

DERIVATIONS OF SIMPLE C^* -ALGEBRAS TANGENTIAL TO COMPACT AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

DEREK W. ROBINSON, ERLING STØRMER and MASAMICHI TAKESAKI

0. INTRODUCTION

Recently [1] Kishimoto and the first named author showed that an asymptotic abelian automorphism τ of a simple C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} with identity gives rise to a multiple tensor product structure in the limit, which allows one to prove that if an action α of a compact abelian group G as $*$ -automorphisms of \mathfrak{A} commutes with τ , then any derivation δ of the algebra \mathfrak{A}_F of G -finite elements into \mathfrak{A} , commuting with τ , is automatically tangential to α_G , in the sense that

- a) δ is closable, and
- b) the closure $\bar{\delta}$ of δ generates a one parameter subgroup of α_G .

Based on this limit tensor product structure, we prove that the above result remains true without the commutativity assumption on the group G . Since every derivation given by a one parameter subgroup of α_G commutes with τ and is defined on \mathfrak{A}_F , our result characterizes the Lie algebra of α_G as those derivations of \mathfrak{A}_F into \mathfrak{A} commuting with τ .

The proof is interesting in its own right. It consists of two parts. First, we prove that any automorphism β commuting with τ and leaving the fixed point algebra \mathfrak{A}^τ pointwise fixed is indeed an element of α_G . This Galois type result will be shown by adapting the Tannaka duality arguments developed in a work of Araki-Haag-Kastler-Takesaki [2]. We then prove that the derivation δ leaves any α -invariant finite dimensional subspace globally invariant and this allows us to exponentiate δ and obtain a one parameter group $\{\beta_t\}$ of automorphisms of \mathfrak{A}_F . In this proof we use the exterior product based on the limit multiple tensor product. Finally we prove that $\{\beta_t\}$ extends to \mathfrak{A} , by positivity arguments adapted from [3], and then apply the first result to conclude that $\{\beta_t\} \subset \alpha_G$.

Before proceeding we recall a few essential definitions.

First the C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} is defined to be asymptotically abelian with respect to the $*$ -automorphism τ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \| [x, \tau^n(y)] \| = 0$$

for all pairs $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Second if G is a compact group and U an irreducible unitary representation of G then the corresponding character χ_U is defined by

$$\chi_U(g) = \text{tr}(U_g^{-1})$$

where tr denotes the normalized trace over the representation space of U . Moreover if α_G is a representation of G as $*$ -automorphisms of the C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} which is strongly continuous, i.e.,

$$\lim_{g \rightarrow h} \| \alpha_g(x) - \alpha_h(x) \| = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}, h \in G$, then the spectral subspace $\mathfrak{A}^\alpha(U)$ is defined as the norm closed subspace

$$\mathfrak{A}^\alpha(U) = \left\{ \int dg \chi_U(g) \alpha_g(x) ; x \in \mathfrak{A} \right\}.$$

The G -finite elements \mathfrak{A}_F are then defined as the complex linear span of the $\mathfrak{A}^\alpha(U)$ and it follows automatically that \mathfrak{A}_F is a norm dense $*$ -subalgebra of \mathfrak{A} . Note that the spectral subspace \mathfrak{A}^α corresponding to the trivial representation of G is the fixed point algebra of α , i.e., the set $\{x; \alpha_g(x) = x \text{ for all } g \in G\}$.

Finally a linear operator δ on \mathfrak{A} is called a symmetric derivation if its domain $D(\delta)$ is a $*$ -subalgebra of \mathfrak{A} , $\delta(x)^* = \delta(x^*)$ for all $x \in D(\delta)$, and

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$$

for all pairs $x, y \in D(\delta)$. The generators of strongly continuous one parameter groups of $*$ -automorphisms of \mathfrak{A} are norm closed symmetric derivations.

1. AUTOMORPHISMS OF COMPACT ACTIONS

In this section we establish the first result mentioned in the introduction.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a simple C^* -algebra with identity which is τ -asymptotically abelian and let α be a continuous action of a compact group G as $*$ -automorphisms of \mathfrak{A} such that $[\alpha, \tau] = 0$.*

If β is a $$ -automorphism of \mathfrak{A} such that $[\beta, \tau] = 0$ and $\beta(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}^\alpha$, the fixed point algebra of α , then $\beta = \alpha_g$ for some $g \in G$.*

The theorem is proved by reducing it to a statement concerning translations on $C(G)$. For this purpose we require a number of preliminary estimates.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let α be a continuous action of the compact group G on the asymptotically abelian system (\mathfrak{A}, τ) .*

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|[\tau^n(x), \alpha_g(y)]\| = 0$$

for $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$, uniformly for $g \in G$.

