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#### Abstract

We prove that if $(a, b)$ is an $R$-diagonal pair in some non-commutative probability space $(A, \varphi)$ then $\left(a^{p}, b^{p}\right)$ is $R$-diagonal too and we compute the determining series $f_{\left(a^{p}, b^{p}\right)}$ in terms of the distribution of $a b$. We give estimates of the upper and lower bounds of the support of free multiplicative convolution of probability measures compactly supported on $[0, \infty[$, and use the results to give norm estimates of powers of $R$-diagonal elements in finite von Neumann algebras. Finally we compute norms, distributions and $R$ transforms related to powers of the circular element.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In the setup of Free Probability Theory we study certain random variables. By a non-commutative probability space $(A, \varphi)$ we mean a unital algebra $A$ (over the complex numbers) equipped with a unital functional $\varphi$. If $A$ is a von Neumann algebra and $\varphi$ is normal we call $(A, \varphi)$ a non-commutative $W^{*}$-probability space. We write $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for a non-commutative $W^{*}$-probability space with a faithful normal tracial state $\tau$. Elements in $A$ are called random variables, and the distribution $\mu_{a}$ of a random variable in $(A, \varphi)$ is the linear functional $\mu_{a}: \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ determined by $\mu_{a}(P)=\varphi(P(a))$ for all $P$ in $\mathbb{C}[X]$. We refer to [16] for the basic facts of Free Probability Theory and record here for easy reference what we need in this paper.

If $a$ is a self-adjoint element in a non-commutative $W^{*}$-probability space there exists a unique compactly supported probability measure (also denoted $\mu_{a}$ ) such that

$$
\varphi\left(a^{p}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{a}(t), \quad p \in \mathbb{N}
$$

In this case supp $\mu_{a} \subseteq \operatorname{sp} a$. We often view these measures as distributions in the sense of [16]. In the following we introduce the $R$ - and $S$-transforms of distributions, and the definitions carry over to measures.

The $S$-transform $\mathcal{S}_{\mu}$ of a distribution with non-vanishing first moment is defined as a formal power series in the following way (cf. [16]): define the moment series $\psi_{\mu}$ as

$$
\psi_{\mu}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(X^{n}\right) z^{n}
$$

and let $\chi_{\mu}$ denote the unique inverse formal power series (with respect to composition) of $\psi_{\mu}$. This series is of the form

$$
\chi_{\mu}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} z^{n}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}=\mu(X)^{-1} \neq 0$. Then we define

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\mu}(z)=\frac{z+1}{z} \chi_{\mu}(z)=(z+1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} z^{n-1}
$$

as a formal power series. (Note that $\mathcal{S}_{\mu}(0)=\mu(X)^{-1}$.) The $S$-transform converts multiplicative free convolution into multiplication of formal power series in the following way: $\mathcal{S}_{\mu \boxtimes \nu}=\mathcal{S}_{\mu} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{\nu}$ whenever $\mu$ and $\nu$ are distributions with nonvanishing first moments.

If $\mu$ is a compactly supported probability measure on $[0, \infty[$ we let $m(\mu)=$ min supp $\mu, r=r(\mu)=\max \operatorname{supp} \mu$ and we can view $\mu$ as the distribution of a positive element in a suitably chosen non-commutative von Neumann probability space. We have then

$$
\psi_{\mu}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{z s}{1-z s} \mathrm{~d} \mu(s)
$$

hence $\psi_{\mu}$ is analytic on $\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z^{-1} \notin \operatorname{supp} \mu\right\}$, and $\chi_{\mu}, \mathcal{S}_{\mu}$ are analytic in a neighbourhood of $\psi_{\mu}\left(\left[0,1 / r[)\right.\right.$, cf. [4]. We denote the moments of $\mu$ by $\mu\left(X^{p}\right)=$ $\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu(t)$ for every natural number $p$.

Pringsheim's theorem shows that $1 / r$ is a non-removable singularity for $\psi_{\mu}$ and the behaviour of $\psi_{\mu}$ near $1 / r$ can be classified into one of the following three cases:
(i) $\psi_{\mu}$ is unbounded near $1 / r: \psi_{\mu}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 1 / r-$;
(ii) $\psi_{\mu}$ is bounded and $\psi_{\mu}^{\prime}$ is unbounded near $1 / r: \psi_{\mu}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 1 / r-$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1 / r_{-}} \psi_{\mu}(t)$ exists and is finite;
(iii) $\psi_{\mu}$ and $\psi_{\mu}^{\prime}$ are bounded near $1 / r: \lim _{t \rightarrow 1 / r-} \psi_{\mu}(t)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1 / r_{-}} \psi_{\mu}^{\prime}(t)$ exist and are finite.

This makes it possible to determine $r$ in terms of the function $\chi_{\mu}$ :
(i) if $\chi_{\mu}$ is analytic in a neighbourhood of $\left[0, \infty\left[\right.\right.$ and $\chi_{\mu}^{\prime}>0$ on $[0, \infty[$ then $1 / r=\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{\mu}(y)$;
(ii) if $\chi_{\mu}$ is analytic in a neighbourhood of $\left[0, y_{0}\left[, \chi_{\mu}^{\prime}>0\right.\right.$ on $\left[0, y_{0}\left[\right.\right.$ and $y_{0}$ is the largest number with these properties then $1 / r=\lim _{y \rightarrow y_{0}-} \chi_{\mu}(y)$.
Since $\chi_{\mu}$ is increasing we can estimate $r: 1 / r \geqslant \lim _{y \rightarrow y_{0}-} \chi_{\mu}(y)$ whenever $\chi_{\mu}$ is analytic on a neighbourhood of $\left[0, y_{0}\left[\right.\right.$ and $\chi_{\mu}^{\prime}>0$ on $] 0, y_{0}[$.

