

ON INVARIANT OPERATOR RANGES OF ABELIAN STRICTLY CYCLIC ALGEBRAS

D. BENJAMIN MATHES

1. INTRODUCTION

If \mathcal{A} is a norm closed unital algebra of operators on a Hilbert space \mathbf{H} and $T \in B(\mathbf{H})$ satisfies $ATH \subseteq T\mathbf{H}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then T induces a bounded homomorphism $\Phi_T: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathbf{H})$ defined by $\Phi_T(A) = T_0^{-1}AT$, where T_0 is the restriction of T to $(\ker T)^\perp$ (see [3]). It is proved in [8] that if T and S have the same range then Φ_T is completely bounded if and only if Φ_S is completely bounded. It is also proved that the set of invariant ranges that induce completely bounded homomorphisms is a sublattice of the lattice of all invariant ranges under the operations of linear span and intersection, and we denote this sublattice by $\text{Lat}_{\text{cb}}\mathcal{A}$.

In this paper we obtain a characterization of $\text{Lat}_{\text{cb}}\mathcal{A}$ when \mathcal{A} is a particular type of abelian strictly cyclic algebra. In particular, if \mathcal{A} is the commutant of a strictly cyclic weighted shift with a monotonically decreasing weight sequence, then $\text{Lat}_{\text{cb}}\mathcal{A}$ is characterized.

2. ABELIAN STRICTLY CYCLIC ALGEBRAS AND WEAK HILBERT-SCHMIDT MAPS

An *abelian strictly cyclic algebra* (ASCA) of operators on a Hilbert space \mathbf{H} is an abelian subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $B(\mathbf{H})$ such that there exists a *strictly cyclic vector* $x_0 \in \mathbf{H}$ for \mathcal{A} , that is, a vector such that

$$\mathcal{A}x_0 = \{Ax_0 \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\} = \mathbf{H}.$$

The study of such algebras was initiated in [6] by Lambert, and many of his results appear in Shield's survey article [12] (also see [7]). In particular, if \mathcal{A} is an ASCA with strictly cyclic vector x_0 , then \mathcal{A} is maximal abelian and the map $\Psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ defined by $\Psi(A) = Ax_0$ is a Banach space isomorphism of \mathcal{A} onto \mathbf{H} . We may use this bijection to carry the multiplication in \mathcal{A} over to \mathbf{H} ; given $x, y \in \mathbf{H}$, define $\varphi(x, y) \equiv$

$\equiv \Psi(\Psi^{-1}(x)\Psi^{-1}(y)) = \Psi^{-1}(x)\Psi^{-1}(y)x_0$. Thus φ is an abelian multiplication with identity on \mathbf{H} . If M_x is defined by $M_x(y) \equiv \varphi(x, y)$, then we may write $\mathcal{A} = \{M_x, x \in \mathbf{H}\}$. Conversely, if we are given a φ that is an abelian continuous multiplication with identity on \mathbf{H} , then $\{M_x | x \in \mathbf{H}\}$ is an ASCA. We will write $\mathcal{A} \sim (\mathbf{H}, \varphi)$ to indicate this relationship between \mathbf{H} , φ , and \mathcal{A} . When viewed in this manner, the invertible elements of \mathbf{H} are the strictly cyclic vectors of the algebra \mathcal{A} , and the ideals in \mathbf{H} are the invariant linear manifolds. The ranges of operators in \mathcal{A} ($= \mathcal{A}'$) are the principle ideals, and the invariant operator ranges for \mathcal{A} are the “para-closed” ideals (using the terminology of [3]).

If \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{K} , and \mathbf{M} are Hilbert spaces, and $\varphi: \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is a bounded bilinear form, then φ is called a *weak Hilbert-Schmidt map* if there exist an operator $T \in B(\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{M})$ such that $\varphi(x, y) = T(x \otimes y)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{H}$ and $y \in \mathbf{K}$ (see [4]). If $\{e_i | i = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbf{H} and $\{f_i | i = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbf{K} , then a bounded bilinear form φ is a weak Hilbert-Schmidt map if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\langle \varphi(e_i, f_k), u \rangle|^2 < \infty,$$

for all $u \in \mathbf{M}$. When this is the case, define

$$\|\varphi\|_{\text{HS}} = \sup_{\|u\| \leq 1} \sqrt{\sum_{ij} |\langle \varphi(e_i, f_j), u \rangle|^2},$$

thus with T as above, $\|\varphi\|_{\text{HS}} = \|T\|$. This definition is independent of the choice of basis.

