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#### Abstract

We obtain an estimate of Voiculescu's (modified) free entropy dimension for generators of a $I_{1}$-factor $\mathcal{M}$ with a subfactor $\mathcal{N}$ containing an abelian subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of finite multiplicity. It implies in particular that the interpolated free group subfactors of finite Jones index do not have abelian subalgebras of finite multiplicity or Cartan subalgebras.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cartan subalgebras arise naturally in the classical group measure space construction. Thus, if $\alpha$ is a free action of a discrete countable group $\Gamma$ on a measure space $(X, \mu)$, then the crossed product von Neumann algebra $L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \times{ }_{\alpha} \Gamma$ contains a copy of $L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ as a Cartan subalgebra. More generally, a Cartan subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{P}$ is a maximal abelian $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{P}$ whose normalizer generates $\mathcal{P}$ (regular MASA) and which is the range of a normal conditional expectation ([1], [4]). D. Voiculescu defined ([15], [16]) an original concept of (modified) free entropy dimension $\delta_{0}$ and proved ([16]) that $\delta_{0}$ of any finite system of generators of a von Neumann algebra which has a regular diffuse hyperfinite $*$-subalgebra (regular DHSA) is $\leqslant 1$. This answered in the negative the longstanding open question of whether every separable $\mathrm{II}_{1}$-factor contains a Cartan subalgebra since the free group factors $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)$ (von Neumann algebras generated by the left regular representations $\left.\lambda: \mathbb{F}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(l^{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)\right), 2 \leqslant n \leqslant \infty\right)$ have systems of generators with $\delta_{0}>1$. Voiculescu's result about the absence of Cartan subalgebras in free group factors was extended by L. Ge ([5]) and K. Dykema ([3]) who showed that these factors do not have abelian subalgebras of multiplicity one and of finite multiplicity, respectively. We mention that if $\mathcal{A}$ is a

Cartan subalgebra in a $\Pi_{1}$-factor $\mathcal{N}$, then $(\mathcal{A} \cup J \mathcal{A} J)^{\prime \prime}$ is a MASA in $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)\right)$ ([4], [10]), hence $\mathcal{A}$ is in particular an abelian subalgebra of multiplicity one.

The interpolated free group factors $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)(1<t \leqslant \infty)$ were introduced independently by Dykema ([3]) and F. Rădulescu ([11]) as a continuation of the discrete series $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right), 2 \leqslant n \leqslant \infty$. We prove (Corollary 3.6) that the subfactors of finite Jones index in the interpolated free group factors do not have abelian subalgebras of finite multiplicity either. The result is a consequence of the estimate of (modified) free entropy dimension (Theorem 3.5) $\delta_{0}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \leqslant 2 r+2 v+3$, where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ are self-adjoint generators of the $\mathrm{II}_{1}$-factor $\mathcal{M}, r$ is the integer part of the Jones index of $\mathcal{N}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and $v$ is the multiplicity of an abelian subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{N}$.

Schreier's theorem describes all subgroups of finite index $k$ in the free group $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ : any such subgroup is isomorphic to the free group $\mathbb{F}_{1+k(n-1)}$. A von Neumann algebra analogue of the fact that $\mathbb{F}_{1+k(n-1)}$ can be embedded with finite index $k$ in $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ was proved by Rădulescu ([11]): $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{F}_{1+\lambda^{-1}(t-1)}\right)$ can be embedded in $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)$ with finite index $\lambda^{-1} \forall 1<t \leqslant \infty \forall \lambda^{-1} \in\left\{4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\pi}{k}: k \geqslant 3\right\}$. On the other hand, at the von Neumann algebra level, with $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)$ instead of $\mathbb{F}_{n}$, it is no longer known whether Schreier's theorem is still true. However, two properties are preserved when passing to free group subfactors of finite index: Haagerup approximation property ([7]) and primeness ([12]) i.e., the indecomposability as tensor product of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$-factors. Our result about the absence of abelian subalgebras of finite multiplicity (and thus, of Cartan subalgebras) is a third property that seems to support the Schreier conjecture for free group subfactors.