By $V(\mu)$ we denote the variance of the measure $\mu: V(\mu)=\mu\left(X^{2}\right)-\mu(X)^{2}$, and if $\mu(X)>0$ we can bound $r(\mu)$ from below:

$$
\mu\left(X^{2}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu(x) \leqslant r(\mu) \mu(X)
$$

hence $V(\mu) / \mu(X)+\mu(X) \leqslant r(\mu)$.
For a measure $\mu$ we let $\mu^{-1}$ denote the image measure of $\mu$ induced by the reciprocal map $x \mapsto 1 / x$, and let $\mu_{\text {sq }}$ denote the image measure induced by the squaring function $\mathrm{sq}: z \mapsto z^{2}$. Note that if $\mu$ is supported on $] 0, \infty[$ then $r\left(\mu^{-1}\right)=m(\mu)^{-1}$.

The $R$-transform $\mathcal{R}_{\mu}$ of a distribution $\mu$ was introduced by Voiculescu in [14] (see also [16]) as a formal power series obtained in the following way: Define

$$
G_{\mu}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu\left(X^{n}\right) z^{-n-1}
$$

as a formal Laurent series. (The symbol $G_{\mu}$ will be referred to as the Cauchy transform of $\mu$.) Then $G_{\mu}$ is invertible with respect to composition and the inverse $G_{\mu}^{-1}$ is of the form

$$
G_{\mu}^{-1}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} z^{n}
$$

and $\mathcal{R}_{\mu}$ is defined to be the power series part of $G_{\mu}^{-1}$ :

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\mu}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} z^{n}
$$

The $R$-transform converts additive free convolution of distributions into addition of formal power series: $\mathcal{R}_{\mu \boxplus \nu}=\mathcal{R}_{\mu}+\mathcal{R}_{\nu}$ for all distributions $\mu$ and $\nu$.

In [7] the (1-dimensional) $R$-transform was generalized to multidimensional distributions $\mu: \mathbb{C}\left\langle X_{i} \mid i \in I\right\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and the $R$-transform of $\mu$ is then denoted $R_{\mu}$. In the 1-dimensional case we have the relation $R_{\mu}(z)=z \mathcal{R}_{\mu}(z)$.

The circular element $c$ (of norm 2) was introduced in [15] and the polar decomposition $c=u h$ was determined: $u$ and $h$ are $*$-free, $u$ is a Haar unitary (every non-trivial moment of $u$ is 0 ) and $h$ is quarter circular (of radius 2):

$$
\mathrm{d} \mu_{h}=\frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{4-x^{2}} \cdot 1_{[0,2]}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

A model for the circular element is the following: Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\}$, let $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})=\mathbb{C} \Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ be the full Fock space of $\mathcal{H}$ and let $l_{1}, l_{2}$ be the creation operators of $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ respectively. Then $c=\left(l_{1}+l_{2}^{*}\right) / \sqrt{2}$ is a circular element in the finite non-commutative $W^{*}$-probability space $\left(W^{*}\left(c, c^{*}\right),\langle\cdot \Omega, \Omega\rangle\right)$.

The $R$-transforms of the $*$-distributions of $c$ and $u$ have similar forms, cf. [10]:
(1.1) $R_{\mu_{\left(c, c^{*}\right)}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=z_{1} z_{2}+z_{2} z_{1}$,
(1.2) $R_{\mu_{\left(u, u^{*}\right)}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1} C_{n-1}\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1} C_{n-1}\left(z_{2} z_{1}\right)^{n}$.

The numbers $C_{n}$ are the Catalan numbers (cf. [2] and [6]): $C_{n}^{(p)}=\binom{p n}{n-1} / n$ is the $n$ 'th Fuss-Catalan number of parameter $p$ and $C_{n}=C_{n}^{(2)}=\binom{2 n}{n-1} / n$, $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$. For convenience we let $C_{0}=1$. In [10] Nica and Speicher (see also [8] for a more general definition) introduced the class of $R$-diagonal pairs in noncommutative probability spaces as those pairs $(a, b)$ whose $R$-transform is of the form

$$
R_{\mu_{(a, b)}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}\left(z_{2} z_{1}\right)^{n}
$$

where $\alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{C}$. The series $f_{\mu_{(a, b)}}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} z^{n}$ is called the determining series of $R_{\mu_{(a, b)}}$. An $R$-diagonal element is an element $a$ (in a non-commutative *-probability space) such that ( $a, a^{*}$ ) is an $R$-diagonal pair.

The determining series $f_{\mu_{(a, b)}}$ can be obtained from the moment series $\psi_{\mu_{a b}}$ using the 図－operation on formal power series，cf．［10］．In dimension 1 the oper－ ation 困 satisfies（and can be defined by）$R_{\mu_{a b}}=R_{\mu_{a}}$ 因 $R_{\mu_{b}}$ for arbitrary free random variables $a$ and $b$ in some non－commutative probability space．Then $R_{\mu_{a}}=\psi_{\mu_{a}}$ 困 Möb where Möb is the series

$$
\operatorname{Möb}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1} C_{n-1} z^{n}
$$

and $f_{\mu_{(a, b)}}=R_{\mu_{a b}}$ 囷 Möb．（If $a$ and $b$ are random variables in a tracial non－ commutative probability space then $R_{\mu_{a b}}=R_{\mu_{b a}}$ ．）The series $\zeta(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{n}$ is the inverse to Möb with respect to 困：Möb 困 $\zeta(z)=\zeta$ 柬 $\operatorname{Möb}(z)=z$ ．

