THE STABLE RANK OF TENSOR PRODUCTS OF FREE PRODUCT C^* -ALGEBRAS

KENNETH J. DYKEMA

Communicated by Şerban Strătilă

ABSTRACT. Let A be the minimal tensor product of C^* -algebras, $A^{(j)}$, which are reduced free products with respect to traces of C^* -algebras that are not too small in a specific sense. Then the stable rank of A is 1.

KEYWORDS: Stable rank, tensor product, free product. MSC (2000): 46L05.

1. INTRODUCTION

The (topological) stable rank, $\operatorname{sr}(A)$, of a Banach algebra, A, was invented by Rieffel ([4]) and is intimately related to "non-stable" K-theory. The case $\operatorname{sr}(A) = 1$ has been of particular interest; by definition, $\operatorname{sr}(A) = 1$ if and only if the invertible elements of A are dense in A. Recently, Villadsen ([6]) constructed the first examples of finite, simple C^* -algebras whose stable rank is greater than 1.

In [3], it was shown that if

$$(A, \tau) = (A_1, \tau_1) * (A_2, \tau_2)$$

is the reduced free product of C^* -algebras with respect to traces τ_1 and τ_2 , then $\operatorname{sr}(A) = 1$, provided that the Avitzour conditions are satisfied, namely, that there are unitaries $x \in A_1$ and $y, z \in A_2$ such that

$$\tau_1(x) = 0 = \tau_2(y) = \tau_2(z) = \tau_2(z^*y).$$

(See [7], [8] and [1] for the definition of reduced free products.) In [2], more classes of reduced free products were shown to have stable rank 1.

It should be mentioned that it is not known if it is possible to find out about $\operatorname{sr}(A \otimes B)$ for simple C^* -algebras knowing $\operatorname{only} \operatorname{sr}(A)$ and $\operatorname{sr}(B)$, or even knowing $\operatorname{sr}(A) = 1 = \operatorname{sr}(B)$. In this note, we show that minimal tensor products of reduced free product C^* -algebras have stable rank 1, provided that the Avitzour conditions are satisfied in each free product. The proof is a generalization of the proof of [3], 3.8.

2. ON TENSOR PRODUCTS OF FREE PRODUCTS

Consider a C^* -algebra, A, which is a minimal tensor product,

$$A = \bigotimes_{j \in J} A^{(j)},$$

of C^* -algebras $A^{(j)}$ which are in turn reduced free products of C^* -algebras with respect to tracial states,

(2.1)
$$(A^{(j)}, \tau^{(j)}) = \underset{\iota \in I^{(j)}}{*} (A^{(j)}_{\iota}, \tau^{(j)}_{\iota}).$$

We also let τ be the tensor product trace on A,

$$\tau = \bigotimes_{j \in J} \tau^{(j)},$$

and we work with the inner product $\langle c, d \rangle = \tau(d^*c)$ on A. Here J is nonempty and each $I^{(j)}$ is a set with at least two elements.

Let $X_{\iota}^{(j)}$ be a standard orthonormal basis for $(A_{\iota}^{(j)}, \tau_{\iota}^{(j)})$ and let

$$Y^{(j)} = *_{\iota \in I^{(j)}} X_{\iota}^{(j)}$$

(See [3], Section 2 for definitions.) Thus, $Y = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_k^{(j)}$ where for $k \ge 1$, $Y_k^{(j)}$ is the set of reduced words in the family $((X_{\iota}^{(j)})^{\mathbf{0}})_{\iota \in I^{(j)}}$ having length k, while $Y_0^{(j)} = \{1\}$. Let $E_k^{(j)}$ denote the orthogonal projection of span $Y^{(j)}$ onto span $Y_k^{(j)}$. Let

 $K = \{k : J \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \mid k(j) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } j \in J\}.$

Given $k \in K$, let

(2.2)
$$Y_{k} = \left\{ \bigotimes_{j \in J} v(j) \mid v(j) \in Y_{k(j)}^{(j)} \right\}$$
$$Y = \bigcup_{k \in K} Y_{k}.$$

