

LIMITS OF PURE FUNCTIONALS OF C^* -ALGEBRAS

M.H. SHAH

Communicated by Şerban Strătilă

ABSTRACT. It is shown that, for a C^* -algebra A , every (weak*-) limit of pure functionals is a multiple of a pure functional if and only if every limit of pure states is a multiple of pure states (a condition previously studied by Glimm). On the other hand, it is shown that the set of pure states $P(A)$ being closed does not force the set of pure functionals $G(A)$ to be closed. The conditions $\overline{G(A)} = G(A)$ and $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{0\}$ are characterised in terms of sums of homogeneous C^* -algebras.

KEYWORDS: *Pure state, pure functional, irreducible representation, C^* -algebra, spectrum.*

MSC (2000): 46L05, 46L30.

INTRODUCTION

Let A be a C^* -algebra and let \widehat{A} be the spectrum of A , the space of all (equivalence classes of) irreducible representations of A . We write $A \in [FIN]$ to mean that all irreducible representations of A are on finite dimensional spaces. Let $G(A)$ and $P(A)$ be the sets of pure functionals (extreme points of the unit ball in the Banach dual A^* of A) and pure states of A respectively. In Theorem 4.1 of [3] Archbold and Shah have investigated when the weak*-closure $\overline{G(A)}$ is as large as it can be, that is, $\overline{G(A)} = A^*$, the unit ball in A^* . In this paper we investigate $\overline{G(A)}$ and show how small it can be. We start our investigation by showing that, for a C^* -algebra A every limit of pure functionals is a multiple of a pure functional if and only if every limit of pure states is a multiple of a pure state. Glimm showed that the second condition holds if and only if A is liminal, \widehat{A} Hausdorff and at singular points $\pi \in \widehat{A}$, $\pi(A)$ is one dimensional [8]. In the special case where A is a unital (respectively non-unital) homogeneous C^* -algebra we have $\overline{G(A)} = G(A)$ (respectively $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{0\}$). Hence we prove that if a C^* -algebra A is the finite direct sum (respectively c_0 -sum) of homogeneous C^* -algebras then $\overline{G(A)} = G(A)$ (respectively $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{0\}$, that is, $G(A)$ is nearly closed).

These results might suggest that $G(A)$ behaves in much the same way as $P(A)$ from the point of view of closure. However, there is a significant difference: $P(A)$ can be weak*-closed when $G(A)$ is not (see example after the proof of Theorem 2.8). Indeed, we show that, for a unital C^* -algebra A , the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\overline{P(A)} = P(A)$;
- (ii) $A \in [FIN]$, \widehat{A} Hausdorff and at singular points $\pi \in \widehat{A}$, $\pi(A)$ is one dimensional;
- (iii) $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{\lambda\varphi : |\lambda| \leq 1, \varphi \in P(A) \text{ with GNS representation } \pi_\varphi \text{ singular}\}$;
- (iv) \widehat{A} is Hausdorff and there exists a two sided closed ideal J of A such that J is a (finite or c_0) direct sum of homogeneous C^* -algebras with A/J abelian and its spectrum $\widehat{(A/J)}$ is the set of singular points of \widehat{A} (Theorem 2.8).

In the non-unital case we will show that the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\overline{P(A)} \subseteq P(A) \cup \{0\} \cup \{\lambda\varphi : \lambda \in [0, 1], \varphi \in P(A) \text{ with GNS representation } \pi_\varphi \text{ singular}\}$;
- (ii) $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{0\} \cup \{\lambda\varphi : |\lambda| \leq 1, \varphi \in G(A) \text{ with GNS representation } \pi_{|\varphi|} \text{ singular}\}$;
- (iii) \widehat{A} is Hausdorff and there exists a closed two sided ideal J of A such that J is a (finite or c_0) direct sum of homogeneous C^* -algebras with A/J abelian and its spectrum $\widehat{(A/J)}$ is the set of singular points of \widehat{A} (Theorem 2.10).

The above theorems illustrate how singular points in \widehat{A} have greater impact on $\overline{G(A)}$ than on $\overline{P(A)}$. They, also, lead to the following corollaries:

- (i) $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \Rightarrow A$ is a finite direct sum of unital homogeneous C^* -algebras (Corollary 2.9).
- (ii) $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{0\} \Rightarrow A$ is a (finite or c_0) direct sum of homogeneous C^* -algebras A_n (where either at least one A_n is non-unital or infinitely many A_n are nonzero) (Corollary 2.11).

These are converses for the results mentioned at the end of the first paragraph. Finally, we give an example of a C^* -algebra A for which $\overline{P(A)} = P(A) \cup \{0\}$ but $\overline{G(A)}$ strictly contains $G(A) \cup \{0\}$.

We give some definitions and known results for the reader's convenience. The symbols $B(H)$ and $K(H)$ denote, respectively, the C^* -algebras of bounded linear and compact linear operators acting on a Hilbert space H with adjoint as involution and operator norm. A C^* -algebra A is said to be *liminal* if $\pi(A) = K(H_\pi)$ for every $\pi \in \widehat{A}$, where H_π is the Hilbert space associated with π . A C^* -algebra A is said to be *homogeneous of degree n* if every irreducible representation of A is of the same finite dimension n . A point $\pi \in \widehat{A}$ is said to be *Fell-regular* (or a *Fell-point*) if there exists an $a \in A^+$ (the set of positive elements of A) and

a neighbourhood V of π such that $\sigma(a)$ is a rank-one projection for all $\sigma \in V$. A point $\pi \in \widehat{A}$ is said to be *Glimm-regular* if whenever (e, U) is a pair such that $e \in A$ and U is a neighbourhood of π and (i) $\sigma(e)$ is a projection for all $\sigma \in U$, (ii) $\pi(e)$ is a rank-one projection, then there exists a neighbourhood U_0 of π with $U_0 \subseteq U$ such that $\sigma(e)$ is a rank-one for all $\sigma \in U_0$. It is known [8], [10] that the notions agree if A is liminal with \widehat{A} Hausdorff. For more details see [9]. Hence a point $\pi \in \widehat{A}$ is said to be *singular* if it is not Glimm-regular. From 4.5.3, 4.5.4 of [6] we know that if A is a C^* -algebra with continuous trace, then A is liminal, \widehat{A} Hausdorff and every point of \widehat{A} is Fell-regular; conversely, A is a C^* -algebra with continuous trace if \widehat{A} is Hausdorff and every point of \widehat{A} is Fell-regular.

For the following definitions we refer the reader to [2], [4]. If φ and ψ are pure states of a C^* -algebra A and p, q are their respective support projections in A^{**} , then the transition probability between φ and ψ is denoted by $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$ and is defined by $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \varphi(q) = \psi(p)$. If φ and ψ are unitarily equivalent, there will be an irreducible representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ and unit vectors $\zeta, \eta \in H$ such that for every $a \in A$ we have $\varphi(a) = \langle \pi(a)\zeta, \zeta \rangle$, and $\psi(a) = \langle \pi(a)\eta, \eta \rangle$. Hence, the transition probability between φ and ψ is given by $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = |\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle|^2$. If φ and ψ are inequivalent (that is, their respective GNS irreducible representations are not unitarily equivalent) then $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = 0$. We shall use the symbol $QS(A)$ to denote the convex and weak*-compact subset $\{\varphi \in A_1^* : \varphi \geq 0\}$ of A_1^* .