We recall next some results from Voiculescu's free probability theory ([14], [15], [16]) for the reader's convenience. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathrm{I}_{1}$-factor with its unique faithful normalized trace $\tau$ then $\|x\|_{s}=\tau\left(\left(x^{*} x\right)^{s / 2}\right)^{1 / s}(1<s<\infty)$ denotes the s-norm of $x \in \mathcal{M}, L^{2}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ denotes the completion of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to the 2-norm, and $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\right)$ is the standard representation of $\mathcal{M}$. For an integer $c \geqslant 1$ let $\mathcal{M}_{c}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{\text {sa }}(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of all $c \times c$ complex matrices and respectively, of all $c \times c$ complex self-adjoint matrices. Let further $\mathcal{U}_{c}(\mathbb{C})$ be the unitary group of $\mathcal{M}_{c}(\mathbb{C})$, $\tau_{c}$ be the unique normalized trace on $\mathcal{M}_{c}(\mathbb{C})$, and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{e}}=\sqrt{c}\|\cdot\|_{2}$ be the euclidian norm on $\mathcal{M}_{c}(\mathbb{C})$. The free entropy of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in \mathcal{M}^{\text {sa }}$ in the presence of $x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{m+n} \in \mathcal{M}^{\text {sa }}$ is defined in terms of sets of matricial microstates $\Gamma_{R}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(x_{m+j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} ; p, c, \varepsilon\right) \subset\left(\mathcal{M}_{c}^{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathbb{C})\right)^{m}$. The set $\Gamma_{R}$ of matricial microstates corresponding to integers $c, p \geqslant 1$ and to $\varepsilon>0$ consists in $m$-tuples $\left(A_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$ of $c \times c$ self-adjoint matrices such that there exists an $n$-tuple $\left(A_{m+j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} \in\left(\mathcal{M}_{c}^{\text {sa }}(\mathbb{C})\right)^{n}$ with the properties

$$
\left|\tau\left(x_{i_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{l}}\right)-\tau_{c}\left(A_{i_{1}} \ldots A_{i_{l}}\right)\right|<\varepsilon, \quad\left\|A_{k}\right\| \leqslant R
$$

for all $1 \leqslant i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l} \leqslant m+n, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant p, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant m+n$. One defines then successively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi_{R}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(x_{m+j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} ; p, c, \varepsilon\right)  \tag{1.1}\\
& \quad={\log \operatorname{vol}_{m c^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{R}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(x_{m+j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} ; p, c, \varepsilon\right)\right),}^{\chi_{R}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(x_{m+j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} ; p, \varepsilon\right)} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\quad=\limsup _{c \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{c^{2}} \chi_{R}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(x_{m+j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} ; p, c, \varepsilon\right)+\frac{m}{2} \log c\right), \\
\chi_{R}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(x_{m+j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n}\right)=\inf _{p, \varepsilon} \chi_{R}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(x_{m+j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} ; p, \varepsilon\right), \\
\chi\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(x_{m+j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n}\right)=\sup _{R} \chi_{R}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(x_{m+j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n}\right)
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

(we denoted by $\operatorname{vol}_{m c^{2}}(\cdot)$ the Lebesgue measure on $\left(\mathcal{M}_{c}^{\text {sa }}(\mathbb{C})\right)^{m} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{m c^{2}}$ ). The resulting quantity $\chi\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(x_{m+j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n}\right)$ is the free entropy of $\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$ in the presence of $\left(x_{m+j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n}$ or if $n=0$, the free entropy $\chi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ of $\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$. The free entropy of $\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$ in the presence of $\left(x_{m+j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n}$ is equal to the free entropy of $\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$ if $\left\{x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{m+n}\right\} \subset\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}^{\prime \prime}$. Also, the free entropy of a single self-adjoint element $x$ is (where $\mu$ denotes the distribution of $x$ ):

$$
\chi(x)=\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \log 2 \pi+\iint \log |s-t| \mathrm{d} \mu(s) \mathrm{d} \mu(t)
$$

An element $x \in \mathcal{M}$ is a semicircular element if it is self-adjoint and if its distribution is given by the semicircle law:

$$
\tau\left(x^{k}\right)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} t^{k} \sqrt{1-t^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

