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#### Abstract

Let $M$ be an invariant subspace of the multiplication operators $M_{z}$ and $M_{w}$ on the Hardy or Bergman space on $D^{2}=\{(z, w):|z|,|w|<1\}$, and $S_{f}=P_{M^{\perp}} M_{f} P_{M^{\perp}}$ be the compressions on the quotient module $M^{\perp}$ of the multiplication operators $M_{f}$. We study the Schatten-von Neumann, in particular trace and weak trace class, properties of commutators $\left[S_{f}^{*}, S_{f}\right]$, and we prove the trace formulas for the commutators. Similar trace formulas for Hankel type operators are also obtained.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Trace formulas for commutators of Toeplitz operators are of much interest as they are closely related to various subjects such as index theory and complex analysis. There is a well-known formula for Toeplitz operators on the unit disk. Consider the Bergman or Hardy space on the unit disk $D$ and the Toeplitz operator $T_{f}$ with symbol $f$. Then for holomorphic function $f$, the trace of the commutator $\left[T_{f}^{*}, T_{f}\right]$ is given by the Dirichlet norm of $f$,

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left[T_{f}^{*}, T_{f}\right]=\int_{D}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} m(z)
$$

see e.g. [19]. Actually, it is proved in [4] that the same formula holds with the Bergman space on the unit disk replaced by the Bergman space on any complex domain $\Omega$ defined by a measure $\mu$ (under certain mild assumptions). Some further generalization of the trace formula for the commutator $\left[S^{*}, S\right]$ of a subnormal operator $S$ was given in [2]. There is a similar trace formula for the anticommutator of the $2 n$-tuple $\left(T^{*}, T\right)$, where $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ is an $n$-tuple of commuting operators, see [19], [12], [24] and [26]. In this paper we will prove trace
and Dixmier trace formulas for certain Hankel and Toeplitz type operators acting on function modules over the bidisk.

Let $H$ be the Hardy space or a weighted Bergman space of holomorphic functions on the unit disk. We consider the Hardy or Bergman space $H \otimes H$ on the bidisk $D^{2}$. For an invariant subspace $M$ generated by homogeneous polynomials, we will study the Schatten-von Neumann $\mathcal{L}^{p}$ properties of the quotient module $M^{\perp}$, namely the membership in $\mathcal{L}^{p}$ of the operators $\left[S_{f}^{*}, S_{f}\right.$ ] for any polynomial symbol $f(z, w)$, where $S_{f}$ is the compression of $M_{f}$ on $M^{\perp}$. The classification of those quotients $M^{\perp}$ with compact properties has been done in [11], [8], [20], [17]. We will prove that $M^{\perp}$ is in $\mathcal{L}^{1}$, i.e., in the trace class, precisely when $M=[p]$ with $p$ being one of the polynomials $(z-\alpha w)^{n+1},(z-\beta w)$, $(w-\gamma z)$ and $(z-\beta w)(w-\gamma z)$, for some $|\alpha|=1,|\beta|,|\gamma|<1$. Moreover, it is proved that the trace $\left[S_{f}^{*}, S_{f}\right]$ is given by the Dirichlet norm of the restriction of $f$ on the zero set of the polynomial $p$. Note that the trace formula in [4] is applicable to our case only when $p=(z-\alpha w)$ for $|\alpha| \leqslant 1$, since for other cases the operator $S_{z}$ is not unitarily equivalent to any Toeplitz operator $T_{f}$ (or its dual) as in [4] and is even not hyponormal (or co-hyponormal). We will also study the Hankel type operator $H_{z}$ from $M^{\perp}$ to $M$. The square of its modulus is $\left|H_{z}\right|^{2}=H_{z}^{*} H_{z}=P_{M^{\perp}}\left[M_{z}^{*}, M_{z}\right] P_{M^{\perp}}-\left[S_{z}^{*}, S_{z}\right]$ and thus measures the discrepancy between the compression of the commutator and the commutator of the compressions on $M^{\perp}$. It turns out that there is a subtle difference between the Hardy case $v=1$ and the weighted Bergman case $v>1$. The operator $H_{z}^{*} H_{z}$ is in the weak trace class $\mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$ but not the trace class for $v=1$. It is in the trace class for $v>1$. We prove then that the Dixmier trace of $H_{f}^{*} H_{f}$ is also given by the Dirichlet norm. The proof of the $\mathcal{L}^{p}$-properties involves some rather delicate estimates of eigenvalues of related operators. For the computation of the trace and Dixmier trace we use certain Möbius invariance which might be somewhat ad hoc. Indeed some direct computations instead of invariant arguments are also possible, and they might provide more insights for the study of general non-homogeneous modules; see Remark 5.12 for a concrete question.

It is worthwhile to mention that there are several related interesting problems on submodules of the Hardy space on the unit ball $B^{d}$ generated by homogeneous polynomials. In [6] (see also [5]) Arveson conjectures that the operator $\left[S_{i}^{*}, S_{j}\right]$ on the quotient module is always in $\mathcal{L}^{p}$ for $p>n$. This conjecture has recently been proved to be true for $d=2,3$ by Guo and Wang [18], [16]; roughly speaking the Toeplitz operators on the quotient modules behave as they are on the unit ball. Thus there would be no trace formula for a single commutator. However we may still consider the question of trace class property of the anti-commutators of several operators as in [19]. There is also a formula for the Dixmier trace of the product of commutators of Toeplitz operators on the unit ball [13] and the same question makes also sense for the quotients. However the function theory on the bidisk or polydisks is quite different from that
on the unit ball, in particular the Toeplitz operators on the bidisk are not essentially commuting, and the above conjecture does not hold generally. Our results rise a natural question of characterizing those quotient modules of the polydisk which are 1-essentially normal, namely classifying quotient modules with all the commutators being in the trace class $\mathcal{L}^{1}$.

## 2. QUOTIENT MODULES $\left[(z-w)^{N+1}\right]^{\perp}$ AND THEIR REALIZATIONS

Consider the functional Hilbert space $H=H_{v}$ on the unit disk $D$ with the reproducing kernel $K_{w}(z)=\frac{1}{(1-z \bar{w})^{v}}$ for $v \geqslant 1$. It is the Hardy space $H^{2}(T)$ ( $v=1$ ) or the weighted Bergman space $L_{a}^{2}\left(D, \mathrm{~d} \mu_{v-2}\right)(v>1)$; here $T$ is the unit circle and $\mathrm{d} \mu_{\alpha}=c_{\alpha}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} m(z)$ is the normalized measure on $D$.

The space $H \otimes H$ is then the Hilbert space $H^{2}\left(T^{2}\right)$ or $L_{a}^{2}\left(D^{2}, \mathrm{~d} \mu_{v-2} \times \mathrm{d} \mu_{v-2}\right)$ on the bidisk $D^{2}$. Let $M_{f}$ be the multiplication operator on $H \otimes H$ for $f \in$ $H^{\infty}\left(D^{2}\right)$. For an invariant subspace $M$ of the multiplication operators $M_{z}, M_{w}$ on $H \otimes H$, we denote

$$
S_{f}=P_{M^{\perp}} M_{f} P_{M^{\perp}}, \quad H_{f}=P_{M} M_{f} P_{M^{\perp}}
$$

the compression of $M_{f}$ to the quotient module $M^{\perp}$ and Hankel type operator, respectively, where $P_{M}$ and $P_{M^{\perp}}$ are projections from $H$ onto $M$ and $M^{\perp}$. When $M$ is generated by homogenous polynomials, the essentially normal properties (see Section 3 for the definition) of $\left(S_{z}, S_{w}\right)$ have been studied in [17]. It is proved that the problem can be reduced to the special class of modules $M$ generated by $(z-w)^{j}$. We consider this case first. The compression $S_{f}$ on the quotient $\left[(z-w)^{j}\right]^{\perp}$ can be realized as certain block matrix acting on direct sum of usual weighted Bergman spaces. Let us recall briefly this realization; see [15], [23].

For any $j \geqslant 0$, let $M_{j}$ be the invariant subspace of the tuple $\left(M_{z}, M_{w}\right)$ generated by $(z-w)^{j}$. We will fix $N \geqslant 0$ in the sequel and consider the submodule

$$
M:=M_{N+1}=\left[(z-w)^{N+1}\right] .
$$

Equivalently, it is the subspace of holomorphic functions in $H \otimes H$ which are vanishing along the diagonal of $D^{2}$ of degree $N+1$. Using the filtration

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N+1} \subset M_{N} \subset \cdots \subset M_{1} \subset M_{0}=H \otimes H, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find

$$
M_{N+1}^{\perp}=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{N}\left(M_{j} \ominus M_{j+1}\right)
$$

Under this decomposition the operator $S=S_{z}$ on the quotient is a lower triangular $(N+1) \times(N+1)$-matrix $S=\left(S_{i j}\right)$ with

$$
S_{i j}=P_{i} S_{z} P_{j}, \quad 0 \leqslant j, i \leqslant N,
$$

where $P_{j}$ is the projection from $H \otimes H$ onto $M_{j} \ominus M_{j+1}$ for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant N$. The spaces $M_{j} \ominus M_{j+1}$ as well as the multiplication operators on $M^{\perp}$ have certain Möbius group invariance, which we shall also need.

