

## HYPER-REFLEXIVITY OF ISOMETRIES AND WEAK CONTRACTIONS

MICHAL ZAJAC

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Denote by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . In [14] a characterization of isometries  $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  whose lattice of hyperinvariant subspaces is generated by the kernels and the closures of the ranges of the operators in double commutant of  $V$  was given. In this paper it is shown that this is also a characterization of isometries that are hyper-reflexive. The hyper-reflexivity of weak contractions is also investigated. We use the terminology of the monograph [11] concerning contractions.

Recall that the set  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{H}$  of all closed linear subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$  is a complete lattice. The lattice operations are the intersection  $\cap$  and the closed linear span  $\vee$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  the commutant of  $T$  is  $\{T\}' = \{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : TX = XT\}$ , the double commutant of  $T$  is  $\{T\}'' = \cap\{\{X\}' : X \in \{T\}'\}$ .  $\text{Lat } T = \{L \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{H} : TL \subset L\}$  and  $\text{Hyplat } T = \cap\{\text{Lat } X : X \in \{T\}'\}$  are the lattices of all invariant and all hyperinvariant subspaces of  $T$ , respectively. More generally, for  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A} = \cap\{\text{Lat } X : X \in \mathcal{A}\}$ .  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{A}$  means the smallest weakly closed subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  containing  $\mathcal{A}$  and the identity  $I$ . For  $\mathcal{L} \subset \text{Lat } \mathcal{H}$  we denote  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L} = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \mathcal{L} \subset \text{Lat } T\}$ .

**DEFINITION.**  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is called *reflexive* if  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{A} = \text{Alg } \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ .

The investigation of reflexivity was started by D. Sarason [10], who proved that any set of mutually commuting normal operators is reflexive. He proved also that the unilateral shift  $S$  of multiplicity one is reflexive. This shows also that  $\{S\}'$  is reflexive because  $\{S\}' = \text{Alg } S$ . Every isometry  $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is reflexive [4], [12]. In

[8] it was proved that any subnormal operator is reflexive using the technique of dual algebras introduced by S. Brown in [2]. Many other reflexivity results were obtained by investigation of the structure of the dual algebras (See [3] and references cited there).

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is called *hyper-reflexive* if its commutant  $\{T\}'$  is a reflexive algebra. Hyper-reflexivity was much less investigated. In [1] a characterization of hyper-reflexive contractions of class  $C_0$  in terms of their Jordan models was given. L. Kérchy [7] has shown that every contraction of class  $C_{11}$  is hyper-reflexive. It is very easy to show that every normal operator is hyper-reflexive:

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *Let  $N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be normal. Then  $\{N\}'$  is reflexive, i.e.  $N$  is hyper-reflexive.*

*Proof.* According to well-known Fuglede's theorem  $\{N\}'$  is a von Neumann algebra and so it is reflexive [9, Theorem 9.17].

## 2. UNILATERAL SHIFTS

An isometry  $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is called a *unilateral shift* if it is completely non-unitary or equivalently if there exists  $L \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{H}$  such that  $V^n L$  is orthogonal to  $V^m L$  for all pairs of non-negative integers  $n \neq m$  and  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V^n L$  ( $\oplus$  means the orthogonal sum). The dimension of  $L$  is the multiplicity of the shift  $V$ . We shall use the following theorem due to V. Müller (private communication):

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a unilateral shift. Let  $A \in \{V\}'$  and  $T \in \text{Alg Lat } A$ . Then  $T \in \{V\}'$ .*

*Proof.* For the unilateral shift of multiplicity 1 this was proved by D. Sarason [10, p. 514]. A simple modification of his idea gives the required result: Let  $A \in \{V\}'$  and  $T \in \text{Alg Lat } A$ . Then  $A^* \in \{V^*\}'$  and  $T^* \in \text{Alg Lat } A^*$ . Any complex  $\lambda : |\lambda| < 1$  is an eigenvalue of  $V^*$ . Let us denote the corresponding eigenspace by  $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ .  $\mathcal{H}(\lambda) \in \text{Hyplat } V^* \subset \text{Lat } A^* \subset \text{Lat } T^*$ . It follows for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}(\lambda)$   $T^* V^* x = \lambda \cdot T^* x = V^* T^* x$ . Since  $\bigvee_{|\lambda| < 1} \mathcal{H}(\lambda) = \mathcal{H}$ , this means  $T^* \in \{V^*\}'$  and equivalently  $T \in \{V\}'$ .