Then Y is a standard orthonormal basis for (A, τ) . Let E_k denote the orthogonal projection of span Y onto span Y_k . Given elements $v = \bigotimes_{j \in J} v(j)$ and $w = \bigotimes_{j \in J} w(j)$ of Y, we say that vw is reduced if, for each $j \in J$ the word v(j)w(j) of $Y^{(j)}$ is reduced, i.e. v(j) ends with an element of $(X_{\iota}^{(j)})^{0}$ and w(j) starts with an element of $(X_{\iota'}^{(j)})^{0}$ with $\iota \neq \iota'$.

Let $a \in \operatorname{span} Y$. We define the *support* of a to be the set of all $w \in Y$ such that $\langle w, a \rangle \neq 0$. Given $j_0 \in J$ and $\iota \in I^{(j_0)}$ let $F_{\iota}^{(j_0)}(a)$ be the set of all $x \in (X_{\iota}^{(j_0)})^{\mathsf{O}}$ such that there is $w = \bigotimes_{j \in J} w(j)$ in the support of a and with xappearing as a letter in $w(j_0)$. Note that $F_{\iota}^{(j_0)}(a)$ is always finite and is empty for all but finitely many pairs $(j_0, \iota) \in J \times \bigcup_{i \in J} I^{(j)}$. Let

$$I = \left\{ i : J \to \bigcup_{j \in J} I^{(j)} \mid i(j) \in I^{(j)} \text{ for every } j \in J \right\}.$$

Given $i \in I$ and a finite subset $J' \subseteq J$, let

$$F_i^{(J')}(a) = \left\{ x = \bigotimes_{j \in J} x(j) \mid x(j) \in F_{i(j)}^{(j)}(a) \text{ if } j \in J', \, x(j) = 1 \text{ if } j \notin J' \right\}$$

and let

$$M_i^{(J')}(a) = \left(\sum_{x \in F_i^{(J')}(a)} \|x\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

with the convention that $M_i^{(J')}(a) = 0$ if $F_i^{(J')}(a)$ is empty. Let

$$M(a) = \max\{M_i^{(J')}(a) \mid i \in I, J' \text{ a finite subset of } J\}.$$

Note that $M(a) < \infty$.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $k, l, n \in K$, let $a \in Y_k$ and $b \in Y_l$. If n(j) < |k(j) - l(j)| or n(j) > k(j) + l(j) for some $j \in J$ then $E_n(ab) = 0$. Otherwise

$$||E_n(ab)||_2 \leqslant M(a)||a||_2||b||_2.$$

Proof. If $n(j_0) < |k(j_0) - l(j_0)|$ or $n(j_0) > k(j_0) + l(j_0)$ for some $j_0 \in J$ then for every $v = \bigotimes_{j \in J} v(j)$ in the support of a and every $w = \bigotimes_{j \in J} w(j)$ in the support of b we have $E_{n(j_0)}^{(j_0)}(v(j_0)w(j_0)) = 0$, so $E_n(ab) = 0$. Now suppose $|k(j) - l(j)| \leq$ $n(j) \leq k(j) + l(j)$ for every $j \in J$. Let

$$J_{e} = \{ j \in J \mid k(j) + l(j) - n(j) \text{ even} \}$$

$$J_{o} = \{ j \in J \mid k(j) + l(j) - n(j) \text{ odd} \}.$$

Let $q \in K$ be such that

$$k(j) + l(j) - n(j) = \begin{cases} 2q(j) & \text{if } j \in J_e; \\ 2q(j) + 1 & \text{if } j \in J_o. \end{cases}$$

Let $q' \in K$ be

$$q'(j) = \begin{cases} q(j) & \text{if } j \in J_e; \\ q(j) + 1 & \text{if } j \in J_o. \end{cases}$$