Let

$$
S U(1,1)=\left\{g=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & \beta \\
\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha}
\end{array}\right]:|\alpha|^{2}-|\beta|^{2}=1\right\}
$$

be the Möbius group acting on the unit disk $D$ by

$$
g: z \rightarrow g \cdot z=\widetilde{\phi}_{g}(z)=\frac{\alpha z+\beta}{\bar{\beta} z+\bar{\alpha}}
$$

It induces a unitary action of $g \in S U(1,1)$ on $H$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{v}(g): f(z) \mapsto f\left(g^{-1} z\right)\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{g}^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z)^{v / 2} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The power $\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{g}^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z)^{v / 2}$ can be properly defined for non-integral values of $\frac{v}{2}$ so that $g \mapsto \pi_{\nu}(g)$ forms a projective representation.) Its action on $H \otimes H$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\pi_{v} \otimes \pi_{v}\right)(g): F(z, w) \mapsto F\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{g}^{-1} z, g^{-1} w\right)\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{g}^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z)^{v / 2}\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{g}^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(w)^{v / 2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observing that

$$
(g z-g w)^{j}=(z-w)^{j}\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{g}^{\prime}(z)\right)^{j / 2}\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{g}^{\prime}(w)\right)^{j / 2}
$$

we see that the filtration (2.1) is invariant under the action (2.3). In particular, the subspaces $M_{k} \ominus M_{k+1}$ are also invariant. As a representation of $\operatorname{SU}(1,1)$, it is equivalent to the space $H_{2 v+2 k}$ with the action $\pi_{2 v+2 k}$. We will need a concrete intertwining operator. Let $T_{k}$ be the following operator from the space holomorphic functions of two variables into that of one variable,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{k} F\right)(z)=C_{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-j}\binom{k}{j} \frac{\partial_{z}^{j} z_{w}^{k-j} F(z, z)}{(v)_{j}(v)_{k-j}}, \quad C_{k}=\frac{(v)_{k}}{\sqrt{k!(2 v-1+k)_{k}}}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\alpha)_{k}=\alpha(\alpha+1) \cdots(\alpha+k-1)=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is the generalized Pochhammer symbol. This operator has been well-studied in classical invariant theory and representation theory (see e.g. [21], [23], [15] and references therein). We recall two known results; see e.g. Theorem 1.2 of [15] and references therein.

LEMMA 2.1. The operator $T_{k}$ is a unitary operator from $M_{k} \ominus M_{k+1}$ onto the Bergman space $H_{2 v+2 k}$ and intertwines the action $\pi_{v} \otimes \pi_{v}$ with $\pi_{2 v+2 k}$ on $H_{2 v+2 k}$.

An elementary computation shows that the adjoint $T_{k}^{*}$ of $T_{k}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{k}^{*} f\right)(z, w)=C_{k}(z-w)^{k} \int_{D} f(\xi) \frac{1}{(1-z \bar{\xi})^{v+k}(1-w \bar{\xi})^{v+k}} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{2 v+2 k-2}(\xi) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $T_{k}^{*}$ maps the standard orthonormal basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{m}=\sqrt{\frac{(2 v+2 k)_{m}}{m!}} z^{m} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $H_{2 v+2 k}$ onto the orthonormal basis, of $M_{k} \ominus M_{k+1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{m}^{k}=C_{k} \sqrt{\frac{m!}{(2 v+2 k)_{m}}}(z-w)^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{(v+k)_{l}}{l!} \frac{(v+k)_{m-l}}{(m-l)!} z^{l} w^{m-l} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. The map

$$
\bigoplus_{k=0}^{N} T_{k}: H \otimes H \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k=0}^{N} H_{2 v+2 k}
$$

induces a Möbius invariant unitary operator

$$
M^{\perp}=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{N}\left(M_{k} \ominus M_{k+1}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k=0}^{N} H_{2 v+2 k} .
$$

Under this unitary equivalence, the diagonal components $S_{k k}$ are then the Bergman multiplication on $H_{2 v+2 k}$.

We shall also need the Gauss summation formula for the hypergeometric series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{j}(b)_{j}}{(c)_{j} j!}:=F(a, b ; c, 1)=\frac{\Gamma(c) \Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a) \Gamma(c-b)} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in particular its special case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{(\alpha)_{j}(\beta)_{k-j}}{j!(k-j)!}=\frac{(\alpha+\beta)_{k}}{k!} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can also be easily proved by binomial expansions.

## 3. TRACE FORMULAS

Recall that the Schatten-von Neumann class $\mathcal{L}^{p}, p>0$, consists of compact operators $T$ such that the eigenvalues $\left\{\mu_{n}(|T|)\right\}, \mu_{1}(|T|) \geqslant \mu_{2}(|T|) \geqslant \cdots$, of $|T|=\left(T^{*} T\right)^{1 / 2}$ are in $l^{p}$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt class, $\mathcal{L}^{1}$ the trace class and $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}$ compact operators. We shall also need the Macaev class $\mathcal{L}^{p, \infty}$, or the weak $\mathcal{L}^{p}$ class, (see e.g. Example 2.2 of [22]) which consists of all compact operators $T$ satisfying

$$
\mu_{n}(|T|)=O\left(n^{-1 / p}\right) \quad \text { if } p>1 ; \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}(|T|)=O(\log n), \quad \text { if } p=1
$$

One may also define the Macaev class $\mathcal{L}^{p, q}$ by using the interpolation between $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$; see e.g. [22] and Chapter IV of [9].

For a submodule $M$ of $H \otimes H$, we say that $M^{\perp}$ is $(p, q)$-essentially normal or simply $M^{\perp}$ is $\mathcal{L}^{(p, q)}$, if all the cross commutators of the operators $\left\{S_{z}^{*}, S_{w}^{*}, S_{z}, S_{w}\right\}$
are in $\mathcal{L}^{p, q}$ (see e.g. [5], [6] for the case of unit ball). We abbreviate $(\infty, \infty)$ essentially normal as essentially normal or compact.

We observe that the commutators $\left[S_{z}, S_{w}\right]=0$ and $\left[S_{z}^{*}, S_{w}^{*}\right]=0$, and the definition is only about the $\mathcal{L}^{(p, q)}$ property of $\left[S_{z}^{*}, S_{z}\right],\left[S_{z}^{*}, S_{w}\right],\left[S_{w}^{*}, S_{w}\right]$.

In this section we will show the quotient module $M^{\perp}=\left[(z-w)^{N+1}\right]^{\perp}$ is $\mathcal{L}^{1}$ and we shall compute the trace of the commutators. Let us recall first the following result in Proposition 6 of [15].

Lemma 3.1. The operator $S_{j i}$, for $j>i$, realized as the operator $T_{j} M_{z} T_{i}^{*}$ from $H_{2 v+2 i} \rightarrow H_{2 v+2 j}$ is a differentiation operator of degree $j-i-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{j} M_{z} T_{i}^{*} f\right)(z)=\frac{C_{i}}{C_{j}} \frac{(v+i)_{j-i}}{(2 v+2 i)_{2 j-2 i-1}}\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^{j-i-1} f(z) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\alpha)_{k}=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ and $C_{k}=\frac{(v)_{k}}{\sqrt{k!(2 v-1+k)_{k}}}$.
We shall need to understand the $\mathcal{L}^{(p, q)}$ property of the above differential operators.

Lemma 3.2. The $k$-th differentiation

$$
f \mapsto\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^{k} f
$$

from $H_{v}$ to $H_{\sigma}$ belongs to the Schatten class $\mathcal{L}^{p}$ for $p>1$ if and only if $p\left(\frac{\sigma-v}{2}-k\right)>1$. It belongs to the weak trace class $\mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$ if and only if $\frac{\sigma-v}{2}-k \geqslant 1$.

Proof. The functions $e_{m}=\sqrt{\frac{(v)_{m}}{m!}} z^{m}$ form an orthonormal basis of $H_{v}$. The differentiation maps the basis $\left\{e_{m}\right\}$ to a system of orthogonal vectors. In fact, writing $T=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^{k}$, we have

$$
T e_{m}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } m<k \\ m(m-1) \cdots(m-k+1) \sqrt{\frac{(v)_{m}}{(\sigma)_{m-k}}} f_{m-k} & \text { if } m \geqslant k\end{cases}
$$

where $f_{m}=\sqrt{\frac{(\sigma)_{m}}{m!}} z^{m}$ is the orthonormal basis of $H_{\sigma}$. Therefore, $T$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^{p}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{m}\left\|T e_{m}\right\|^{p}<\infty \quad \text { and respectively } \quad \sum_{m \leqslant n}\left\|T e_{m}\right\|=O(\log n)
$$

A direct calculation shows the following, leading to desired results:

$$
\left\|T e_{m}\right\| \approx m^{k} m^{(1 / 2)(v-\sigma)}=m^{-((1 / 2)(\sigma-v)-k)}
$$

As a consequence, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{i j} e_{m}^{j}\right\| \leqslant C \frac{1}{m}, \quad 1 \leqslant j<i \leqslant N, m=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C$ independent of $i, j, N$. Therefore, the operator $S_{i j}$ is in the weak trace class $\mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$, and in particular in any $\mathcal{L}^{p}$ for $p>1$.

Theorem 3.3. The commutators $\left[S_{z}^{*}, S_{z}\right],\left[S_{w}^{*}, S_{w}\right]$ and $\left[S_{z}^{*}, S_{w}\right]$ are of trace class. Thus, the quotient module $M^{\perp}$ is in $\mathcal{L}^{1}$.

Proof. We prove first that $\left[S_{z}^{*}, S_{z}\right]$ is of trace class. Writing $S=S_{z}$ as a block lower triangular $(N+1) \times(N+1)$-matrix $S=\left(S_{i j}\right)$ with $S_{i j}=P_{i} S P_{j}$, where $P_{i}$ is the projection from $H \otimes H$ onto $M_{j} \ominus M_{j+1}$, we have $S_{i j}=0$ for $i<j$. The ( $i j$ )-entry of the self-adjoint operator $\left[S^{*}, S\right]$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[S^{*}, S\right]_{i j}=\sum_{k=0}^{N}\left(S_{k i}^{*} S_{k j}-S_{i k} S_{j k}^{*}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $i=j$, all terms except possibly the term $S_{i i}^{*} S_{i i}-S_{i i} S_{i i}^{*}$ in the sum in (3.3) are trace class since $S_{k i}$ is in $\mathcal{L}^{p}$ for any $p>1$; but $S_{i i}$ is unitarily equivalent to the Bergman multiplication operator on $H_{2 v+2 i}$ and consequently the commutator $S_{i i}^{*} S_{i i}-S_{i i} S_{i i}^{*}$ is also trace class.