1. MULTIPLES OF PURE FUNCTIONALS

Glimm in Theorem 6 of [8] has shown that if A is a C^* -algebra, then $\overline{P(A)} \subseteq \{\lambda\varphi : \lambda \in [0, 1], \varphi \in P(A)\} \Leftrightarrow A$ is liminal, \widehat{A} is Hausdorff and at singular points $\pi \in \widehat{A}$, $\pi(A)$ is one dimensional. In the following theorem, we extend this result to the space of pure functionals $\overline{G(A)}$ of a C^* -algebra A with the weak*-topology.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\overline{G(A)} \subseteq \{\lambda g : |\lambda| \leq 1, g \in G(A)\}$;
- (ii) $\overline{P(A)} \subseteq \{\mu f : \mu \in [0, 1], f \in P(A)\}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose $\overline{G(A)} \subseteq \{\lambda g : |\lambda| \leq 1, g \in G(A)\}$. Let $\rho \in \overline{P(A)}$; then since $\overline{P(A)} \subseteq \overline{G(A)}$, $\rho \in \overline{G(A)}$. Hence there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| \leq 1$ and $g \in G(A)$ such that $\rho = \lambda g$. If $\lambda = 0$ then $\rho = 0 \in \{\mu f : \mu \in [0, 1], f \in P(A)\}$. So let $\lambda \neq 0$. Then $\rho = (re^{i\theta})g$ ($0 < r \leq 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$). Or $(e^{i\theta})g = (1/r)\rho \geq 0$. So $(e^{i\theta})g \in \overline{G(A)} \cap S(A) = P(A)$, therefore $\rho = r(e^{i\theta}g) \in \{\mu f : \mu \in [0, 1], f \in P(A)\}$. That is $\overline{P(A)} \subseteq \{\mu f : \mu \in [0, 1], f \in P(A)\}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose $\overline{P(A)} \subseteq \{\mu f : \mu \in [0, 1], f \in P(A)\}$. Let $\varphi \in \overline{G(A)}$ then there exists a net (φ_α) in $G(A)$ such that $\varphi_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi$. We have two cases: $\varphi = 0$ and $\varphi \neq 0$.

Case (i). Suppose $\varphi = 0$. Then $\varphi = 0 =$ zero times a pure functional of A .

Case (ii). Suppose $\varphi \neq 0$. Then $(|\varphi_\alpha|)$ is a net of pure states of A in $QS(A)$ which is compact so by passing to a subnet we can assume that $|\varphi_\alpha| \rightarrow \rho$ for some $\rho \in QS(A)$. But ρ is the limit of pure states, so $\rho \in \overline{P(A)}$ and by our assumption $\rho = \mu\psi$ where $\mu \in [0, 1], \psi \in P(A)$. By 3.3 of [7],

$$(1.1) \quad |\varphi_\alpha(a)|^2 \leq |\varphi_\alpha|(a^*a), \quad \forall a \in A.$$

Now because $\varphi_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi$ and $|\varphi_\alpha| \rightarrow \rho = \mu\psi$, the inequality (1.1) is preserved for the limits so that $|\varphi(a)|^2 \leq \mu\psi(a^*a), \forall a \in A$. By page 400 last 4 lines of [7], there exists a vector $\eta \in H_{\pi_\psi}$ with $\|\eta\| \leq \sqrt{\mu} \leq 1$ such that, for $a \in A$, $\varphi(a) = \langle \pi_\psi(a)\xi_\psi, \eta \rangle = \|\eta\| \langle \pi_\psi(a)\xi_\psi, \eta / \|\eta\| \rangle$. Note that because $\varphi \neq 0, \|\eta\| \neq 0$ and so $\varphi = \lambda g$, where $|\lambda| \leq 1, g \in G(A)$. ■

COROLLARY 1.2. *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\overline{G(A)} \subseteq \{\lambda g : |\lambda| \leq 1, g \in G(A)\}$;
- (ii) A is liminal, \widehat{A} is Hausdorff and at singular points $\pi \in \widehat{A}, \pi(A)$ is one dimensional.

This follows immediately from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 6 of [8].

2. LIMITS OF PURE FUNCTIONALS AND HOMOGENEOUS C^* -ALGEBRAS

In the following two theorems we investigate $\overline{G(A)}$ when A is a unital (respectively non-unital) homogeneous C^* -algebra.

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose A is a unital homogeneous C^* -algebra. Then $\overline{G(A)} = G(A)$.*

Proof. Suppose A is a homogeneous C^* -algebra of degree n , i.e, $\dim(H_\pi) = n$ for all $\pi \in \widehat{A}$. Let $\varphi \in \overline{G(A)}$, then there exists a net (φ_α) in $G(A)$ such that φ_α converges to φ (weak*). Since $\varphi_\alpha \in G(A)$ there exist an irreducible representation $\pi_\alpha \in \widehat{A}$ and unit vectors $\xi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \in H_{\pi_\alpha}$ such that $\varphi_\alpha = \langle \pi_\alpha(\cdot)\xi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \rangle$. Since A is unital, \widehat{A} is compact, so there exists a subnet (π_μ) of (π_α) such that π_μ converges to some π in \widehat{A} . Now as A is homogeneous and $\pi \in \widehat{A}$, by Section 5 paragraph 3 of [1] there exists an open neighbourhood U of π and a subset $\{e_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ of A such that:

(i) for each $\sigma \in U, \{\sigma(e_{ij}) : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ is a system of $n \times n$ matrix units for $\sigma(A)$ and hence for each $x \in \sigma(A)$ there is a unique matrix $[\beta_{ij}(x)] \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$

such that $x = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(x)\sigma(e_{ij})$;

(ii) for each $a \in A$ the mapping $\theta_a : U \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ defined by $\theta_a(\sigma) = [\beta_{ij}(\sigma(a))]$ is continuous on U .

Since $\pi_\mu \rightarrow \pi$ there exists μ_0 such that for $\mu \geq \mu_0$, $\pi_\mu \in U$. Let $a \in A$ and $\sigma \in U$, then $\sigma(a) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(\sigma(a))\sigma(e_{ij})$ where $\beta_{ij}(\sigma(a)) \in \mathbb{C}$. For $\sigma \in U$, let $\Phi_\sigma : \sigma(A) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be defined by $\Phi_\sigma(x) = [\beta_{ij}(x)]$ ($x \in \sigma(A)$). Then clearly it is a $*$ -isomorphism. Note that $\theta_a(\sigma) = \Phi_\sigma(\sigma(a))$ ($a \in A, \sigma \in U$) and so, in particular, $\|\theta_a(\sigma)\| \leq \|a\|$. There is a unique $\psi_\mu \in G(\pi_\mu(A))$ such that $\psi_\mu(\pi_\mu(a)) = \phi_\mu(a)$ ($a \in A$) and so $\psi_\mu \circ \pi_\mu = \phi_\mu$. For $\mu \geq \mu_0$, define $\rho_\mu = \psi_\mu \circ \Phi_{\pi_\mu}^{-1}$. Then $\rho_\mu \in G(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Since every irreducible representation of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is unitarily equivalent to the standard representation on \mathbb{C}^n , there exist unit vectors $\xi_\mu, \eta_\mu \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\rho_\mu([\beta_{ij}]) = \langle [\beta_{ij}]\xi_\mu, \eta_\mu \rangle$.