In the $n$－dimensional case we let $M_{\mu}$ denote the moment series of an $n$－ dimensional distribution $\mu: \mathbb{C}\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ：

$$
M_{\mu}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}=1}^{n} \mu\left(X_{i_{1}} \cdots X_{i_{k}}\right) z_{i_{1}} \cdots z_{i_{k}}
$$

Then $R_{\mu}=M_{\mu}$ 図 $\operatorname{Möb}_{n}, M_{\mu}=R_{\mu}$ 困 $\zeta_{n}$, where $\mathrm{Möb}_{n}$ and $\zeta_{n}$ are $n$－dimensional analogues of Möb and $\zeta$ respectively，and likewise for 図，cf．［10］．

We often simplify the notation and write $R_{(a, b)}$ in place of $R_{\mu_{(a, b)}}$ etc．
If $a$ is a random variable in a non－commutative $C^{*}$－probability space the $R$－transform of $\mu_{a}$ is analytic in a neighbourhood of 0, cf．［4］．

The paper is organized as follows．In Section 2 we show that any power of any $R$－diagonal element is $R$－diagonal．In Section 3 we derive some estimates on the radius of the support of the free multiplicative convolution power of a measure compactly supported in $[0, \infty[$ ．In Section 4 we compute distributions and $R$－series related to powers of the circular element．

## 2．POWERS OF $R$－DIAGONAL PAIRS

In the sequel we let $M^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of symmetric compactly supported probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$ ，and let $r_{j}(\mu)$ denote the $j$＇th coefficient in $R_{\mu}$ ：

$$
R_{\mu}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_{j}(\mu) z^{j}
$$

Proposition 5.2 in［11］shows that if $\mu \in M^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ then $r_{2 j-1}(\mu)=0$ for all $j$ in $\mathbb{N}$ ．

Lemma 2.1. The sets

$$
\left\{\left(\mu\left(X^{2}\right), \ldots, \mu\left(X^{2 n}\right)\right) \mid \mu \in M^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})\right\}, \quad\left\{\left(r_{2}(\mu), \ldots, r_{2 n}(\mu)\right) \mid \mu \in M^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})\right\}
$$

have interior points for all natural numbers $n$.
Proof. The measure $\mu=\frac{1}{2} 1_{[-1,1]}(x) \mathrm{d} x$ is symmetric and the even moments are $\mu\left(X^{2 k}\right)=(2 k+1)^{-1}(k \in \mathbb{N})$. Fix a natural number $n$ and put

$$
B_{1}=\left\{\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\right| \alpha_{j} \mid<1\right\}
$$

Let $P_{2 j}$ denote the normalized Legendre polynomial of order $2 j$, and for $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ $\in B_{1}$ we define

$$
\mathrm{d} \mu_{\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{0}(x)+\alpha_{1} P_{2}(x)+\cdots+\alpha_{n} P_{2 n}(x)\right) \cdot 1_{[-1,1]}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Observe that $P_{2 j}$ is an even polynomial, $\left|P_{2 j}(x)\right| \leqslant 1$ for $|x| \leqslant 1$ hence

$$
\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} P_{2 j}(x)\right| \leqslant 1
$$

on $[-1,1]$. The orthogonality properties of the sequence $\left(P_{2 j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ implies that

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} x^{2 i} P_{2 j}(x) \mathrm{d} x=0, \quad \int_{-1}^{1} x^{2 j} P_{2 j}(x) \mathrm{d} x \neq 0
$$

whenever $i=0, \ldots, j-1, j \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular it follows that $\mu_{\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)} \in M^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ (for $\left.\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in B_{1}\right)$. Then

$$
\mu_{\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)}\left(X^{2 k}\right)=\frac{1}{2 k+1}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \int_{-1}^{1} x^{2 k} P_{2 j}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\mu\left(X^{2 k}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{k j} \alpha_{j}
$$

where $b_{k j}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} x^{2 k} P_{2 j}(x) \mathrm{d} x$. Especially $b_{j j} \neq 0(j=1, \ldots, n)$ and $b_{k j}=0$ if $k<j$. Then

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu_{\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)}\left(X^{2}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
\vdots \\
\mu_{\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)}\left(X^{2 n}\right)
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu\left(X^{2}\right) \\
\vdots \\
\mu\left(X^{2 n}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
b_{11} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
b_{n 1} & \cdots & b_{n n}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{n}
\end{array}\right)=B\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$. Since $B$ is invertible Equation (2.1) shows that ( $\mu\left(X^{2}\right), \ldots$, $\left.\mu\left(X^{2 n}\right)\right)$ is an interior point in $\left\{\left(\nu\left(X^{2}\right), \ldots, \nu\left(X^{2 n}\right)\right) \mid \nu \in M^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})\right\}$.

There exist (universal) continuous functions $F, G: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
r_{2}(\nu) \\
\vdots \\
r_{2 n}(\nu)
\end{array}\right)=F\left(\begin{array}{c}
\nu\left(X^{2}\right) \\
\vdots \\
\nu\left(X^{2 n}\right)
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{c}
\nu\left(X^{2}\right) \\
\vdots \\
\nu\left(X^{2 n}\right)
\end{array}\right)=G\left(\begin{array}{c}
r_{2}(\nu) \\
\vdots \\
r_{2 n}(\nu)
\end{array}\right), \quad \nu \in M^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})
$$

and $F \circ G=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}=G \circ F$. In particular $F$ is open and $\left\{\left(r_{2}(\nu), \ldots, r_{2 n}(\nu)\right) \mid \nu \in\right.$ $\left.M^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})\right\}$ has an interior point.