A family $\left(\mathcal{M}_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of unital $*$-subalgebras of $\mathcal{M}$ is a free family if $\tau\left(x_{k}\right)=0, x_{k} \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{i_{k}} \forall 1 \leqslant k \leqslant p, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p} \in I, i_{1} \neq i_{2} \neq \cdots \neq i_{p}, p \in \mathbb{N}$ imply $\tau\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right)=0$. A family $\left(A_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of subsets $A_{i} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is free if the family $\left(*-\operatorname{alg}\left(\{1\} \cup A_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I}$ is free. A free set $\left(s_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m} \subset \mathcal{M}$ consisting of semicircular elements is called a semicircular system. If $\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$ is free then $\chi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\chi\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+$ $\chi\left(x_{m}\right)$ hence a finite semicircular system has finite free entropy. The modified free entropy dimension and the free entropy dimension of an $m$-tuple of self-adjoint elements $\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m} \subset \mathcal{M}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{0}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}\right) & =m+\limsup _{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{\chi\left(\left(x_{i}+\omega s_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(s_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}\right)}{|\log \omega|} \text { and } \\
\delta\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}\right) & =m+\limsup _{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{\chi\left(\left(x_{i}+\omega s_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}\right)}{|\log \omega|}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, where $\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$ and the semicircular system $\left(s_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$ are free. If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ are free, then

$$
\delta_{0}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}\right)=\delta\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

Moreover, for a single self-adjoint element $x \in \mathcal{M}$ one has

$$
\delta(x)=1-\sum_{s \in \mathbb{R}}(\mu(\{s\}))^{2},
$$

therefore $\delta(x)=1$ if the distribution of $x$ has no atoms.

## 2. ESTIMATE OF FREE ENTROPY

We obtain an estimate of the free entropy $\chi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ for self-adjoint elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ which can be approximated in the $\|\cdot\|_{2}$-norm by certain noncommutative polynomials of degree 1 in some of their variables. The proof of Lemma 2.1 is based on the observation that in this case the $c \times c$ matricial microstates of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ are concentrated in some neighborhood of a linear subspace in $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{\text {sa }}(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ be self-adjoint elements that generate a $\Pi_{1}$-factor $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Assume that there exist self-adjoint elements $m_{j}^{(l)}, z_{k} \in \mathcal{M}$ (for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r+1$, $1 \leqslant l \leqslant 2,1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 v$ ), mutually orthogonal projections $p_{q} \in \mathcal{M}($ for $1 \leqslant q \leqslant u)$, noncommutative polynomials $\Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{2 v} \sum_{q, s=1}^{u} \mu_{q, s}^{(i, j, k, l)} p_{q} z_{k} p_{s}$ (where $\mu_{q, s}^{(i, j, k, l)}$ are scalars), and $0<\omega<\frac{1}{3}$ such that

$$
\left\|x_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r+1}\left(m_{j}^{(l)} \Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)+\Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)^{*} m_{j}^{(l)}\right)\right\|_{2}<\omega
$$

for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \leqslant C(m, r, v, K)+(m-2 r-2 v-3) \log \omega, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(m, r, v, K)$ is a constant depending only on $m, r, v$, and

$$
K=1+\max _{i, j, l}\left\{\left\|\Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)\right\|_{2},\left\|x_{i}\right\|,\left\|m_{j}^{(l)}\right\|\right\}
$$