Since \mathbb{C}^n is finite dimensional the unit shell in \mathbb{C}^n is compact, so by passing to successive subnets, if necessary, we can assume that there exist unit vectors ξ, η such that $\lim_{\mu} \|\xi_\mu - \xi\| = 0$, $\lim_{\mu} \|\eta_\mu - \eta\| = 0$. For $[\beta_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ define $\rho([\beta_{ij}]) = \langle [\beta_{ij}]\xi, \eta \rangle$. Then $\rho \in G(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Let $a \in A$. Then we have $\phi_\mu(a) = \psi_\mu(\pi_\mu(a)) = \langle \theta_a(\pi_\mu)\xi_\mu, \eta_\mu \rangle$. Since θ_a is continuous on U therefore, $\lim_{\mu} \|\theta_a(\pi_\mu) - \theta_a(\pi)\| = 0$ and hence $|\langle \theta_a(\pi_\mu)\xi_\mu, \eta_\mu \rangle - \langle \theta_a(\pi)\xi, \eta \rangle| \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\lim_{\mu} \phi_\mu(a) = (\rho \circ \Phi_\pi \circ \pi)(a)$ $\forall a \in A$ and so, $\varphi = \rho \circ (\Phi_\pi \circ \pi)$. Now $\Phi_\pi \circ \pi : A \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a surjective $*$ -homomorphism of C^* -algebras and ρ is a pure functional on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, therefore, $\rho \circ (\Phi_\pi \circ \pi)$ is a pure functional of A . Hence $\varphi \in G(A)$ and so $\overline{G(A)} = G(A)$. ■

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose A is a non-unital homogeneous C^* -algebra. Then $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \overline{G(A)}$, then there exists a net (φ_α) in $G(A)$ such that $\varphi_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi$. Since $\varphi_\alpha \in G(A)$ therefore there exist an irreducible representation $\pi_\alpha \in \widehat{A}$ and unit vectors $\xi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \in H_{\pi_\alpha}$ such that $\varphi_\alpha = \langle \pi_\alpha(\cdot)\xi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \rangle$. Now either (i) there exists a compact set K in \widehat{A} such that (π_α) is frequently in \widehat{A} , or (ii) for every compact set K in \widehat{A} eventually $\pi_\alpha \notin K$.

Case (i). In this case (π_α) has a subnet in K , but K is compact so there exists a further subnet (π_μ) in K such that π_μ converges to some π in K . Now as A is homogeneous and $\pi \in \widehat{A}$, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we find a $\rho \in G(\pi(A))$ such that $\varphi_\mu \rightarrow \rho \circ \pi$ and hence $\varphi = \rho \circ \pi \in G(A)$.

Case (ii). For every compact set $K \subseteq \widehat{A}$ eventually $\pi_\alpha \notin K$, that is, for every compact set $K \subseteq \widehat{A}$ there exists α_0 such that $\pi_\alpha \notin K$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. Choose an $a \in A$ and an $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, since the set $\{\sigma \in \widehat{A} : \|\sigma(a)\| \geq \varepsilon\}$ is compact, there exists α_0 such that for $\alpha > \alpha_0$, $\|\pi_\alpha(a)\| < \varepsilon$. Now since, for $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$, $|\varphi_\alpha(a)| = |\langle \pi_\alpha(a)\xi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \rangle| \leq \|\pi_\alpha(a)\| < \varepsilon$ therefore $\lim_{\alpha} \varphi_\alpha(a) = 0$ for all $a \in A$ and hence $\varphi(a) = 0$ for all $a \in A$, that is, $\varphi = 0$. Thus from cases (i) and (ii) we get $\overline{G(A)} \subseteq G(A) \cup \{0\}$. On the other hand since A is non-unital, by 2.12.13 of [6], $0 \in \overline{P(A)} (\subseteq \overline{G(A)})$, and therefore $G(A) \cup \{0\} \subseteq \overline{G(A)}$. Consequently, we get $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{0\}$. Hence the theorem follows. ■

Let $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} A_n$ (c_0 -sum) where each A_n is a C^* -algebra. Define $\Phi_n : A \rightarrow A_n$ by $\Phi_n(\vec{a}) = a_n$ where $\vec{a} \in A$. Let $\varphi \in A_n^*$. Define $\tilde{\varphi} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\tilde{\varphi}(\vec{a}) = \varphi(a_n)$, then $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \Phi_n$. Let $\widetilde{G(A_n)} := \{\tilde{\varphi} : \varphi \in G(A_n)\}$ and $\overline{\widetilde{G(A_n)}} := \{\tilde{\varphi} : \varphi \in \overline{G(A_n)}\}$. With these notations we have the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.3. Let $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} A_n$ (c_0 -sum) where each A_n is a C^* -algebra. Then:

- (i) $G(A) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \widetilde{G(A_n)}$;
- (ii) $\overline{\widetilde{G(A_n)}} = \overline{G(A_n)}$.

Proof. (i) Let $\varphi \in G(A_n)$, then $\tilde{\varphi} \in G(A)$. Since $\widetilde{G(A_n)} \subseteq G(A) \forall n \geq 1$, therefore $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \widetilde{G(A_n)} \subseteq G(A)$. Conversely, suppose $\rho \in G(A)$, then there exists

an irreducible representation $\pi \in \hat{A}$ and unit vectors $\xi, \eta \in H_\pi$ such that $\rho = \langle \pi(\cdot)\xi, \eta \rangle$. Define $J_n = \{\vec{x} \in A : \vec{x} = (0, 0, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots), x_n \in A_n\}$. Then J_n is a closed two sided ideal of A and for $m \neq n, J_m J_n = \{0\}$. Since $J_m J_n \subseteq \ker \pi$ and $\ker \pi$ is prime so either $\pi(J_m) = \{0\}$ or $\pi(J_n) = \{0\}$. This shows that there is at most one value of n such that $\pi(J_n) \neq \{0\}$. We show that there is a value of n such that $\pi(J_n) \neq \{0\}$. For this, suppose $\pi(J_n) = \{0\}$ for all $n \geq 1$ and choose $\vec{a} \in A$ and an $\varepsilon > 0$. Since A is the c_0 -sum of A_n 's there exist n_0 such that, for $n \geq n_0, \|a_n\| < \varepsilon$. Hence $\|\pi(\vec{a})\| = \max_{i \geq n_0+1} \|a_i\| \leq \varepsilon$ and so $\|\pi(\vec{a})\| = 0$ for all $\vec{a} \in A$. But this implies that $\pi = 0$, a contradiction to the fact that $\pi \neq 0$. Thus there exists precisely one value of n , say N , such that $\pi(J_N) \neq \{0\}$. Now let $K_N = \ker \Phi_N$. Then $K_N J_N = \{0\}$, and so $K_N \subseteq \ker \pi$, (since $\ker \pi$ is prime). Hence there exists an irreducible representation π_0 of A_N on H_π such that $\pi = \pi_0 \circ \Phi_N$. Define $\varphi = \langle \pi_0(\cdot)\xi, \eta \rangle$, then $\varphi \in G(A_N)$. Hence $\varphi \circ \Phi_N = \rho$. That is $\rho = \tilde{\varphi}$ and so $\rho \in \widetilde{G(A_N)}$ and thus $\rho \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \widetilde{G(A_n)}$. Therefore $G(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \widetilde{G(A_n)}$.