Remark. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)=L\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) * \underset{\mu \in M^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})}{*}\left(L^{\infty}(\mu), \int \cdot \mathrm{d} \mu\right)$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal trace $\tau$. Let $a$ be a generating unitary in $L\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. If $T$ is an arbitrary element in some finite non-commutative $W^{*}$ probability space it follows from Corollary 3.2 in [5] that the element $a \cdot \operatorname{id}_{L^{\infty}\left(\tilde{\mu}_{|T|}\right)}$ is an $R$-diagonal element in $\mathcal{M}$ and that it has the same $*$-distribution as $T$. Thus $\mathcal{M}$ contains a representative of every $R$-diagonal element. Let

$$
S_{n}=\left\{\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \mid \exists T \in \mathcal{M}: R_{\left(T, T^{*}\right)}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{j}\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{j}\left(z_{2} z_{1}\right)^{j}\right\}
$$

It follows from Proposition 5.2 in [11] that

$$
S_{n}=\left\{\left(r_{2}(\mu), \ldots, r_{2 n}(\mu)\right) \mid \mu \in M^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})\right\}
$$

thus $S_{n}$ has an interior point according to Lemma 2.1.
Theorem 2.2. Let $(a, b)$ be an $R$-diagonal pair in a non-commutative probability space $(A, \varphi)$, and let $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\left(a^{p}, b^{p}\right)$ is an $R$-diagonal pair with determining series

$$
f_{\left(a^{p}, b^{p}\right)}=R_{a b}^{\circledast p} \text { 困 Möb. }
$$

In particular $R_{a^{p} b^{p}}=R_{a b}^{* p}$.
Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n} \in\{1,2\}$. We first assume that $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)$ denotes an index off the diagonal, i.e., $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \neq(1,2, \ldots, 1,2)$ and $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \neq$ $(2,1, \ldots, 2,1)$. We note the existence of a universal polynomial $P$ such that

$$
\left[\operatorname{coef}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)\right] R_{\left(a^{p}, b^{p}\right)}=P([\operatorname{coef}(1,2)] R_{(a, b)}, \ldots,[\operatorname{coef}(\underbrace{1,2, \ldots, 1,2}_{2 p n})] R_{(a, b)})
$$

whenever $(a, b)$ is an $R$－diagonal pair in some non－commutative probability space． Indeed，if $R \in \mathbb{C}\left\langle z_{1}, z_{2}\right\rangle$ is of the form

$$
R\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{j}\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{j}\left(z_{2} z_{1}\right)^{j}
$$

we define $M, M^{(p)}, R^{(p)} \in \mathbb{C}\left\langle z_{1}, z_{2}\right\rangle$ by

$$
M=R \text { 囷 } \zeta_{2}, \quad M^{(p)}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=M\left(z_{1}^{p}, z_{2}^{p}\right), \quad R^{(p)}=M^{(p)} \text { 囷 } \mathrm{Möb}_{2}
$$

and it follows that there exists a polynomial $P$ such that $\left[\operatorname{coef}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)\right] R^{(p)}=$ $P\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p n}\right)$ ．If $a$ and $b$ are random variables in a non－commutative probability space $(A, \varphi)$ and $(a, b)$ is an $R$－diagonal pair with determining series $f_{(a, b)}(z)=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{j} z^{j}$ ，then

$$
\left[\operatorname{coef}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)\right] R_{\left(a^{p}, b^{p}\right)}=P\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p n}\right)
$$

If $T$ is an $R$－diagonal element in $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ it follows from Proposition 3.10 in［5］that $T^{p}$ is $R$－diagonal．This implies that $P\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p n}\right)=0$ whenever $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p n}\right) \in S_{p n}$ ．Since $S_{p n}$ has an interior point this implies that $P=0$ hence $\left[\operatorname{coef}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)\right] R_{\left(a^{p}, b^{p}\right)}=0$.

We next assume that $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)=(\underbrace{1,2, \ldots, 1,2}_{n})$ ．Then a symmetry argu－ ment reveals that

$$
[\operatorname{coef}(\underbrace{1,2, \ldots, 1,2}_{n})] R_{\left(a^{p}, b^{p}\right)}=[\operatorname{coef}(\underbrace{2,1, \ldots, 2,1}_{n})] R_{\left(a^{p}, b^{p}\right)} .
$$

Since $n$ and $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}$ are arbitrary we conclude that（ $a^{p}, b^{p}$ ）is $R$－diagonal．
Finally we compute the determining series $f_{\left(a^{p}, b^{p}\right)}$ for $\left(a^{p}, b^{p}\right)$ ．Note that for given $n$ there exists a universal polynomial $P$ such that

$$
[\operatorname{coef}(n)] f_{\left(a^{p}, b^{p}\right)}-[\operatorname{coef}(n)] R_{a b}^{\circledast} p \text { 困 Möb }=P\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p n}\right)
$$

where $\alpha_{j}=[\operatorname{coef}(j)] f_{(a, b)}$ ．If $\left(T, T^{*}\right)$ is an $R$－diagonal pair in $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ then $f_{\left(T^{p},\left(T^{p}\right)^{*}\right)}$
 whence $P\left(S_{p n}\right)=\{0\}$ ．We conclude that $P=0$ and thus $f_{\left(a^{p}, b^{p}\right)}=R_{a b}^{\text {ख } p}$ 困 Möb．

Theorem 3.1. Let $p$ be a natural number, let $\mu, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{p}$ be compactly supported probability measures on $[0, \infty[$. Then:
(i) $r\left(\mu^{\boxtimes p}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{e} p r(\mu) \mu(X)^{p-1}$;
(ii) if $r\left(\mu_{j}\right)>0$ for all $j=1, \ldots, p$ then

$$
r\left(\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{e} p \max _{j=1, \ldots, p} \frac{r\left(\mu_{j}\right)}{\mu_{j}(X)} \cdot \mu_{1}(X) \cdots \mu_{p}(X)
$$