Suppose $i>j$. Again all terms in the sum in (3.3) are trace class for $S_{j i}=0$ and $S_{k i} \in \mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$ if $k \neq i$, except possibly the terms with $k=i, j$. In the latter case the sum is

$$
W:=S_{i i}^{*} S_{i j}-S_{i i} S_{j i}^{*}+S_{j i}^{*} S_{j j}-S_{i j} S_{j j}^{*}=S_{i i}^{*} S_{i j}-S_{i j} S_{j j}^{*} .
$$

We now compute its action on the orthonormal basis $E_{m}=\frac{z^{m}}{\left\|z^{m}\right\|_{2 v+2 j}}$. We write $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^{i-j-1} z^{m}=p(m) z^{m-(i-j-1)}$, where $p(m)$ is a polynomial in $m$ of degree $i-j-$ 1 with leading term $m^{i-j-1}$. By direct computations we have

$$
W\left(z^{m}\right)=c(m) z^{m-1-(i-j-1)}
$$

with

$$
c(m)=p(m) \frac{m-(i-j-1)}{2 v+2 i+m-(i-j-1)-1)}-p(m-1) \frac{m}{2 v+2 j+m-1} .
$$

As a rational function of $m$, it is clear that the leading term $m^{i-j-1}$ cancels each other, and $c(m)$ is of lower order $m^{i-j-2}$,

$$
|c(m)| \approx m^{i-j-2} .
$$

Observing that $\left\|z^{m}\right\|_{\sigma} \approx m^{(1-\sigma) / 2}$ we get

$$
\left\|W\left(E_{m}\right)\right\|_{2 v+2 i} \approx m^{-2}
$$

proving that $W$ is of trace class.
Since the operator $S_{w}$ is also a lower triangular matrix and the $(i j)$ entries of it differ only by a factor of $(-1)^{i-j}$, the same proof works also for $\left[S_{w}^{*}, S_{w}\right]$ and $\left[S_{z}^{*}, S_{w}\right]$.

For any polynomials $F(z, w)$ and $G(z, w)$ the commutator $\left[S_{F}^{*}, S_{G}\right]$ is then also trace class, as it can be seen by using

$$
[A B, C]=A[B, C]+[A, C] B .
$$

We prove below a trace formula for $\left[S_{F}^{*}, S_{F}\right]$.
THeorem 3.4. Let $F(z, w)$ be a polynomial in $(z, w)$ and $f(z)=F(z, z)$ be its restriction to the diagonal. Then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{F}^{*}, S_{F}\right]=(N+1) \int_{D}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} m(z) .
$$

We divide the proof into some elementary lemmas.
Lemma 3.5. Let $G(z, w)=(z-w) g(z, w)$ for some polynomial $g$. Then the operators $\left[S_{G}^{*}, S_{f}\right]$ are of trace class for any polynomial $f(z, w)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{G}^{*}, S_{f}\right]=0$.

Proof. The multiplication by $G(z, w)=(z-w) g(z, w)$ maps $M_{i}$ into $M_{i+1}$, thus $S_{G}$ is a lower triangular matrix with diagonal entries being 0 , with the $(i j)$ entry $T_{i j}$ being Hilbert-Schmidt, by Proposition 3.3. Denoting $S_{f}=\left(S_{j k}\right)$, the (ii)-entry of $\left[S_{G}^{*}, S_{f}\right]$ is

$$
\sum_{j>i} T_{j i}^{*} S_{j i}-\sum_{j<i} s_{i j} T_{i j}^{*}
$$

where each term is of trace class since both $S_{i j}$ and $T_{i j}$ are Hilbert-Schmidt. Taking trace and summing over $i$ we see that it is zero due to the anti-symmetry of the sum.

The following lemma is elementary and known as the uniqueness of Möbius invariant spaces; see [3]. It can also be proved by elementary computations using the skew-adjointness of the Lie algebra elements on group-invariant pre-Hilbert spaces. (A much general form is known as Schur's lemma [10] for irreducible representations of semisimple Lie algebra).

Lemma 3.6. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a pre-Hilbert norm on a space of analytic functions, which includes all polynomials. If $\|\cdot\|$ is invariant under the action of the Lie group of $\operatorname{SU}(1,1)$ via change of variables, that is

$$
\|f(z)\|=\|f(g \cdot z)\|, \quad \text { for } g \text { in } S U(1,1), f \text { polynomial },
$$

then it is the Dirichlet norm,

$$
\|f\|^{2}=c \int_{D}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} m(z), \quad \text { for } f \text { polynomial },
$$

for some constant $c \geqslant 0$.
Now we prove Theorem 3.4.
Proof. Writing $f(z)=F(z, z)$, we claim

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{F}^{*}, S_{F}\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{f}^{*}, S_{f}\right] .
$$

Indeed $F(z, w)=f(z)+G(z, w)$, with $G(z, w)=(z-w) g(z, w)$ for some polynomials $g(z, w)$. By Lemma 3.5,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{F}^{*}, S_{F}\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{f}^{*}, S_{f}\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{f}^{*}, S_{G}\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{G}^{*}, S_{f}\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{G}^{*}, S_{G}\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{f}^{*}, S_{f}\right]
$$

It follows from the proof of Theorem 3.3 and the invariance of $\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{f}^{*}, S_{f}\right]$ under rotations $f(z) \rightarrow f\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} z\right)$ that the trace $\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{f}^{*}, S_{f}\right]$ is sum of $\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{z^{n}}^{*}, S_{z^{n}}\right]$ with nonnegative coefficients, and each $\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{z^{n}}^{*}, S_{z^{n}}\right]$ is nonnegative. Thus the trace defines an pre-Hilbert norm on the space of all polynomials $f(z)$. Moreover, for any Möbius transformation $\phi$ and $g(z)=f(\phi(z))$, we have that $S_{f}$ and $S_{g}$ are unitarily equivalent. Thus the trace $\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{f}^{*}, S_{f}\right]$ is Möbius invariant whenever it exists. Therefore, according to the previous lemma, $\left[S_{f}^{*}, S_{f}\right]$ is a constant multiple of the Dirichlet norm for polynomial $f$, and the constant can be evaluated by taking $F(z, w)=z$.

## 4. THE TRACE AND DIXMIER TRACE OF HANKEL TYPE OPERATORS

We recall very briefly the Dixmier trace on the weak trace class $\mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$. There exist ([9], Chapter IV) linear functionals $\operatorname{tr}_{\omega}: \mathcal{L}^{1, \infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, depending on certain functionals $\omega$ on the space of bounded continuous functions over the half line $[1, \infty)$, called Dixmier traces, which are similar to the usual trace. In particular for a positive operator $T$ with eigenvalues $\mu_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}_{\omega}(T)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k}}{\log n} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever the limit exists. It satisfies $\operatorname{tr}_{\omega}(A B)=\operatorname{tr}_{\omega}(B A)$, and $\operatorname{tr}_{\omega}(T)=0$ if $T$ is of trace class.

In this section we will prove that the operator $H_{z}^{*} H_{z}$ is in the weak trace class $\mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$ in the case of the Hardy space $(v=1)$, and we shall compute the Dixmier trace $\operatorname{tr}_{\omega} H_{f}^{*} H_{f}$ and show it is independent of the linear functional $\omega$.

THEOREM 4.1. Suppose $v>1$. For any polynomial $f(z)$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr} H_{f}^{*} H_{f}=c \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} m(z)
$$

where $c=\sum_{i=0}^{N} c_{i}$ and $c_{i}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left\|P_{M} M_{z} e^{i}\right\|^{2}$.
We consider the case $f(z)=z$ first.
Lemma 4.2. Suppose $v>1$. The operator $H_{z}^{*} H_{z}$ is a trace class operator.

Proof. Since $H_{z}^{*} H_{z}$ is a positive operator, we need only to prove that each $P_{i} H_{z}^{*} H_{z} P_{i}$ is a trace class operator, which is equivalent to that the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i}:=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left\|P_{M} M_{z} e_{m}^{i}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j \geqslant i+1}\left\|P_{j} M_{z} e_{m}^{i}\right\|^{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is convergent. In terms of the basis $E_{m}=\sqrt{\frac{(2 v+2 i)_{m}}{m!}} z^{m}$ of $H_{2 v+2 i}$, we have by Lemma 3.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P_{j} M_{z} e_{m}^{i}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|T_{j} M_{z} T_{i}^{*} E_{m}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{C_{i}^{2}}{C_{j}^{2}}\left(\frac{(v+i)_{j-i}}{(2 v+2 i)_{2 j-2 i-1}}\right)^{2} \frac{(2 v+2 i)_{m}}{m!}\left\|\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^{j-i-1} z^{m}\right\|_{2 v+2 j^{\prime}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with (the norm being computed in $\mathrm{H}_{2 v+2 j}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^{j-i-1} z^{m}\right\|_{2 v+2 j}^{2} & =(m(m-1) \cdots(m-j+i+2))^{2} \frac{(m-j+i+1)!}{(2 v+2 j)_{m-j+i+1}} \\
& =\frac{(m!)^{2}}{(m-(j-i-1))!(2 v+2 j)_{m-(j-i-1)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is nonzero only for $m \geqslant j-i-1$. Writing all terms using Gamma function we find that $\left\|P_{j} M_{z} e_{m}^{i}\right\|^{2}$ is, apart from the constants independent of the summation index $j$ and $m$, equal to

$$
\frac{j!(2 v-1+j)_{j}(v+i)_{j-i}^{2} m!(2 v+2 i)_{m}}{(v)_{j}^{2}(2 v+2 i)_{2 j-2 i-1}^{2}(m-(j-i-1))!(2 v+2 j)_{m-(j-i-1)}} .
$$