Combining the two inclusions we get $G(A) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \widetilde{G(A_n)}$.

(ii) We show that $\overline{\widetilde{G(A_n)}} \subseteq \overline{G(A_n)} \subseteq \overline{\widetilde{G(A_n)}}$. Let $\rho \in \overline{\widetilde{G(A_n)}}$, then there exists a net (φ_α) in $G(A_n)$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha \rightarrow \rho$. Let $\vec{x} \in \ker \Phi_n$ then since $\rho(\vec{x}) = \lim \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha(\vec{x}) = \lim \varphi_\alpha(\Phi_n(\vec{x})) = 0$, we get $\rho(\ker \Phi_n) = \{0\}$. Hence there exists $\varphi \in A_n^*$ such that $\rho = \varphi \circ \Phi_n$. Therefore $\rho = \tilde{\varphi}$, and $\varphi = \lim_\alpha \varphi_\alpha \in \overline{G(A_n)}$ and so $\rho \in \overline{G(A_n)}$. Thus $\overline{\widetilde{G(A_n)}} \subseteq \overline{G(A_n)}$. Conversely, suppose $\rho \in \overline{G(A_n)}$, then there exists $\varphi \in \overline{G(A_n)}$ such that $\rho = \varphi \circ \Phi_n$, that is, $\rho = \tilde{\varphi}$. Since $\varphi \in \overline{G(A_n)}$ there exists a net (φ_α) in $G(A_n)$ such that $\varphi_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi$. Let $\vec{a} \in A$ then since $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha(\vec{a}) - \tilde{\varphi}(\vec{a}) = (\varphi_\alpha \circ \Phi_n)(\vec{a}) - (\varphi \circ \Phi_n)(\vec{a}) = \varphi_\alpha(a_n) - \varphi(a_n) \rightarrow 0$ we get $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}$. Therefore $\tilde{\varphi} \in \overline{\widetilde{G(A_n)}}$. Hence $\overline{G(A_n)} \subseteq \overline{\widetilde{G(A_n)}}$. ■

In the remaining part of this section we consider the class of C*-algebras A satisfying the equivalent conditions of Theorem 1.1. In particular, we consider those A such that G(A) is closed, and those A such that $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{0\}$. Such algebras turn out to be the finite (respectively c0) direct sum of homogeneous C*-algebras.

THEOREM 2.4. *Suppose a C*-algebra A is the finite direct-sum of unital homogeneous C*-algebras, that is there exists a positive integer N such that $A = \sum_{n=1}^N \oplus A_n$, where each An is a unital homogeneous C*-algebra. Then $\overline{G(A)} = G(A)$.*

Proof. Suppose $A \cong \sum_{n=1}^N \oplus A_n$ where each An is a unital homogeneous C*-algebra. Then by Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.1, we get $\overline{G(A)} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^N \widetilde{G(A_n)}} = \bigcup_{n=1}^N \overline{\widetilde{G(A_n)}} = \bigcup_{n=1}^N \widetilde{G(A_n)} = G(A)$. ■

THEOREM 2.5. *Let a C*-algebra A be the c0-sum of homogeneous C*-algebras, that is, $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} A_n$ where each An is either zero or a non-zero homogeneous C*-algebra and either at least one An is non-unital or infinitely many An are non-zero. Then $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{0\}$.*

Proof. A non-unital implies that $0 \in \overline{P(A)} \subseteq \overline{G(A)}$. Therefore we get $G(A) \cup \{0\} \subseteq \overline{G(A)}$. Conversely, suppose $\psi \in \overline{G(A)}$ then there exists a net (ψ_α) in G(A) such that $\psi_\alpha \rightarrow \psi$. But by Lemma 2.3(i), $G(A) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \widetilde{G(A_n)}$, so $\psi_\alpha \in \widetilde{G(A_{n_\alpha})}$ for some $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence there exist some $\varphi_\alpha \in G(A_{n_\alpha})$ such that $\psi_\alpha = \widetilde{\varphi_\alpha}$ (see Section 3.3?????where from?). Now (n_α) is a net in (the compact set) $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, so by passing to a subnet, say, (n_μ) we have: either (i) $n_\mu \rightarrow \infty$, or (ii) $n_\mu \rightarrow n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Case (i). In this case for $\vec{x} \in A$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some μ_0 such that for $\mu \geq \mu_0$, $\|\Phi_{n_\mu}(\vec{x})\| = \|x_{n_\mu}\| < \epsilon$. Therefore $\|\Phi_{n_\mu}(\vec{x})\| \rightarrow 0$. Since $|\psi_\mu(\vec{x})| \leq \|\Phi_{n_\mu}(\vec{x})\|$, therefore $|\psi_\mu(\vec{x})| \rightarrow 0$ and hence $\psi_\mu \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\psi = 0$.

Case (ii). In this case there exists a μ_0 such that $n_\mu = n$ for $\mu \geq \mu_0$. Therefore $\Phi_{n_\mu}(\vec{x}) = \Phi_n(\vec{x})$, for $\mu \geq \mu_0$. Let $\vec{a} \in \ker \Phi_n$ then for $\mu \geq \mu_0$, $|\psi_\mu(\vec{a})| \leq \|\Phi_n(\vec{a})\|$ and so $\psi(\ker \Phi_n) = \{0\}$. Therefore there exists $\varphi \in A_n^*$ such that $\varphi \circ \Phi_n = \psi$ (and $\|\varphi\| = \|\psi\|$). Since for $\vec{a} \in A$ and $\mu \geq \mu_0$, $|\varphi_\mu(a_n) - \varphi(a_n)| = |\psi_\mu(\vec{a}) - \psi(\vec{a})| \rightarrow 0$, $\varphi \in \overline{G(A_n)}$. But An is homogeneous, so by Theorem 2.2 $\overline{G(A_n)} \subseteq G(A_n) \cup \{0\}$. Therefore $\varphi \in \overline{G(A_n)} \cup \{0\}$ and hence $\psi \in \overline{G(A_n)} \cup \{0\}$. But by Lemma 2.3(i) $G(A) = \left(\bigcup_{m \geq 1} \widetilde{G(A_m)} \right)$. Therefore $\psi \in G(A) \cup \{0\}$, and hence $\overline{G(A)} \subseteq G(A) \cup \{0\}$. ■

LEMMA 2.6. *A is a continuous trace C^* -algebra and $\overline{P(A)} \subseteq P(A) \cup \{0\}$ if and only if $A \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} A_n$ (c_0 -sum) where each A_n is either zero or non-zero homogeneous C^* -algebra.*

Proof. Suppose $A \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} A_n$ (c_0 -sum) where each A_n is either zero or non-zero homogeneous C^* -algebra. Since each A_n has continuous trace, so does A . By the proof of Theorem 2.5, $\overline{G(A)} \subseteq G(A) \cup \{0\}$. Since $P(A) \subseteq G(A)$ and $G(A) \cap QS(A) = P(A)$, so $\overline{P(A)} \subseteq P(A) \cup \{0\}$.