Proof. For $R, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}>0$ sufficiently large and integer $p \geqslant 1$ consider $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}\right.$, $\left.\left(M_{j}^{(l)}\right)_{j, l},\left(P_{q}\right)_{q},\left(Z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)$, an arbitrary element of the set of matricial microstates $\Gamma_{R}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m},\left(m_{j}^{(l)}\right)_{j, l},\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k} ; p, c, \varepsilon\right)$. One can assume (see [16]) that $\left\|A_{i}\right\|$, $\left\|M_{j}^{(l)}\right\|,\left\|P_{q}\right\| \leqslant K$. If $p$ is large and $\varepsilon>0$ is small enough, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r+1}\left(M_{j}^{(l)} \Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(P_{q}\right)_{q},\left(Z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)+\Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(P_{q}\right)_{q},\left(Z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)^{*} M_{j}^{(l)}\right)\right\|_{2}<\omega \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ and $\left\|\Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(P_{q}\right)_{q},\left(Z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)\right\|_{2}<K$ for all $i, j, l$. Lemma 4.3 in [15] implies that for any $\delta>0$ there exist $p^{\prime}, c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon_{1}>0$ such that if $c \geqslant c^{\prime}$ and if $\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{u}\right) \in \Gamma_{R}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q} ; p^{\prime}, c, \varepsilon_{1}\right)$, then there exist mutually orthogonal projections
$Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{u} \in \mathcal{M}_{c}^{\text {sa }}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\operatorname{rank}\left(Q_{q}\right)=\left\lfloor\tau\left(p_{q}\right) c\right\rfloor$ and $\left\|P_{q}-Q_{q}\right\|_{2}<\delta \forall 1 \leqslant$ $q \leqslant u$. If $\delta>0$ is sufficiently small one has then for all $c \geqslant c^{\prime}$ and for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r+1}\left(M_{j}^{(l)} \Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(Q_{q}\right)_{q},\left(Z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)+\Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(Q_{q}\right)_{q},\left(Z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)^{*} M_{j}^{(l)}\right)\right\|_{2}<\omega \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left\|\Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(Q_{q}\right)_{q},\left(Z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)\right\|_{2}<K$ for all $i, j, l$. Let $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{u} \in \mathcal{M}_{c}^{\text {sa }}(\mathbb{C})$ be mutually orthogonal projections, fixed, with each projection $S_{q}$ of $\operatorname{rank}\left\lfloor\tau\left(p_{q}\right) c\right\rfloor$. There exists then $U \in \mathcal{U}_{c}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $Q_{q}=U^{*} S_{q} U$ for all $1 \leqslant q \leqslant u$ and one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U A_{i} U^{*}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r+1}\left(B_{j}^{(l)} \Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(S_{q}\right)_{q},\left(T_{k}\right)_{k}\right)+\Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(S_{q}\right)_{q},\left(T_{k}\right)_{k}\right)^{*} B_{j}^{(l)}\right)\right\|_{2}<\omega \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, where we denoted $B_{j}^{(l)}=U M_{j}^{(l)} U^{*}, T_{k}=U Z_{k} U^{*}$. Let $\left\{U_{a}\right\}_{a \in A(c)}$ be a minimal $\gamma$-net in $\mathcal{U}_{c}(\mathbb{C})$ with respect to the $\|\cdot\|$-norm. According to a result of S.J. Szarek ([13]), $|A(c)| \leqslant\left(\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\gamma}\right)^{c^{2}}$ for some universal constant $C$. Consider also a minimal $\theta$-net $\left\{V_{b}\right\}_{b \in B(c, K)}$ in $\left\{B \in \mathcal{M}_{c}^{\text {sa }}(\mathbb{C}):\|B\| \leqslant\right.$ $K\}$, with respect to the same norm. It is easily seen that Szarek's result implies $|B(c, K)| \leqslant\left(\frac{C K}{\theta}\right)^{c^{2}+c}$. Since $\left\|U A_{i} U^{*}-U_{a} A_{i} U_{a}^{*}\right\|_{2}<2 K \gamma$ for some $a \in A(c)$ and $\left\|B_{j}^{(l)}-V_{b(j, l)}\right\|<\theta$ for some $b(j, l) \in B(c, K)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|U_{a} A_{i} U_{a}^{*}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r+1}\left(V_{b(j, l)} \Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(S_{q}\right)_{q},\left(T_{k}\right)_{k}\right)+\Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(S_{q}\right)_{q},\left(T_{k}\right)_{k}\right)^{*} V_{b(j, l)}\right)\right\|_{2}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \leqslant
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $\gamma=\frac{\omega}{2 R}, \theta=\frac{\omega}{2(r+1) \mathrm{K}}$, and define the function $F=\left(F_{i}\left(\left(T_{k}\right)_{k}\right)\right)_{i}:\left(\mathcal{M}_{c}^{\text {sa }}(\mathbb{C})\right)^{2 v}$ $\rightarrow\left(\mathcal{M}_{c}^{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathbb{C})\right)^{m}$ by