Proof. Suppose $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1$. Since G is compact and α is continuous one can, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, choose a finite set $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\} \subset G$ such that

$$\inf_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|\alpha_g(y) - \alpha_{g_i}(y)\| < \varepsilon/2$$

for all $g \in G$. Moreover by asymptotic abelianness one can choose N such that

$$\|[\tau^n(x), \alpha_{g_i}(y)]\| < \varepsilon/2$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and all $n > N$. Combining these estimates gives

$$\|[\tau^n(x), \alpha_g(y)]\| < \varepsilon$$

for all $g \in G$, and all $n > N$.

Next if \mathfrak{A} contains an identity the τ -invariant states form a convex weak*-compact set with extremal points, the τ -ergodic states. Moreover for each τ -ergodic state ω one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \omega(x\tau^p(y)) = \omega(x)\omega(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ (see, for example, [4] Section 4.3.2). If (\mathfrak{A}, τ) is asymptotically abelian it then easily follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \omega(x\tau^p(y)z) = \omega(xz)\omega(y)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{A}$.

The next lemma states properties of this type with an additional uniformity in G .

LEMMA 1.3. *Adopt the assumptions of Lemma 1.2 and also assume the existence of a τ -ergodic state ω .*

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \omega(x\alpha_g(y)z\tau^p(x'\alpha_g(y')z')) = \omega(x\alpha_g(y)z)\omega(x'\alpha_g(y')z')$$

for all $x, y, z, x', y', z' \in \mathfrak{A}$, uniformly for $g \in G$.

Moreover if $[\alpha, \tau] = 0$ then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \omega(x\tau^p(x')\alpha_g(y\tau^p(y'))z\tau^p(z')) = \omega(x\alpha_g(y)z)\omega(x'\alpha_g(y')z')$$

for all $x, y, z, x', y', z' \in \mathfrak{A}$, uniformly for $g \in G$.

Proof. The first limit exists pointwise in g by the preceding discussion. But since G is compact and α continuous uniformity in g is achieved by the same reasoning used in Lemma 1.2.

The second statement follows from the first statement, and asymptotic abelianess. In particular one uses Lemma 1.2.

LEMMA 1.4. *Adopt the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and further assume $g \mapsto \alpha_g$ is faithful. Let ω be a τ -ergodic state and let $\mathcal{C} \subset C(G)$ denote the linear span of the set*

$$\{g \in G \mapsto \omega(x\alpha_g(y)z) ; x, y, z \in \mathfrak{A}\}.$$

It follows that \mathcal{C} is norm dense in $C(G)$.

Proof. Both \mathcal{C} and its closure $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ are self-adjoint. Moreover since \mathfrak{A} is simple the representation π_ω associated with ω is faithful. Also α is faithful by assumption. Therefore \mathcal{C} separates points of G . Finally we show that $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ is closed under pointwise multiplication, and hence it is equal to $C(G)$ by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem. For this it suffices to show that $\omega_{x,y,z}\omega_{x',y',z'} \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ where

$$\omega_{x,y,z}(g) = \omega(x\alpha_g(y)z).$$

But this follows from Lemma 1.3 because

$$\omega_{x,y,z}(g)\omega_{x',y',z'}(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \omega_{x\tau^p(x'), y\tau^p(y'), z\tau^p(z')}(g).$$

Now we are prepared to prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let ω be a τ -ergodic state. Since $[\beta, \tau] = 0$ it follows that $\omega \circ \beta$ is also τ -ergodic. But if $x \in \mathfrak{A}^\alpha$ then $(\omega \circ \beta)(x) = \omega(\beta(x)) = \omega(x)$, because β leaves \mathfrak{A}^α pointwise invariant. Hence by [2] Theorem II.1 there is an $h \in G$ such that $\omega \circ \beta = \omega \circ \alpha_h$. Let $\beta' = \beta \circ \alpha_h^{-1}$. Then β' satisfies the same assumptions as β but in addition $\omega \circ \beta' = \omega$. Now if we can show that $\beta' \in \alpha_G$ then $\beta \in \alpha_G$. Therefore we may effectively assume $\omega \circ \beta = \omega$. We may also assume without loss of generality that α is faithful.