To sum the double series $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j \geqslant i+1}$ in (4.2) we change variables $m=j-i-1+p$, with $j \geqslant i+1, p \geqslant 0$ and write it as $\sum_{j \geqslant i+1} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty}$. The factors depending on $p$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{m!(2 v+2 i)_{m}}{(m-j+i+1)!(2 v+2 j)_{m-j+i+1}} & =(j-i-1)!(2 v+2 i)_{j-i-1} \frac{(j-i)_{p}(2 v+j+i-1)_{p}}{p!(2 v+2 j)_{p}} \\
& =\Gamma(j-i) \frac{\Gamma(2 v+j+i-1)}{\Gamma(2 v+2 i)} \frac{(j-i)_{p}(2 v+j+i-1)_{p}}{p!(2 v+2 j)_{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum over $p$,

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j-i)_{p}(2 v+j+i-1)_{p}}{p!(2 v+2 j)_{p}}
$$

is the hypergeometric series $F(j-i, 2 v+j+i-1 ; 2 v+2 j ; 1)$, which is convergent and whose value, again by the Gauss summation formula, is

$$
\frac{\Gamma(2 v+2 j)}{\Gamma(2 v+j+i) \Gamma(j-i+1)} .
$$

The factors $\frac{(v+i)_{j-i}}{(v)_{j}}$ are bounded and the summation over $j$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{j \geqslant n+1} \frac{\Gamma(2 v+2 j) \Gamma(j+1) \Gamma(j-i) \Gamma(2 v+j+i-1)}{\Gamma(2 v+2 j-1) \Gamma(2 v-1+j) \Gamma(2 v+j+i) \Gamma(j-i+1)} \\
=\sum_{j \geqslant n+1} \frac{(2 v+2 j-1)}{(j-i)(2 v+j+i-1)} \frac{\Gamma(j+1)}{\Gamma(2 v-1+j)}
\end{array}
$$

Each term can be estimated using the Stirling formula,

$$
\frac{(2 v+2 j-1)}{(j-i)(2 v+j+i-1)} \frac{\Gamma(j+1)}{\Gamma(2 v-1+j)} \approx \frac{1}{j^{2 v-1}}
$$

and thus the series is convergent if and only if $v>1$.
Now we prove Theorem 4.1. The operator $H_{f}^{*} H_{f}$ is a trace class operator for any polynomial $f(z)$. To see this we let, for any bounded holomorphic function $F(z, w)$,

$$
R_{F}=\left.M_{F}\right|_{M}, S_{F}=\left.P_{M^{\perp}} M_{F}\right|_{M^{\perp}}
$$

be the restriction on the submodule $M=\left[(z-w)^{N+1}\right]$ and compression on the quotient $M^{\perp}$ of $M_{F}$. An easy matrix computation show that

$$
H_{z^{2}}=R_{z} H_{z}+H_{z} S_{z}
$$

Since $H_{z} \in \mathcal{L}^{2}$ from Lemma 4.2 we have $H_{z^{2}} \in \mathcal{L}^{2}$. Similarity, $H_{z^{n}} \in \mathcal{L}^{2}$ for any $n$. This implies that $H_{f}^{*} H_{f}$ is also a trace class operator for any polynomial $f(z)$. Therefore,

$$
(f, g) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr} H_{g}^{*} H_{f}
$$

defines an invariant pre-Hilbert norm on the space of all polynomials $f(z)$. For any Möbius transformation $\phi$ and $g(z)=f(\phi(z))$, we have that $H_{f}$ and $H_{g}$ are unitarily equivalent. Thus the trace of $H_{f}^{*} H_{f}$ is Möbius invariant whenever it exists. Now Theorem 4.1 can be proved by using the same method as that of Theorem 3.4.

We consider now the case $v=1$. We need some simple facts on the computation of the Dixmier trace. We call an operator $T$ on $H$ sub-diagonal if there exist an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $H$ and an integer $N$ such that $\left\langle T e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle=0$ for $|i-j|>N$.

Lemma 4.3. Suppose $T$ is sub-diagonal with the corresponding orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$. If $T \in \mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$ and $\left\langle T e_{i}, e_{i}\right\rangle=0$ for any $i \geqslant 0$, then $\operatorname{Tr}_{\omega} T=0$.

Proof. Since a sub-diagonal operator $T$ is a sum of finitely many unilateral shift operators, it suffices to consider the case that $T$ is a weighted unilateral shift satisfying $T \in \mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$ with weight $\left\{0, a_{1}, 0, a_{3}, 0, \ldots\right\}$. We have then $\operatorname{Tr}_{\omega}(T+$ $\left.T^{*}\right)=0$. In fact, a direct computation shows that the eigenvalues of $T+T^{*}$ are $\left\{-\left|a_{1}\right|,\left|a_{1}\right|,-\left|a_{3}\right|,\left|a_{3}\right|, \ldots\right\}$. This implies that the positive part $\left(T+T^{*}\right)_{+}$and negative part $\left(T+T^{*}\right)_{-}$of $T+T^{*}$ have the same eigenvalues distribution. Therefore,
$\operatorname{Tr}_{\omega}\left(T+T^{*}\right)_{+}=\operatorname{Tr}_{\omega}\left(T+T^{*}\right)_{-}$and $\operatorname{Tr}_{\omega}\left(T+T^{*}\right)=0$. A similar argument shows that $\operatorname{Tr}_{\omega} \frac{T-T^{*}}{i}=0$. Thus $\operatorname{Tr}_{\omega} T=0$, as desired.

Proposition 4.4. Let $v=1$. The operator $H_{z}^{*} H_{z}$ is in the weak trace class $\mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$ but not in $\mathcal{L}^{1}$, and $\operatorname{Tr}_{\omega} H_{z}^{*} H_{z}=(N+1)^{2}$.

Proof. By the definition of the operator ideal $\mathcal{L}^{p, \infty}$, it suffices to show that the Hankel operator $H_{z}=\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{M} M_{z} P_{i} \in \mathcal{L}^{2, \infty}$. The operator $P_{i} M_{z}^{*} P_{M} M_{z} P_{i}=$ $\left(P_{M} M_{z} P_{i}\right)^{*}\left(P_{M} M_{z} P_{i}\right)$ is diagonal under the orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{m}^{i}\right\}$ given in (2.7), and we need only to show that

$$
\left\|P_{i} M_{z}^{*} P_{M} M_{z} P_{i} e_{m}^{i}\right\|=\left\|P_{M} M_{z} P_{i} e_{m}^{i}\right\|^{2}=O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)
$$

As $P_{k} M_{z} P_{l}=0$ for $k<l$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{M} M_{z} P_{i} & =\left(I-P_{0}-\cdots-P_{N}\right) M_{z} P_{i}=\left(I-P_{i}-P_{i+1}-\cdots-P_{N}\right) M_{z} P_{i} \\
& =\left(I-P_{i}\right) M_{z} P_{i}-S_{i+1, i}-\cdots-S_{N, i}
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{M} M_{z} e_{m}^{i}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\left(I-P_{i}\right) M_{z} e_{m}^{i}\right\|^{2}-\left\|S_{i+1, i} i_{m}^{i}\right\|^{2}-\cdots-\left\|S_{N, i} e_{m}^{i}\right\|^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term above is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(I-P_{i}\right) M_{z} e_{m}^{i}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|M_{z} e_{m}^{i}\right\|^{2}-\left\|P_{i} M_{z} e_{m}^{i}\right\|^{2}=1-\left\|S_{i, i} e_{m}^{i}\right\|^{2}=1-\frac{m+1}{2+2 i+m} \\
& =\frac{1+2 i}{2+2 i+m}=\frac{1+2 i}{m}+O\left(\frac{1}{m^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used Lemma 2.2 that $S_{i, i}$ is unitarily equivalent to the multiplication operator by $z$ on $H_{2+2 i}$, and the fact that $M_{z}$ is an isometry when $v=1$. The remaining terms are estimated in (3.2), viz

$$
\left\|S_{k, i} e_{m}^{i}\right\|^{2} \leqslant C \frac{1}{m^{2}}, \quad 0 \leqslant i<k \leqslant N
$$

Thus

$$
\left\|P_{i} M_{z}^{*} P_{M} M_{z} P_{i} e_{m}^{i}\right\|=\left\|P_{M} M_{z} P_{i} e_{m}^{i}\right\|^{2}=\frac{1+2 i}{m}+O\left(\frac{1}{m^{2}}\right)
$$

and the operator $P_{M} M_{z} P_{i} \in \mathcal{L}^{2, \infty}$. This completes the proof of the first claim. Furthermore, by Lemma 4.3 we have the following that complets the proof:

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{\omega} H_{z}^{*} H_{z}=\operatorname{tr}_{\omega} \sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i} M_{z}^{*} P_{M} M_{z} P_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{N}(1+2 i)=(N+1)^{2}
$$

By similar methods as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 we can prove that $H_{f}^{*} H_{f}$ is in $\mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$. To compute its Dixmier trace we observe that

$$
H_{f}^{*} H_{f}=P_{M^{\perp}}\left[M_{f}^{*}, M_{f}\right] P_{M^{\perp}}-\left[S_{f}^{*}, S_{f}\right],
$$

and thus $\operatorname{tr}_{\omega} P_{M^{\perp}}\left[M_{f}^{*}, M_{f}\right] P_{M^{\perp}}=\operatorname{tr}_{\omega} H_{f}^{*} H_{f}$, since $\left[S_{f}^{*}, S_{f}\right] \in \mathcal{L}^{1}$. We have therefore

THEOREM 4.5. Let $v=1$. For any polynomial $f(z)$ we have

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{\omega} H_{f}^{*} H_{f}=\operatorname{tr}_{\omega} P_{M^{\perp}}\left[M_{f}^{*}, M_{f}\right] P_{M^{\perp}}=(N+1)^{2} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} m(z)
$$

## 5. SUBMODULES OF HARDY SPACES GENERATED BY HOMOGENEOUS POLYNOMIALS

In this section we consider a submodule $M$ of $H_{v} \otimes H_{v}$ generated by homogeneous polynomials. For computational convenience, we shall only consider the case $v=1$, i.e., the Hardy space on the bidisk. As is shown in [25], [7], up to a finite dimensional subspace, $M$ is of the form $M=[p]$ for a single homogeneous polynomial $p$ with $p=p_{1} p_{2}$, where the zero sets $Z\left(p_{1}\right)$ and $Z\left(p_{2}\right)$ have the properties that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}\left(p_{1}\right) \cap \partial D^{2}=\mathrm{Z}\left(p_{1}\right) \cap T^{2} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(p_{2}\right) \cap \partial D^{2}=Z\left(p_{2}\right) \cap\left(\partial D^{2} \backslash T^{2}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial D^{2}$ is the topological boundary of $D^{2}$, so that $\partial D^{2} \backslash T^{2}=(T \times D) \cup(D \times$ $T)$. We recall the following result from [17].