1. LEMMA. Suppose (α_{ij}) is a lower triangular matrix of complex numbers such that

$$M \equiv \sup_{i > 0} \sum_{j=0}^i |\alpha_{ij}|^2 < \infty.$$

If \mathbf{H} is a separable Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, \dots\}$, then

$$\varphi(a, b) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^i \langle a, e_j \rangle \langle b, e_{i-j} \rangle \alpha_{ij} \right) e_i$$

defines a weak Hilbert-Schmidt mapping of $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ into \mathbf{H} with $\|\varphi\|_{\text{HS}} = M^{1/2}$.

Proof. Note that $\varphi(e_r, e_s) = \alpha_{r+s} e_{r+s}$; thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |\langle \varphi(e_r, e_s), u \rangle|^2 &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |\langle e_{r+s}, u \rangle|^2 |\alpha_{r+s}|^2 = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^i |\langle e_i, u \rangle|^2 |\alpha_{ij}|^2 \leq M \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\langle e_i, u \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\|\varphi\|_{\text{HS}} \leq M^{1/2}$.

On the other hand

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |\langle \varphi(e_r, e_s), e_k \rangle|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^k |\alpha_{kj}|^2 \quad \text{so } \|\varphi\|_{HS} \geq M^{1/2}. \quad \square$$

Let $\{w_i\}$ be a monotonically decreasing sequence in $(0, \infty)$, define $\beta_n = 1$ when $n = 0$, and $\beta_n = w_0 w_1 \dots w_{n-1}$ otherwise, and for $i \leq k$, define $\beta(k, i) = \beta_k / \beta_{k-i} \beta_i$. For convenience (and with no loss of generality) we assume $w_0 = 1$. A weighted unilateral shift A with weight sequence $\{w_i\}$ has a strictly cyclic commutant if and only if

$$\sup_k \sum_{i=0}^k \beta(k, i)^2 < \infty \quad (\text{see [5] or [12]}).$$

When this is the case, a bounded bilinear form such that $\{A\}' \sim (\mathbf{H}, \varphi)$ may be written as

$$\varphi(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^i \langle x, e_j \rangle \langle y, e_{i-j} \rangle \beta(i, j) \right) e_i,$$

where $\{e_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is the orthonormal basis relative to which the weighted shift A is defined (thus $Ae_i = w_i e_{i+1}$). It follows easily that M_{e_0} is the identity operator (e_0 is the multiplicative identity) and $A = M_{e_1}$. It follows from Lemma 1 that φ is a weak Hilbert-Schmidt map.

We now return to the situation of an arbitrary abelian strictly cyclic algebra \mathcal{A} . Let $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)})$ be the infinite inflation map, $\Phi(A) = A^{(\infty)}$, and define

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\Phi} \equiv \{T \in B(\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}, \mathbf{H}) \mid AT = T\Phi(A) \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Every operator $T \in B(\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}, \mathbf{H})$ may be viewed as a row operator matrix $T = (B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots)$ with $B_i \in B(\mathbf{H})$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots$). Since \mathcal{A} is maximal abelian, it is clear that $T \in \mathfrak{M}_{\Phi}$ if and only if $T \in B(\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}, \mathbf{H})$ and $B_i \in \mathcal{A}$ for all i . It follows that given $T \in \mathfrak{M}_{\Phi}$, there exists a sequence $\{x_i\}$ in \mathbf{H} such that $T = (M_{x_0}, M_{x_1}, M_{x_2}, \dots)$. The following lemma identifies sequences $\{x_i\}$ in \mathbf{H} that induce operators $(M_{x_0}, M_{x_1}, M_{x_2}, \dots)$ in $B(\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}, \mathbf{H})$.

2. LEMMA. Assume $\mathcal{A} \sim (\mathbf{H}, \varphi)$ and $\{e_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbf{H} . Then φ is a weak Hilbert-Schmidt map if and only if

$$(M_{e_0}, M_{e_1}, M_{e_2}, \dots) \in B(\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}, \mathbf{H}).$$

When this is the case we have

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\Phi} = \{(M_{De_0}, M_{De_1}, M_{De_2}, \dots) \mid D \in B(\mathbf{H})\}.$$

Proof. Let $U: \mathbf{H}^{(\infty)} \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H}$ be the operator defined by

$$U(\oplus x_i) = \sum_i x_i \otimes e_i,$$

thus U is unitary. Assume φ is a weak Hilbert-Schmidt map and suppose $Y \in B(\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H})$ with $\varphi(x, y) = Y(x \otimes y)$ for every $x, y \in \mathbf{H}$. Then

$$YU = (M_{e_0}, M_{e_1}, M_{e_2}, \dots).$$

and $(M_{e_0}, M_{e_1}, M_{e_2}, \dots) \in B(\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}, \mathbf{H})$. The converse is a reversal of this argument, and we have established the first assertion of the lemma.