Let $k - q' \in K$ be (k - q')(j) = k(j) - q'(j) and similarly for $l - q' \in K$. Given $i \in I$ and a finite subset J' of J, let

$$Z(i,J') = \left\{ x = \bigotimes_{j \in J} x(j) \mid x(j) \in (X_{i(j)}^{(j)})^{\mathbf{O}} \text{ if } j \in J', \, x(j) = 1 \text{ if } j \notin J' \right\}.$$

Then we may write

$$a = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{v_1, x, v_2} \alpha_{v_1 x v_2} v_1 x v_2$$
$$b = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{w_2, y, w_1} \beta_{w_2 y w_1} w_2 y w_1$$

where $\alpha_{v_1xv_2}, \beta_{w_2yw_1} \in \mathbb{C}$ and where the sums are over all $x, y \in Z(i, J_o)$ and all $v_1 \in Y_{k-q'}, v_2 \in Y_q, w_2 \in Y_q$ and $w_1 \in Y_{l-q'}$ such that $v_1xv_2 \in Y_k$ and $w_2yw_1 \in Y_l$. Then, writing $v_1 = \bigotimes_{j \in J} v_1(j)$, etc., we have

$$\begin{split} E_{n(j)}^{(j)}(v_1(j)x(j)v_2(j)w_2(j)y(j)w_1(j)) \\ &= \begin{cases} \langle v_2(j)w_2(j), 1 \rangle v_1(j)w_1(j) & \text{if } j \in J_{\mathbf{e}}; \\ & \sum_{u \in (X_{i(j)}^{(j)})^{\mathbf{O}}} \langle v_2(j)w_2(j), u \rangle v_1(j)uw_1(j) & \text{if } j \in J_{\mathbf{o}}. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

 So

$$E_n(ab) = \sum_{v_1, w_1} \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{u} \left(\sum_{x, y} \sum_{v_2, w_2} \alpha_{v_1 x v_2} \beta_{w_2 y w_1} \langle v_2 w_2, 1 \rangle \langle x y, u \rangle \right) v_1 u w_1$$

142

where the sums are over all $v_1 \in Y_{k-q'}$, all $w_1 \in Y_{l-q'}$ and all $u \in Z(i, J_o)$ such that $v_1 u w_1 \in Y_n$ and over all $x, y \in Z(i, J_o)$ and all $v_2, w_2 \in Y_q$ such that $v_1 x v_2 \in Y_k$ and $w_2 y w_1 \in Y_l$. Thus

$$\|E_n(ab)\|_2 = \sum_{v_1,w_1} \sum_{i \in I} \sum_u \left| \sum_{x,y} \sum_{v_2,w_2} \alpha_{v_1xv_2} \beta_{w_2yw_1} \langle v_2w_2, 1 \rangle \langle xy, u \rangle \right|^2.$$

For fixed v_1, w_1 and $i \in I$ set

$$z = \sum_{x,y \in Z(i,J_{o})} \left\langle \sum_{w_{2}} \beta_{w_{2}yw_{1}}w_{2}, \sum_{v_{2}} \overline{\alpha_{v_{1}xv_{2}}}v_{2}^{*} \right\rangle xy.$$

Hence

$$\left|\sum_{x,y}\sum_{v_2,w_2}\alpha_{v_1xv_2}\beta_{w_2yw_1}\langle v_2w_2,1\rangle\langle xy,u\rangle\right|^2 = |\langle z,u\rangle|^2.$$