(iii) $r\left(\mu^{\boxtimes p}\right) \geqslant \mu(X)^{p}+p V(\mu) \mu(X)^{p-2}$;
(iv) if $r\left(\mu_{j}\right)>0$ for all $j=1, \ldots, p$ then

$$
r\left(\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}\right) \geqslant \mu_{1}(X) \cdots \mu_{p}(X)\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{V\left(\mu_{j}\right)}{\mu_{j}(X)^{2}}\right) .
$$

Proof. The idea of the proof of (i) is to find an interval ]0, $y_{0}$ [ on which $\chi_{\mu \boxtimes_{p}}$ is analytic and $\chi_{\mu^{\boxtimes}}^{\prime}>0$. Then $r\left(\mu^{\boxtimes p}\right)^{-1} \geqslant \lim _{y \rightarrow y_{0}-} \chi_{\mu^{\boxtimes_{p}}}(y)$.

The statement holds trivially for $p=1$ and for $\mu=\delta_{0}$ so let $p$ be a natural number greater than 2 and assume that $\mu \neq \delta_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\mu^{\boxtimes},}(z)=\left(\frac{z+1}{z}\right)^{p-1} \chi_{\mu}(z)^{p} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it follows that $\chi_{\mu^{\otimes_{p}}}$ is analytic in a neighbourhood of $] 0, y_{0}\left[\right.$ if $\chi_{\mu}$ is analytic in a neighbourhood of $] 0, y_{0}\left[\right.$. It follows from (3.1) that $\chi_{\mu}^{\prime} \boxtimes_{p}>0$ on $] 0, y_{0}[$ if and only if

$$
\frac{p-1}{p}<y(1+y) \frac{\chi_{\mu}^{\prime}(y)}{\chi_{\mu}(y)}
$$

for all $y$ in $] 0, y_{0}\left[\right.$. Inserting $y=\psi_{\mu}(t)$ we infer that $\chi_{\mu^{\prime} \boxtimes_{p}}^{\prime}>0$ on $] 0, y_{0}[$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p-1}{p}<\frac{\psi_{\mu}(t)\left(1+\psi_{\mu}(t)\right)}{t \psi_{\mu}^{\prime}(t)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t$ in $] 0, t_{0}\left[\right.$ where $t_{0}=\lim _{y \rightarrow y_{0}-} \chi_{\mu}(y)$.
Choose $t_{0}=(\operatorname{pr}(\mu))^{-1}$. Using the integral formula for $\psi_{\mu}$ we estimate:

$$
\frac{\psi_{\mu}(t)\left(1+\psi_{\mu}(t)\right)}{t \psi_{\mu}^{\prime}(t)}>\frac{\psi_{\mu}(t)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{t s}{(1-t s)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \mu(s)} \geqslant 1-\operatorname{tr}(\mu)
$$

hence (3.2) holds for all $t$ in $] 0, t_{0}\left[\right.$. Then $\psi_{\mu}$ is analytic in a neighbourhood of $] 0, t_{0}$ ] hence $\chi_{\mu}$ is analytic in a neighbourhood of $\left[0, y_{0}\right]$ and we can estimate:
$\frac{\psi_{\mu}\left(t_{0}\right)}{t_{0}}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{s}{1-s t_{0}} \mathrm{~d} \mu(s) \leqslant \frac{\mu(X)}{1-r(\mu) t_{0}}$,
$\chi_{\mu^{\boxtimes}}\left(\psi_{\mu}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \geqslant\left(\psi_{\mu}\left(t_{0}\right)+1\right)^{p-1}\left(\frac{1-r(\mu) t_{0}}{\mu(X)}\right)^{p-1} t_{0} \geqslant \frac{1}{p r(\mu) \mu(X)^{p-1}}\left(1-p^{-1}\right)^{p-1}$
whence

$$
r\left(\mu^{\boxtimes p}\right) \leqslant p r(\mu) \mu(X)^{p-1}\left(1+\frac{1}{p-1}\right)^{p-1} \leqslant \mathrm{e} p r(\mu) \mu(X)^{p-1}
$$

which shows (i).
Put $\alpha=\left(\mu_{1}(X) \cdots \mu_{p}(X)\right)^{1 / p}$ and let $\nu_{j}(j=1, \ldots, p)$ be the image measure $\left(\mu_{j}\right)_{z \mapsto \alpha z / \mu_{j}(X)}$. Then $\nu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \nu_{p}=\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}$ and $\nu_{1}(X)=\cdots=\nu_{p}(X)=\alpha$. Due to the foregoing analysis we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p-1}{p}<y(y+1) \frac{\chi_{\nu_{j}}^{\prime}(y)}{\chi_{\nu_{j}}(y)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y$ in $] 0, y_{0}^{(j)}\left[\right.$ where $y_{0}^{(j)}=\psi_{\nu_{j}}\left(\left(p r\left(\nu_{j}\right)\right)^{-1}\right)$. Put $r=\max _{j=1, \ldots, p} r\left(\nu_{j}\right)$ and $t_{0}=\min _{j=1, \ldots, p}\left(\operatorname{pr}\left(\nu_{j}\right)\right)^{-1}=(p r)^{-1}$. Then (3.3) holds on $] 0, y_{0, j}\left[\right.$ where $y_{0, j}=$ $\psi_{\nu_{j}}\left(t_{0}\right)$ hence the estimate (3.3) holds on $] 0, y_{0}\left[\right.$ where $y_{0}=\min _{j=1, \ldots, p} y_{0, j}$. We assume without loss of generality that $y_{0}=y_{0,1}$. Note that $\chi_{\nu_{j}}\left(y_{0}\right) \leqslant \chi_{\nu_{j}}\left(y_{0, j}\right)=$ $\chi_{\nu_{j}}\left(\psi_{\nu_{j}}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=t_{0}$. Then