Conversely, suppose A has continuous trace and $\overline{P(A)} \subseteq P(A) \cup \{0\}$. Then, by page 106 line 8 of [6], the self-adjoint ideal

$$m(A) = \{x \in A : \sigma \rightarrow \text{tr}(\sigma(x)) \text{ is finite and continuous on } \widehat{A}\}$$

is dense in A . Note that $A \in [FIN]$, that is, $\dim(\sigma) < \infty \forall \sigma \in \widehat{A}$. For, suppose $\dim(\sigma)$ is not finite for some $\sigma \in \widehat{A}$. Since $\overline{P(A)} \supseteq \overline{P(\sigma(A))} = \overline{P(K(H_\sigma))}$ and, by Glimm's Vector State Space Theorem, $\overline{P(K(H_\sigma))} = \{t\omega_\xi|_{K(H_\sigma)} : t \in [0, 1], \|\xi\| = 1\}$ we get a contradiction because $\overline{P(A)} \subseteq P(A) \cup \{0\}$. For $n \geq 1$, define $U_n = \{\sigma \in \widehat{A} : \dim(\sigma) = n\}$. We show that U_n is clopen. It is enough to show that every U_n is open. Suppose some U_n is not open. Then, since $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} U_i$ is closed by

3.6.3(i) of [6] there exist a $\pi \in U_n$ and a net (π_α) in $\widehat{A} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i\right)$ with $\pi_\alpha \rightarrow \pi$.

Since π is Fell-regular there exists $e \in A^+$ and a neighbourhood V of π in \widehat{A} such that $\sigma(e)$ is a rank-one projection for all $\sigma \in V$. So there exists α_0 such that for $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ $\pi_\alpha(e)$ is a rank-one projection (since eventually $\pi_\alpha \in V$).

Choose unit vectors $\xi_1^{(\alpha)} \in H_{\pi_\alpha}$ and $\xi_1 \in H_\pi$ such that $\pi_\alpha(e)\xi_1^{(\alpha)} = \xi_1^{(\alpha)}$, $\pi(e)\xi_1 = \xi_1$. Let $\varphi_1^{(\alpha)} = \langle \pi_\alpha(\cdot)\xi_1^{(\alpha)}, \xi_1^{(\alpha)} \rangle$, and $\varphi_1 = \langle \pi(\cdot)\xi_1, \xi_1 \rangle$. We show that $\varphi_1^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow \varphi_1$. Let $x \in A$, then, since $\pi(e)$ is a one dimensional projection, $\pi(exe) = \varphi_1(x)\pi(e)$. Since $|\varphi_1^{(\alpha)}(x) - \varphi_1(x)| \leq \|\pi_\alpha(exe - \varphi_1(x)e)\| \rightarrow 0$ (as \widehat{A} is Hausdorff) we get $\varphi_1^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow \varphi_1$. Now extend $\xi_1^{(\alpha)}$ to an orthonormal set $\{\xi_1^{(\alpha)}, \xi_2^{(\alpha)}, \dots, \xi_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}\}$ in H_{π_α} and define $\varphi_i^{(\alpha)} = \langle \pi_\alpha(\cdot)\xi_i^{(\alpha)}, \xi_i^{(\alpha)} \rangle$ ($2 \leq i \leq n+1$). The set $QS(A)$ is weak*-compact, so by passing to successive subnets we get $\varphi_i^{(\mu)} \rightarrow \varphi_i$ for some $\varphi_i \in QS(A)$ ($2 \leq i \leq n+1$). But φ_i is the limit of pure states so $\varphi_i \in \overline{P(A)} \subseteq P(A) \cup \{0\}$. Note that $\varphi_i(\ker \pi) = \{0\}$ for all i . Therefore there exist a $\varphi'_i \in P(\pi(A)) \cup \{0\}$ such that $\varphi_i = \varphi'_i \circ \pi$ ($2 \leq i \leq n+1$). Since π is finite-dimensional, $\varphi_i = \omega_{\xi_i} \circ \pi$ where either $\|\xi_i\| = 1$ or $\xi_i = 0$ ($2 \leq i \leq n+1$).

Suppose that $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$ and that $\|\xi_i\| = \|\xi_j\| = 1$. We show that $\xi_i \perp \xi_j$. Since A has continuous trace, by Theorem 2.3 of [4] the (transition probability) map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : R(A) \rightarrow [0, 1], (\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$ (where $R(A) = \{(\varphi, \psi) \in P(A) \times P(A) : \varphi \text{ is equivalent } \psi\}$) is continuous. Therefore $(\varphi_i^{(\mu)}, \varphi_j^{(\mu)}) \rightarrow (\varphi_i, \varphi_j)$

implies $\langle \varphi_i^{(\mu)}, \varphi_j^{(\mu)} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle$. But $\langle \varphi_i^{(\mu)}, \varphi_j^{(\mu)} \rangle = |\langle \xi_i^{(\mu)}, \xi_j^{(\mu)} \rangle|^2 = 0$, therefore $\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle = 0$. Hence $|\langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle|^2 = 0$, that is, $\xi_i \perp \xi_j$.

Since $\dim(\pi) = n$ there is space for only n orthogonal pure states. Therefore at least one $\varphi_j = 0$ ($j = 2, 3, \dots, n + 1$). We have shown that φ_1 is a pure state of A such that $\varphi_1^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow \varphi_1$, so we get $\varphi_1^{(\mu)} \rightarrow \varphi_1, \varphi_j^{(\mu)} \rightarrow 0$. Let $\zeta_\mu = \frac{\xi_1^{(\mu)} + \xi_j^{(\mu)}}{\sqrt{2}}$. Define $\psi_\mu = \langle \pi_\mu(\cdot)\zeta_\mu, \zeta_\mu \rangle \in P(A)$. Let $a \in A$, and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\mu(a) &= \langle \pi_\mu(a)\zeta_\mu, \zeta_\mu \rangle = \left\langle \pi_\mu(a) \left(\frac{\xi_1^{(\mu)} + \xi_j^{(\mu)}}{\sqrt{2}} \right), \left(\frac{\xi_1^{(\mu)} + \xi_j^{(\mu)}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \varphi_1^{(\mu)}(a) + \varphi_j^{(\mu)}(a) + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle \pi_\mu(a)\xi_1^{(\mu)}, \xi_j^{(\mu)} \rangle \} \rightarrow \frac{\varphi_1(a)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This is because $|\langle \pi_\mu(a)\xi_1^{(\mu)}, \xi_j^{(\mu)} \rangle|^2 \leq \|\pi_\mu(a^*)\xi_j^{(\mu)}\|^2 = \varphi_j^{(\mu)}(aa^*) \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\psi_\mu \rightarrow \varphi_1/2 \in \overline{P(A)} \subseteq P(A) \cup \{0\}$ a contradiction as $\varphi_1/2$ is of norm equal to $1/2$. Therefore U_n is open in $\widehat{A} \forall n \geq 1$ (but possibly empty).

Observe that $U_n = \widehat{A} \setminus \bigcup_{m \neq n} U_m$. Then U_n is closed $\forall n \geq 1$ (and so clopen). So

by 3.2.2 of [6] there exists a closed two sided ideal A_n of A such that $\widehat{A}_n = U_n$. Observe that $\widehat{A} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \widehat{A}_n$ and $A_n \neq \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \widehat{A}_n \neq \emptyset$. If $A_n \neq \{0\}$ then, by definition of U_n, A_n is a homogeneous C*-algebra of degree n . Let $\mathcal{A} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} A_n$ (c_0 -sum).