Assume that φ is a weak Hilbert-Schmidt map and $D \in B(\mathbf{H})$. Define $\psi: \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ by $\psi(x, y) = (x, Dy)$. Then ψ is a weak Hilbert-Schmidt map and we may choose $X \in B(\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H})$ such that $X(x \otimes y) = \psi(x, y) = \varphi(x, Dy)$. Thus

$$XU = (M_{De_0}, M_{De_1}, M_{De_2}, \dots) \in B(\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}, \mathbf{H}).$$

It follows that $(M_{De_0}, M_{De_1}, M_{De_2}, \dots) \in \mathfrak{M}_\varphi$ for all $D \in B(\mathbf{H})$.

Assume now that $(M_{x_0}, M_{x_1}, M_{x_2}, \dots) \in \mathfrak{M}_\varphi$. There exists $D \in B(\mathbf{H})$ with $De_i = x_i$ if and only if for every $u \in \mathbf{H}$, $\sum_i \langle u, x_i \rangle_i^2 < \infty$. Suppose that $u \in \mathbf{H}$; to prove that $\sum_i \langle u, x_i \rangle_i^2 < \infty$, it suffices to show that for every complex sequence $\{\beta_i\}$ in ℓ^2 , $\sum \langle x_i, u \rangle \beta_i$ converges. Since \mathcal{A} contains the scalars, for each β_i there exists $y_i \in \mathbf{H}$ such that

$$M_z(y_i) = \varphi(y_i, z) = \varphi(z, y_i) = M_{y_i}(z) = \beta_i z \quad (\text{for every } z \in \mathbf{H}).$$

The operator norm of M_{y_i} is equivalent to the Hilbert space norm of y_i (see [12]), thus $\oplus y_i \in \mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}$, and it follows that $\sum x_i \beta_i = (M_{x_0}, M_{x_1}, M_{x_2}, \dots)(\oplus y_i)$. Thus $\sum x_i \beta_i$ is norm convergent in \mathbf{H} . In particular, $\langle \sum x_i \beta_i, u \rangle = \sum \langle x_i, u \rangle \beta_i$ converges. □

3. THE MAIN THEOREM

Assume $T\mathbf{H}$ is an invariant range for the abelian strictly cyclic algebra \mathcal{A} , with $\mathcal{A} \sim (\mathbf{H}, \varphi)$. Then for all $x, y \in \mathbf{H}$, $\varphi(x, Ty) \in T\mathbf{H}$, and it makes sense to define $\varphi_T: \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ by $\varphi_T(x, y) \equiv T_0^{-1}(\varphi(x, Ty))$. It follows that

$$\varphi_T(x, y) = T_0^{-1}M_{Ty}(x) = T_0^{-1}M_x(Ty) = \Phi_T(M_x)(y).$$

Notice also that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_0^{-1}(\varphi(x, Ty))\| &= \|T_0^{-1}(M_xTy)\| = \|\Phi_T(M_x)(y)\| \leq \\ &\leq \|\Phi_T\| \|M_x\| \|y\| \leq K \|x\| \|y\|, \end{aligned}$$

where K is a constant. It follows that φ_T is a bounded bilinear map.

3. THEOREM. Assume that $\varphi: \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ is a weak Hilbert-Schmidt map, $\mathcal{A} \sim (\mathbf{H}, \varphi)$ is an abelian strictly cyclic algebra, and $T\mathbf{H}$ is an invariant operator range for \mathcal{A} . Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) $T\mathbf{H} \in \text{Lat}_{\text{cb}} \mathcal{A}$;
- (ii) φ_T is a weak Hilbert-Schmidt map;
- (iii) $T\mathbf{H} = \text{ran}(M_{Te_0}, M_{Te_1}, M_{Te_2}, \dots)$;
- (iv) There exists $\mathbf{M} \in \text{Lat} \mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}$ such that $T\mathbf{H} = P\mathbf{M}$, where $P(\oplus x_i) = x_0$. In particular, $\text{Lat}_{\text{cb}} \mathcal{A} = \{T\mathbf{H} \mid T \in B(\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}, \mathbf{H})\}$; $AT = TA^{(\infty)}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. (i) implies (ii). By Lemma 3, $(M_{e_0}, M_{e_1}, M_{e_2}, \dots) \in B(\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}, \mathbf{H})$. Thus,