Now since $\alpha_{v_1xv_2} = 0$ if $x \notin F_i^{(J_0)}(a)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \|z\|^{2} &= \bigg\| \sum_{x \in F_{i}^{(J_{0})}(a)} x \sum_{y \in Z(i,J_{0})} \left\langle \sum_{w_{2}} \beta_{w_{2}yw_{1}}w_{2}, \sum_{v_{2}} \overline{\alpha_{v_{1}xv_{2}}}v_{2}^{*} \right\rangle y \bigg\|_{2}^{2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{x \in F_{i}^{(J_{0})}(a)} \|x\| \cdot \bigg\| \sum_{y \in Z(i,J_{0})} \left\langle \sum_{w_{2}} \beta_{w_{2}yw_{1}}w_{2}, \sum_{v_{2}} \overline{\alpha_{v_{1}xv_{2}}}v_{2}^{*} \right\rangle y \bigg\|_{2}^{2} \right)^{2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{x \in F_{i}^{(J_{0})}(a)} \|x\|^{2} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{x \in F_{i}^{(J_{0})}(a)} \bigg\| \sum_{y \in Z(i,J_{0})} \left\langle \sum_{w_{2}} \beta_{w_{2}yw_{1}}w_{2}, \sum_{v_{2}} \overline{\alpha_{v_{1}xv_{2}}}v_{2}^{*} \right\rangle y \bigg\|_{2}^{2} \right) \\ &\leq M(a)^{2} \sum_{x \in F_{i}^{(J_{0})}(a)} \sum_{y \in Z(i,J_{0})} \bigg\| \left\langle \sum_{w_{2}} \beta_{w_{2}yw_{1}}w_{2}, \sum_{v_{2}} \overline{\alpha_{v_{1}xv_{2}}}v_{2}^{*} \right\rangle \bigg|_{2}^{2} \\ &\leq M(a)^{2} \sum_{x \in F_{i}^{(J_{0})}(a)} \sum_{y \in Z(i,J_{0})} \bigg\| \sum_{w_{2}} \beta_{w_{2}yw_{1}}w_{2} \bigg\|_{2}^{2} \cdot \bigg\| \sum_{v_{2}} \overline{\alpha_{v_{1}xv_{2}}}v_{2}^{*} \bigg\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= M(a)^{2} \sum_{x \in F_{i}^{(J_{0})}(a)} \sum_{y \in Z(i,J_{0})} \sum_{w_{2}} |\beta_{w_{2}yw_{1}}|^{2} \cdot \sum_{v_{2}} |\alpha_{v_{1}xv_{2}}|^{2} \\ &= M(a)^{2} \sum_{x \in F_{i}^{(J_{0})}(a)} \sum_{y \in Z(i,J_{0})} \sum_{w_{2}} |\beta_{w_{2}yw_{1}}|^{2} \cdot \sum_{v_{2}} |\alpha_{v_{1}xv_{2}}|^{2} \\ &= M(a)^{2} \sum_{x,v_{2}} |\alpha_{v_{1}xv_{2}}|^{2} \cdot \sum_{w_{2},y} |\beta_{w_{2}yw_{1}}|^{2} . \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{u \in Z(i,J_{o})} \left| \sum_{x,y \in Z(i,J_{o})} \sum_{v_{2},w_{2}} \alpha_{v_{1}xv_{2}} \beta_{w_{2}yw_{1}} \langle v_{2}w_{2}, 1 \rangle \langle xy, u \rangle \right|^{2} \\ = \sum_{u \in Z(i,J_{o})} |\langle z, u \rangle|^{2} \leqslant ||z||_{2}^{2} \leqslant M(a)^{2} \sum_{x,v_{2}} |\alpha_{v_{1}xv_{2}}|^{2} \cdot \sum_{w_{2},y} |\beta_{w_{2}yw_{1}}|^{2}.$$

Finally, this shows that

$$\begin{split} \|E_n(ab)\|_2^2 &\leqslant \sum_{v_1,w_1} \sum_{i \in I} M(a)^2 \sum_{x,v_2} |\alpha_{v_1xv_2}|^2 \cdot \sum_{w_2,y} |\beta_{w_2yw_1}|^2 \\ &= M(a)^2 \bigg(\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{v_1,x,v_2} |\alpha_{v_1xv_2}|^2 \bigg) \bigg(\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{w_1,y,w_2} |\beta_{w_2yw_1}|^2 \bigg) \\ &= M(a)^2 \|a\|_2^2 \|b\|_2^2. \quad \blacksquare$$