Define $\chi_n : \widehat{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\chi_n(\pi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \pi \in \widehat{A}_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi \notin \widehat{A}_n. \end{cases}$ Clearly χ_n is a bounded continuous function on \widehat{A} .

Temporarily fix $a \in A$. By the Dauns–Hoffman theorem there exists an $a_n \in A$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \pi(a_n) = \chi_n(\pi)\pi(a) \quad (\pi \in \widehat{A}).$$

If $\pi \in \widehat{A} \setminus \widehat{A}_n$ then $\pi(a_n) = 0$ and so $a_n \in A_n$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, then by 3.3.7 of [6] the set $K = \{\pi \in \widehat{A} : \|\pi(a)\| \geq \varepsilon\}$ is compact in \widehat{A} and hence is covered by a finite number of open sets in the sequence (\widehat{A}_n) . Hence there exists a finite $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^m \widehat{A}_n$. Let $n > m$ then $K \cap \widehat{A}_n = \emptyset$ so that, by (2.1), when $\pi \in \widehat{A}_n$ we have $\|\pi(a_n)\| = \|\pi(a)\| < \varepsilon$. Therefore, we get

$$(2.2) \quad \|a_n\| = \max_{\pi \in \widehat{A}_n} \|\pi(a_n)\| < \varepsilon \quad (n > m)$$

[6], 3.3.6). Define $\widehat{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ by $\widehat{a}(n) = a_n$. Now define $\theta : A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $\theta(a) = \widehat{a}$. It is routine to check that θ is a *-isomorphism from A onto \mathcal{A} . ■

The following well-known lemma is the special case of Lemma 2.6. Recall that if $0 \notin \overline{P(A)}$ then A is unital ([6], 2.12.13).

LEMMA 2.7. *A is a continuous trace C^* -algebra and $\overline{P(A)} = P(A)$ if and only if $A = \sum_{n=1}^N \bigoplus A_n$, where each A_n is either zero or non-zero unital homogeneous C^* -algebra.*

THEOREM 2.8. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\overline{P(A)} = P(A)$;
- (ii) $A \in [FIN]$, \widehat{A} Hausdorff and at singular points $\pi \in \widehat{A}$, $\pi(A)$ is one dimensional;
- (iii) $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{\lambda\varphi : |\lambda| \leq 1, \varphi \in P(A) \text{ with GNS representation } \pi_\varphi \text{ singular}\}$;
- (iv) \widehat{A} is Hausdorff and there exists a two sided closed ideal J of A such that J is a (finite or c_0) direct sum of homogeneous C^* -algebras with A/J abelian and its spectrum $\overline{(A/J)}$ is the set of singular points of \widehat{A} .

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). This follows from Theorem 6 of [8] and the fact that A is unital.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iv) Suppose that (ii) holds. The set U of Fell points of \widehat{A} is always open and so $U = \widehat{J}$ for some closed two sided ideal J of A . By construction, $\overline{(A/J)}$ is the set of singular points of \widehat{A} . If $\pi \in \overline{(A/J)}$ then $\pi(A/J)$ is one dimensional and hence A/J is abelian.

Since \widehat{J} is a Hausdorff and every point of \widehat{J} is a Fell point, J has continuous trace. Let $\varphi \in \overline{P(J)}$. Then there is a net (φ_α) in $P(J)$ such that $\varphi_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi$ in the $\sigma(J^*, J)$ topology. For each α there is a unique $\psi_\alpha \in P(A)$ such that $\psi_\alpha|_J = \varphi_\alpha$. Since $S(A)$ is compact, there is a subnet $(\psi_{\alpha(\mu)})$ of (ψ_α) convergent to some $\psi \in S(A)$. By (i), $\psi \in P(A)$. But $\varphi = \psi|_J$, so $\varphi \in P(J) \cup \{0\}$. Thus $\overline{P(J)} \subseteq P(J) \cup \{0\}$. By Lemma 2.6, J is a direct sum of homogeneous C^* -algebras.

(iv) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose that (iv) holds. If $\pi \in \widehat{J}$ then π is finite dimensional and if $\pi \in \overline{(A/J)}$ then π is one dimensional. Hence $A \in [FIN]$. If $\pi \in \widehat{A}$ is singular then $\pi \in \overline{(A/J)}$ and hence π is one dimensional because A/J is abelian.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Suppose $A \in [FIN]$, \widehat{A} Hausdorff and at singular points $\pi \in \widehat{A}$, $\pi(A)$ is one dimensional. Let $\varphi \in \overline{G(A)}$ then there exists a net (φ_α) in $G(A)$ such that $\varphi_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi$. Since $\varphi_\alpha \in G(A)$ there exists $\pi_\alpha \in \widehat{A}$ and unit vectors $\xi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \in H_{\pi_\alpha}$ such that $\varphi_\alpha = \langle \pi_\alpha(\cdot)\xi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \rangle$. Since A is unital, \widehat{A} is compact, so by passing to a subnet (π_μ) we get $\pi_\mu \rightarrow \pi$ for some $\pi \in \widehat{A}$. Note that $\varphi(\ker \pi) = \{0\}$, because \widehat{A} is Hausdorff. Either (i) π is singular or (ii) π is not singular.

Case (i). Suppose π is singular. Then H_π is one dimensional, and there is a unique pure state ψ of A associated with π , such that $\varphi = \lambda\psi$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| \leq 1$.

Case (ii). Suppose π is not singular. Since A is liminal and \widehat{A} is Hausdorff, by [10], π is Fell-regular. So $\pi \in \widehat{J}$ where J is as in the proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (iv). As previously shown in (ii) \Rightarrow (iv), J is a direct sum of homogeneous C^* -algebras and so $\overline{G(J)} \subseteq G(J) \cup \{0\}$ by Theorems 2.4 and 2.5. Eventually $\pi_\mu \in \widehat{J}$ and

hence $\varphi_\mu|_J \in G(J)$. Hence $\varphi|_J \in G(J) \cup \{0\}$. If $\varphi|_J \in G(J)$ then $\varphi \in G(A)$. If $\varphi|_J = \{0\}$ then $\varphi(A) = \varphi(J + \ker \pi) = \{0\}$ since $\ker \pi$ is a maximal closed two sided ideal and does not contain J . This completes case (ii).

For the reverse inclusion we need only show that $\lambda\psi \in \overline{G(A)}$ where $\psi \in P(A)$, π_ψ is singular and $|\lambda| \leq 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\varphi = \lambda\psi$ where $\psi \in P(A)$ with π_ψ singular and $|\lambda| \leq 1$. Since $A \in [FIN]$ and \widehat{A} is Hausdorff, singularity of π_ψ implies that there exists an element $e \in A^+$, a neighbourhood U of π_ψ and a net (π_α) in U convergent to π_ψ such that:

- (a) $\pi_\psi(e)$ is the rank one projection fixing ξ_ψ ,
- (b) $\sigma(e)$ is a projection for all $\sigma \in U$, and
- (c) $\text{rank}(\pi_\alpha(e)) \geq 2 \forall \alpha$.

