# ACTION OF DISCRETE AMENABLE GROUPS ON REAL W\*-ALGEBRAS

# A.A. RAKHIMOV

#### Communicated by William B. Arveson

ABSTRACT. We consider a real analogue of the result of A. Ocneanu about the actions of discrete amenable groups on W\*-algebras. One gives the classification up to outer conjugacy of the actions of amenable groups on the hyperfinite real factor of type II<sub>1</sub>. A main result is the uniqueness up to outer conjugacy of the free action of an amenable group on the hyperfinite real factor of type II<sub>1</sub>.

KEYWORDS: Real W\*-algebra, action of groups on real W\*-algebras, discrete amenable groups.

MSC (2000): 46L10.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The classical papers by Connes [2] and [4] showed that the structure of factors is closely connected with properties of their automorphisms. In [5] and [3] Connes gave the complete classification of periodic automorphisms of hyperfinite type II<sub>1</sub> factor and described the outer conjugation classes of automorphisms of injective type II<sub>∞</sub> factors. On the other hand the classification of periodic automorphisms of W<sup>\*</sup>-algebras is a classification of the actions of a finite cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  on W<sup>\*</sup>-algebra, where *n* is a period of automorphism. In [6] Jones generalized the Connes work for arbitrary finite groups. In [10] and [7] the classifications of the actions are given for amenable discrete and compact abelian groups.

The classification of periodic automorphisms of hyperfinite real types  $II_1$ ,  $II_{\infty}$  factors were taken by Rakhimov and Usmanov in [12], [13]. In [11] those results generalized for arbitrary finite groups.

In the present paper the author will consider the actions of discrete amenable groups on real W\*-algebras. Similarly to the complex case (Ocneanu's work), one gives a complete classification (up to outer conjugacy) of the actions of a discrete amenable group on the hyperfinite real factor of type II<sub>1</sub>.

#### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let B(H) be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space H. A weakly closed \*-subalgebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  with identity element **1** in B(H) is called a W\*-algebra. A real \*-subalgebra  $\mathfrak{R} \subset B(H)$  is called a *real* W\*-algebra if it is closed in the weak operator topology and  $\mathfrak{R} \cap i\mathfrak{R} = \{0\}$ . A real W\*-algebra  $\mathfrak{R}$ is called a *real factor* if its center  $Z(\mathfrak{R})$  contains only elements of the form  $\{\lambda \mathbf{1}\}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . We say that a real W\*-algebra  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of the type I<sub>fin</sub>, I<sub> $\infty$ </sub>, II<sub>1</sub>, II<sub> $\infty$ </sub>, or III<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>,  $(0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)$  if the enveloping W\*-algebra  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  has the corresponding type in the ordinary classification of W\*-algebras. A linear mapping  $\alpha$  of an algebra into itself with  $\alpha(x^*) = \alpha(x)^*$  is called a \*-automorphism if  $\alpha(xy) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)$ ; *involutive* \*-antiautomorphism if  $\alpha(xy) = \alpha(y)\alpha(x)$  and  $\alpha^2(x) = x$ . If  $\alpha$  is an involutive \*-antiautomorphism of W\*-algebra M, we denote by  $(M, \alpha)$  the real W\*-algebra, generated by  $\alpha$ , i.e.  $(M, \alpha) = \{x \in M : \alpha(x) = x^*\}$  (see [1]).

Let *N* be a real or complex W\*-algebra and *G* be a group, the identity of *G* will be written as **1**. An *action* of *G* on *N* is a homomorphism  $\theta : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(N)$ ;  $\theta$  is called *free* if  $\theta_g \in \operatorname{Int}(N)$  ( $g \neq \mathbf{1}$ ); *crossed* if  $\theta_{\mathbf{1}} = \operatorname{Id}$  and  $\theta_g \theta_h \theta_{gh}^{-1} \in \operatorname{Int}(N)$ , for any  $g, h \in G$ , where  $\operatorname{Aut}(N)$  (respectively  $\operatorname{Int}(N)$ ) is the group of all \*-automorphisms (respectively inner \*-automorphisms) of *N*. Two actions  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  of *G* on *N* are called *conjugate* if there is a \*-automorphism  $\sigma$  of *N* such that  $\sigma \theta_g \sigma^{-1} = \theta'_g$ , for all  $g \in G$ ; *outer conjugate* if there are a unitary cocycle *u* for  $\theta$ , i.e. unitaries  $u_g \in N$ ,  $g \in G$  with  $u_{gh} = u_g \theta_g(u_h)$  and a \*-automorphism  $\sigma$  of *N* such that  $\sigma \operatorname{Au}_g \theta_g \sigma^{-1} = \theta'_g$ , for all  $g \in G$ .