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{i}\left(\left(T_{k}\right)_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} U_{a}^{*}\left(V_{b(j, l)} \Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(S_{q}\right)_{q},\left(T_{k}\right)_{k}\right)+\Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(S_{q}\right)_{q},\left(T_{k}\right)_{k}\right)^{*} V_{b(j, l)}\right) U_{a}  \tag{2.6}\\
\forall 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (2.5) that the distance in the euclidian norm from the microstate $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}\right)$ to the image of $F$ is less than or equal to $3 \omega \sqrt{m c}$. The polynomials $\Phi_{j i}^{(l)}$ are linear in $\left(T_{k}\right)_{k}$, hence the image of $F$ is a linear subspace in $\left(\mathcal{M}_{c}^{\text {sa }}(\mathbb{C})\right)^{m}$, of dimension $d_{F} \leqslant 2 v c^{2}$. Denote by $L_{F}(\omega, c)$ the intersection of this subspace with the ball of euclidian radius $(3 \omega+K) \sqrt{m c}$ and by $B_{F}(\omega, c)$ the cartesian
product of $L_{F}(\omega, c)$ with the ball of (euclidian) radius $3 \omega \sqrt{m c}$ in the orthogonal complement of the image of $F$. The set of matricial microstates $\Gamma_{R}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right.$ : $\left.\left(m_{j}^{(l)}\right)_{j, l},\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k} ; p, c, \varepsilon\right)$ is contained in $\bigcup_{F} B_{F}(\omega, c)$, hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{vol}_{m c^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{R}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}:\left(m_{j}^{(l)}\right)_{j, l},\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k} ; p, c, \varepsilon\right)\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
& \leqslant
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \sum_{F} \operatorname{vol}_{m c^{2}}\left(B_{F}(\omega, c)\right) \\
& =\sum_{F} \operatorname{vol}_{d_{F}}((3 \omega+K) \sqrt{m c}) \cdot \operatorname{vol}_{m c^{2}-d_{F}}(3 \omega \sqrt{m c}) \\
& =\sum_{F} \frac{(\pi m c)^{d_{F} / 2}(3 \omega+K)^{d_{F}}}{\Gamma\left(1+\left(d_{F} / 2\right)\right)} \cdot \frac{(\pi m c)^{\left(m c^{2}-d_{F}\right) / 2}(3 \omega)^{m c^{2}-d_{F}}}{\Gamma\left(1+\left(m c^{2}-d_{F}\right) / 2\right)} \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{2 C K}{\omega}\right)^{c^{2}} \cdot\left[\left(\frac{2(r+1) C K^{2}}{\omega}\right)^{c^{2}+c}\right]^{2(r+1)} \\
& \cdot \frac{(\pi m c)^{m c^{2} / 2}(2 K)^{2 v c^{2}}(3 \omega)^{(m-2 v) c^{2}} 2^{m c^{2}}}{\Gamma\left(1+\left(m c^{2} / 2\right)\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

After taking the limit as $c, p, \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty$ in the resulting upper bound for

$$
\chi_{R}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}:\left(m_{j}^{(l)}\right)_{j, l},\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k} ; p, c, \varepsilon\right),
$$

eliminating $R$ as in the definition of free entropy, and recalling that $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$ is a system of generators, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) & =\chi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}:\left(m_{j}^{(l)}\right)_{j, l}\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)  \tag{2.8}\\
& \leqslant C(m, r, v, K)+(m-2 r-2 v-3) \log \omega .
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. INFINITE MULTIPLICITY

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a von Neumann algebra. If $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{P}$ is a subalgebra, then the normalizer of $\mathcal{Q}$ in $\mathcal{P}$ is by definition the set $N_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{Q})=\left\{u \in \mathcal{P}: u u^{*}=u^{*} u=\right.$ $\left.1, u \mathcal{Q} u^{*}=\mathcal{Q}\right\}$.

Definition 3.1 ([1], [4]). A Cartan subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{P}$ is a maximal abelian $*$-subalgebra $(M A S A) \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}$ such that:
(i) $\mathcal{A}$ is the range of a normal conditional expectation;
(ii) the normalizer $N_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{P}$ generates $\mathcal{P}$.