Next let \mathcal{C} denote the set of functions introduced in Lemma 1.4. Then $\mathcal{C} \subset L^2(G)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\omega_{\beta(z), y, \beta(x)}, \omega_{\beta(x'), y', \beta(z')})_{L^2} = \\ &= \int dg \omega(\beta(x^*) \alpha_g(y^*) \beta(z^*)) \omega(\beta(x') \alpha_g(y') \beta(z')) = \\ &= \int dg \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \omega(\beta(x^* \tau^p(x')) \alpha_g(y^* \tau^p(y')) \beta(z^* \tau^p(z'))) = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \omega(\beta(x^* \tau^p(x')) P(y^* \tau^p(y')) \beta(z^* \tau^p(z'))) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P(y^* \tau^p(y')) = \int dg \alpha_g(y^* \tau^p(y')) \in \mathfrak{A}^*$$

and we have used both Lemma 1.3 and the assumption $[\beta, \tau] = 0$. But since ω is β -invariant and \mathfrak{A}^* is pointwise β -invariant it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\omega_{\beta(z), y, \beta(x)}, \omega_{\beta(x'), y', \beta(z')})_{L^2} = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \omega(x^* \tau^p(x') P(y^* \tau^p(y')) z^* \tau^p(z')) = \\ &= (\omega_{x, y, z}, \omega_{x', y', z'})_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore one can define an isometric linear operator b on $L^2(G)$ by first setting

$$b \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \omega_{x_i, y_i, z_i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^p \omega_{\beta(x_i), y_i, \beta(z_i)}$$

and then extending by continuity. But b is invertible, because β is invertible, and hence b is unitary.

Next remark that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & (b(\omega_{x, y, z} \omega_{x', y', z'}))(g) = \\ &= b \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \omega_{x \tau^p(x'), y \tau^p(y'), z \tau^p(z')} \right) (g) = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \omega_{\beta(x) \tau^p(\beta(x')), y \tau^p(y'), \beta(z) \tau^p(\beta(z'))} (g) = \\ &= (b\omega_{x, y, z})(g)(b\omega_{x', y', z'})(g). \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that b is multiplicative on $C(G)$. To establish this first define the multiplication operators $m(f)$, $f \in C(G)$ by $m(f)k = fk$, $k \in L^2(G)$. Then the map $f \mapsto m(f)$ is an isometric isomorphism of $C(G)$ onto its image. We assert that

$$(2) \quad bm(f)b^* = m(bf), \quad f \in C(G).$$

By density of \mathcal{C} in $L^2(G)$ it suffices to show

$$(3) \quad bm(f)b^*k = m(bf)k, \quad f \in C(G), k \in \mathcal{C}.$$

We first show this for $f \in \mathcal{C}$. By linearity it suffices to do this for $f = \omega_{x,y,z}$, $k := \omega_{x',y',z'}$. But then by (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} bm(\omega_{x,y,z})b^*\omega_{x',y',z'} &= bm(\omega_{x,y,z})\omega_{\beta^{-1}(x'),y',\beta^{-1}(z')} = \\ &= b(\omega_{x,y,z}\omega_{\beta^{-1}(x'),y',\beta^{-1}(z')}) = (b\omega_{x,y,z})\omega_{x',y',z'}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3) for $f \in \mathcal{C}$. In particular we have for $f \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\|bf\|_\infty = \|m(bf)\|_\infty = \|bm(f)b^*\|_\infty = \|m(f)\|_\infty = \|f\|_\infty,$$

so b is isometric on \mathcal{C} , and hence on $C(G)$. But then (3) is immediate from the density of \mathcal{C} in $C(G)$. Hence (2) follows. Therefore if $f_1, f_2 \in C(G)$, then $f_2 \in L^2(G)$, and we have $b(f_1 f_2) = b(m(f_1)f_2) = bm(f_1)b^*bf_2 = m(bf_1)bf_2 = (bf_1)(bf_2)$, proving that b is multiplicative on $C(G)$.