#### 3. MODEL ACTION

Let *G* be an amenable group and  $\mathcal{K}$  be a paving structure of *G*,  $S_i^n$ ,  $K_i^n$  and  $M^n$  the sets of *G*, constructed in the Chapter 3 of [10]. We use  $\mathcal{K}$  and those sets to index the matrix units of an UHF-algebra. Let  $\mathcal{E}^0$  be a finite dimensional factor of dimension  $|\overline{S}^0|$ ;  $\mathcal{F}^n$  be a factor of dimension  $|M^n|$  ( $n \ge 0$ ) and  $\mathcal{E}^{n+1} = \mathcal{E}^n \otimes \mathcal{F}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the finite factor obtained as weak closure of the UHF-algebra  $\bigcup_n \mathcal{E}^n$  on the GNS representation associated to its canonical trace. Let  $(e_{s_1,s_2}^n)$  ( $s_i \in S^n$ ) be a

system of matrix units in 
$$\mathcal{E}^n$$
 and  $u_g^n$  be a unitary of  $\mathcal{E}^n$  given by

$$u_g^n = \sum_i \sum_{(k,s)} e_{(k_1,s),(k,s)}^n$$

where  $g \in G$ ,  $i \in I_n$ ,  $(k,s) \in K_i^n \times S_i^n$ ,  $k_1 = \ell_g^n(k)$  and  $\ell_g^n : K^n \to K^n$  is the approximate left *g*-translation defined in 3.4 of [10].

We define the canonical involutive \*-antiautomorphism  $\alpha_n$  of  $\mathcal{E}^n$  as

$$\alpha_n(e_{s_1,s_2}^n) = e_{s_2,s_1}^n.$$

It is easy see that  $\alpha_n(u_g^n) = (u_g^n)^*$ ,  $g \in G$ .

Since  $|\overline{S}^n| \to \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  is a hyperfinite factor of type II<sub>1</sub>; for each  $g \in G$ ,  $u_g = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_g^n$  \*-strongly was shown in 4.4 of [10] to exist and yield a faithful representation of *G* in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the canonical involutive \*-antiautomorphism of  $\mathcal{E}$ , generated by  $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . For each n,  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^n \otimes ((\mathcal{E}^n)' \cap \mathcal{E})$  and  $(\mathcal{E}^n)' \cap \mathcal{E}$  is a hyperfinite subfactor of  $\mathcal{E}$  type II<sub>1</sub>, on which Ad $u_g$  acts almost trivially. The three  $(\mathcal{E}, (u_g), \alpha)$  is called the *submodel*; Ad $u_g$  the *submodel action* and  $\alpha$  the *submodel involution*.

Let *R* be a countably infinite tensor product of copies of the submodel factor  $\mathcal{E}$ , taken with respect to the normalized trace, and for each  $g \in G$ , we let  $\theta_g^o$  and  $\alpha^o$  be the corresponding tensor product of copies of the submodel action  $\operatorname{Adu}_g$  and submodel involution  $\alpha$  respectively. Then *R* is the hyperfinite factor of type II<sub>1</sub>,  $\theta^o$  is a free action of *G* on *R* (for each  $g \in G$  we have  $\theta_g^o \in \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ ), and  $\alpha^o$  is an involutive \*-antiautomorphism of *R* with  $\theta^o \cdot \alpha^o = \alpha^o \cdot \theta^o$ . We call  $\Re = (R, \alpha^o)$  the *real model* and  $\theta^o : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$  the *model action*. The restriction of  $\theta^o$  to  $\Re$  we denote again by  $\theta^o$  and we call it the *real model action*.