THEOREM 5.1. The quotient module $[p]^{\perp}$ is compact if and only if $p=p_{1} p_{2}$, with $p_{2}$ being one of the following polynomials:

$$
1,(z-\alpha w), \quad(w-\beta z), \quad(z-\alpha w)(w-\beta z), \quad \text { for }|\alpha|<1,|\beta|<1
$$

We will thus only consider quotient modules classified in the above theorem and study further their $\mathcal{L}^{p, q}$ properties, in particular their trace class properties.

THEOREM 5.2. Suppose $M=[p]$ with $p$ as in Theorem 5.1.
(i) The quotient module is trace class if and only if $p$ is one of the following polynomials:

$$
\left(z-\alpha_{1} w\right)^{n+1}, \quad(z-\alpha w), \quad(w-\beta z), \quad(z-\alpha w)(w-\beta z)
$$

with $\left|\alpha_{1}\right|=1,|\alpha|<1,|\beta|<1$.
(ii) The quotient module is in the weak trace class if and only if $p$ is one of the following polynomials:

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(z-\alpha_{j} w\right)^{n_{k}+1}, \quad(z-\alpha w), \quad(w-\beta z), \quad(z-\alpha w)(w-\beta z)
$$

with $\left|\alpha_{j}\right|=1, \forall j$, and $|\alpha|<1,|\beta|<1$.
We divide the proof into several steps. We note that the results in the previous sections are clearly valid for the submodule $M=[p]$ generated by $p=(z-\alpha w)^{n+1}$ for some $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|=1$. We consider first the case when $p=z-\alpha w$ with $|\alpha|<1$.

Lemma 5.3. Let $p=z-\alpha w$ for some $|\alpha|<1$. On the quotient module $M^{\perp}=$ $[p]^{\perp}$, we have that $S_{z}=\alpha S_{w}$ and $S_{w}$ is unitarily equivalent to the multiplication operator $M_{w}$ on the Bergman space $L_{a}^{2}(D, \mathrm{~d} \mu)$, where $\mu$ is a probability measure defined by

$$
\mathrm{d} \mu\left(r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)=\frac{1-\left|\alpha^{2}\right|}{2 \pi}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|\alpha^{2 j}\right| \delta_{|\alpha|^{j}}(r)\right) \times \mathrm{d} \theta,
$$

where $\delta_{x}$ is the delta measure supported at $x$. In particular, the quotient $M^{\perp} \in \mathcal{L}^{1}$.
Proof. By direct computation, the polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n, \alpha}(z, w):=e_{n}(z, w):=\sqrt{\frac{1-\left|\alpha^{2}\right|}{1-\left|\alpha^{2}\right|^{n+1}}} \frac{(\bar{\alpha} z)^{n+1}-w^{n+1}}{\bar{\alpha} z-w} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

form an orthonormal basis for the space $M^{\perp}$ (see [17]). The operator $S_{w}$ on $e_{n}$ is a weighted shift

$$
S_{w} e_{n}=\sqrt{\frac{1-\left|\alpha^{2}\right|^{n+1}}{1-\left|\alpha^{2}\right|^{n+2}}} e_{n+1} .
$$

On the other hand the functions $\left\{w^{n}\right\}$ form an orthogonal basis and its norm square in $L_{a}^{2}(D, \mathrm{~d} \mu)$ is

$$
\left\|w^{n}\right\|^{2}=\left(1-\left|\alpha^{2}\right|\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|\alpha^{2}\right|^{j}|\alpha|^{2 n j}=\left(1-\left|\alpha^{2}\right|\right) \frac{1}{1-\left|\alpha^{2}\right|^{n+1}}
$$

from which it follows that the mapping $e_{n} \mapsto \frac{w^{n}}{\left\|w^{n}\right\|}$ realizes the unitary equivalence of the operators $S_{w}$ and $M_{w}$.

REMARK 5.4. If $M=[z-\alpha w]$ as above we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{f(w)}^{*}, S_{f(w)}\right]=\int_{D}\left|f^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} m(w)
$$

by the general result in [4]. For any polynomial $F(z, w)$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{F}^{*}, S_{F}\right]=\int_{D}\left|f^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} m(w), \quad f(w)=F(\alpha w, w)
$$

since $S_{z}=\alpha S_{w}$. Also the above results are obviously valid in the case of $p=$ $w-\beta z$ for some $|\beta|<1$.

Now we consider the general cases. For the conceptual clarity we introduce the following; see [17] for the compact case, namely when $(p, q)=(\infty, \infty)$.

Definition 5.5. Let $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ be two closed subspaces of a Hilbert space $N$ and $P_{1}, P_{2}$ the corresponding orthogonal projections. They are called $(p, q)$ orthogonal if $P_{1} P_{2} \in \mathcal{L}^{p, q}$.

The definition is clearly independent of the Hilbert space $N$.

Proposition 5.6. Let $N, N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ be three quotient modules of $H \otimes H$ such that $N_{1}+N_{2}$ is dense in $N$. If $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are $(p, q)$-orthogonal and $(p, q)$-essentially normal, then $N$ is also $(p, q)$-essentially normal.

Proof. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P$ denote the projections from $H \otimes H$ onto $N_{1}, N_{2}, N$ respectively. Then $P_{1} P_{2} \in \mathcal{L}^{p, q}$. This implies [17] in particular that $P_{1} P_{2}$ is compact and hence $N_{1}+N_{2}$ is closed and $N_{1} \cap N_{2}$ is of finite dimension. Without loss of generality we may assume $N_{1} \cap N_{2}=0$ and then $N=N_{1} \dot{+} N_{2}$ is a direct sum decomposition.

Define $Q:=P_{1}+P_{2}: N \rightarrow N . Q$ is then an invertible operator on $N$. Moreover,

$$
Q(P-Q)=Q^{2}-Q=P_{1} P_{2}+P_{2} P_{1}
$$

is in $\mathcal{L}^{p, q}$, and so is also $P-Q=P-\left(P_{1}+P_{2}\right)$.
Let $S_{f}^{N_{1}}:=\left.P_{N_{1}} M_{f}\right|_{N_{1}}, S_{f}^{N_{2}}:=\left.P_{N_{2}} M_{f}\right|_{N_{2}}, S_{f}^{N}:=\left.P_{N} M_{f}\right|_{N}$ be the compressions of the multiplication operator $M_{f}$ with symbol $f$ on $N_{1}, N_{2}, N$, respectively. For any polynomial $f$ the commutators

$$
\left[S_{f}^{N_{1} *}, S_{f}^{N_{1}}\right],\left[S_{f}^{N_{2} *}, S_{f}^{N_{2}}\right] \in \mathcal{L}^{(p, q)}
$$

since $N_{1}, N_{2}$ is $(p, q)$-essentially normal. Moreover, since $N_{1}, N_{2}$ are co-invariant subspaces and $Q-P, P_{1} P_{2} \in \mathcal{L}^{(p, q)}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{f}^{N}-S_{f}^{N_{1}}-S_{f}^{N_{2}} & =\left(P M_{f} P-Q M_{f} Q\right)+\left(Q M_{f} Q-P_{1} M_{f} P_{1}-P_{2} M_{f} P_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(P M_{f} P-Q M_{f} Q\right)+P_{1} M_{f} P_{2}+P_{2} M_{f} P_{1} \\
& =\left(P M_{f} P-Q M_{f} Q\right)+P_{1} M_{f} P_{1} P_{2}+P_{2} M_{f} P_{2} P_{1} \in \mathcal{L}^{(p, q)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\left[S_{f}^{N *}, S_{f}^{N}\right] \in \mathcal{L}^{(p, q)}$ for any polynomial $f$. In particular, $\left[S_{z}^{N *}, S_{z}^{N}\right]$, $\left[S_{w}^{N *}, S_{w}^{N}\right] \in \mathcal{L}^{(p, q)}$. Furthermore,
$\left[S_{z}^{N *}, S_{w}^{N}\right]=\frac{1}{4}\left\{\left[S_{z+w}^{N *}, S_{z+w}^{N}\right]-\left[S_{z-w}^{N *}, S_{z-w}^{N}\right]+i\left[S_{z+i w}^{N *}, S_{z+i w}^{N}\right]-i\left[S_{z-i w}^{N *}, S_{z-i w}^{N}\right]\right\} \in \mathcal{L}^{(p, q)}$.
Therefore, the quotient module $N$ is $\mathcal{L}^{(p, q)}$, as desired.
Lemma 5.7. (i) The subspaces $\left[(z-\alpha w)^{n+1}\right]^{\perp}$ and $\left[(z-\beta w)^{N+1}\right]^{\perp}$ are $(1, \infty)-$ orthogonal if $\alpha \neq \beta,|\alpha|=|\beta|=1$.
(ii) The subspaces $[z-\alpha w]^{\perp}$ and $\left[(z-\beta w)^{n}\right]^{\perp}$ are $(2, \infty)$-orthogonal if $|\alpha|<|\beta|=1$.
(iii) The subspaces $[z-\alpha w]^{\perp}$ and $[(w-\beta z)]^{\perp}$ are $p$-orthogonal for all $p>0$ if $|\alpha|,|\beta|<1$.