In fact, we have proved the following more general theorem:

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Any unilateral shift is hyper-reflexive.*

3.  $U \oplus S$  IS NOT HYPER-REFLEXIVE

Let  $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be an arbitrary isometry. By the Wold decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_1$  reduce  $V$  and  $V_0 = V | \mathcal{H}_0$  is unitary,  $V_1 = V | \mathcal{H}_1$  is a unilateral shift. We have shown that both  $\{V_0\}'$  and  $\{V_1\}'$  are reflexive. Now we shall show that  $\{V\}'$  need not to be reflexive. More precisely, we shall show that the operator  $V = U \oplus S$ , where  $U$  is the bilateral shift and  $S$  is the unilateral shift, both of multiplicity one, has not a reflexive commutant. As in [14] we shall use the functional model of  $V$  on the space  $\mathcal{H} = L^2 \oplus H^2$ , where  $L^2$  is the space of all square-integrable functions on the unit circle and Hardy space  $H^2$  is the subspace of  $L^2$  consisting of those  $f \in L^2$  which have the Fourier coefficients with negative indices zero. The measure considered is the normalized Lebesgue measure  $m$  on the unit circle  $C$ . The operator  $V$  is then:

$$V(f \oplus \varphi)(z) = zf(z) \oplus z\varphi(z) \quad (z \in C).$$

As shown in [5]  $L \in \text{Hyplat } V$  if and only if either  $L = L^2 \oplus L_1$  or  $L = L_0 \oplus (0)$ , where  $L_0 \in \text{Hyplat } U$  and  $L_1 \in \text{Hyplat } S$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be defined by

$$T(f \oplus \varphi) = \varphi(0) \oplus 0,$$

where  $\varphi(0)$  means the function identically equal to  $\varphi(0)$ .

It is easy to see that  $T \in \text{Alg Hyplat } T$ , but  $T \notin \{V\}'$  and so the commutant of  $V$  is not reflexive.

4. GENERAL ISOMETRY

Let  $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be an isometry. As in [4], [5] and [14] we consider the unique decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{0s} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{0a} \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_{0s}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{0a}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_1$  belong to  $\text{Lat}(V)$ ,  $V_{0s} = V | \mathcal{H}_{0s}$  is a singular unitary operator,  $V_{0a} = V | \mathcal{H}_{0a}$  is an absolutely continuous unitary operator and  $V_1 = V | \mathcal{H}_1$  is a unilateral shift. In [16] it was proved that  $\{V\}'$  is reflexive if and only if both  $\{V_{0s}\}'$  and  $\{V_{0a} \oplus V_1\}'$  are reflexive. Combining this result with Proposition 1.1,  $\{V\}'$  is reflexive if and only if  $\{V_{0a} \oplus V_1\}'$  is reflexive. Again as in [14] we consider the functional model of  $V_{0a} \oplus V_1$ , i.e. the operator of multiplication by the independent variable  $z$  on the space

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{H}_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} L^2(\omega_i), \quad \mathcal{H}_1 = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{H}_j,$$

and  $\omega_0 \supset \omega_1 \supset \dots$  are measurable subsets of the unit circle  $L^2(\omega_i) = \{f \in L^2 : f(z) = 0 \text{ for almost all } z \notin \omega_i\}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_j = H^2$  for all  $j : 0 \leq j < n$ ,  $n$  is the multiplicity of  $V_1$ .

Similarly as for the special case  $U \oplus S$  treated in the preceding paragraph it can be shown that if  $\omega_0$  has positive Lebesgue measure,  $\chi(\omega_0)$  is the characteristic function of  $\omega_0$  and  $n \geq 1$ , then the operator

$$T \left[ \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi_j \right) \right] = [\dots \oplus 0 \oplus \chi(\omega_0)\varphi(0)] \oplus (0)$$

belongs to  $\text{Alg Hyplat}(V_{0\alpha} \oplus V_1)$  but it does not commute with  $V_{0\alpha} \oplus V_1$ . So we have proved:

**THEOREM 4.1.** *An arbitrary isometry  $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is hyper-reflexive if and only if either  $V$  is unitary, or the absolutely continuous unitary part of  $V$  is zero.*

Let us recall the following definition (See [13], [14], [15]):

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to have the property (L) if  $\text{Hyplat } T$  is the smallest complete lattice containing all subspaces of the forms  $\text{Ker } S$  and  $\overline{S\mathcal{H}}$  for  $S \in \{T\}''$ . Combining the Theorem 4.1 with the results of [14] we obtain:

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *An isometry is hyper-reflexive if and only if it has the property (L).*