# 4. PROPERLY AND STRONGLY OUTER AUTOMORPHISM. NONABELIAN ROHLIN THEOREM

Let *M* be a W\*-algebra and  $\omega$  be a free ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$  (i.e. a maximal filter which doesn't contain finite sets). A sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements in *M* is called *central* (respectively  $\omega$ -*central*), if it is the element of the *C*\*-algebra  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, M)$ , and for each  $\psi \in M_*$  we have  $\|[\psi, x]\| \to 0$ , when  $n \to \infty$  (respectively  $n \to \omega$ ). Let  $\bigoplus_{\infty} M$  be the direct sum of a countable number of copies of *M* and let  $J_{\omega} =$  $\{(x_n) \in \bigoplus_{\infty} M: x_n \to 0 \text{ *-strongly, when } n \to \omega\}$ ,  $\widetilde{M} = \{(x_n) \in \bigoplus_{\infty} M: x_n = x, \forall n\}$ . Let  $\rho$  be the canonical homomorphism of  $\bigoplus_{\infty} M$  onto  $\bigoplus_{\infty} M/J_{\omega}$ . Put  $M_{\omega} =$  $(\bigoplus_{\infty} M/J_{\omega}) \cap \rho(\widetilde{M})'$ . It is known that  $M_{\omega}$  is the algebra of all equivalence classes of  $\omega$ -centralizing sequences in *M* (see [9]). Moreover, the quotient *C*\*-algebra  $(\mathcal{M}^{\omega}/J_{\omega}) \cap \rho(\widetilde{M})'$  we denote by  $M^{\omega}$ , where  $\mathcal{M}^{\omega}$  is the normalizing algebra of  $J_{\omega}$ .

Similarly we define  $\Re_{\omega}$ , where  $\Re$  is a real W\*-algebra, moreover, in [14] it is proved that the \*-algebra of central sequences  $\Re_{\omega}$  is a real W\*-algebra and  $\mathfrak{A}(\Re)_{\omega} = \Re_{\omega} + i \Re_{\omega}$ .

For a \*-automorphism (or \*-antiautomorphism)  $\beta$  of M (respectively of  $\Re$ ) the mapping  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \to (\beta(x_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  defines a \*-automorphism (or \*-antiautomorphism)  $\beta_{\omega}$  of  $M_{\omega}$  (respectively of  $\Re_{\omega}$ ). If  $\alpha$  is an involutive \*-antiautomorphism of M it is easy to see that the real W\*-algebra  $(M_{\omega}, \alpha_{\omega})$  coincides with  $(M, \alpha)_{\omega}$ . a \*-automorphism  $\beta$  is called *properly outer* if none of its restrictions under a nonzero invariant central projection is inner. By Lemma 2.4.1 of [1] a \*-automorphism  $\beta$  of  $\sigma$ -finite real W\*-algebra  $\Re$  is properly outer if and only if its linear extension on  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  is so. In other words, a \*-automorphism  $\beta$  of W\*algebra M with  $\beta \alpha = \alpha \beta$  is properly outer if and only if  $\beta$  is properly outer on  $(M, \alpha)$ . We call  $\beta$  strongly outer if the restriction of  $\beta$  to the relative commutant of any countable  $\beta$ -invariant subset of  $M_{\omega}$  is properly outer. An action  $\theta$  of G on  $M_{\omega}$  is strongly free if all  $\theta_g$  ( $g \neq 1$ ) are strongly outer. It is easy to show that a \*-automorphism  $\beta$  of M with  $\beta \alpha = \alpha \beta$  is strongly outer if and only if  $\beta$  is strongly outer on  $(M, \alpha)$ , and an action  $\theta$  of G on  $M_{\omega}$  with  $\theta_g \alpha = \alpha \theta_g$  ( $\forall g$ ) is strongly free if and only if the action  $\theta|_{\mathfrak{R}_{\omega}}$  of G on  $(M, \alpha)_{\omega}$  is strongly free.

Now we shall give a real analogue of Rohlin Theorem, the proof of which is carried out easily, similarly to the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [10], if we also follow the scheme of Subsections 2.3 and 2.4 of [1].

THEOREM 4.1 (Nonabelian Real Rohlin Theorem). Let *G* be a discrete countable amenable group, *M* be a *W*<sup>\*</sup>-algebra with separable predual and  $\alpha$  be an involutive \*antiautomorphism of *M*. Let  $\theta$  :  $G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(M_{\omega})$  be a crossed action which is semiliftable (see 5.2 of [10]), strongly free and  $\alpha_{\omega}$ -invariant. Let  $\phi$  be a faithful normal  $\alpha$ -invariant state on *M* such that  $\theta|_{Z(M)}$  leaves  $\phi|_{Z(M)}$  invariant. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $K_1, \ldots, K_N$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -paving family of subsets of *G*. Then there is a partition of unity  $(e_{i,k})_{i=1,\ldots,N_j;k\in K_i}$  in  $(M, \alpha)_{\omega}$  such that:

(i) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} |K_i|^{-1} \sum_{k,\ell \in K_i} |\theta_{k\ell^{-1}}(e_{i,\ell}) - e_{i,k}|_{\phi} \leq 5\sqrt{\varepsilon}$$
;  
(ii)  $[e_{i,k}, \theta_g(e_{j,\ell})] = 0$ , for all  $g, i, j, k, \ell$ ;  
(iii)  $\theta_g \theta_h(e_{i,k}) = \theta_{gh}(e_{i,k})$ , for all  $g, h, i, k$ .