Proof. (i) By the rotational invariance we may assume $\beta=1$. Let $P, P^{\prime}$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\left[(z-w)^{N+1}\right]^{\perp},\left[(z-\alpha w)^{n+1}\right]^{\perp}$, respectively. Then

$$
P=\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}, \quad P^{\prime}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} P_{j}^{\prime}
$$

where $P_{i}$ and $P_{j}^{\prime}$ are the orthogonal projections onto $\left[(z-w)^{i+1}\right]^{\perp} \ominus\left[(z-w)^{i}\right]^{\perp}$ and $\left[(z-\alpha w)^{j+1}\right]^{\perp} \ominus\left[(z-\alpha w)^{j}\right]^{\perp}$, respectively. Denote $\left\{\widetilde{e}_{m}^{i}, m=0,1, \ldots\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ the orthonormal basis $\left[(z-w)^{N}\right]^{\perp}=\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left[(z-w)^{i+1}\right]^{\perp} \ominus\left[(z-w)^{i}\right]^{\perp}$ given in (2.7). Replacing $z$ by $\bar{\alpha} z$ we get an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{m}^{j}, m=0,1, \ldots\right\}_{j=0}^{n}$ of $[(z-$ $\left.\alpha w)^{n+1}\right]^{\perp}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}=\bigoplus_{m \geqslant 0} \widetilde{e}_{m}^{i} \otimes \widetilde{e}_{m}^{i}, \quad P_{j}^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{m \geqslant 0} e_{m}^{j} \otimes e_{m}^{j} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $u \otimes v$ denotes as usual the rank one operator $x \rightarrow(x, v) u$.
We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P e_{m}\right\| \leqslant C \frac{1}{m} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C$ independent of $m$. This implies then the required result that $P^{\prime} P \in$ $\mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$. In fact, by the rotational invariance and (5.4),

$$
\left|P_{j}^{\prime} P_{i}\right|^{2}=P_{i} P_{j}^{\prime} P_{i}=\bigoplus_{m \geqslant 0} P_{i} e_{m}^{j} \otimes P_{i} e_{m}^{j}=\bigoplus_{m \geqslant 0}\left|\left\langle\widetilde{e}_{m+j-i}^{i}, e_{m}^{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \widetilde{e}_{m+j-i}^{i} \otimes \widetilde{e}_{m+j-i}^{i} .
$$

Therefore, $\left|P_{j}^{\prime} P_{i}\right|=\underset{m \geqslant 0}{\bigoplus}\left|\left\langle\widetilde{e}_{m+j-i}^{i}, e_{m}^{j}\right\rangle\right| \widetilde{e}_{m+j-i}^{i} \otimes \widetilde{e}_{m+j-i}^{i}$. The estimate (5.5) concludes that $\left|\left\langle\widetilde{e}_{m+j-i}^{i}, e_{m}^{j}\right\rangle\right|=O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)$. Hence $\left|P_{j}^{\prime} P_{i}\right| \in \mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$ and $P^{\prime} P \in \mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$.

The proof of (5.5) involves some rather delicate computations. To ease the notation, we will suppress the index $k$ in $e_{m}^{k}$ since only $m$ is relevant. We write $e_{m}$ as

$$
e_{m}=c_{m} \sum_{l=0}^{m} a_{m, l}(\bar{\alpha} z-w)^{k}(\bar{\alpha} z)^{l} w^{m-l}, \quad m=0,1, \ldots
$$

Here

$$
c_{m}=\frac{k!}{\sqrt{k!(1+k)_{k}}} \sqrt{\frac{m!}{(2+2 k)_{m}}}=\mathrm{Cm}^{-(2+2 k-1) / 2}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)\right)
$$

for some $C$ independent of $m$, and $a_{m, l}=\frac{(1+k)_{l}}{l!} \frac{(1+k)_{m-l}}{(m-l)!}$. We rewrite $a_{m, l}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{m, l} & =\frac{1}{k!^{2}}(l+1) \cdots(l+k)(m-l+1) \cdots(m-l+k) \\
& =\frac{1}{k!^{2}}\left(l^{k}+c_{1} l^{k-1}+\cdots+c_{k}\right)\left((m-l)^{k}+c_{1}(m-l)^{k-1}+\cdots+c_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $a(m, l)$ is a linear combination of $l^{k_{1}}(m-l)^{k_{2}}, k_{1}, k_{2} \leqslant k$ with coefficients independent of $(m, l)$. Similarly $(\bar{\alpha} z-w)^{k}$ is such a linear combination of $z^{k_{3}} w^{k_{4}}$ with $k_{3}+k_{4}=k$. Thus the function $e_{m}$ above is a linear combination of the functions

$$
e_{m}^{\prime}:=e_{m}^{\prime}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right):=c_{m} \sum_{l=0}^{m} l^{k_{1}}(m-l)^{k_{2}} \bar{\alpha}^{l} z^{k_{3}+l} w^{k_{4}+m-l}, \quad m=0,1, \ldots
$$

with coefficients dominated by constants independent of $m$ and $l$. To obtain (5.5), it suffices to show

$$
\left\|P e_{m}^{\prime}\right\| \leqslant C \frac{1}{m}
$$

Here as well as below $C$ denote any constant independent of $(m, l)$.
By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
P=\sum_{j=0}^{N} T_{j}^{*} T_{j}
$$

We shall estimate $\left\|T_{j} e_{m}^{\prime}\right\|$ and prove that

$$
\left\|T_{j} e_{m}^{\prime}\right\| \leqslant C \frac{1}{m}
$$

which then implies the estimates for $\left\|P e_{m}^{\prime}\right\|$ and $\left\|P e_{m}\right\|$.
By the rotational invariance of $T_{j} e_{m}^{\prime}$, we see that $T_{j} e_{m}^{\prime}$ is a scalar multiple of $z^{m+k-j}$ in the Bergman space $H_{2+2 j}$. Now $T_{j}$ is a linear combination of the differential operators $f(z, w) \mapsto\left(\partial_{z}^{i} \partial_{w}^{j-i} f\right)(z, z)$, and each operator maps $e_{m}^{\prime}$ to $c_{m} d_{m} z^{m+k-j}$ with

$$
d_{m}=\sum_{l=0}^{m} b_{m}(l) \bar{\alpha}^{l}
$$

here

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{m}(l):= & l^{k_{1}}\left(l+k_{3}\right) \cdots\left(l+k_{3}-i+1\right) \\
& \times(m-l)^{k_{2}}\left(m-l+k_{4}\right) \cdots\left(m-l+k_{4}-(j-i)+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is a polynomial of $l$. (To ease notation we have suppressed indexes $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4} \leqslant$ $k$ within $d_{m}$ and $\left.b_{m}(l)\right)$.

The series $d_{m}$ is a trigonometric series in $\alpha$ with coefficients $b_{m}(l)$. We will use now the Abel partial summation formula $2 k+j$ times to reduce $d_{m}$ to the geometric series $\sum_{l=0}^{m} \bar{\alpha}^{l}$ multiplied by $m^{q}$ with $q \leqslant k+j$. To bound the boundary terms in the Abel partial summation we need to keep track of the evaluations of discrete differentiation $b_{m}(l)$ as a function of $l$ at the end points $l=0$ and $l=m$. We write $\partial b(l):=b(l)-b(l+1)$ for the discrete differentiation. The key observation is that the differentiations $\partial^{q} b_{m}(l)$ of all degrees $q$ at the point $l=0$ and $m$ are all dominated by $m^{k+j}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial^{q} b_{m}(l)\right| \leqslant C m^{k+j}, \quad l=0, m, q \leqslant 2 k+j \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C$ independent of $m$. We prove this for the end point $l=0$ and the other end point is exactly the same by changing the variable $l$ to $m-l$. If $q=0$ then $\partial^{q} b_{m}(l)=b_{m}(l)$, and its values at the end point $l=0$ are zero unless $k_{1}=0$ in which case

$$
b_{m}(0)=k_{3} \cdots\left(k_{3}-i+1\right) m^{k_{2}}\left(m+k_{4}\right) \cdots\left(m+k_{4}-j+i+1\right) \leqslant C m^{k_{2}+j-i} \leqslant C m^{k+j}
$$

and (5.6) is indeed true. For general $q \leqslant 2 k+j$ we observe that $b_{m}(l)$ is a polynomial in $l$ of maximum degree $2 k+j$ with coefficients being polynomials of $m$ of maximum degree $k+j$. Each discrete differentiation in $l$ reduces the degree of $b_{m}(l)$ by one whose evaluation at $l=0$ is still a polynomial of $m$ of maximum degree $k+j$. Repeating the argument we see that (5.6) is true as $2 k+j$ is fixed and independent of $m$.