Finally let γ denote right translation on $L^2(G)$, i.e., for each $h \in G$ one has $(\gamma_h f)(g) = f(gh)$. Then

$$(\gamma_h \omega_{x,y,z})(g) = \omega_{x,\alpha_h(y),z}(g).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (b\gamma_h \omega_{x,y,z})(g) &= (b\omega_{x,\alpha_h(y),z})(g) = \omega_{\beta(x),\alpha_h(y),\beta(z)}(g) = \\ &= (\gamma_h \omega_{\beta(x),y,\beta(z)})(g) = (\gamma_h b\omega_{x,y,z})(g). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $b\gamma_h = \gamma_h b$ for all $h \in G$, on $L^2(G)$. It now follows by [2] Lemma A.3 that b is a left translation, i.e., there is a $k \in G$ such that $(bf)(g) = f(k^{-1}g)$ for all $f \in C(G)$. Therefore

$$\omega(x\alpha_{k^{-1}}(y)z) = (b\omega_{x,y,z})(e) = \omega(\beta(x)y\beta(z)) = \omega(x\beta^{-1}(y)z)$$

where we have used β -invariance of ω . Since this holds for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{A}$, and \mathfrak{A} is simple, it follows that $\beta = \alpha_k$.

2. A GENERATION THEOREM

In this section we establish a generation theorem for derivations which commute with τ . This theorem is a direct extension of the first part of Theorem 3.1 of [1] to non-abelian G .

THEOREM 2.1. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a simple C^* -algebra with identity which is τ -asymptotically abelian and let α be a continuous action of a compact group G as $*$ -automorphisms of \mathfrak{A} satisfying $[\alpha, \tau] = 0$. Further let δ be a symmetric derivation with domain $D(\delta) = \mathfrak{A}_F$, the G -finite elements, satisfying $[\delta, \tau] = 0$.*

It follows that δ is norm-closable and its closure $\bar{\delta}$ generates a strongly continuous one-parameter group of $$ -automorphisms β of \mathfrak{A} which leaves invariant each finite-dimensional α -invariant subspace of \mathfrak{A}_F and which also leaves the fixed point algebra \mathfrak{A}^α pointwise invariant.*

Proof. First note that the fixed point algebra $\mathfrak{A}^\alpha \subseteq D(\delta)$ by assumption. It then follows that $\delta(\mathfrak{A}^\alpha) = \{0\}$ by the argument used in the first part of Theorem 3.1 in [1].

Second let $\mathcal{M}(U) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}^\alpha(U)$ be a finite-dimensional α -invariant subspace of $\mathfrak{A}^\alpha(U)$, e.g., if $x \in \mathfrak{A}^\alpha(U)$ the linear span of $\{\alpha_g(x); g \in G\}$ is both finite-dimensional and α -invariant. Now $\mathcal{M}(U) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_F = D(\delta)$ and we next argue that $\delta(\mathcal{M}(U)) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(U)$. To this end choose k linearly independent elements $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{M}(U)$, where k is the dimension of $\mathcal{M}(U)$. Since $\mathcal{M}(U)$ is α -invariant the action of α can be represented by $k \times k$ matrices $\{U_{ij}(g)\}$ in the form

$$\alpha_g(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^k U_{ji}(g)x_j.$$

Next for each k -tuple $n = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}$ of positive integers define an element $x(n) \in \mathfrak{A}$ by

$$x(n) = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sign}(\sigma) \tau^{n_1}(x_{\sigma(1)}) \tau^{n_2}(x_{\sigma(2)}) \dots \tau^{n_k}(x_{\sigma(k)})$$

where the sum is over all permutations σ of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $\text{sign}(\sigma)$ denotes the signature of the permutation σ . Now

$$\alpha_g(x(n)) = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sign}(\sigma) \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^k U_{j_i, \sigma(i)}(g) \tau^{n_i}(x_{j_i})$$

and the sum over σ is zero unless the j_i are distinct, in which case one can write $j_i = \sigma'(i)$ for some permutation σ' . Then

$$\alpha_g(x(n)) = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sign}(\sigma) \sum_{\sigma'} \prod_{i=1}^k U_{\sigma'(i), \sigma(i)}(g) \tau^{n_i}(x_{\sigma'(i)}) = \chi(g)x(n)$$