Moreover,  $(e_{i,k})_{i,k}$  can be chosen in the relative commutant in  $(M, \alpha)_{\omega}$  of any given countable subset of  $(M, \alpha)_{\omega}$ .

#### 5. MAIN RESULTS

A (real) cocycle crossed action of countable discrete group *G* on real W\*algebra  $(M, \alpha)$  is a pair  $(\theta, u)$ , where  $\theta : G \to Aut(M)$  and  $u : G \times G \to U(M)$ satisfy for  $g, h, k \in G$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_g \theta_h &= \mathrm{Ad} u_{g,h} \theta_{gh}, \quad u_{g,h} u_{gh,k} = \theta_g (u_{h,k}) u_{g,hk}, \\ \theta_g \alpha &= \alpha \theta_g, \quad \alpha (u_{g,h}) = u_{g,h}^*, \quad u_{1,g} = u_{g,1} = \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

 $(\theta, u)$  is called *centrally free* if  $\theta$  is free with the obvious adaptation of the definition. The real cocycle u is the real coboundary of v (denote as  $u = \partial v$ ), if  $v : G \to U(M)$  satisfies  $u_{g,h} = \theta_g(v_h^*)v_g^*v_{gh}$  and  $\alpha(v_g) = v_g^*, \forall g, h \in G$ .

Throughout in future, G will be an amenable group.

THEOREM 5.1. Let M be a W<sup>\*</sup>-algebra with separable predual and  $\alpha$  be an involutive \*-antiautomorphism of M. Let  $\phi \in M_*^+$  be faithful and  $\alpha$ -invariant. If  $(\theta, u)$  is

*a centrally free (real) cocycle crossed action of G on* (*M*,  $\alpha$ )*, such that*  $\theta|_{Z(M)}$  *preserves*  $\phi|_{Z(M)}$ *, then u is a real coboundary.* 

Moreover, given any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any finite  $F \subset G$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  and a finite  $K \subset G$  such that if  $||u_{g,h} - \mathbf{1}||_{\phi}^{\#} < \delta$   $(g, h \in K)$ , then  $u = \partial v$  with  $||v_g - \mathbf{1}||_{\phi}^{\#} < \delta$ ,  $g \in F$ .

The proof of theorem follows from Theorem 7.5 of [10] with regard to  $\alpha(v_g) = v_g^*$  ( $g \in G$ ), since it is given for the (real) cocycle *u*.

A real factor  $\Re$  is called a real McDuff factor if it is isomorphic to  $R \otimes \Re$ , where *R* is the hyperfinite real factor of type II<sub>1</sub>. It is easy to see that the enveloping W\*-algebra of a real McDuff factor is also a McDuff factor, since  $\mathfrak{A}(R \otimes \Re) = \mathfrak{A}(R) \otimes \mathfrak{A}(\Re)$  ([8]) and  $\mathfrak{A}(R)$  is the hyperfinite factor of type II<sub>1</sub> ([1]).

LEMMA 5.2. Let  $\Re$  be a real McDuff factor. If  $\theta : G \to Aut(\Re_{\omega})$  is a semiliftable strongly free action, then  $(\Re_{\omega})^{\theta}$  is of the type II<sub>1</sub>.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  is a McDuff factor and the linear extension  $\overline{\theta}$  :  $G \to \operatorname{Aut} \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})_{\omega}$  of  $\theta$  is also a semiliftable strongly free action by Lemma 8.3 of [10] the fixed point algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})_{\omega})^{\overline{\theta}}$  is of the type II<sub>1</sub>. Hence  $(\mathfrak{R}_{\omega})^{\theta}$  is also of the type II<sub>1</sub>.

By means of the lemma that follows we can lift constructions from  $\Re_{\omega}$  to  $\Re$ .