In fact $\pi_\psi(e)$ is the identity since π_ψ is one dimensional. By (c) choose, for each α , orthogonal unit vectors $\xi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \in \pi_\alpha(e)H_{\pi_\alpha}$ and define

$$\varphi_\alpha = \left\langle \pi_\alpha(\cdot)\xi_\alpha, \overline{\lambda\xi_\alpha} + \sqrt{1 - |\lambda|^2}\eta_\alpha \right\rangle \in G(A).$$

Let $a \in A$. Since $\pi_\psi(e)$ is the 1-dimensional projection fixing ξ_ψ , $\pi_\psi(eae) = \psi(a)\pi_\psi(e)$. Since \widehat{A} is Hausdorff, the map $\widehat{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by $\sigma \mapsto \|\sigma(a)\|$ is continuous for all $a \in A$. Therefore $\|\pi_\alpha(eae - \psi(a)e)\| \rightarrow \|\pi_\psi(eae - \psi(a)e)\| = 0$. Now since $\varphi_\alpha(e) = \lambda$ we have

$$|\varphi_\alpha(a) - \varphi(a)| = |\varphi_\alpha(eae - \psi(a)e)| \leq \|\pi_\alpha(eae - \psi(a)e)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\varphi_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi \in \overline{G(A)}$. Thus the desired implication follows.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that (iii) holds. Then by Theorem 1.1, every limit of pure states is a multiple of a pure state. Since A is unital, $\overline{P(A)} = P(A)$. This completes the proof. ■

Here we give an example of a C*-algebra A for which $P(A)$ is weak*-closed but $G(A)$ is not. Let $A = \{x = (x_n) : x_n \in M_2(\mathbb{C}), x_n \xrightarrow{n} \text{diag}(\lambda(x), \lambda(x))\}$, i.e, A is the C*-algebra of sequences of 2×2 complex matrices such that $x_n \xrightarrow{n} \text{diag}(\lambda(x), \lambda(x))$. One can see that A is unital and satisfies all of the Glimm's conditions, therefore $\overline{P(A)} = P(A)$. But $\overline{G(A)} \not\subseteq G(A)$, for example $\lambda/\sqrt{2} \in \overline{G(A)}$ which is not a pure functional.

COROLLARY 2.9. *Suppose A is a C*-algebra. If $\overline{G(A)} = G(A)$, then A is a finite direct-sum of unital homogeneous C*-algebras.*

Proof. Suppose $\overline{G(A)} = G(A)$, then $\overline{P(A)} = P(A)$. Hence A is unital, for otherwise $0 \in \overline{P(A)}$ a contradiction. Part (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 2.8 implies that \widehat{A} is Hausdorff and has no singular points. So A is a C*-algebra with continuous trace and by Lemma 2.7, A is a finite direct-sum of unital homogeneous C*-algebras. ■

THEOREM 2.10. *Let A be a non-unital C^* -algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\overline{P(A)} \subseteq P(A) \cup \{0\} \cup \{\lambda\varphi : \lambda \in [0, 1], \varphi \in P(A) \text{ with GNS representation } \pi_\varphi \text{ singular}\}$;
- (ii) $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{0\} \cup \{\lambda\varphi : |\lambda| \leq 1, \varphi \in G(A) \text{ with GNS representation } \pi_{|\varphi|} \text{ singular}\}$;
- (iii) \widehat{A} is Hausdorff and there exists a two sided closed ideal J of A such that J is a (finite or c_0) direct sum of homogeneous C^* -algebras with A/J abelian and its spectrum $\widehat{(A/J)}$ is the set of singular points of \widehat{A} .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose that (i) holds. Let $\psi \in \overline{G(A)}$ then there exists a net (ψ_α) in $G(A)$ such that $\psi_\alpha \rightarrow \psi$. We have two cases: (i) $\psi = 0$, and (ii) $\psi \neq 0$.

Case (i). Suppose $\psi = 0$. Then ψ belongs to the right hand side of (ii).

Case (ii). Suppose $\psi \neq 0$. By 1.1 of [5], $|\psi_\alpha|$ is a pure state of A . Now $(|\psi_\alpha|)$ is a net in $QS(A)$ which is compact so by passing to a subnet we can assume that $|\psi_\alpha| \rightarrow \rho$ for some $\rho \in QS(A)$. But ρ is the limit of pure states, so $\rho \in \overline{P(A)} \subseteq P(A) \cup \{0\} \cup \{\lambda\varphi : \lambda \in [0, 1], \varphi \in P(A) \text{ with GNS representation } \pi_\varphi \text{ singular}\}$.

Therefore $\rho \in P(A) \subseteq G(A)$ or $\rho = 0$ or $\rho = \lambda\varphi$ where $\lambda \in [0, 1], \varphi \in P(A)$ with GNS π_φ singular. From 3.3 of [7] we have

$$(2.3) \quad |\psi_\alpha(a)|^2 \leq |\psi_\alpha|(a^*a), \quad \forall a \in A.$$

Now because $\psi_\alpha \rightarrow \psi$ and $|\psi_\alpha| \rightarrow \rho$, the inequality (2.3) is preserved for the limits:

$$(2.4) \quad |\psi(a)|^2 \leq \rho(a^*a), \quad \forall a \in A.$$

Now if $\rho \in P(A)$ then by (2.4), $|\psi(a)| \leq \|\pi_\rho(a)\xi_\rho\|$ hence by page 400 last 4 lines of [7] there exist a unit vector $\eta \in H_{\pi_\rho}$ such that $\psi(a) = \langle \pi_\rho(a)\xi_\rho, \eta \rangle$ and so $\psi \in G(A)$. Since $\psi \neq 0, \rho \neq 0$ by (2.4). Finally, if $\rho = \lambda\varphi$ where $\lambda \in [0, 1], \varphi \in P(A)$ with GNS π_φ singular, then by page 400 last 4 lines of [7] there exist a vector $\eta \in H_{\pi_\varphi}$ with $\|\eta\| \leq \sqrt{\lambda} \leq 1$ such that for $a \in A$,

$$\psi(a) = \langle \pi_\varphi(a)\xi_\varphi, \eta \rangle = \|\eta\| \langle \pi_\varphi(a)\xi_\varphi, \eta / \|\eta\| \rangle = \|\eta\| \omega(a)$$

where $\omega \in G(A)$ and $|\omega| = \varphi$, so that $\pi_{|\omega|}$ is singular. Note that because $\psi \neq 0, \|\eta\| \neq 0$. This completes the proof that $\overline{G(A)}$ is contained in the right hand side of (ii).

