

## REMARKS ON LEBESGUE-TYPE DECOMPOSITION OF POSITIVE OPERATORS

HIDEKI KOSAKI

### 0. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the paper is to give alternative proofs to Ando's results, [1], based on a different technique, which will be explained below. Also, this technique is shown to provide quite a natural viewpoint to the subject matter.

Let  $a, b$  be positive (bounded) operators on a Hilbert space. As a generalization of absolute continuity in measure theory, we say that  $b$  is *a-absolutely continuous* if there exists a sequence  $\{b_n\}$  of positive operators such that  $b_n \uparrow b$  (strongly) as  $n \uparrow \infty$  and  $b_n \leq l_n a$  for some positive number  $l_n$ . We also say that  $b$  is *a-singular* if  $c = 0$  follows whenever an operator  $c$  satisfies  $0 \leq c \leq b$  and  $0 \leq c \leq a$ . In the paper, we consider a "Lebesgue decomposition"  $b = b_1 + b_2$  with an *a-absolutely continuous* operator  $b_1$  and an *a-singular* operator  $b_2$ .

In [1], Ando introduced the positive operator  $[a]b (\leq b)$  as the strong limit of a certain sequence of positive operators (see § 1). Among other results, he showed that

- (i)  $[a]b$  (resp.  $b - [a]b$ ) is *a-absolutely continuous* (resp. *a-singular*),
- (ii)  $[a]b$  is maximal in the sense that  $b' \leq [a]b$  whenever  $0 \leq b' \leq b$  and  $b'$  is *a-absolutely continuous*.

In the paper, we further assume that  $a$  is non-singular ( $\text{Ker } a = \{0\}$ ). (Applications of this subject to the theory of operator algebras will appear in subsequent papers. And, in this context, the case when  $\text{Ker } a = \{0\}$  is most interesting.) We show that the subject matter is closely related (almost "equivalent") to recent theories [4], [7] of decompositions of unbounded operators and quadratic forms (into their closable parts and singular parts). Use of unbounded operators (and forms) actually gives quite a powerful tool although involved arguments are simple. In fact, based on this technique we obtain certain simple and (more importantly) explicit expressions of  $[a]b$ .

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we collect some basic definitions as well as results. We fix positive (bounded) operators  $a, b$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  throughout, and further assume that  $a$  is non-singular.

DEFINITION 1. We set  $T := b^{-1/2}a^{-1/2}$  so that  $T$  is a densely defined ( $\mathcal{D}(T) := \mathcal{R}(a^{1/2})$ ) operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

The operator  $T$  may or may not be closable. The operator  $b^{1/2}$  being bounded, we have  $T^* := a^{-1/2}b^{1/2}$  and

$$\mathcal{D}(T^*) := \{\xi \in \mathcal{H} ; b^{1/2}\xi \in \mathcal{R}(a^{1/2})\}.$$

Due to the well known relation

$$\mathcal{D}(T^*)^\perp := \{\xi \in \mathcal{H} ; (0, \xi) \in \Gamma(T)^\perp\},$$

where  $\Gamma(T)$  denotes the graph of  $T$ , we have the following lemma:

LEMMA 2. Let  $p$  be the projection onto the closure of  $\{\xi \in \mathcal{H} ; b^{1/2}\xi \in \mathcal{R}(a^{1/2})\}$ . Then  $1 - p$  is the projection onto  $\{\xi \in \mathcal{H} ; (0, \xi) \in \Gamma(T)^\perp\}$ .

The next result is implicit in [1] and indicates that [4] and [7] are closely related to our subject.

LEMMA 3. The following three conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $b$  is  $a$ -absolutely continuous,
- (b)  $T$  is closable,
- (c)  $p = 1$ .

*Proof.* (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) is precisely Lemma 2.