LEMMA 5.3. Let M be a factor,  $\alpha$  be an involutive \*-antiautomorphism of M and  $\theta$  :  $G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(M)$  be a centrally free  $\alpha$ -invariant action. Let  $(v_g) \subset (M, \alpha)^{\omega}$  (i.e.  $(v_g) \subset M^{\omega}$  with  $\alpha^{\omega}(v_g) = v_g^*$ ) be a (real) cocycle for  $(\theta_g)^{\omega}$  and  $(e_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}$  ( $|I| < \infty$ ) be matrix units in  $(M, \alpha)^{\omega}$  such that

$$(\operatorname{Adv}_{g} \theta_{g}^{\omega})(e_{i,j}) = e_{i,j}, \quad i, j \in I, \ g \in G.$$

Then there exist representing sequences  $(E_{i,j}^{\nu})_{\nu}$  for  $e_{i,j}$ , which for  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  are matrix units in  $(M, \alpha)$ , and  $(\mathbf{v}_{g}^{\nu})_{\nu}$  for  $\mathbf{v}_{g}$ , which for each  $\nu$  form a  $(\theta_{g})$ -cocycle in  $(M, \alpha)$ , such that

$$(\operatorname{Adv}_{g}^{\nu} \theta_{g})(E_{i,j}^{\nu}) = E_{i,j}^{\nu}, \quad i, j \in I, \ g \in G, \ \nu \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The proof of lemma follows from Lemma 8.4 of [10] with regard to  $\alpha \theta_g = \theta_g \alpha$ ,  $\alpha^{\omega}(\mathbf{v}_g) = \mathbf{v}_g^*$  and  $\alpha^{\omega}(e_{i,j}) = e_{j,i}$  (*i*, *j*  $\in$  *I*, *g*  $\in$  *G*).

In future let  $(M, \alpha)$  be a real McDuff factor with separable predual and  $\theta$ :  $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)$  be a centrally free  $\alpha$ -invariant action, let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\Psi$  be a finite  $\alpha$ invariant subset of  $M_*^+$  and F be a finite subset of G. If we use lemmas and the
scheme of proof of Theorem 8.5 in [10], we obtain

THEOREM 5.4. There exists a cocycle  $(v_g)$  for  $(\theta_g)$  with  $\alpha(v_g) = v_g^*$  and a II<sub>1</sub> hyperfinite real subfactor  $R \subset (M, \alpha)$  such that

$$(M, \alpha) = R \otimes (R' \cap (M, \alpha)), \quad (\mathrm{Adv}_g \, \theta_g)|_R = \mathrm{id}_R \quad \text{and} \\ \|\mathbf{v}_g - \mathbf{1}\|_{\psi}^{\#} < \varepsilon, \quad \|\psi \circ P_{R' \cap (M, \alpha)} - \psi\| < \varepsilon, \quad \psi \in \Psi, \ g \in F.$$

This implies

COROLLARY 5.5.  $\theta$  is outer conjugate to  $id_R \otimes \theta$ .

Moreover, given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , finite  $F \subset G$ , and  $\psi \in M_*^+$  with  $\psi \cdot \alpha = \psi$ , there exists an  $(\theta_g)$ -cocycle  $(v_g)$  such that  $\alpha(v_g) = v_g^*$ ,  $(\operatorname{Adv}_g \theta_g)$  is conjugate to  $\operatorname{id}_R \otimes \theta$  and  $||v_g - \mathbf{1}||_{\psi}^{\#} < \varepsilon \ (g \in F)$ .

Similarly to Theorem 5.4 we may obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.6. There exists a cocycle  $(v_g)$  for  $(\theta_g)$  with  $\alpha(v_g) = v_g^*$  and a  $II_1$  hyperfinite real subfactor  $R \subset (M, \alpha)$  such that

$$(M, \alpha) = R \otimes (R' \cap (M, \alpha)), \quad (\operatorname{Adv}_g \theta_g)(R) = R,$$

 $(\operatorname{Adv}_g \theta_g|_R)$  is conjugate to the model action  $\theta^o$  and

$$\|\mathbf{v}_g - \mathbf{1}\|_{\psi}^{\#} < \varepsilon, \quad \|\psi \circ P_{R' \cap (M,\alpha)} - \psi\| < \varepsilon, \quad \psi \in \Psi, \ g \in F.$$

This implies

COROLLARY 5.7.  $\theta$  is outer conjugate to  $\theta^{o} \otimes \theta$ .