We perform now the Abel summation. Notice the partial sums of the series $\sum_{j} \bar{\alpha}^{j}$ are $\frac{1-\bar{\alpha} l+1}{1-\bar{\alpha}}=\frac{1}{1-\bar{\alpha}}-\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{1-\bar{\alpha}} \bar{\alpha}^{l}$, which is again a geometric series apart from the constant term. Thus for $m>2 k+j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{m} & =\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \partial b_{m}(l) \frac{1-\bar{\alpha}^{l+1}}{1-\bar{\alpha}}+b_{m}(m) \frac{1-\bar{\alpha}^{m+1}}{1-\bar{\alpha}} \\
& =\frac{-\bar{\alpha}}{1-\bar{\alpha}} d_{m}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{1-\bar{\alpha}} b_{m}(0)+b_{m}(m) \frac{-\bar{\alpha}^{m+1}}{1-\bar{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the leading term

$$
d_{m}^{\prime}:=\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \partial b_{m}(l) \bar{\alpha}^{l}
$$

which is again a trigonometric series of $\bar{\alpha}$ and its coefficients $\partial_{l} b_{m}(l)$ are polynomials of $l$ of maximum degree $2 k+j-1$. Using (5.6) we see that the error term

$$
\left|\frac{1}{1-\bar{\alpha}} b_{m}(0)+b_{m}(m) \frac{-\bar{\alpha}^{m+1}}{1-\bar{\alpha}}\right| \leqslant C m^{k+j}
$$

Thus

$$
\left|d_{m}\right| \leqslant\left|d_{m}^{\prime}\right|+C m^{k+j}
$$

Applying the partial summation $2 k+j$ times we see that $\left|d_{m}\right| \leqslant C m^{k+j}$. Consequently

$$
\left|c_{m} d_{m}\right| \leqslant C c_{m} m^{k+j} \leqslant C m^{-(2+2 k-1) / 2} m^{k+j}=C m^{-(1 / 2)+j}
$$

The norm $T_{j} e_{m}$ is then

$$
\left\|T_{j} e_{m}^{\prime}\right\|=\left\|d_{m} z^{m+k-j}\right\| \leqslant \mathrm{Cm}^{-(1 / 2)+j} m^{-(2 j+1) / 2}=\mathrm{Cm}^{-1}
$$

completing the proof.
(ii) Using the similar argument as in (i), we see the inner product of $e_{m}$ with $e_{m+j, \alpha}(z, w)$ in (5.3) satisfies

$$
\left|\left\langle e_{m}, e_{m+j, \alpha}\right\rangle\right| \leqslant C \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}
$$

Let $P$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\mid(z-\alpha w)]^{\perp}$. Then

$$
\left\|P e_{m}\right\| \leqslant C \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}
$$

which implies the desired result by the similar argument as in (i).
(iii) We may assume $1>|\alpha| \geqslant|\beta|$. The polynomials $e_{n}$ in (5.3) and

$$
f_{n}(z, w)=\sqrt{\frac{1-\left|\beta^{2}\right|}{1-\left|\beta^{2}\right|^{n+1}}} \frac{(\bar{\beta} w)^{n+1}-z^{n+1}}{\bar{\beta} w-z}
$$

form an normalized basis of the subspaces $[z-\alpha w]^{\perp}$ and $[(w-\beta z)]^{\perp}$, respectively. It is easy to see that

$$
\left|\left\langle e_{n}, f_{n}\right\rangle\right| \leqslant C n|\alpha|^{n}
$$

for some constant $C$ independent of $n$. Thus $P_{2} P_{1} \in \mathcal{L}^{p}$ for any $p>0$, where $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are the projections of $[z-\alpha w]^{\perp}$ and $[(w-\beta z)]^{\perp}$ respectively.

REMARK 5.8. Let $p=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(z-\alpha_{j} w\right)^{n_{j}}$ with $\left|\alpha_{j}\right| \leqslant 1$ and $P$ the orthogonal projection onto $[p]^{\perp}$. Note that our proof depends only on the estimates of a trigonometric series. The same proof and its iteration then yield the following estimate: For the given basis of $e_{m}$ of $\left[(z-\alpha w)^{n}\right]$, with $|\alpha|=1$ and $\alpha$ not being one of $\alpha_{j}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P\left(M_{z}^{*}\right)^{a} M_{z}^{b} e_{m}\right\| \leqslant C \frac{1}{m} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

if all $\left|\alpha_{j}\right|=1$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P\left(M_{z}^{*}\right)^{a} M_{z}^{b} e_{m}\right\| \leqslant C \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if one of $\left|\alpha_{j}\right|<1$. Here $a, b$ are non-negative integers.
Lemma 5.9. Suppose $S$ is a $(p, q)$-essentially normal operator on $N$, and $N=$ $N_{1} \oplus N_{2}$ with $N_{2}$ being an invariant subspace of $S$. Write $S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}S_{1} & 0 \\ S_{21} & S_{2}\end{array}\right)$ with $S_{1}=$ $\left.P_{N_{1}} S\right|_{N_{1}}, S_{2}=\left.S\right|_{N_{2}}$. If one of the operators $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ is $(p, q)$-essentially normal then so is the other.

Proof. Indeed, $\left[S^{*}, S\right]$ has diagonal entries $\left[S_{1}^{*}, S_{1}\right]+S_{21}^{*} S_{21}$ and $\left[S_{2}^{*}, S_{2}\right]-$ $S_{21} S_{21}^{*}$, which are all in $\mathcal{L}^{p, q}$ since $\left[S^{*}, S\right]$ is. Thus if one of the commutators, say [ $S_{1}^{*}, S_{1}$ ] is in the class, then so is $S_{21}^{*} S_{21}$, and consequently $S_{21} S_{21}^{*}$ and $\left[S_{2}^{*}, S_{2}\right]$ are in the same class.

We consider now the module generated by a polynomial with two simple factors $(z-w)$ and $(z-\alpha w)$.

Lemma 5.10. Let $M=[p], p=(z-w)(z-\alpha w)$.
(i) If $\alpha \neq 1,|\alpha|=1$ then the quotient module $M^{\perp}=[p]^{\perp}$ is $\mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$, but not $\mathcal{L}^{1-}$ essentially normal.
(ii) If $|\alpha|<1$ then the quotient module $M^{\perp}=[p]^{\perp}$ is $\mathcal{L}^{2, \infty}$, but not $\mathcal{L}^{2}$-essentially normal.

Proof. The positive part of the two claims are consequences of Lemmas 5.3 and 5.7, Proposition 5.6, and the results of Section 3. To prove the negative claim in (i) we choose the orthonormal basis $f_{n}(z, w)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \frac{z^{n+1}-w^{n+1}}{(z-w)}$ of $[(z-w)]^{\perp}$, and $e_{n}=\frac{(\bar{\alpha} z)^{n+1}-w^{n+1}}{(\bar{\alpha} z-w) \sqrt{n+1}}$ of $[(z-\alpha w)]^{\perp}$ as before. Let $S_{z}=\left.P_{M^{\perp}} M_{z}\right|_{M^{\perp}}$. We compute the inner product $\left\langle\left(\left[S_{z}^{*}, S_{z}\right] f_{n}, e_{n, \alpha}\right\rangle\right.$ and find that

$$
\left\langle\left[S_{z}^{*}, S_{z}\right] f_{n}, e_{n, \alpha}\right\rangle=\frac{\alpha-1}{n}+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)
$$

we omit the elementary routine computation. Thus, by Theorem 1.4.8 of [27], the operator $\left[S_{z}^{*}, S_{z}\right]$ is not in $\mathcal{L}^{1}$.

The similar argument works also for the negative claim in (ii). Let $e_{n}^{\prime}$ be the orthonormal basis of $[(z-\alpha w)]^{\perp}$ given by (5.3) for $|\alpha|<1$. A direct computation shows that

$$
\left\langle\left[S_{z}^{*}, S_{z}\right] f_{n}, e_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\frac{(\alpha-1) \sqrt{1-\left|\alpha^{2}\right|}}{\sqrt{n}}+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)
$$

Thus $\left[S_{z}^{*}, S_{z}\right]$ is not in $\mathcal{L}^{2}$.
We prove now Theorem 5.2.
Proof. The sufficiency is a consequence of Theorem 3.3, Lemmas 5.3, Proposition 5.6 and Lemmas 5.7. We prove now the necessity in part (i), and part (ii) is the same.

Let $p=p_{1} p_{2}$ be as in Theorem 5.1. We consider two cases.
Case 1. $p_{2}=1$, that is, $p=p_{1}=\left(z-\alpha_{1} w\right)^{n_{1}} \cdots\left(z-\alpha_{l} w\right)^{n_{l}}$ with different $\alpha_{1}, \ldots \alpha_{m}$ and $\left|\alpha_{1}\right|=\cdots=\left|\alpha_{l}\right|=1$. We will prove that if $S:=S_{z}$ is 1-essentially normal then $l=1$, i.e., $p=\left(z-\alpha_{1} w\right)^{n_{1}}$ with only one factor of multiplicity $n_{1}$. Suppose the contrary, that $l>1$. We prove that sub-quotient module $[(z-$ $\left.\left.\alpha_{1} w\right)\left(z-\alpha_{2} w\right)\right]^{\perp}$ is 1-essentially normal, a contradiction to Lemma 5.10(i).

Denote the last factor $\left(z-\alpha_{l} w\right)^{n_{l}}$ by $(z-\alpha w)^{k+1}, k \geqslant 0$, and write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p=\left(z-\alpha_{1} w\right)^{n_{1}} \cdots(z-\alpha w)^{k+1}=q(z-\alpha w) \\
& q=\left(z-\alpha_{1} w\right)^{n_{1}} \cdots\left(z-\alpha_{l-1} w\right)^{n_{l-1}}\left(z-\alpha_{l} w\right)^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We decompose $N$ as

$$
N=[p]^{\perp}=N_{1} \oplus N_{2}, \quad N_{1}=[q]^{\perp}, \quad N_{2}=N \ominus N_{1} .
$$

Then $N_{2}$ is an invariant subspace of $S$ and $S$ is a lower triangular matrix under the above decomposition,

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
S_{1} & 0 \\
S_{21} & S_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $S_{2}=\left.S\right|_{N_{2}}$ and $S_{z}=\left.P_{N_{1}} S\right|_{N_{1}}$. We will prove by using Lemma 5.9 that $S_{2}$ and thus $S_{1}$ is 1-essentially normal.