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Here (and in the proof of Theorem 8), the space  $\mathcal{H}$  equipped with the topology induced by the new norm:  $\xi \mapsto \|a^{1/2}\xi\|$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{H}_a$ . By the assumption,  $b$  can be written as  $b_n \uparrow b, b_n \leq I_n a$ . For each  $n$ , we have  $\|b_n^{1/2}\xi\| \leq \|I_n^{1/2} a^{1/2}\xi\|$  so that the map:  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_a \mapsto \|b_n^{1/2}\xi\| \in \mathbf{R}_+$  is continuous. Being the supremum of continuous functions, the map:  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_a \mapsto \|b^{1/2}\xi\| := \sup_n \|b_n^{1/2}\xi\| \in \mathbf{R}_+$  is thus lower semi-continuous. To show the closability of  $T$ , we now assume  $\xi_n := a^{1/2}\zeta_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $T\xi_n = b^{1/2}\zeta_n \rightarrow \eta$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we pick up a positive integer  $N = N_\varepsilon$  such that

$$\|T\xi_m - T\xi_n\| := \|b^{1/2}(\zeta_m - \zeta_n)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } n, m \geq N.$$

The sequence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  tending to 0 in  $\mathcal{H}$ , for each fixed  $m, \zeta_m - \zeta_n$  tends to  $\zeta_m$  in

$\mathcal{H}_a$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The above mentioned lower semi-continuity thus implies

$$\|T\xi_m\| = \|b^{1/2}\xi_m\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b^{1/2}(\xi_m - \xi_n)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for each fixed  $m \geq N$ . Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and noting the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we conclude  $\eta = 0$  as desired.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) We always have  $b^{1/2} = Ta^{1/2}$  so that we also have  $b^{1/2} = \bar{T}a^{1/2}$  whenever  $T$  is closable. Let  $e_n$  be the spectral projection of  $|\bar{T}|^2 = T^*T$  corresponding to the interval  $[0, n]$ . It is straightforward to check that  $a^{1/2}e_n|\bar{T}|^2a^{1/2} = a^{1/2}e_n|\bar{T}|^2e_na^{1/2} \leq na$  and  $(a^{1/2}e_n|\bar{T}|^2a^{1/2}\xi | \xi) \uparrow (b\xi | \xi)$  for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ . Q.E.D.

DEFINITION 4. Let  $I$  be the imbedding of  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  into the graph  $\Gamma(T) (\subseteq \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$  given by  $I(\xi) = (\xi, T\xi)$ . Also, let  $i$  be the restriction to  $\Gamma(T)^-$  of the projection:  $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \mapsto \xi_1 \in \mathcal{H}$  so that  $i$  is a contraction from  $\Gamma(T)^-$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ .

It is easy to check that  $1 \oplus p$  (or equivalently  $0 \oplus (1 - p)$ ) leaves  $\Gamma(T)^-$  invariant. We obviously have

$$\text{Ker } i = \{(0, \xi) \in \Gamma(T)^-\} = \{0 \oplus (1 - p)\}\Gamma(T)^-,$$

which is the ‘‘obstruction’’ for the closability of  $T$ .

Finally we recall the notion of a parallel sum ([3]). For positive operators  $c, d$ , their parallel sum  $c:d$  is defined as the positive operator determined by

$$((c:d)\xi | \xi) = \inf\{(c\xi_1 | \xi_1) + (d\xi_2 | \xi_2); \xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2, \xi_i \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

It follows immediately that  $(na) : b \leq na$ ,  $(na) : b \leq b$ , and  $\{(na) : b\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$  is an increasing sequence of positive operators. Ando, [1], set  $[a]b = \text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (na) : b$  ( $= \sup_n (na) : b$ ) and showed (i) and (ii) in § 0 (see [1], and also [5] for further properties).

## 2. LEBESGUE DECOMPOSITION

In this section, we obtain a Lebesgue decomposition of  $b$  with respect to  $a$ . More precisely, we show that  $b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}$  is  $a$ -absolutely continuous and exactly  $[a]b$  in the sense of Ando. Actually, we present two proofs (for the latter result) for the following reasons: (a) to understand a relation between his approach and our approach, (b) to show strength of simple arguments in [4], [7], (c) to make the paper self-contained. Namely, in Theorem 6 we show  $[a]b = b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}$  based on the definition of  $[a]b$  and the maximality of  $[a]b$  ((ii) in § 0), while in Theorem 7 we directly prove the maximality of  $b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}$  based on arguments in [4], [7].