$$
(p-1) \frac{1}{y(y+1)}<p \min _{j=1, \ldots, p} \frac{\chi_{\nu_{j}}^{\prime}(y)}{\chi_{\nu_{j}}(y)}
$$

for all $y$ in $] 0, y_{0}[$ and we conclude that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} y} \log \chi_{\nu_{1} \boxtimes \ldots \boxtimes \nu_{p}}(y)=\sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{\chi_{\nu_{j}}^{\prime}(y)}{\chi_{\nu_{j}}(y)}-(p-1) \frac{1}{y(y+1)}>0
$$

for all $y$ in $] 0, y_{0}\left[\right.$. Thus $\chi_{\nu_{1} \boxtimes \ldots \boxtimes \nu_{p}}^{\prime}>0$ on $] 0, y_{0}\left[\right.$, and since $p \geqslant 2$ each $\chi_{\nu_{j}}$ is analytic on a neighbourhood of $\left.] 0, y_{0}\right]$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r\left(\nu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \nu_{p}\right)^{-1} \geqslant \chi_{\nu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \nu_{p}}\left(y_{0}\right)=\left(\frac{y_{0}+1}{y_{0}}\right)^{p-1} \chi_{\nu_{1}}\left(y_{0}\right) \cdots \chi_{\nu_{p}}\left(y_{0}\right) \\
& \geqslant \chi_{\nu_{1}}\left(\psi_{\nu_{1}}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \prod_{j=2}^{p} \frac{\chi_{\nu_{j}}\left(y_{0}\right)}{y_{0}}=t_{0} \prod_{j=2}^{p} \frac{\chi_{\nu_{j}}\left(y_{0}\right)}{\psi_{\nu_{j}}\left(\chi_{\nu_{j}}\left(y_{0}\right)\right)} \\
& \geqslant t_{0} \prod_{j=2}^{p} \frac{1-r\left(\nu_{j}\right) \chi_{\nu_{j}}\left(y_{0}\right)}{\nu_{j}(X)} \geqslant t_{0} \prod_{j=2}^{p} \frac{1-r t_{0}}{\nu_{j}(X)}=t_{0}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{p-1} \prod_{j=2}^{p} \frac{1}{\nu_{j}(X)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
r\left(\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}\right) & =r\left(\nu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \nu_{p}\right) \leqslant p r\left(1-\frac{1}{p-1}\right)^{p-1} \alpha^{p-1} \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{e} p \max _{j=1, \ldots, p} \frac{\alpha r\left(\mu_{j}\right)}{\mu_{j}(X)} \alpha^{p-1}=\mathrm{e} p \max _{j=1, \ldots, p} \frac{r\left(\mu_{j}\right)}{\mu_{j}(X)} \cdot \mu_{1}(X) \cdots \mu_{p}(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

and this proves (ii).
To prove (iv) we first note that if $\mu$ is a measure with non-vanishing first moment the power series of $\mathcal{S}_{\mu}$ is

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\mu}(z)=\frac{1}{\mu(X)}-\frac{V(\mu)}{\mu(X)^{3}} z+\mathrm{O}\left(z^{2}\right)
$$

as $z \rightarrow 0$. This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}}(z) & =\prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{\mu_{j}(X)}\left(1-\frac{V\left(\mu_{j}\right)}{\mu_{j}(X)^{2}} z+\mathrm{O}\left(z^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(X) \cdots \mu_{p}(X)}\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{V\left(\mu_{j}\right)}{\mu_{j}(X)^{2}} z\right)+\mathrm{O}\left(z^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\frac{V\left(\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}\right)}{\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}(X)^{3}}=\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(X) \cdots \mu_{p}(X)} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{V\left(\mu_{j}\right)}{\mu_{j}(X)^{2}},
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
r\left(\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}\right) & \geqslant \frac{V\left(\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}\right)}{\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}(X)}+\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}(X) \\
& =\mu_{1}(X) \cdots \mu_{p}(X)\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{V\left(\mu_{j}\right)}{\mu_{j}(X)^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows (iv).
If $\mu$ is a Dirac measure then $r\left(\mu^{\boxtimes p}\right)=\mu(X)^{p}, V(\mu)=0$ and (iii) is fulfilled. If $\mu$ is not a Dirac measure then (iii) follows from (iv).

Corollary 3.2. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}, T, T_{1}, \ldots, T_{p}$ be $R$-diagonal elements in a noncommutative probability space $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with a faithful normal tracial state. Then

$$
\left\|T^{p}\right\| \leqslant \sqrt{\mathrm{e} p}\|T\|\|T\|_{2}^{p-1}
$$

for every natural number $p$, and if $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{p}$ are $*$-free and $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{p} \neq 0$ then

$$
\left\|T_{1} \cdots T_{p}\right\| \leqslant \sqrt{\mathrm{e} p} \max _{j=1, \ldots, p} \frac{\left\|T_{j}\right\|}{\left\|T_{j}\right\|_{2}} \cdot\left\|T_{1}\right\|_{2} \cdots\left\|T_{p}\right\|_{2}
$$