## 5. WEAK CONTRACTIONS

Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a weak contraction. (For the definition and basic properties of weak contractions we refer to [11, Chapter VIII]). It is easy to show [16] that  $T$  is hyper-reflexive if and only if so is its absolutely continuous part  $T_{ac}$ . According to [15, Lemma 3]  $T_{ac}$  is similar to a completely non-unitary (c.n.u.) weak contraction  $T'$ . Moreover, the  $C_0$  part of  $T$  and the  $C_0$  part of  $T'$  coincide. Since similarity (even quasi-similarity [1, Proposition 4.1]) preserves hyper-reflexivity, it does not restrict generality if we suppose that  $T$  is c.n.u.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a c.n.u. weak contraction and let  $T_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$  and  $T_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$  be its  $C_0$  part and  $C_{11}$  part, respectively. Then*

*$T$  is hyper-reflexive if and only if*

*$T_0$  is hyper-reflexive.*

*Proof.* Recall that [13, Lemma 2] there exists operators  $R, S$  from  $\{T\}''$  such that

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{Ker } R = \overline{S\mathcal{H}} \quad \mathcal{H}_1 = \text{Ker } S = \overline{R\mathcal{H}}.$$

Let  $T$  be hyper-reflexive and let  $A_0 \in \text{Alg Hyplat } T_0$ . If  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Hyplat } T$  then [13, Lemma 2]  $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{H}_0) \vee (\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{H}_1)$  and  $\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{H}_0 \in \text{Hyplat } T_0$ . Therefore

$$A_0 S(\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{H}_0) \subset A_0(\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{H}_0) \subset \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{H}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad A_0 S(\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{H}_1) = \{0\}.$$

This means  $A_0S\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}$  and so  $A_0S \in \{T\}'$ . It follows that

$$T_0A_0S = TA_0S = A_0ST = A_0TS = A_0T_0S.$$

Since  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \overline{S\mathcal{H}}$  this means  $A_0 \in \{T_0\}'$  and  $T_0$  is hyper-reflexive.

To prove the other implication let us suppose that  $T_0$  is hyper-reflexive.  $T_1$  is a  $C_{11}$  contraction, therefore it is hyper-reflexive, too. If  $A \in \text{Alg Hyplat } T$ , then  $A_0 = A | \mathcal{H}_0$  belongs to  $\text{Alg Hyplat } T_0$  and  $A_1 = A | \mathcal{H}_1 \in \text{Alg Hyplat } T_1$ : To prove this it suffices to observe that  $\text{Hyplat } T_0 \subset \text{Hyplat } T$  and  $\text{Hyplat } T_1 \subset \text{Hyplat } T$ . Therefore

$$A_0T_0 = T_0A_0, \quad A_1T_1 = T_1A_1.$$

Since  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \vee \mathcal{H}_1$  this means  $AT = TA$  and  $T$  is hyper-reflexive.

**COROLLARY 5.2.** *Let  $T$  be a weak contraction and let  $m$  be the minimal function of  $T_0$  (the  $C_0$  part of  $T$ ). Then  $T$  is hyper-reflexive if and only if  $S(m)$ , i.e. the first operator in the Jordan model of  $T_0$  is hyper-reflexive.*

*Proof.* The corollary is a direct consequence of [1, Theorem B] and Theorem 5.1.

## 6. COMPARISON OF HYPER-REFLEXIVITY AND THE PROPERTY (L)

In Corollary 4.2. we have shown that an isometry has the property (L) if and only if it is hyper-reflexive. It is a natural question whether the property (L) and hyper-reflexivity are equivalent for other classes of operators. In general the answer to both implications in question are negative.

(L) does not imply hyper-reflexivity because every operator on a finite-dimensional space has the property (L) [6], but the operator  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is not hyper-reflexive.

It can be seen by easy computation that  $B \in \{A\}'$  if and only if  $B = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$  for some complex numbers  $a, b$ . It follows that the only non-trivial hyper-invariant subspace for  $A$  is the second coordinate space:  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c \end{pmatrix} : c \text{ — complex number} \right\}$ . Therefore the operator  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  belongs to  $\text{Alg Hyplat } T$  without being in  $\{T\}'$ .

$H^\infty$  means the algebra of all bounded analytic functions in the unit disk. We shall consider  $H^\infty$  as a subalgebra of the algebra  $L^\infty$  of all essentially bounded functions on the unit circle.