We begin with introducing

$$T_c = pT, \text{ the closable part of } T \ (\mathcal{D}(T_c) = \mathcal{D}(T)),$$

$$T_s = (1 - p)T, \text{ the singular part of } T \ (\mathcal{D}(T_s) = \mathcal{D}(T)).$$

Among other results, Jørgensen, [4], showed:

(iii)  $T_c$  is closable as its name indicates,

(iv) If the projection from  $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$  onto its closed subspace  $\Gamma(T)^{\perp}$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

(called the characteristic matrix for  $T$ , see [6] for details), then

that corresponding to  $\Gamma(T_c)^{\perp} = \Gamma(\bar{T}_c)$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & pp_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ . Proofs of (iii) and (iv) are

quite easy and are found in [4], pp. 285--286. We also note that  $\Gamma(\bar{T}_c)$  is exactly the "operator part" of  $\Gamma(T)^{\perp}$  in the sense of [2].

LEMMA 5. *The operator  $b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}$  is  $a$ -absolutely continuous.*

*Proof.* Notice that  $pb^{1/2} = T_c a^{1/2} = \bar{T}_c a^{1/2}$ . Thus, arguments in (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) of Lemma 3 show the  $a$ -absolute continuity of  $b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}$ . Q.E.D.

THEOREM 6. *We have  $b^{1/2}pb^{1/2} \leq [a]b$ .*

*Proof.* Due to the previous lemma,  $b^{1/2}pb^{1/2} \leq b$ , and the maximality of  $[a]b$  ((ii) in § 0), we have  $b^{1/2}pb^{1/2} \leq [a]b$ . Since  $(na) : b \uparrow [a]b$ , it suffices to show  $(na) : b \leq b^{1/2}pb^{1/2} \leq a^{1/2} \bar{T}_c^{-2} a^{1/2}$  for each  $n$ .

However, it actually suffices to show just  $a : b \leq a^{1/2} \bar{T}_c^{-2} a^{1/2}$ . In fact, if we replace  $a$  by  $a' = na$  here, then we have

$$a'^{1/2} \leq n^{1/2} a^{1/2}$$

$$T' = b^{1/2} a'^{-1/2} \leq n^{-1/2} b^{1/2} a^{-1/2} \leq n^{-1/2} T$$

$$T'_c = n^{-1/2} T_c.$$

Here, the last equality follows from the fact that the range of  $a^{1/2}$  is the same as that of  $a'^{1/2}$ . Hence we have

$$a'^{1/2} \bar{T}'_c^{-2} a'^{1/2} = a^{1/2} \bar{T}_c^{-2} a^{1/2} \leq b^{1/2} pb^{1/2}.$$

For a vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$((a : b)\xi, \xi) = \inf \{ \|a^{1/2}\xi - a^{1/2}\zeta\|^2 + \|b^{1/2}\zeta\|^2; \zeta \in \mathcal{H} \} =$$

$$= (\text{Distance}\{(a^{1/2}\xi, 0), \Gamma(T)\})^2 =$$

$$= (\text{Distance}\{(a^{1/2}\xi, 0), \Gamma(T)^{\perp}\})^2$$

because  $\{(a^{1/2}\zeta, b^{1/2}\xi) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}; \zeta \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is precisely the graph of  $T$ . The equality

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a^{1/2}\zeta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a^{1/2}\xi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

says that the nearest point on  $\Gamma(T)^-$  from  $(a^{1/2}\xi, 0)$  is the same as the nearest point on  $\Gamma(T_c)^- = \Gamma(\bar{T}_c)$  from  $(a^{1/2}\xi, 0)$ . (Recall (iv)). We thus estimate

$$\begin{aligned} ((a : b)\xi \mid \xi) &= (\text{Distance}\{(a^{1/2}\xi, 0), \Gamma(T_c)^-\})^2 = \\ &= (\text{Distance}\{(a^{1/2}\xi, 0), \Gamma(T_c)\})^2 = \\ &= \inf \{ \|a^{1/2}\zeta - a^{1/2}\xi\|^2 + \|T_c a^{1/2}\zeta\|^2; \zeta \in \mathcal{H} \} \leq \\ &\leq \|T_c a^{1/2}\xi\|^2 = (b^{1/2} p b^{1/2} \xi \mid \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