Given $k, n \in K$, define n + k, $|n - k| \in K$ by

$$(n+k)(j) = n(j) + k(j)$$

 $|n-k|(j) = |n(j) - k(j)|$

and write $k \leq n$ if $k(j) \leq n(j)$ for every $j \in J$. Similarly, given finitely many $l_1, \ldots, l_m \in K$ we define $\max(l_1, \ldots, l_m) \in K$ by

$$\max(l_1,\ldots,l_m)(j)=\max(l_1(j),\ldots,l_m(j)).$$

Finally, for $k \in K$ let

$$\rho(k) = \prod_{j \in J} (2k(j) + 1).$$

LEMMA 2.2. Let $k \in K$ and $a \in \operatorname{span} Y_k$. Then

 $||a|| \leqslant \rho(k) M(a) ||a||_2.$

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$|ab||_2 \le \rho(k)M(a)||a||_2||b||_2$$

for every $b \in \operatorname{span} Y$. For $l \in K$ let $b_l = E_l(b)$. Then for each $n \in K$, using Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{split} \|E_n(ab)\|_2 &= \left\| \sum_{\substack{l \in K \\ |n-k| \leq l \leq n+k}} E_n(ab_l) \right\|_2 \leq \sum_{\substack{l \in K \\ |n-k| \leq l \leq n+k}} \|E_n(ab_l)\|_2 \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{l \in K \\ |n-k| \leq l \leq n+k}} M(a) \|a\|_2 \|b_l\|_2 \leq M(a) \|a\|_2 \rho(k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{l \in K \\ |n-k| \leq l \leq n+k}} \|b_l\|_2^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{split}$$

This last inequality follows from the fact that the number of $l \in K$ satisfying $|n-k| \leq l \leq n+k$ is bounded above by $\rho(k)$. Hence

$$\begin{split} \|ab\|_{2}^{2} &= \sum_{n \in K} \|E_{n}(ab)\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant \rho(k)M(a)^{2} \|a\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{n \in K} \sum_{l \in K \atop |n-k| \leqslant l \leqslant n+k} \|b_{l}\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= \rho(k)M(a)^{2} \|a\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{l \in K} \sum_{l \in K \atop |l-k| \leqslant n \leqslant l+k} \|b_{l}\|_{2}^{2} \\ &\leqslant \rho(k)^{2}M(a)^{2} \|a\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{l \in K} \|b_{l}\|_{2}^{2} = \rho(k)^{2}M(a)^{2} \|a\|_{2}^{2} \|b\|_{2}^{2}. \quad \blacksquare \end{split}$$

144

The stable rank of tensor products

Given $a \in \operatorname{span} Y$ define

 $\sup_{K} (a) = \{k \in K \mid Y_k \text{ meets the support of } a\}$ $\max_{K} (a) = \max\{k \in K \mid k \in \sup_{K} (a)\}.$

LEMMA 2.3. Let $a \in \operatorname{span} Y$. Then

$$||a|| \leq \rho(\max_{k}(a))^{\frac{3}{2}} M(a) ||a||_{2}$$

Proof. For $k \in K$ let $a_k = E_k(a)$. Note that $M(a_k) \leq M(a)$, and for every $k \in \sup_K (a), \ \rho(k) \leq \rho(\max_K(a))$. Furthermore

$$|\sup_{K}(a)| \leqslant \prod_{j \in J} (\max_{K}(a)(j) + 1) \leqslant \rho(\max_{K}(a)).$$

