S(B)$, and $\pi_i : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ is a representation of A that extends π_f , $i = 1, 2$. If Z_i denotes the center of $\pi_i(A)''$, $i = 1, 2$ and Z_1 commutes with Z_2 , then $\pi_1 = \pi_2$.*

Proof. Since Z_1 and Z_2 commute, there is a maximal abelian subalgebra \mathcal{M} of $\pi_f(B)'$ that contains both Z_1 and Z_2 . Let $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ denote a representation of A that extends π_f and is such that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \pi(A)'$. By Proposition 14 we have that

$$\pi_i(A)'' = W^*(\pi_f(B), Z_i) \subseteq W^*(\pi(A), \mathcal{M}).$$

Define $\Phi_i : \pi(A) \rightarrow \pi_i(A)''$ by $\Phi_i(\pi(a)) = \pi_i(a)$, $i = 1, 2$. As in the proof of part iv) of Theorem 5 we have that $\ker \pi = \ker \pi_i$, $i = 1, 2$ and so Φ_i is a well-defined $*$ -isomorphism for $i = 1, 2$. Hence by Sakai's theorem $\pi_1 = \pi = \pi_2$.

PROPOSITION 16. *If B separates $P(A)$ and each factor state on B has a unique state extension to A , then $B = A$.*

Proof. Fix f in $F(B)$. By Theorem 6, there is a representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ that extends π_f . Let U be a unitary operator in $\pi_f(B)'$ and let x be a unit vector in \mathcal{H}_f . Then $b \rightarrow (\pi_f(b)x, x)$ is a factor state on B and has $a \rightarrow (\pi(a)x, x)$ and $a \rightarrow (\pi(a)Ux, Ux)$ as state extensions to A . Hence $(U^*\pi(a)Ux, x) = (\pi(a)x, x)$ for all x in \mathcal{H} and a in A . Thus $U \in \pi(A)'$ and $\pi_f(B)'' = \pi(A)''$ and f extends to a factor state on A . By Theorem 12 $B = A$.

Proposition 17. *If B separates $F(A)$ and if disjoint representations of A restrict to disjoint representations of B , then $B = A$.*

Proof. Fix f in $F(B)$. By Theorem 6 there is a representation $\pi: A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ that extends π_f . As in the proof of Proposition 16, it is enough to show $\pi(A)'' = \pi_f(B)''$. By Proposition 14 $\pi(A)'' = W^*(\pi_f(B), Z)$ where Z denotes the center of $\pi(A)''$ so it suffices to show Z is trivial. Suppose Z is not trivial so that π has disjoint subrepresentations ρ_1 and ρ_2 . By our hypothesis, $\rho_1|_B$ and $\rho_2|_B$ are also disjoint. On the other hand these restrictions are subrepresentations of the factor representation π_1 and are therefore not disjoint [5,5.3.4,5.3.5]. Hence Z is trivial and $B = A$.

We conclude by mentioning a fact which is apparently unpublished folklore. Let K denote the compact convex set of linear functionals f on A such that $f = f^*$, $f(B) = 0$ and $\|f\| \leq 2$. If $B \neq A$, then by the Hahn-Banach theorem $K \neq \{0\}$ and so has a nonzero extreme point f . By [5, 12.3.4], there are unique positive functionals f^+ and f^- such that $f = f^+ - f^-$ and $\|f\| = \|f^+\| + \|f^-\|$. As f is extreme, $\|f\| = 2$ and so f^+ and f^- are states on A . Write π_+ and π_- for the representations of A that these states induce and $\pi = \pi_+ \oplus \pi_-$.

PROPOSITION 18. *With the notation as above, if B separates $P(A)$ then $\pi(B)''$ is a factor.*

Proof. If z denotes the central support of π in B^{**} , then by [11, 3.8.13] we need only to prove that z is a minimal central projection in B^{**} . Now by Corollary 2 z is in the center of A^{**} and it therefore follows that if z were not minimal then f would not be an extreme point of K .

Both authors were supported in part by grants from the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

1. AKEMANN, C. A., The general Stone-Weierstrass problem, *J. Functional Analysis*, **4**(1969), 277–294.
2. ARVESON, W. B., *An invitation to C^* -algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
3. BRATTELI, O.; ROBINSON, D. W., *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics. I*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1979.
4. BUNCE, J. W., Approximating maps and a Stone-Weierstrass theorem for C^* -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **79**(1980), 559–563.
5. DIXMIER, J., *Les C^* -algèbres et leurs représentations*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964.
6. DIXMIER, J., *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien*, 2^{me} ed., Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
7. EFFROS, E. G., *Injectives and tensor products for convex sets and C^* -algebras*, Lectures given at the NATO Institute on "Facial structure of compact convex sets" at the University College of Swansea, Wales, July, 1972.
8. FELDMAN, J.; MOORE, C. C., Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology and von Neumann algebras. II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **234**(1977), 325–359.
9. GLIMM, J., A Stone-Weierstrass theorem for C^* -algebras, *Ann. of Math.*, **72**(1960), 216–244.
10. KAPLANSKY, I., The structure of certain operator algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **70**(1951), 219–255.

11. PEDERSEN, G. K., *C*-algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, London, 1979.
12. SAKAI, S., On the Stone-Weierstrass theorem of C^* -algebras, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **22**(1970), 191 – 199.
13. SAKAI, S., *C*-algebras and W^* -algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1971.
14. SKAU, C. F., Orthogonal measures on the state space of C^* -algebra, in *Algebras in analysis*, edited by J. H. Williamson, Academic Press, London, 1975.
15. TAKESAKI, M., *Theory of operator algebras. I*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1979.
16. VERSHIK, A. M., Nonmeasurable decompositions, orbit theory, algebras of operators, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **12**(1971), 1218–1222.

JOEL ANDERSON

Department of Mathematics,
Pennsylvania State University,
University Park, PA 16802,
U.S.A.

JOHN W. BUNCE

Department of Mathematics,
University of Kansas,
Lawrence, KS 66045,
U.S.A.

Received November 24, 1980.