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} M_{e_0} & M_{e_1} & M_{e_2} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \in B(\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}).$$

Since we are assuming that Φ_T is completely bounded, we have that the range of $T^{(\infty)}$ must be invariant under Ω and $(T^{(\infty)})_0^{-1} \Omega T^{(\infty)} \in B(\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)})$ (see [8]). Define $U: \mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}$ on elementary tensors by $U(x \otimes y) = \oplus \langle x, e_i \rangle y$; thus U extends to a unitary operator. Let P be as in the statement of the theorem; $P(\oplus x_i) = x_0$. It follows that $P(T^{(\infty)})_0^{-1} \Omega T^{(\infty)} U \in B(\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} P(T^{(\infty)})_0^{-1} \Omega T^{(\infty)} U(x \otimes y) &= T_0^{-1} \sum (\langle x, e_i \rangle M_{e_i}(Ty)) = \\ &= T_0^{-1} \varphi(x, Ty) = \varphi_T(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

so φ_T is a weak Hilbert-Schmidt map.

(ii) implies (iii). We assert that the inclusion

$$T\mathbf{H} \subset (M_{Te_0}, M_{Te_1}, M_{Te_2}, \dots) \mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}$$

always holds. As in the proof of Lemma 3, given any sequence $\{\beta_i\}$ in ℓ^2 , there exists a sequence $\{y_i\}$ in \mathbf{H} such that $\varphi(y_i, x) = \varphi(x, y_i) = \beta_i x$ and $\oplus y_i \in \mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (M_{Te_0}, M_{Te_1}, M_{Te_2}, \dots)(\oplus y_i) &= \sum M_{Te_i}(y_i) = \sum \varphi(Te_i, y_i) = \\ &= \sum \beta_i Te_i = T(\sum \beta_i e_i), \end{aligned}$$

and the assertion follows.

To prove the reverse inclusion, choose $X, Y \in B(\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H})$ such that $Y(x \otimes y) = \varphi_T(x, y) = T_0^{-1}(\varphi(x, Ty))$ and $X(x \otimes y) = \varphi(x, Ty)$; it follows that $X = TY$. Suppose U is defined as in Lemma 3; $U(\oplus x_i) = \sum x_i \otimes e_i$. Then $XU = TYU$, and we assert that $XU = (M_{Te_0}, M_{Te_1}, M_{Te_2}, \dots)$. Assume $\oplus x_i \in \mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} XU(\oplus x_i) &= X(\sum x_i \otimes e_i) = \sum \varphi(x_i, Te_i) = \\ &= \sum M_{Te_i}(x_i) = (M_{Te_0}, M_{Te_1}, M_{Te_2}, \dots)(\oplus x_i). \end{aligned}$$

We have established that $(M_{Te_0}, M_{Te_1}, M_{Te_2}, \dots) = TYU$, hence

$$(M_{Te_0}, M_{Te_1}, M_{Te_2}, \dots)\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)} \subset T\mathbf{H}.$$

(iii) implies (iv). Since $(M_{Te_0}, M_{Te_1}, M_{Te_2}, \dots) \in B(\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}, \mathbf{H})$, we have that $\text{graph}(M_{Te_0}, M_{Te_1}, M_{Te_2}, \dots) = \{(\oplus x_i, \sum M_{Te_i}(x_i)) \mid \oplus x_i \in \mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}\}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)} \oplus \mathbf{H}$. Let $U: \mathbf{H}^{(\infty)} \oplus \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}$ be the unitary operator defined by $U((\oplus x_i, y)) = \oplus z_i$, where $z_0 = y$, and $z_n = x_{n-1}$ when $n \geq 1$. Define $M = U(\text{graph}(M_{Te_0}, M_{Te_1}, M_{Te_2}, \dots))$, so M is a closed subspace of $\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)}$ and it is clear that $PM = \text{ran}(M_{Te_0}, M_{Te_1}, M_{Te_2}, \dots) = \text{ran } T$. It is easy to prove that $M \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}$.

(iv) implies (i). Assume that $M \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}$ and let $Q \in B(\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)})$ such that $Q\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)} = M$. Then $APQ = PQ\Phi_Q(A^{(\infty)})$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, and $PQ\mathbf{H}^{(\infty)} \in \text{Lat}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}$ since the map $A \rightarrow \Phi_Q(A^{(\infty)})$ is completely bounded.