Using Lemma 2.2 we now have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\| &= \left\| \sum_{\substack{k \in \text{supp}(a) \\ K}} a_k \right\| \leq \sum_{\substack{k \in \text{supp}(a) \\ K}} \|a_k\| \leq \sum_{\substack{k \in \text{supp}(a) \\ K}} \rho(k) M(a_k) \|a_k\|_2 \\ &\leq \rho(\max_K(a)) M(a) |\sup_K \rho(a)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{k \in \text{supp}(a) \\ K}} \|a_k\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \rho(\max_K(a)) M(a) |\sup_K \rho(a)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a\|_2 \leq \rho(\max_K(a))^{\frac{3}{2}} M(a) \|a\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.4. Suppose that for every $j \in J$ there are $i_1(j), i_2(j) \in I^{(j)}$ such that there are at least two unitary elements in $(X_{i_2(j)}^{(j)})^{\mathsf{O}}$ and at least one unitary element in $(X_{i_1(j)}^{(j)})^{\mathsf{O}}$. Then for each $a \in \operatorname{span} Y$ there are unitaries $u, v \in \operatorname{span} Y$ and a constant $M < \infty$ such that

$$\left\| (uav)^n \right\|_2 = \|a\|_2, \quad M\left((uav)^n \right) \leqslant M$$

for every $n \ge 1$.

Proof. Let $y(j), z(j) \in (X_{i_2(j)}^{(j)})^{\mathcal{O}}$ and $x(j) \in (X_{i_1(j)}^{(j)})^{\mathcal{O}}$ be distinct unitary elements. Let $m = \max_{K}(a) \in K$. Fix for the moment $j \in J$. Let $l(j) \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $l(j) \ge (m(j)+3)/2$ and set

$$u_0(j) = (x(j)y(j)^*)^{l(j)}, \quad v_0(j) = (x(j)z(j))^{l(j)}.$$

As in the proof of [3], Lemma 3.7, it then follows that if $w \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{m(j)} Y_i^{(j)}$, then $u_0(j)wv_0(j)$ is a linear combination of reduced words belonging to $Y^{(j)}$ which start with x(j) and end with z(j). Note also that every such $u_0(j)wv_0(j)$ belongs to span $\bigcup_{i=0}^{4l(j)+m(j)} Y_i^{(j)}$. Let $p(j) \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $p(j) \ge (4l(j)+m(j)+1)/2$ and let

$$r(j) = \left(x(j)y(j)\right)\left(x(j)z(j)\right)^{p(j)}\left(x(j)y(j)\right).$$

Thus, whenever $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w_1, \ldots, w_n, w'_1, \ldots, w'_n \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{4l(j)+m(j)} Y_i^{(j)}$ are words each starting with x(j) and ending with z(j), then each $r(j)w_j$ is a reduced word in $Y^{(j)}$, as is $r(j)w_1r(j)w_2\cdots r(j)w_n$, and if

$$r(j)w_1r(j)w_2\cdots r(j)w_n = r(j)w_1'r(j)w_2'\cdots r(j)w_n'$$

then $w_1 = w'_1, w_2 = w'_2, \ldots, w_n = w'_n$.

Let
$$u = \bigotimes_{j \in J} u(j)$$
 and $v = \bigotimes_{j \in J} v(j)$ where

$$u(j) = \begin{cases} r(j)u_0(j) & \text{if } m(j) > 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } m(j) = 0, \end{cases}$$
$$v(j) = \begin{cases} v_0(j) & \text{if } m(j) > 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } m(j) = 0. \end{cases}$$

What we have shown above implies that

$$uav = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i w_i$$

where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_N are distinct elements of Y, and that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(uav)^n = \sum_{i_1=1}^N \sum_{i_2=1}^N \cdots \sum_{i_n=1}^N \alpha_{i_1} \alpha_{i_2} \cdots \alpha_{i_n} w_{i_1} w_{i_2} \cdots w_{i_n},$$

with the words $w_{i_1}w_{i_2}\cdots w_{i_n}$ being reduced words and distinct elements of Y. This implies that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$M\bigl((uav)^n\bigr) = M(uav)$$

and

$$\|(uav)^n\|_2 = \sum_{i_1=1}^N \sum_{i_2=1}^N \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^N |\alpha_{i_1}\alpha_{i_2}\dots\alpha_{i_n}|^2$$
$$= \sum_{i_1=1}^N |\alpha_{i_1}|^2 \sum_{i_2=1}^N |\alpha_{i_2}|^2 \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^N |\alpha_{i_n}|^2 = \|uav\|_2^n = \|a\|_2^n.$$