where $\chi(g) = \text{Det } (U_{ij}(g))$. Thus $x(n)x(n')^* \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ for all k -tuples n and n' . But $x(n), x(n') \in D(\delta)$ and $\delta(\mathfrak{A}^*) = \{0\}$ hence

$$\delta(x(n))x(n')^* + x(n)\delta(x(n'))^* = 0.$$

Now taking limits such that $|n_i - n'_j|, |n'_i - n'_j| \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \neq j$ and $|n_i - n'_j| \rightarrow \infty$ for all i, j this translates into an identity in the algebraic tensor product $\mathfrak{A}^{2k} := \mathfrak{A}^k \odot \mathfrak{A}^k$ of $2k$ copies of \mathfrak{A} (see [1] and in particular Proposition 2.1). This identity states

$$X_\delta \otimes X^* + X \otimes X_\delta^* = 0$$

where

$$X = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sign}(\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes x_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\sigma(k)} \in \mathfrak{A}^k$$

and

$$X_\delta = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sign}(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^k x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \delta(x_{\sigma(i)}) \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\sigma(k)} \in \mathfrak{A}^k.$$

Hence if $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_k$ are states over \mathfrak{A} and $\omega = \omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \omega_k$ is the corresponding product state over \mathfrak{A}^k one has

$$X_\delta \overline{\omega(X)} + X \overline{\omega(X_\delta)} = 0.$$

Now define product states

$$\omega^{(j)} = \otimes_{i \neq j} \omega_i$$

over \mathfrak{A}^{k-1} and consider the maps $\iota \otimes \omega^{(j)} : \mathfrak{A}^k \mapsto \mathfrak{A}$ where ι denotes the identity map from $\mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{A}$. It follows that

$$(\iota \otimes \omega^{(j)})(X_\delta) \overline{\omega(X)} = -(\iota \otimes \omega^{(j)})(X) \overline{\omega(X_\delta)} \in \mathcal{M}(U)$$

because the right hand side is a finite linear combination of the x_i . Hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j \omega_j(x_i) (\iota \otimes \omega^{(j)})(X_\delta) \overline{\omega(X)} \in \mathcal{M}(U).$$

But the left hand side can be explicitly evaluated as a finite linear combination of the x_i plus a term $\delta(x_i)|\omega(X)|^2$. Therefore

$$\delta(x_i)|\omega(X)|^2 \in \mathcal{M}(U)$$

and $\delta(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(U)$ unless $\omega(X) = 0$ for all possible product states ω . But since

$$\omega(X) = \text{Det}(\omega_i(x_j))$$

this would imply the x_j are linearly dependent, which is a contradiction. Thus $\delta(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(U)$ and $\delta(\mathcal{M}(U)) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(U)$.

Since $\mathcal{M}(U)$ is finite-dimensional one can now define a continuous group $\beta_t = \exp\{t\delta\}$ of bounded maps of $\mathcal{M}(U)$ into $\mathcal{M}(U)$ by straightforward exponentiation. Moreover for each $x \in \mathfrak{A}^*(U)$ the linear span of $\{\alpha_g(x); g \in G\}$ is finite-dimensional, and α -invariant, and hence β can be defined as a continuous group of bounded maps of \mathfrak{A}_F into \mathfrak{A}_F which maps each $\mathfrak{A}^*(U)$ into itself. Now consider β on a fixed $\mathfrak{A}^*(U)$. The spectral subspace $\mathfrak{A}^*(U)$ is a Banach space and the generator δ_β of the continuous group β of bounded maps of this space is, by construction, everywhere defined, hence bounded, and in fact $\delta_\beta = \delta$ on $\mathfrak{A}^*(U)$. Therefore

$$\|\beta_t(x)\| \leq \|x\| \exp\{|t| \|\delta\|_U\}$$

for each $x \in \mathfrak{A}^*(U)$, where $\|\delta\|_U$ denotes the norm of δ restricted to $\mathfrak{A}^*(U)$. Next remark that if $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}_F$ then $\beta_s(x)\beta_s(y) \in \mathfrak{A}_F$ and $\beta_{t-s}(\beta_s(x)\beta_s(y))$ is defined. Hence