Let $e_{m}$ be the orthonormal basis of $\left[(z-\alpha w)^{k+1}\right]^{\perp} \ominus\left[(z-\alpha w)^{k}\right]^{\perp}$ given in (2.7). We claim that $P:=P_{N_{1}}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P e_{m}\right\| \leqslant C \frac{1}{m}, \quad\left\|P S e_{m}\right\| \leqslant C \frac{1}{m}, \quad\left\|P S^{*} e_{m}\right\| \leqslant C \frac{1}{m} . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We factorize $q$ further as

$$
q=q_{1}(z-\alpha w)^{k}, \quad q_{1}:=\left(z-\alpha_{1} w\right)^{n_{1}} \cdots\left(z-\alpha_{l-1} w\right)^{n_{l-1}} .
$$

Thus $M_{1}:=\left[(z-\alpha w)^{k}\right]^{\perp}$, and $M_{2}:=\left[q_{1}\right]^{\perp}$ are two subspaces of $N_{1}$ and $N_{1}=$ $M_{1}+M_{2} ; M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are $\mathcal{L}^{1, \infty}$ orthogonal, by Lemma 5.7 , and the sum $P_{1}+P_{2}$ of the corresponding projections $P_{1}:=P_{M_{1}}$ and $P_{2}:=P_{M_{1}}$ is then invertible on $N_{1}$. Thus there exists an operator $T$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(P_{1}+P_{2}\right)=P \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by (3.2), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1} e_{m}=0, \quad P_{1} S e_{m}=0, \quad\left\|P_{1} S^{*} e_{m}\right\| \leqslant C \frac{1}{m} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the formula (5.7) that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{2} e_{m}\right\| \leqslant C \frac{1}{m}, \quad\left\|P_{2} S e_{m}\right\| \leqslant C \frac{1}{m}, \quad\left\|P_{2} S^{*} e_{m}\right\| \leqslant C \frac{1}{m} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The claim (5.9) follows immediately from the formulas (5.10), (5.11), and (5.12).
Let $e_{m}^{\prime}=c_{m}\left(e_{m}-P e_{m}\right)$ be the normalized projection of $e_{m}$ on the subspace $N_{2}$, where $c_{m}=\frac{1}{\left\|e_{m}-P e_{m}\right\|}$. It is easy to show that $\left\{e_{m}^{\prime}\right\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of $N_{2}$; the orthogonality followed by the different homogeneous degrees of $e_{m}$ and the invariance of $P$ under the circle action. The operator $S$ is then a weighted shift on $N_{2}$, i.e,

$$
S_{2} e_{m}^{\prime}=\left\langle S_{2} e_{m}^{\prime}, e_{m+1}^{\prime}\right\rangle e_{m+1}^{\prime}=\left\langle S e_{m}^{\prime}, e_{m+1}^{\prime}\right\rangle e_{m+1}^{\prime}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle S e_{m}^{\prime}, e_{m+1}^{\prime}\right\rangle & =c_{m} c_{m+1}\left\langle S\left(e_{m}-P e_{m}\right), e_{m+1}-P e_{m+1}\right\rangle \\
& =c_{m} c_{m+1}\left(\left\langle S e_{m}, e_{m+1}\right\rangle-\left\langle S e_{m}, P e_{m+1}\right\rangle-\left\langle S P e_{m}, e_{m+1}\right\rangle+\left\langle S P e_{m}, P e_{m+1}\right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term $\left\langle S e_{m}, e_{m+1}\right\rangle$ above, by Lemma 2.2, is

$$
\left\langle S e_{m}, e_{m+1}\right\rangle=\left\langle M_{z} e_{m}, e_{m+1}\right\rangle=\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{1+2 k+m}}=1+\frac{1}{m}+O\left(\frac{1}{m^{2}}\right)
$$

since the compression of $S$ acting on $\left\{e_{m}\right\}$ is the Bergman shift $M_{z}$ in the space $H_{2+2 k}$. The remaining terms are all of order $O\left(\frac{1}{m^{2}}\right)$ in view of (5.9) and the Schwartz inequality. The normalization constant $c_{m}=\left(1-\left\|P e_{m}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}=1+$ $O\left(\frac{1}{m^{2}}\right)$ by the estimate (5.5). Putting those computations together we have proved

$$
S_{2} e_{m}^{\prime}=\left(1+\frac{1}{m}+O\left(\frac{1}{m^{2}}\right)\right) e_{m+1}^{\prime}
$$

and that $\left[S_{2}^{*}, S_{2}\right]$ is of trace class. From Lemma 5.9, $S_{1}=P_{N_{1}} S P_{N_{1}}=P_{[q]^{\perp}} M_{z} P_{[q]^{\perp}}$ is also 1-essentially normal.

By continuing this procedure of restricting the action of $S$ on sub-quotient modules, we prove that $\left[\left(z-\alpha_{1} w\right)\left(z-\alpha_{2} w\right)\right]$ is 1-essentially normal, contradicting to Lemma 5.10(i).

Case 2. $p_{2}=z-\alpha w$ or $(z-\alpha w)(w-\beta z)$. This can be treated by the same method and we omit the details here. (Actually one can prove that the corresponding quotient $[p]^{\perp}, p=p_{1} p_{2}$, is in $\mathcal{L}^{2, \infty}$, but not in $\mathcal{L}^{2}$, in particular not $\mathcal{L}^{1}$.)

In what follows, we will consider trace formulas in quotient modules. In the case of $p=(z-\alpha w)^{n+1}$ with $|\alpha|=1$, the trace formula of $\left[S_{F}^{*}, S_{F}\right]$ is treated in Theorem 3.4. For more general cases, we have the following result. The formula in (ii) is shown in Remark 5.4. The other cases are much the same and we omit it.

THEOREM 5.11. Let $F(z, w)$ be a polynomial.
(i) If $p=(z-\alpha w)^{N+1}$ for some $|\alpha|=1$, then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{F}^{*}, S_{F}\right]=(N+1) \int_{D}\left|f^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} m(w), \quad f(w)=F(\alpha w, w)
$$

(ii) If $p=z-\alpha w$ for some $|\alpha|<1$, then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{F}^{*}, S_{F}\right]=\int_{D}\left|f^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} m(w), \quad f(w)=F(\alpha w, w)
$$

(iii) If $p=(z-\alpha w)(w-\beta z)$ for some $|\alpha|<1,|\beta|<1$, then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left[S_{F}^{*}, S_{F}\right]=\int_{D}\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} m(w)+\int_{D}\left|f_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} m(z)
$$

where $f_{1}(w)=F(\alpha w, w), f_{2}(z)=F(z, \beta z)$.
We may also consider the Dixmier trace of the related operators. In the case of $p=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(z-\alpha_{j} w\right)^{n_{j}},\left|\alpha_{j}\right|=1$ (equivalently, when $p$ has the property (5.1)), then [ $S_{z}^{*}, S_{z}$ ] is of weak trace class from Theorem 5.2(ii). However, using the computations in the proof of Theorem 5.2, we find that $\operatorname{Tr}_{\omega}\left[S_{z}^{*}, S_{z}\right]=0$, giving a trivial quantity.

REMARK 5.12. We note that an algebraic variety $Z$ with the property (5.1) is called a distinguished variety and it has been studied by Agler-McCarthy [1]. We may thus ask the following question: Is a (non-homogeneous) module $M=[p]$ with property (5.1) always in the weak trace class? We consider an example of quasi-homogeneous module where the answer is indeed positive.

EXAMPLE 5.13. Let $k, l>1$ be two co-prime positive integers. We consider the quotient module $\left[z^{k}-w^{l}\right]^{\perp}$ of the Hardy space $H^{2}\left(D^{2}\right)$. The rotation group
acts unitarily on $H^{2}$ and on the quotient by $f(z, w) \rightarrow f\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} l \theta} z, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta} w\right)$. Denote $K(z, w ; \xi, \eta)=(1-z \overline{\tilde{\zeta}})^{-1}(1-w \bar{\eta})^{-1}$ the reproducing kernel of $H^{2}$. We observe first that the restrictions $K\left(z, w ; \lambda^{l}, \lambda^{k}\right)$ of $K$ on the zero set of $\xi^{k}-\eta^{l}$ are in the quotient $\left[z^{k}-w^{l}\right]^{\perp}$ and generate a dense subset. Indeed suppose $f$ in the quotient is orthogonal to all $K\left(z, w ; \lambda^{l}, \lambda^{k}\right)$. Write $f$ as an orthogonal sum $\sum_{n} f_{n}$, where $f_{n}$ is the quasi-homogeneous component of $f$ defined by the circle group action. Clearly $f_{n}$ are polynomials in the quotient and orthogonal to all $K\left(z, w ; \lambda^{l}, \lambda^{k}\right)$. Thus $f_{n}\left(\lambda^{l}, \lambda^{k}\right)=0$, namely $f_{n}$ is vanishing on the zero set of $z^{k}-w^{l}$. But the ideal $\left(z^{k}-w^{l}\right)$ is prime so that $f_{n}(z, w)$ is in the ideal, thus is zero. The reproducing kernel $K\left(\cdot, \cdot ; \lambda^{l}, \lambda^{k}\right)$ on the zero set has an expansion

$$
K\left(z^{l}, z^{k} ; \lambda^{l}, \lambda^{k}\right)=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} z^{s} \bar{\lambda}^{s} N_{s}
$$

where $N_{s}=\#\{(m, n) ; m, n \geqslant 0, s=m l+n k\}$. We thus define a Hilbert space $H_{k, l}(D)$ of holomorphic functions, a posterior, on $D$, such that

$$
\left\|z^{s}\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{N_{s}}
$$

for those $s$ with $N_{s} \neq 0$. The restriction operator $f(z, w) \mapsto f\left(z^{l}, z^{k}\right)$ on $H^{2}$ induces then a unitary operator $R$ from the quotient to $H_{k, l}(D)$, so that $S_{z}$ and $S_{w}$ on the quotient are unitarily equivalent to $M_{z^{l}}$ and $M_{z^{k}}$ on $H_{k, l}(D)$. Thus $T=M_{z^{k}}$ is a shift operator

$$
T\left(\frac{z^{s}}{\sqrt{N_{s}}}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{N_{s+k}}{N_{s}}}\left(\frac{z^{s+k}}{\sqrt{N_{s+k}}}\right)
$$

and $\left[T^{*}, T\right]$ is a diagonal operator with diagonal entries

$$
\frac{N_{s}}{N_{s+k}}-\frac{N_{s-k}}{N_{s}}
$$

As $N_{s}$ is approximately linear in $s$ we have $\left[T^{*}, T\right]$ is of weak trace class. Choosing $s=k l j$, we have $N_{s}=j+1$ and the above is $1-\frac{j-1}{j+1}=\frac{2}{j+1}$, and $\left[T^{*}, T\right]$ is not of trace class.
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