In a unital C^* -algebra A, let U(A) denote the group of unitaries of A and let GL(A) denote the group of invertible elements of A. For $a \in A$, let r(a) denote spectral radius of a. As in [3], we will use that

(2.3)
$$\operatorname{dist}(a, \operatorname{GL}(A)) \leqslant \inf_{u,v \in \operatorname{U}(A)} r(uav).$$

THEOREM 2.5. Let J be a nonempty set, and for each $j \in J$ let $I^{(j)}$ be a set. For every $j \in J$ and $\iota \in I^{(j)}$, let $A_{\iota}^{(j)}$ be a unital C^* -algebra with a faithful, tracial state $\tau_{\iota}^{(j)}$. Assume that for every $j \in J$ there are distinct indices $\iota_1(j), \iota_2(j) \in I^{(j)}$ and unitary elements $x(j) \in A_{\iota_1(j)}^{(j)}$ and $y(j), z(j) \in A_{\iota_2(j)}^{(j)}$ such that

$$\tau_{\iota_1(j)}^{(j)}(x(j)) = 0 = \tau_{\iota_2(j)}^{(j)}(y(j)) = \tau_{\iota_2(j)}^{(j)}(z(j)) = \tau_{\iota_2(j)}^{(j)}(z(j)^*y(j)).$$

Let

$$(A^{(j)}, \tau^{(j)}) = \underset{\iota \in I^{(j)}}{*} (A^{(j)}_{\iota}, \tau^{(j)}_{\iota})$$

be the reduced free product of C^* -algebras and let

$$A = \bigotimes_{j \in J} A^{(j)}$$

be the minimal tensor product of C^* -algebras. Then A has stable rank one.

Proof. Since any element of A belongs to a subalgebra which is the tensor product of countably many algebras $B^{(j)}$ where $B^{(j)} = \underset{\iota \in G^{(j)}}{*} B^{(j)}_{\iota}$, where $G^{(j)} \subseteq I^{(j)}$ is countable and $B^{(j)}_{\iota} \subseteq A^{(j)}_{\iota}$ are separable C^* -subalgebras, we may assume without loss of generality that J and each $I^{(j)}$ is countable and that each $A^{(j)}_{\iota}$ is separable.

By [3], 2.1 there is for every $j \in J$ and $\iota \in I^{(j)}$ a standard orthonormal basis $X_{\iota}^{(j)}$ for $(A_{\iota}^{(j)}, \tau_{\iota}^{(j)})$ such that $x(j) \in X_{\iota_1(j)}^{(j)}$ and $y(j), z(j) \in X_{\iota_2(j)}^{(j)}$. Let $Y^{(j)} = \underset{\iota \in I^{(j)}}{*} X_{\iota}^{(j)}$ and let Y be the standard orthonormal basis for (A, τ) defined in equation (2.2). We will show that

(2.4)
$$\inf_{u,v \in \mathcal{U}(A)} r(uav) \leqslant ||a||_2 \quad (=\tau(a^*a)^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

whenever $a \in \text{span } Y$. Indeed, let M > 0 and unitaries $u, v \in \text{span } Y$ be as found in Lemma 2.4. Let $m = \max_{K}(uav) \in K$. Let $p < \infty$ be the number of $j \in J$ such that $m(j) \neq 0$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\max_{K} ((uav)^n) \leqslant n \cdot m,$$

where, naturally, $n \cdot m \in K$ is $(n \cdot m)(j) = n \cdot m(j)$, and hence

$$\rho\left(\max_{K}\left((uav)^{n}\right)\right) \leqslant n^{p}\rho(m).$$

Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 give

$$||(uav)^{n}|| \leq (n^{p}\rho(m))^{\frac{3}{2}}M||(uav)^{n}||_{2} = (n^{p}\rho(m))^{\frac{3}{2}}M||a||_{2}^{n}.$$