**THEOREM 7.** *If a positive operator  $b'$  is  $a$ -absolute continuous and  $b' \leq b$ , then  $b' \leq b^{1/2} p b^{1/2}$ .*

(Direct proof based on arguments in [7].) Starting from  $T' = b^{1/2} a^{-1/2}$  ( $\mathcal{D}(T') = \mathcal{D}(T) = \mathcal{R}(a^{1/2})$ ), we consider  $I'$  and  $i'$  associated with  $T'$  as in Definition 4. The  $a$ -absolute continuity of  $b'$  implies that  $T'$  is closable (Lemma 3) so that  $\text{Ker } i' = \{0\}$ . (see the paragraph after Definition 4).

We now define  $j: \Gamma(T) \rightarrow \Gamma(T')$  ( $\subseteq \Gamma(T')^- = \Gamma(\bar{T}')$ ) by  $j(\xi, T\xi) = (\xi, T'\xi)$ . It follows from  $b' \leq b$  that  $\|(\xi, T'\xi)\| \leq \|(\xi, T\xi)\|$ . Thus,  $j$  extends uniquely to a contraction (still denoted by  $j$ ) from  $\Gamma(T)^-$  to  $\Gamma(\bar{T}')$ . We also note

$$j \circ I = I'$$

$$i' \circ j = i.$$

In fact, the both sides of the second equality are contractions from  $\Gamma(T)^-$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ , and they give the same result when applied to  $(\xi, T\xi) \in \Gamma(T)$ .

The above second equality and the injectivity  $i'$  imply that  $\text{Ker } i = \text{Ker } j$ . The operator  $(0 \oplus (1 - p))|_{\Gamma(T)^-}$  being the projection from  $\Gamma(T)^-$  onto  $\text{Ker } i$ , we then have

$$j \circ ((0 \oplus (1 - p))|_{\Gamma(T)^-}) \circ I(\xi) = 0 \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathcal{R}(a^{1/2}),$$

or equivalently,

$$j \circ ((1 \oplus p)|_{\Gamma(T)^-}) \circ I(\xi) = i \circ I(\xi) = I'(\xi).$$

Thus, for  $a^{1/2}\zeta \in \mathcal{R}(a^{1/2})$ , we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(a^{1/2}\zeta)^2\|^2 = \|Ta^{1/2}\zeta\|^2 = \|T(a^{1/2}\zeta)\|^2 \\ & = \|j \cdot ((1 \oplus p) \Gamma(T)^{-1})^{-1} I(a^{1/2}\zeta)\|^2 \leq \\ & \leq \|(1 \oplus p) \Gamma(T)^{-1} I(a^{1/2}\zeta)\|^2 = \quad (\text{since } j \text{ is a contraction}) \\ & = \|(a^{1/2}\zeta, pTa^{1/2}\zeta)\|^2 = \|a^{1/2}\zeta\|^2 + \|pTa^{1/2}\zeta\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This means  $\|Ta^{1/2}\zeta\|^2 \leq \|pTa^{1/2}\zeta\|^2$ , that is,  $(b^{1/2}\zeta \uparrow \zeta) \leq (b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}\zeta \uparrow \zeta)$ . Q.E.D.

### 3. AN EXPRESSION OF $b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}$

In the previous section, we showed that  $[a]b$  is just  $b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}$ . In this section, we further eliminate the projection  $p$  and obtain a certain expression of  $[a]b = b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}$  involving only simpler quantities. The expression and its proof are closely related to the well-known recent characterization of closed forms in terms of lower semi-continuity (see [8] for example).