In the following example we shall construct a contraction  $T$  of class  $C_{11}$  and therefore hyper-reflexive with the bicommutant property:

$$(*) \quad \{T\}'' = \{\varphi(T) : \varphi \in H^\infty\}.$$

The operator  $T$  does not have the property (L) because it has many non-trivial hyperinvariant subspaces but according to [11, Proposition III.4.1]  $\text{Ker } \varphi(T) = \{0\}$  and  $\overline{\varphi(T)\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}$  for all non-zero  $\varphi \in H^\infty$ .

EXAMPLE 6.1. (See [11, Chapter VI.4.2]). *There exists a hyper-reflexive operator not having the property (L).*

*Proof.* For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  let  $\theta_n$  be the (constant) outer function

$$\theta_n(e^{it}) = \sqrt{1/(n+1)}.$$

Let us consider the functional model for  $\theta_n$  given by [11, Theorem VI.3.1]:

$$(1) \quad \Delta_n(e^{it}) = \sqrt{n/(n+1)}, \quad K_n = H^2 \oplus \sqrt{n/(n+1)}L^2$$

$$(2) \quad G_n = \left\{ w\sqrt{1/(n+1)} \oplus w\sqrt{n/(n+1)} : w \in H^2 \right\} = \{w \oplus w\sqrt{n} : w \in H^2\}.$$

$$(3) \quad H_n = G_n^\perp = K_n \ominus G_n$$

$$U_n \in \mathcal{B}(K_n) \quad U_n(u \oplus v) = e^{it}u \oplus e^{it}v \quad (u \oplus v \in K_n)$$

$T_n = P_n U_n | H_n$ , where  $P_n$  denotes the orthogonal projection from  $K_n$  onto  $H_n$ .

Since every  $T_n$  is a  $C_{11}$  contraction so is the operator

$$(4) \quad T = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n \quad \text{on the space } H = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n.$$

Let  $D, D'$  be operators on  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'$ , respectively.  $I(D, D')$  denotes the set of intertwining operators:

$$I(D, D') = \{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}') : XD = D'X\}.$$

$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$  denotes the set of all bounded linear operators from  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $\mathcal{H}'$ .

Let  $A \in \{T\}'$ . We consider the matrix representation of  $A$  corresponding to the decomposition (4):

$$A = (A_{rs}), \quad \text{where } A_{rs} \in I(T_s, T_r).$$

Note that

$$(5) \quad L^2 = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} U_n^k K_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

According to the lifting theorem [11, Theorem II.2.3] there exists

$$(6) \quad B_{rs} \in I(U_s, U_r)$$

satisfying

$$(7) \quad B_{rs}G_s \subset G_r, \text{ or equivalently } P_r B_{rs} P_s = P_r B_{rs}$$

$$A_{rs} = P_r B_{rs} | H_s \text{ and } \|B_{rs}\| = \|A_{rs}\| \leq \|A\|.$$

Using the matrix representation of  $B_{rs}$  corresponding to the decomposition (1) we obtain from (5), (6)

$$B_{rs} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{rs} & 0 \\ g_{rs} & f_{rs} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for some } \varphi_{rs} \in H^\infty \text{ and } f_{rs}, g_{rs} \in L^\infty$$

satisfying

$$(8) \quad \varphi_{rs} = \sqrt{1/r}g_{rs} + \sqrt{s/r}f_{rs}$$

(8) is the necessary and sufficient condition for  $B_{rs}$  to satisfy (7). We denote the operator of multiplication by a function by the same letter as the function itself.

Suppose that  $A \in \{T\}''$ . For any pair  $(m, n)$  of natural numbers let  $X \in \{T\}'$  be given by a matrix with the only non-zero entry  $X_{mn} = P_m Y_{mn} | H_n$ , where

$$(9) \quad Y_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ g & f \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi \in H^\infty, f, g \in L^\infty, \varphi = \sqrt{1/m}g + \sqrt{n/m}f$$

$AX = XA$  implies:

$$(10) \quad A_{jm}X_{mn} = 0 \quad \text{for all } j : j \neq m$$

$$(11) \quad A_{mm}X_{mn} = X_{mn}A_{nn}.$$

Note that for  $u \in H^2, v \in L^2, u \oplus v \in H_n$  means for all  $w \in H^2, u \oplus v \perp w \oplus \sqrt{n} w$ , i.e.