Therefore

$$\inf_{u,v\in\mathcal{U}(A)} r(uav) \leqslant r(uav) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|(uav)^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$$
$$\leqslant \liminf_{n\to\infty} (n^p \rho(m))^{\frac{3}{2n}} M^{\frac{1}{n}} \|a\|_2 = \|a\|_2$$

Now, the proof that $\operatorname{sr}(A) = 1$ follows by the exactly same argument as in the proof of [3], 3.8, which we briefly review here. Suppose for contradiction that $\operatorname{sr}(A) > 1$. Then by Rørdam's result [5], 2.6, there is $b \in A$ having norm 1 and whose distance to $\operatorname{GL}(A)$ is 1. But b is a norm limit, $b = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$, where each $a_n \in \operatorname{span} Y$. Using (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\operatorname{dist}(a_n, \operatorname{GL}(A)) \leqslant ||a_n||_2,$$

and hence

$$\operatorname{dist}(b, \operatorname{GL}(A)) \leq \|b\|_2.$$

But this implies that $\|b\| = \|b\|_2 = 1$, hence that b is unitary, which contradicts that dist(b, GL(A)) = 1.

COROLLARY 2.6. Let J be a nonempty set and let G be a group which is the (restricted) direct sum

$$G = \bigoplus_{j \in J} G^{(j)}$$

where for each $j \in J$, $G^{(j)}$ is the free product of groups

$$G^{(j)} = G_1^{(j)} * G_2^{(j)}$$

with $|G_1^{(j)}| \ge 2$ and $|G_2^{(j)}| \ge 3$. Then the reduced group C^* -algebra $C_r^*(G)$ has stable rank one.

Proof.

$$C^*_{\mathbf{r}}(G) = \bigotimes_{j \in J} C^*_{\mathbf{r}}(G^{(j)})$$

is the minimal tensor product of C^* -algebras and, letting τ_H denote the canonical trace on $C^*_{\mathbf{r}}(H)$,

$$(C^*_{\mathbf{r}}(G^{(j)}),\tau_{G^{(j)}}) = (C^*_{\mathbf{r}}(G^{(j)}_1),\tau_{G^{(j)}_1}) * (C^*_{\mathbf{r}}(G^{(j)}_2),\tau_{G^{(j)}_2}).$$

Now the theorem applies.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Chris Phillips for asking me whether a result like the main theorem holds. This paper's affirmative answer plays a role in Phillips' examples ([4]).

REFERENCES

- 1. D. AVITZOUR, Free products of C^* -algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **271**(1982), 423–465.
- 2. K.J. DYKEMA, Simplicity and the stable rank of some free product C^* -algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- K.J. DYKEMA, U. HAAGERUP, M. RØRDAM, The stable rank of some free product C^{*}-algebras, Duke Math. J. 90(1997), 95–121.
- 4. N.C. PHILLIPS, Nonisomorphic simple exact C^* -algebras with the same Elliot and Haagerup invariants, preprint, 1997.
- M.A. RIEFFEL, Dimension and stable rank in the K-theory of C*-algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 46(1983), 301–333.
- M. RØRDAM, Advances in the theory of unitary rank and regular approximation, Ann. of Math. (2) 128(1988), 153–172.
- 7. J. VILLADSEN, The stable rank of simple C^* -algebras, preprint, 1996.
- D. VOICULESCU, Symmetries of some reduced free product C*-algebras, in Operator Algebras and their Connections with Topology and Ergodic Theory, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1132, Springer-Verlag, 1985, pp. 556–588.
- D. VOICULESCU, K.J. DYKEMA, A. NICA, Free Random Variables, CRM Monogr. Ser., vol. 1, Amer. Math. Soc., 1992.

KENNETH J. DYKEMA Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Odense Universitet, Campusvej 55 DK–5230 Odense M DENMARK

E-mail: dykema@imada.ou.dk

Received July 14, 1997.