If $\mathcal{N}$ is a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$-factor, then the representation $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)\right)(\tau$ denotes the unique normalized trace on $\mathcal{N})$ is the standard form of $\mathcal{N}$. Let $J: L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau) \rightarrow$ $L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ be the modular conjugacy operator. We recall the following theorem due to J. Feldman and C.C. Moore:

Theorem 3.2 ([4], [10]). Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$-factor. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{N}$, then the algebra $(\mathcal{A} \cup J \mathcal{A} J)^{\prime \prime}$ is maximal abelian in $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)\right)$.

Being a MASA, the algebra $(\mathcal{A} \cup J \mathcal{A} J)^{\prime \prime}$ has a cyclic vector $\xi \in L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ i.e., $\overline{\mathrm{sp}} \|^{\|\cdot\|_{2}}(\mathcal{A} \cup J \mathcal{A} J)^{\prime \prime} \xi=L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$. With the usual identification of ${ }_{J \mathcal{A} J} L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ with $L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)_{\mathcal{A}}$, this means that $\overline{\mathrm{sp}}\|\cdot\|_{2} \mathcal{A} \xi \mathcal{A}=L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ that is, $\mathcal{A}$ has finite multiplicity 1 in $\mathcal{N}$.

Definition 3.3 ([3]). An abelian subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$-factor $\mathcal{N}$ has finite multiplicity $\leqslant v<\infty$ if there exist $v$ vectors $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{v} \in L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ such that

$$
\overline{\mathrm{sp}}{ }^{\|\cdot\|_{2}}\left(\mathcal{A} \xi_{1} \mathcal{A}+\cdots+\mathcal{A} \xi_{v} \mathcal{A}\right)=L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)
$$

or equivalently, if ${ }_{\mathcal{A}} L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)_{\mathcal{A}}$ is generated as an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule by $v$ vectors from $L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$. If $\mathcal{A}^{2} L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)_{\mathcal{A}}$ is not a finitely generated $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule, we say that $\mathcal{A}$ has infinite multiplicity.

The multiplicity of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{N}$ does not increase after compressing with a projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$ :

LEMMA 3.4 ([3]). If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{N}$ has finite multiplicity $\leqslant v$ and $p \in \mathcal{A}$ is an arbitrary projection, then $\mathcal{A}_{p}=p \mathcal{A} \subset p \mathcal{N} p=\mathcal{N}_{p}$ has also finite multiplicity $\leqslant v$.

THEOREM 3.5. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a $\mathrm{II}_{1}$-factor generated by the self-adjoint elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$. If $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is a subfactor with the integer part of the Jones index $[\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{N}]$ equal to $r$ and if $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{N}$ is an abelian subalgebra of multiplicity $\leqslant v$, then

$$
\delta_{0}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \leqslant 2 r+2 v+3 .
$$

Proof. We can assume from the beginning that $m>2 r+2 v+3$ since $\delta_{0}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \leqslant m$ is always true ([16]). There exists a Pimsner-Popa basis ([9]) $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r+1} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$
x=\sum_{j=1}^{r+1} m_{j} E_{\mathcal{N}}\left(m_{j}^{*} x\right) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}
$$

where $E_{\mathcal{N}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is the conditional expectation from $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{N}$. Denote the embedding $\mathcal{N} \subset L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ by $x \mapsto \widehat{x}$ and let $J: L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau) \rightarrow L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ be the modular conjugacy operator defined by $J(\widehat{x})=\widehat{x}^{*}$. Let $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{v} \in L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ such that

$$
\mathcal{A} \xi_{1} \mathcal{A}+\cdots+\mathcal{A} \xi_{v} \mathcal{A}
$$

is a dense subset of $L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$. Eventually after replacing $\xi_{i}$ by $\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{i}+J \xi_{i}\right)+$ $\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{-1}}\left(\xi_{i}-J \xi_{i}\right) \sqrt{-1}$ and regrouping, we can assume that there exist $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{2 v} \in$ $L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)^{\text {sa }}:=\left\{\xi \in L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau): J \xi=\xi\right\}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \eta_{1} \mathcal{A}+\cdots+\mathcal{A} \eta_{2 v} \mathcal{A}$ is dense in $L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ be self-adjoint elements of $\mathcal{M}$. Every element
$E_{\mathcal{N}}\left(m_{j}^{*} x_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{N}$ can be approximated arbitrarily well in the $\|\cdot\|_{2}$-norm by elements of the form