$$\beta_t(x)\beta_t(y) - \beta_t(xy) = \int_0^t ds \frac{d}{ds} (\beta_{t-s}(\beta_s(x)\beta_s(y))) = 0$$

where the last conclusion follows by explicit differentiation and use of the derivation property. Thus β is a group of $*$ -automorphisms of \mathfrak{A}_F and in particular

$$\beta_t(x^*x) \geq 0$$

for each $x \in \mathfrak{A}_F$. Now it is not immediate that β is positive on \mathfrak{A}_F because the positive elements of \mathfrak{A}_F are not necessarily of the form x^*x with $x \in \mathfrak{A}_F$. Nevertheless positivity on \mathfrak{A}_F follows by the arguments of [3] Lemma 1.8 (see also [5]). The details are as follows.

Let Λ, Λ', \dots denote the finite sets of irreducible unitary representations U of G ordered by inclusion and consider the net \mathfrak{A}_Λ of subspaces of \mathfrak{A}_F formed by the linear span of the $\{\mathfrak{A}^*(U); U \in \Lambda\}$. Next define linear operators P_Λ on \mathfrak{A} by

$$P_\Lambda(x) = |\Lambda|^{-1} \int dg |\chi_\Lambda(g)|^2 \alpha_g(x)$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ where

$$\chi_\Lambda(g) = \sum_{U \in \Lambda} \text{tr}(U_g^{-1})$$

and tr is the normalized trace on the representation space of U . It follows that P_{Λ} is positive and $P_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$. Therefore $\|P_{\Lambda}\| = 1$. Moreover to each Λ there is a $\tilde{\Lambda}$ such that $P_{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ and $P_{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda}) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda' \cap \tilde{\Lambda}}$. Finally $P_{\Lambda}(x) \rightarrow x$ for each $x \in \mathfrak{A}_F$. (The proofs of these statements follow from the standard calculations of harmonic analysis which surround the Peter-Weyl theorem (see, for example, [6] Chapter 7). Note that the use of the normalized trace eliminates the cumbersome dimension factors that occur in the usual formalism.)

Now for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda}$, with $x \geq 0$ choose $y = y^* \in \mathfrak{A}_F$ such that $\|x - y^2\| < \varepsilon$. Then using the previous estimates on β together with $\|P_{\Lambda}\| = 1$ one finds

$$\|\beta_t(P_{\Lambda}(x) - P_{\Lambda}(y^2))\| \leq \varepsilon \sum_{u \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \exp\{|t|\|\delta\|_u\}.$$

But since $\beta(z^*z) \geq 0$ for $z \in \mathfrak{A}_F$ one also has

$$\beta_t(P_{\Lambda}(y^2)) = |\Lambda|^{-1} \int dg |\chi_{\Lambda}(g)|^2 \beta_t(\alpha_g(y)^2) \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$\beta_t(P_{\Lambda}(x)) \geq -\varepsilon \sum_{u \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \exp\{|t|\|\delta\|_u\}.$$

As ε is arbitrary this implies $\beta_t(P_{\Lambda}(x)) \geq 0$. But $P_{\Lambda}(x) \in \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda' \cap \tilde{\Lambda}} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda'}$ and $P_{\Lambda}(x) \rightarrow x$ in $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda'}$. Since β is bounded in restriction to $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda'}$ it follows that $\beta_t(P_{\Lambda}(x)) \rightarrow \beta_t(x)$ and hence $\beta_t(x) \geq 0$.

This establishes that the group β is positive on \mathfrak{A}_F . But if $x \in \mathfrak{A}_F$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$ one has $\mathbf{1} - x^*x \in \mathfrak{A}_F$ and $\mathbf{1} - x^*x$ is positive. Hence using $\beta_t(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$ one finds

$$\mathbf{1} \geq \beta_t(x^*x) = \beta_t(x)^*\beta_t(x) \geq 0.$$

Consequently $\|\beta_t(x)\| \leq 1$ and it follows that $\|\beta_t\| = 1$. Therefore β extends by continuity to a strongly continuous one-parameter group of $*$ -automorphisms of \mathfrak{A} .