Proof. It follows from Propositions 3.6 and 3.10 in [5] that $T^{p}$ and $T_{1} \cdots T_{p}$ are $R$-diagonal and that $\mu_{\left|T^{p}\right|^{2}}=\mu_{|T|^{2}}^{\boxtimes p}, \mu_{\left|T_{1} \cdots T_{p}\right|^{2}}=\mu_{\left|T_{1}\right|^{2}} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{\left|T_{p}\right|^{2}}$. Then we estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r\left(\mu_{\left|T_{1}\right|^{2}} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{\left|T_{p}\right|^{2}}\right) & \leqslant \mathrm{e} p \max _{j=1, \ldots, p} \frac{r\left(\mu_{\left|T_{j}\right|^{2}}\right)}{\mu_{\left|T_{j}\right|^{2}}(X)} \cdot \mu_{\left|T_{1}\right|^{2}}(X) \cdots \mu_{\left|T_{p}\right|^{2}}(X) \\
& =\mathrm{e} p \max _{j=1, \ldots, p} \frac{\left\|T_{j}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|T_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}} \cdot\left\|T_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2} \cdots\left\|T_{p}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the conclusion follows.
If $\mu$ is not a Dirac measure Theorem 3.1 (i) shows that $r\left(\mu^{\boxtimes p}\right)=\mathrm{O}\left(p \mu(X)^{p}\right)$ and (iii) shows that this is the best asymptotic estimate. Thus $\left\|T^{p}\right\|=\mathrm{O}\left(\sqrt{p}\|T\|_{2}^{p}\right)$ is the best asymptotic estimate for the norm of an $R$-diagonal element (compare to the estimate $\left\|T^{p}\right\| \leqslant(1+p)\|T\|\|T\|_{2}^{p-1}$ obtained in Corollary 4.2 in [5]).

Corollary 3.3. If $p$ is a natural number, and $\mu, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{p}$ are compactly supported probability measures on $] 0, \infty[$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
m\left(\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}\right) & \geqslant \frac{1}{\mathrm{e} p} \cdot \frac{\min _{j=1, \ldots, p} m\left(\mu_{j}\right) \mu_{j}^{-1}(X)}{\mu_{1}^{-1}(X) \cdots \mu_{p}^{-1}(X)},  \tag{3.4}\\
m\left(\mu^{\boxtimes p}\right) & \geqslant \frac{1}{\mathrm{e} p} \cdot \frac{m(\mu)}{\left(\mu^{-1}(X)\right)^{p-1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. In the finite non-commutative $W^{*}$-probability space $\underset{j=1}{\stackrel{p}{*}}\left(L^{\infty}\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right.$, $\left.\int \cdot \mathrm{d} \mu_{j}\right)$ we can find a free family $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right\}$ of positive invertible elements such that the distribution (as a measure) of $a_{j}$ is $\mu_{j}$ for all $j$. Then the distribution of $a_{1} \cdots a_{p}$ is $\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}$ and the distribution of $\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{p}\right)^{-1}$ is

$$
\mu_{\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{p}\right)^{-1}}=\mu_{a_{p}^{-1} \cdots a_{1}^{-1}}=\mu_{a_{p}^{-1}} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{a_{1}^{-1}}=\mu_{1}^{-1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}^{-1}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
m\left(\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}\right)^{-1} & =r\left(\left(\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}\right)^{-1}\right)=r\left(\mu_{1}^{-1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}^{-1}\right) \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{e} p \max _{j=1, \ldots, p} \frac{r\left(\mu_{j}^{-1}\right)}{\mu_{j}^{-1}(X)} \cdot \mu_{1}^{-1}(X) \cdots \mu_{p}^{-1}(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
m\left(\mu_{1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_{p}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{\mathrm{e} p} \cdot \frac{\min _{j=1, \ldots, p} m\left(\mu_{j}\right) \mu_{j}^{-1}(X)}{\mu_{1}^{-1}(X) \cdots \mu_{p}^{-1}(X)}
$$

and the conclusion follows.

Let $\mu$ be a compactly supported probability measure on $] 0, \infty[$. For the free multiplicative convolution power $\mu^{\boxtimes p}$ of $\mu$ we have the obvious estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(\mu^{\boxtimes p}\right) \geqslant m(\mu)^{p} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mu$ is a Dirac measure then $m\left(\mu^{\boxtimes p}\right)=m(\mu)^{p}$. In the following we assume that $\mu$ is not a Dirac measure. Then

$$
m(\mu) \mu^{-1}(X)=m(\mu) \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{-1} \mathrm{~d} \mu(t)<m(\mu) \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(\mu)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} \mu(t)=1
$$

and the estimate

$$
\frac{m(\mu)^{p}}{\frac{m(\mu)}{\mathrm{e} p \mu^{-1}(X)}}=\mathrm{e} p\left(m(\mu) \mu^{-1}(X)\right)^{p-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow \infty
$$

shows that the estimate (3.4) is sharper than (3.5) for large $p$.

## 4. POWERS OF THE CIRCULAR ELEMENT

In this section we compute the norm of every power of the circular element $c$. Furthermore we determine the distribution of $\left|c^{p}\right|^{2}$ and the $R$-transform of $\left(c^{p},\left(c^{p}\right)^{*}\right)$ for any $p$ in $\mathbb{N}$. It is recently shown in [12] that powers of the circular element are $R$-diagonal elements, and the coefficients in the $R$-transforms were computed.