Applying the scheme of proof of [11] and 9.1–9.4 of [10] we obtain

THEOREM 5.8. If  $\theta$  is an approximately inner and  $\psi_o \in M^+_*$  with  $\psi_o \alpha = \psi_o$ , then there exists a cocycle  $(v_g)$  for  $(\theta_g)$  with  $\alpha(v_g) = v_g^*$  and a II<sub>1</sub> hyperfinite real subfactor  $R \subset (M, \alpha)$  such that

$$(M, \alpha) = R \otimes (R' \cap (M, \alpha)), \quad (\operatorname{Adv}_{g} \theta_{g})(R) = R,$$

 $(\operatorname{Adv}_{g} \theta_{g}|_{R})$  is conjugate to the model action  $\theta^{o}$  and

 $(\mathrm{Adv}_g \,\theta_g|_{R'\cap(M,\alpha)}) = \mathrm{id}_{R'\cap(M,\alpha)}, \quad \|\mathbf{v}_g - \mathbf{1}\|_{\psi_0}^{\#} < \varepsilon, \quad g \in F.$ 

From the above results we can easily obtain

THEOREM 5.9. If  $\theta$  is an approximately inner,  $\theta$  is outer conjugate to  $\theta^{o} \otimes id_{(M,\alpha)}$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 5.7  $\theta^o$  is outer conjugate to  $\theta^o \otimes \operatorname{id}_R$ . From Theorem 5.8 we infer that  $\theta$  is outer conjugate to  $\theta^o \otimes \operatorname{id}_{R'\cap(M,\alpha)}$  and hence to  $\theta^o \otimes \operatorname{id}_R \otimes \operatorname{id}_{R'\cap(M,\alpha)} = \theta^o \otimes \operatorname{id}_{(M,\alpha)}$ .

From the uniqueness, up to conjugacy, of an involutive \*-antiautomorphism of the hyperfinite type II<sub>1</sub> factor M ([14]) and from  $Ct(M, \alpha) = Int(M, \alpha)$ ,  $\overline{Int}(M, \alpha)$ = Aut( $M, \alpha$ ) ([1]) we obtain the main result of the paper

COROLLARY 5.10. Any two free actions of the amenable group G on the hyperfinite real factor of type II<sub>1</sub> are outer conjugate.

### REFERENCES

[1] S.A. AYUPOV, A.A. RAKHIMOV, S.M. USMANOV, *Jordan, Real and Lie Structures in Operator Algebras*, Math. Appl., vol. 418, Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht 1997.

- [2] A. CONNES, Une classification des facteurs de type III, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 6(1973), 133–252.
- [3] A. CONNES, Outer conjugacy classes of automorphisms of factors, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 8(1975), 383–420.
- [4] A. CONNES, Classification of injective facteurs, Ann. Math. 1(1976), 73–115.
- [5] A. CONNES, Periodic automorphisms of the hyperfinite factor of type II<sub>1</sub>, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **39**(1977), 39–66.
- [6] V. JONES, Actions of finite groups on the hyperfinite type II<sub>1</sub> factor, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 237(1980), vol. 28.
- [7] V. JONES, M. TAKESAKI, Actions of compact abelian groups on semifinite injective factors, *Acta Math.* 153(1984), 213–258.
- [8] B.-R. LI, Real Operator Algebras, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NL 2003.
- [9] D. MCDUFF, To the structure of II<sub>1</sub>-factors, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 6(1970), 29–53.
- [10] A. OCNEANU, Actions of Discrete Amenable Groups on von Neumann Algebras, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1138, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo 1985.
- [11] A.A. RAKHIMOV, Actions of finite groups on the hyperfinite real type II<sub>1</sub> factor, *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology* 4(1998), 72–88.
- [12] A.A. RAKHIMOV, S.M. USMANOV, Periodic \*-automorphisms and \*-antiautomorphisms of injective factors, *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 29(1995), 87–89.
- [13] A.A. RAKHIMOV, S.M. USMANOV, Outer conjugacy classes of automorphisms and antiautomorphisms of real and complex injective factors, J. Funct. Anal. 144(1997), 475–485.
- [14] E. STORMER, Real structure in the hyperfinite factor, Duke Math. J. 1(1980), 145–153.

A.A. RAKHIMOV, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KARADENIZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, TRABZON, 61080, TURKEY

E-mail address: rakhimov@ktu.edu.tr

Received June 22, 2005.