The last assertion in the theorem follows from Lemma 3 together with the equivalence of (i) and (iii). □

4. INVARIANT RANGES OF A DONOGHUE ALGEBRA

If A is a weighted shift with a monotonically decreasing square summable weight sequence, then we call A a *Donoghue operator*, and we call $\{A\}'$ a *Donoghue algebra*. It is well known that if \mathcal{A} is a Donoghue algebra, then \mathcal{A} is strictly cyclic, and if φ is the bilinear form such that $\mathcal{A} \sim (\mathbf{H}, \varphi)$, then φ is a weak Hilbert-Schmidt map (see [6], [13] and Lemma 2). In [9] it was first proved that \mathcal{A} is the norm closed algebra generated by the polynomials in A , thus every element of \mathcal{A} is either an invertible operator or a compact operator (the compact ones are in fact Hilbert-Schmidt operators). In particular, the ranges of operators in $\mathcal{A}' (= \mathcal{A})$ are all compact operator ranges, with the exception of \mathbf{H} . It follows that none of the non-trivial invariant subspaces are in the lattice generated by the set of ranges of operators from \mathcal{A} (see [2] or [11] for a description of the invariant subspaces). We summarize these remarks in the following proposition.

4. PROPOSITION. Assume \mathcal{A} is a Donoghue algebra, $\mathcal{A} \sim (\mathbf{H}, \varphi)$, and let \mathcal{L} denote the lattice generated by $\{\text{ran } M_z \mid z \in \mathbf{H}\}$. Then \mathcal{L} is a proper sublattice of $\text{Lat}_{\text{cb}}\mathcal{A}$.

This proposition shows that the ranges of commuting operators may be a very small part of $\text{Lat}_{\text{cb}}\mathcal{A}$ when \mathcal{A} is the commutant of a single operator. This is very different from the situation when \mathcal{A} is the commutant of a normal operator; in this case the ranges of commuting operators are all of $\text{Lat}_{1/2}\mathcal{A}$. Ong proved (see [10]) that if A is a normal operator, and if $\mathcal{A} = \{A\}'$, then every element of $\text{Lat}_{1/2}\mathcal{A}$ can be written as TH for some $T \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that \mathcal{A}' is the von Neumann algebra generated by A and $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}$. We assert that what we actually have in this situation is

$$\text{Lat}_{1/2}\mathcal{A} = \{\text{ran } T \mid T \in \mathcal{A}'\} = \text{Lat}_{\text{cb}}\mathcal{A}.$$

Since \mathcal{A} is the commutant of an abelian von Neumann algebra, it must contain a MASA \mathfrak{M} which satisfies the inclusion relation $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathfrak{M} \subset \mathcal{A}$. Thus, by the theorem in [1], TH is the range of an operator in the weakly closed convex hull of the projections in \mathcal{A}' .

*The results of this paper constitute a portion
of the author's Ph. D. dissertation at the University
of New Hampshire.*

REFERENCES

1. DAVIDSON, K. R., Invariant operator ranges for reflexive algebras, *J. Operator Theory*, 7(1982), 101–108.
2. DONOGHUE, JR. W. F., The lattice of invariant subspaces of a completely continuous quasi-nilpotent transformation, *Pacific J. Math.*, 7(1957), 1031–1035.
3. FOIAŞ, C., Invariant para-closed subspaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 20(1972), 887–905.
4. KADISON, R. V.; RINGROSE, J. R., *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras*. Vol. 1, Academic Press Inc., New York, 1983.
5. KERLIN, E.; LAMBERT, A. L., Strictly cyclic shifts on ℓ_p , *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 35(1973), 87–94.
6. LAMBERT, A. L., Strictly cyclic operator algebras, Dissertation, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich., 1970.
7. LAMBERT, A. L., Strictly cyclic operator algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, 39(1971), 717–726.
8. MATHES, B., Operator ranges and completely bounded homomorphisms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
9. NORDGREN, E., Closed operators commuting with a weighted shift, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 24(1970), 424–428.

10. ONG, S.-C., Operator algebras and invariant operator ranges, Dissertation, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, 1979.
11. RADJAVI, H.; ROSENTHAL, P., *Invariant subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
12. SHIELDS, A. L., Weighted shift operators and analytic function theory, in *Math. Surveys*, vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1974, pp. 49–128.

D. BENJAMIN MATHES
Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computing Science,
Dalhousie University,
Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 3J5,
Canada.

Received December 1, 1988; revised June 26, 1989.