Conversely, let $\psi = \lambda\varphi$ where $\varphi \in G(A)$ with $\pi_{|\varphi|}$ singular and $|\lambda| \leq 1$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.8 (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) we obtain that $\lambda|\varphi| = \lim \varphi_\alpha$ where $\varphi_\alpha \in G(A)$. There exists a unitary element $u \in A + \mathbb{C}1$ such that $\varphi = |\varphi|(u \cdot)$. Hence $\lambda\varphi = \lim \varphi_\alpha(u \cdot) \in \overline{G(A)}$. This completes the proof that (ii) holds.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that (ii) holds. Then by Corollary 1.2, every singular element of \widehat{A} is one dimensional. Let $\psi \in \overline{P(A)} \subseteq \overline{G(A)}$. By (ii), either $\psi \in G(A) \cap QS(A) = P(A)$, or $\psi = 0$ or $\psi = \lambda\varphi$ where $0 < |\lambda| \leq 1, \varphi \in G(A)$ and $\pi_{|\varphi|}$ is singular. In the latter case, $\varphi = \mu|\varphi|$ where $|\mu| = 1$ because $\pi_{|\varphi|}$ is one dimensional. So $\psi = \lambda\mu|\varphi|$. Since $\psi \geq 0$ and $\psi \neq 0$, we get $0 < \lambda\mu \leq 1$.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii). Suppose that (i) holds. By Theorem 6 of [8] A is liminal, \widehat{A} is Hausdorff and every singular element of \widehat{A} is one dimensional. As in the proof of Theorem 2.8 (ii) \Rightarrow (iv), we obtain a closed two sided ideal J of A with \widehat{J} the set of Fell points of \widehat{A} , hence $\widehat{(A/J)}$ is the set of singular points of \widehat{A} , and A/J is abelian. Since \widehat{J} is Hausdorff and each point of \widehat{J} is Fell, J has continuous trace.

Let $\varphi \in \overline{P(J)}$ then (as in the proof of Theorem 2.8 (ii) \Rightarrow (iv), $\varphi = \psi|_J$ for some $\psi \in \overline{P(A)}$. By (i), either $\psi \in P(A) \cup \{0\}$ (in which case $\varphi \in P(J) \cup \{0\}$) or $\psi = \lambda\rho$ where $0 < \lambda < 1, \rho \in P(A)$ and π_ρ is singular. In the latter case, $\pi_\rho \in \widehat{(A/J)}$ and so $\varphi = \lambda \rho|_J = 0$. Thus $\overline{P(J)} \subseteq P(J) \cup \{0\}$. By Lemma 2.6, J is a direct sum of homogeneous C^* -algebras.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that (iii) holds. Suppose that $\varphi = \lim \varphi_\alpha$, where $\varphi_\alpha \in P(A)$ has GNS representation π_α . Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we see that either $\varphi = 0$ or (by passing to a subnet) $\pi_\alpha \rightarrow \pi$ for some $\pi \in \widehat{A}$. In the latter case, $\varphi(\ker \pi) = \{0\}$ because \widehat{A} is Hausdorff (note that if $a \in \ker \pi$ then $\|\pi_\alpha(a)\| \rightarrow \|\pi(a)\| = 0$).

Suppose that π is singular, i.e, $\pi \in \widehat{(A/J)}$. Then π is one dimensional because A/J is abelian. Thus φ is a multiple of a pure state which has a singular GNS representation.

Finally, suppose that $\pi \in \widehat{J}$. Since $\varphi_\alpha|_J \rightarrow \varphi|_J$, it follows from Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 that $\varphi|_J \in (G(J) \cup \{0\}) \cap QS(J) = P(J) \cup \{0\}$. If $\varphi|_J \in P(J)$ then $\varphi \in P(A)$. If $\varphi|_J = \{0\}$ then, since $J \not\subseteq \ker \pi$, $\varphi(A) = \varphi(J + \ker \pi) = \{0\}$ (by (iii), A is liminal and so $\ker \pi$ is a maximal closed two sided ideal of A). Thus $\varphi = 0$. ■

REMARK 2.11. Note that condition (ii) of Theorem 2.10 is equivalent to (ii') $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{0\} \cup \{\lambda\varphi : |\lambda| \leq 1, \varphi \in P(A) \text{ with } \pi_\varphi \text{ singular}\}$.

The reason for this is that both (ii) and (ii') force any singular element of \widehat{A} to be one dimensional (by Corollary 1.2).

COROLLARY 2.12. Let A be a C^* -algebra. If $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{0\}$ then A is the c_0 -sum of homogeneous C^* -algebras, that is, $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} A_n$ where each A_n is either zero or a non-zero homogeneous C^* -algebra and either at least one A_n is non-unital or infinitely many A_n are non-zero.

Proof. Suppose $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{0\}$, then $\overline{P(A)} \subseteq P(A) \cup \{0\}$. So condition (i) of Theorem 2.10 holds and hence condition (ii) holds. These two conditions together with $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{0\}$, tell us that \widehat{A} has no singular points and so A is a continuous trace C^* -algebra. Therefore by Lemma 2.6 A is a direct sum of homogeneous C^* -algebras. If A is a finite direct sum of unital homogeneous C^* -algebras then, by Theorem 2.4, $\overline{G(A)} = G(A)$, a contradiction. Hence A has the required form. ■

EXAMPLE 2.13. (i) Let A be the C^* -subalgebra of $C([0, 1], M_2)$ consisting of those f for which $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} f(t) = \text{diag}(\rho(f), \rho(f))$ where $\rho(f) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then ρ is a singular point of \widehat{A} , $\overline{P(A)} = P(A) \cup \{0\}$ (so the inclusion in Theorem 2.10(i) is strict) and $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{\lambda\rho : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$.

(i) Let A be the C^* -subalgebra of $C([0, 1], M_3)$ consisting of those f for which $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} f(t) = \text{diag}(\rho(f), \rho(f), 0)$ where $\rho(f) \in \mathbb{C}$. Then ρ is a singular point of \widehat{A} , $\overline{P(A)} = P(A) \cup \{\lambda\rho : 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\}$ (so that equality holds in Theorem 2.10(i)) and $\overline{G(A)} = G(A) \cup \{\lambda\rho : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$.

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank Professor R.J. Archbold, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Aberdeen (UK), for many useful suggestions and improvements on this work. The author is also grateful to the referee for some corrections and suggesting an example which is added after the proof of Theorem 2.8.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.J. ARCHBOLD, On the norm of an inner derivation of a C^* -algebra, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **84**(1978), 273–291.
- [2] R.J. ARCHBOLD, Limits of pure states, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **32**(1989), 249–254.
- [3] R.J. ARCHBOLD, M.H. SHAH, Limits of vector functionals, *J. Operator Theory* **45**(2001), 39–51.
- [4] R.J. ARCHBOLD, F.W. SHULTZ, Characterization of C^* -algebras with continuous trace by the properties of their pure states, *Pacific J. Math.* **136**(1989), 1–13.
- [5] R.J. ARCHBOLD, D.W.B. SOMERSET, Transition probabilities and trace functions for C^* -algebras, *Math. Scand.* **73**(1993), 81–111.
- [6] J. DIXMIER, *C^* -Algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1977.
- [7] E.G. EFFROS, Order ideals in a C^* -algebra and its dual, *Duke Math. J.* **30**(1963), 391–412.
- [8] J. GLIMM, Type I C^* -algebras, *Ann. Math.* **73**(1961), 572–612.
- [9] M.H. SHAH, Regularity of points in the spectrum of a C^* -algebra, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, to appear.
- [10] J.P. SPROSTON, Derivations and pure states, *Glasgow Math. J.* **18**(1977), 73–77.

M.H. SHAH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LAHORE UNIVERSITY OF MANAGEMENT SCIENCES (LUMS), 54792-LAHORE, PAKISTAN
E-mail address: mshah@lums.edu.pk

Received July 17, 2007.