The invariance properties of β stated in the theorem follow by construction and it remains to identify the generator δ_{β} of β . Now $\delta_{\beta} = \delta$ on \mathfrak{A}_F , by construction, and \mathfrak{A}_F is β -invariant. Consequently \mathfrak{A}_F is a core of δ_{β} and $\delta_{\beta} = \bar{\delta}$ (see, for example, [4] Corollary 3.1.7).

3. THE LIE ALGEBRA OF α_G

Combination of Theorems 1.1 and 2.1 now establish that the Lie algebra associated with α_G consists of the symmetric derivations of \mathfrak{A}_F into \mathfrak{A} which commute with τ .

THEOREM 3.1. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a simple C^* -algebra with identity which is τ -asymptotically abelian and let α be a continuous action of a compact group G as $*$ -automorphisms of \mathfrak{A} satisfying $[\alpha, \tau] = 0$. Further let δ be a closed symmetric derivation on \mathfrak{A} .*

The following conditions are equivalent:

1. δ generates a one-parameter subgroup β of α_G .
2. a. \mathfrak{A}_F is a core for δ ,
- b. $\delta\tau(x) = \tau\delta(x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}_F$.

Proof. 1 \Rightarrow 2. Since β is a one-parameter subgroup of α the G -finite elements \mathfrak{A}_F are contained in the domain of the generator δ . But \mathfrak{A}_F is a norm dense $*$ -subalgebra which is a α -invariant and hence β -invariant. Therefore \mathfrak{A}_F is a core for δ . Finally since $[\tau, \alpha] = 0$ one must have $[\tau, \beta] = 0$ and this implies $[\tau, \delta] = 0$ on \mathfrak{A}_F .

2 \Rightarrow 1. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that δ generates a strongly continuous one-parameter group of $*$ -automorphisms of \mathfrak{A} which leaves \mathfrak{A}^α pointwise invariant. Moreover β leaves invariant each finite dimensional α -invariant subspace. Now fix $x \in \mathfrak{A}_F$ and consider the α -invariant subspace of \mathfrak{A}_F spanned by $\{\alpha_g(x); g \in G\}$. Since this subspace is both finite-dimensional and β -invariant it is also invariant under all powers δ^n of δ . In particular $\delta^n(x) \in \mathfrak{A}_F$ for all n . Then it follows from Conditions 2b that $\tau\delta^n(x) = \delta^n\tau(x)$. Consequently $\tau\beta_t(x) = \beta_t\tau(x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}_F$, and $[\tau, \beta_t] = 0$ by continuity. Finally it follows from Theorem 1.1 that $\beta_t = \alpha_{g_t}$ for some $g_t \in G$.

Acknowledgements. This work was a direct result of an earlier collaboration of the first author with Akitaka Kishimoto and would not have been possible without his contributions. Both collaborations were sponsored by the Mathematical Sciences Research Centre at the Australian National University in Canberra.

The visit to Australia of the third author was also partially supported by the School of Mathematics at the University of New South Wales.

The third author's research was supported in part by NSF.

REFERENCES

1. KISHIMOTO, A.; ROBINSON, D. W., Dissipations, derivations, dynamical systems and asymptotic abelianness, *Research Report No. 32*, Canberra, 1983.
2. ARAKI, H.; HAAG, R.; KASTLER, D.; TAKESAKI, M., Extension of KMS states and chemical potential, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **53**(1977), 97--134.
3. BRATTELI, O.; JØRGENSEN, P. E. T.; KISHIMOTO, A.; ROBINSON, D. W., A C^* -algebraic Schoenberg theorem, *Research Report No. 27*, Canberra, 1983.
4. BRATTELI, O.; ROBINSON, D. W., *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics. I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1979.

5. DAVIES, E. B., A generation theorem for operators commuting with group actions, preprint, Kings College, London, 1983.
6. HEWITT, E.; Ross, K. A., *Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg — New York, 1970.

DEREK W. ROBINSON, ERLING STØRMER and MASAMICHI TAKESAKI

Department of Mathematics, I.A.S.,
Australian National University,
Canberra, A.C.T.,
Australia.

Permanent address:

ERLING STØRMER
Department of Mathematics
University of Oslo,
Blindern, Oslo 3,
Norway.

Permanent address:

MASAMICHI TAKESAKI
Department of Mathematics,
University of California,
Los Angeles, CA 90024,
U.S.A.

Received December 21, 1983.