**THEOREM 8.** *For a vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have  $(b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}\xi \uparrow \xi) = \inf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ \liminf (b\xi_n \uparrow \xi_n); \{\xi_n\} \text{ in } \mathcal{H} \text{ satisfying } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a(\xi - \xi_n) \uparrow \xi - \xi_n) = 0 \} = \inf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ \lim (b\xi_n \uparrow \xi_n); \{\xi_n\} \text{ in } \mathcal{H} \text{ satisfying (a) } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a(\xi - \xi_n) \uparrow \xi - \xi_n) = 0, \text{ (b) } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b\xi_n \uparrow \xi_n) \text{ exists} \}$ .*

*Proof.* We denote the first and second infima by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively so that we have  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

At first we note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a(\xi - \xi_n) \uparrow \xi - \xi_n) = 0$  is same as  $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$  in  $\mathcal{H}_a$  (see the proof of (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) in Lemma 3). Since  $b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}$  is  $a$ -absolutely continuous (Lemma 5), the map  $\mathcal{H}_a \ni \zeta \mapsto (b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}\zeta \uparrow \zeta) \in \mathbf{R}_+$  is lower semi-continuous. Thus, whenever  $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$  in  $\mathcal{H}_a$ , we must have

$$(b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}\xi \uparrow \xi) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}\xi_n \uparrow \xi_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b\xi_n \uparrow \xi_n).$$

Hence we have shown  $(b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}\xi \uparrow \xi) \leq \alpha$ .

Recall that  $0 \oplus (1 - p)$  sends  $\Gamma(T)^{-}$  onto  $\Gamma(T)^{-} \cap (0 \oplus \mathcal{H})$ . Hence, for  $a^{1/2}\zeta \in \mathcal{Q}(T)$  and  $Ta^{1/2}\zeta = b^{1/2}\zeta$ , the vector  $(0 \oplus (1 - p)) (a^{1/2}\zeta, b^{1/2}\zeta) = (0, (1 - p)b^{1/2}\zeta)$  in  $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$  must belong to  $\Gamma(T)^{-} \cap (0 \oplus \mathcal{H})$ . In particular, we can pick up a sequence  $\{a^{1/2}\zeta_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{R}(a^{1/2})$  such that  $a^{1/2}\zeta_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $Ta^{1/2}\zeta_n = b^{1/2}\zeta_n \rightarrow (p - 1)b^{1/2}\zeta$ . Setting  $\xi_n = \zeta_n \uparrow \xi$ , we notice that  $a^{1/2}\zeta_n \rightarrow a^{1/2}\zeta$  and  $b^{1/2}\zeta_n \rightarrow (p - 1)b^{1/2}\zeta + b^{1/2}\zeta = pb^{1/2}\zeta$ . We thus have  $\beta \leq (b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}\xi \uparrow \xi)$ . Q.E.D.

*This research is partially supported by NSF (MCS-8102158).*

## REFERENCES

1. ANDO, T., Lebesgue-type decomposition of positive operators, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **38**(1976), 253–260.
2. CODDINGTON, E.; DE SNOO, H., Positive self-adjoint extensions of positive symmetric subspaces, *Math. Z.*, **139**(1978), 203–214.
3. FILLMORE, P.; WILLIAMS, J., On operator ranges, *Advances in Math.*, **7**(1971), 254–281.
4. JØRGENSEN, P., Unbounded operators: Perturbations and commutability, *J. Functional Analysis*, **39**(1980), 281–307.
5. NISHIO, K., Characterization of Lebesgue-type decomposition of positive operators, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **42**(1980), 145–152.
6. NUSSBAUM, A., Reduction theory for unbounded closed operators in Hilbert space, *Duke Math. J.*, **31**(1964), 33–44.
7. SIMON, B., A canonical decomposition of quadratic forms with applications to monotone convergence theorems, *J. Functional Analysis*, **28**(1978), 377–385.
8. SIMON, B., Lower semi-continuity of positive quadratic forms, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, **79**(1977), 267–273.

HIDEKI KOSAKI  
*Department of Mathematics,  
Purdue University,  
West Lafayette, Indiana 47907  
U.S.A.*

Present address:  
*Department of Mathematics,  
University of Pennsylvania,  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104--3859,  
U.S.A.*

Received August 30, 1982; revised October 12, 1982.