$$(12) \quad u \oplus v \in H_n \iff u + \sqrt{n} v \perp H^2.$$

In particular, if in (12)  $u = 0, v = e^{-it}$  and in (9)  $g = 0, f = 1, \varphi = \sqrt{n/m}$ , then by (7), (10)

$$P_j B_{jm} P_m Y_{mn} (0 \oplus e^{-it}) = P_j B_{jm} Y_{mn} (0 \oplus e^{-it}) = 0,$$

by (3) this means  $B_{jm}Y_{mn}(0 \oplus e^{-it}) = 0 \oplus f_{jm}e^{-it} \in G_j$ . By (2) it follows  $f_{jm} = 0$  and it is easy to see that this means  $A_{jm} = 0$ . By (11)  $(B_{mm}Y_{mn} - Y_{mn}B_{nn})(0 \oplus e^{it}) \in G_m$  and this means

$$(13) \quad f_{mm} = f_{nn} = f_0.$$

Observe that the operator  $A_{nn} = P_n B_{nn} \mid H_n = 0$  if  $f_{nn} = 0$ . Therefore for a given fixed  $f_{nn} = f_0 \in L^\infty$  the operator  $A_{nn}$  does not depend on the choice of  $\varphi \in H^\infty, g \in L^\infty$  satisfying (9):  $g = \sqrt{n}(\varphi - f_0)$ . Let us denote by  $\|u\|$  the  $L_2$  norm and by  $\|u\|_\infty$  the  $L^\infty$  norm of a function  $u \in L^\infty$ . If  $P_-$  denotes the orthogonal projection from  $L^2$  onto  $(H^2)^\perp$ , then

$$P_-(\varphi - f_0) = -P_-f_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|P_-f_0\| < \|\varphi - f_0\| \leq \|\varphi - f_0\|_\infty.$$

Since  $\|g\|_\infty \leq \|B_{nn}\| \leq \|A\|$  this is possible only if  $P_-f_0 = 0$ , i.e.  $f_0 \in H^\infty$  and  $A = f_0(T)$ . This means that  $T$  has the required bicommutant property (\*).

*Acknowledgements.* The author wishes to thank the referee for his help to avoid an error in the proof of Example 6.1.

**REMARK.** After submitting the paper the author obtained an unpublished note of Katsutoshi Takahashi: Bicommutants of some  $C_{11}$ -contractions, in which a more general example of a  $C_{11}$ -contraction satisfying (\*) can be found.

## REFERENCES

1. BERCOVICI, H.; FOIAŞ, C.; SZ.-NAGY, B., Reflexive and hyper-reflexive operators of class  $C_0$ , *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **43**(1981), 5–13.
2. BROWN, S. W., Some invariant subspaces for subnormal operators, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **1**(1978), 310–333.
3. CHEVREAU, B.; EXNER, G.; PEARCY, C., On the structure of contraction operators. III, *Michigan J. Math.*, to appear.
4. DEDDENS, J. A., Every isometry is reflexive, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **28**(1971), 509–512.
5. DOUGLAS, R. G., On the hyperinvariant subspaces of isometries, *Math. Z.*, **107**(1968), 297–300.
6. FILLMORE, P. A.; HERRERO, D. A.; LONGSTAFF, W. E., The hyperinvariant subspace lattice of a linear transformation, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **17**(1977), 125–132.
7. KÉRCZY, L., Subspace lattices connected with  $C_{11}$ -contractions, in Anniversary Vol. on *Approximation theory and functional analysis* (ed. P. L. Butzer, R. L. Stens, B. Sz.-Nagy), Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel—Boston—Stuttgart, 1984, pp. 89–98.
8. OLIN, R. F.; THOMSON, J. E., Algebras of subnormal operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **37**(1980), 271–301.



9. RADJAVI, H.; ROSENTHAL, P., *Invariant subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1973.
10. SARASON, D., Invariant subspaces and unstarred operator algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, **17**(1966), 511–517.
11. SZ.-NAGY, B.; FOIAŞ, C., *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, Akadémiai Kiadó Budapest, 1970.
12. WOGEN, W. R., Quasinormal operators are reflexive, *Bull. London Math. Soc. (2)*, **31**(1979), 19–22.
13. ZAJAC, M., Hyperinvariant subspaces of weak contractions, in *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, **14**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984, pp.291–299.
14. ZAJAC, M., Hyperinvariant subspace lattice of isometries, *Math. Slovaca*, **37**(1987), 291–297.
15. ZAJAC, M., Hyperinvariant subspaces of weak contractions. II, in *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, **28**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1988, 317–322.
16. ZAJAC, M., The role of the singular unitary part of Hilbert space contractions, *Revue Roum. Math. Pures Appl.*, submitted.

MICHAL ZAJAC  
Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Electrical Engineering,  
Slovak Technical University,  
81219 Bratislava,  
Czechoslovakia.

Received June 6, 1989; revised August 9, 1991.