Proposition 4.1. Let $c$ be the circular element and let p be a natural number. Then:
(i) $\left\|c^{p}\right\|^{2}=(p+1)^{p+1} / p^{p}$;
(ii) the moments of $\left|c^{p}\right|^{2}$ are $\mu_{\left|c^{p}\right|^{2}}\left(X^{n}\right)=C_{n}^{(p+1)}$ for all natural numbers $p$ and $n$;
(iii) the determining function $f_{\left(c^{p},\left(c^{p}\right)^{*}\right)}$ for the $R$-diagonal element $c^{p}$ is

$$
f_{\left(c^{p},\left(c^{p}\right)^{*}\right)}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}^{(p-1)} z^{n}
$$

for every natural number p greater than 2.
Proof. Let $\mu=\mu_{|c|^{2}}$. We first note that $\left\|c^{p}\right\|^{2}=r\left(\mu_{\left|c^{p}\right|^{2}}\right)=r\left(\mu^{\boxtimes p}\right)$. It is shown in [5] that $\mathcal{S}_{\mu}(z)=1 /(z+1)$ hence $\chi_{\mu}(z)=z /(z+1)^{2}$ and $\chi_{\mu^{\boxtimes}}(z)=z /(z+$ $1)^{p+1}$ are analytic in a neighbourhood of $[0, \infty[$. It is straightforward to verify that $\chi_{\mu^{\boxtimes} p}^{\prime}>0$ on $] 0, p^{-1}\left[\right.$ and $\chi_{\mu^{\boxtimes} p}^{\prime}\left(p^{-1}\right)=0$ hence $1 / r\left(\mu^{\boxtimes p}\right)=\chi_{\mu^{\boxtimes p}}\left(p^{-1}\right)=$ $p^{p} /(p+1)^{p+1}$ and (i) follows.

For $n, p$ natural numbers we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\left|c^{p}\right|^{2}}\left(X^{n}\right) & =\text { the coefficient of } z^{n} \text { in } \psi_{\mu_{\left|c^{p}\right|^{2}}}(z) \\
& \stackrel{(*)}{=} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Res}\left(\chi_{\mu_{\left|c^{p}\right|^{2}}}(z)^{-n}, z=0\right)=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Res}\left(\chi_{\mu^{\boxtimes}}(z)^{-n}, z=0\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{(z+1)^{(p+1) n}}{z^{n}}, z=0\right)=\frac{1}{n}\binom{(p+1) n}{n-1}=C_{n}^{(p+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

At $(*)$ we use Lagranges Inversion Theorem，cf．Section 3.8 in［1］，［3］．This shows（ii）．

To prove（iii）we first note that $\mathcal{R}_{\mu}(z)=(1-z)^{-1}$ hence $R_{\mu}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z^{j}=$ $\zeta(z)$ ．Then

$$
R_{\mu_{c p}\left(c^{p}\right)^{*}}=R_{\mu_{\left(c^{p}\right)^{*} c^{p}}}=R_{\mu^{\boxtimes} p}=\underbrace{R_{\mu} \text { 囷 } R_{\mu} R_{\mu}}_{p \text { terms }}=\zeta^{\text {柬 } p}
$$

hence

$$
f_{\left(c^{p},\left(c^{p}\right)^{*}\right)}=R_{\mu_{c^{p}\left(c^{p}\right)^{*}}} \text { 図 } \mathrm{M} \ddot{\mathrm{~b}}=\zeta^{\text {困 } p} \text { 図 } \mathrm{M} \ddot{\mathrm{~b}}=\zeta^{\text {困 }(p-1)} \text {. }
$$

If $p=2$ then $f_{\left(c^{p},\left(c^{p}\right)^{*}\right)}(z)=\zeta(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{n}$ and if $p>2$ then

$$
f_{\left(c^{p},\left(c^{p}\right)^{*}\right)}=\zeta^{\text {困 }(p-1)}=\zeta \text { 困 } R_{\mu^{\boxtimes(p-2)}}=\zeta \text { 困 Möb 柬 } \psi_{\mu^{\boxtimes(p-2)}}=\psi_{\mu^{\boxtimes(p-2)}},
$$

$$
\text { i.e., } f_{\left(c^{p},\left(c^{p}\right)^{*}\right)}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}^{(p-1)} z^{n} \text {. }
$$

In addition the computations show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{\text {因 } p}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}^{(p)} z^{n} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $p \geqslant 2$ ．Using the combinatorial Fourier Transform invented in［9］we can also compute Möb ${ }^{\circledast p}$ for any natural number $p$ ：let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the Fourier transform on formal power series without constant terms and with non－vanishing first coefficients defined as follows（cf．［9］）：

$$
z \mathcal{F} f(z)=f^{-1}(z)
$$

（here $f^{-1}$ denotes the inverse with respect to composition．）Then $\mathcal{F}(f$ 困 $g)=\mathcal{F} f$ ． $\mathcal{F} g$ for all power series $f$ and $g$ in the domain of $\mathcal{F}$ ．Also $\mathcal{F} \zeta(z)=1 /(1+z)$ hence $\mathcal{F}\left(\zeta^{\circledast} p\right)(z)=1 /(1+z)^{p}$ and $\mathcal{F}\left(\mathrm{Möb}^{\text {W }} p\right)(z)=(1+z)^{p}$ ．Thus Möb ${ }^{⿴ 囗} p(z)=(z(1+$
$\left.z)^{p}\right)^{\langle-1\rangle}$ ，and Lagranges Inversion Formula applies to compute the coefficients in Möb $^{* p}$ ：Let Möb ${ }^{*} p(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} z^{n}$ ，then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{n} & =\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Res}\left(\left(\frac{1}{z(1+z)^{p}}\right)^{n}, z=0\right)=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Res}\left(z^{-n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\binom{-p n}{j} z^{j}, z=0\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n}\binom{-p n}{n-1}=: C_{n}^{(-p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Möb}^{\circledast p}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}^{(-p)} z^{n} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following formulas（4．1）and（4．2），we have Möb $=\zeta^{\text {娄 }(-1)}$ and Möb ${ }^{\text {W } p}=\zeta^{\text {困 }(-p)}$ for all natural numbers $p$ ．

The coefficients in the series $\zeta^{⿴ 囗 ⿻}{ }^{p}$ was also computed in［13］．
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