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{2 v} \sum_{p=1}^{t} a_{p, k}^{(i, j)} \eta_{k} b_{p, k}^{(i, j)}
$$

for some $a_{p, k}^{(i, j)}, b_{p, k}^{(i, j)} \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is abelian, there exist an integer $u$ and projections $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{u}$ of sum 1 such that every $a_{p, k}^{(i, j)}$ and $b_{p, k}^{(i, j)}$ can be approximated sufficiently well in the uniform norm, by linear combinations of these projections. Moreover, $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{\text {sa }}$ is dense in $L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)^{\text {sa }}$ so one can find $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{2 v}$ self-adjoint elements of $\mathcal{N}$ and scalars $\mu_{q, s}^{(i, j, k)} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\Psi_{j i}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{2 v} \sum_{q, s=1}^{u} \mu_{q, s}^{(i, j, k)} p_{q} z_{k} p_{s}
$$

is sufficiently close to $E_{\mathcal{N}}\left(m_{j}^{*} x_{i}\right)$ in the $\|\cdot\|_{2}$-norm, for all indices $i, j$. In particular, one can arrange for the norms $\left\|\Psi_{j i}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)\right\|_{2}$ to be all uniformly bounded by a constant $D$ depending only on the norms $\left\|m_{j}^{*} x_{i}\right\|$. Therefore, every element $x_{i}$ can be approximated arbitrarily well in the $\|\cdot\|_{2}$-norm, by elements of the form

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{r+1} m_{j} \Psi_{j i}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right) .
$$

Denote $m_{j}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{j}+m_{j}^{*}\right)$ and $m_{j}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{-1}}\left(m_{j}-m_{j}^{*}\right)$. It follows that every element $x_{i}$ can be approximated arbitrarily well in the $\|\cdot\|_{2}$-norm, by elements of the form

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} m_{j}^{(l)} \Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)
$$

where $\Phi_{j i}^{(1)}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)=\Psi_{j i}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)=-\sqrt{-1} \Phi_{j i}^{(2)}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)$. Since $x_{i}=x_{i}^{*} \forall 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, given $\omega>0$, every element $x_{i}$ can ultimately be approximated in the $\|\cdot\|_{2}$-norm as

$$
\left\|x_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r+1}\left(m_{j}^{(l)} \Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)+\Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)^{*} m_{j}^{(l)}\right)\right\|_{2}<\omega .
$$

If $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}$ is a semicircular system free from $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ then ([16])
(3.1) $\chi\left(\left(x_{i}+\omega s_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(s_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\chi\left(\left(x_{i}+\omega s_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(s_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m},\left(m_{j}^{(l)}\right)_{j, l}\left(p_{q}\right)_{q,}\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right) \\
& \leqslant \chi\left(\left(x_{i}+\omega s_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(m_{j}^{(l)}\right)_{j, l},\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left(m_{j}^{(l)}\right)_{j, l},\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k} \subset\left\{x_{i}+\omega s_{i}, s_{i}: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m\right\}^{\prime \prime}$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{i}+\omega s_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r+1}\left(m_{j}^{(l)} \Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)+\Phi_{j i}^{(l)}\left(\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)^{*} m_{j}^{(l)}\right)\right\|_{2}<2 \omega \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, hence the estimate of free entropy from Lemma 2.1 implies

$$
\chi\left(\left(x_{i}+\omega s_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(s_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}\right) \leqslant C(m, r, v, K)+(m-2 r-2 v-3) \log 2 \omega,
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{0}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) & =m+\limsup _{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{\chi\left(\left(x_{i}+\omega s_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}:\left(s_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}\right)}{|\log \omega|}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \leqslant m+\limsup _{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{C(m, r, v, K)+(m-2 r-2 v-3) \log 2 \omega}{|\log \omega|} \\
& =m-(m-2 r-2 v-3)=2 r+2 v+3 .
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 3.6. The subfactors $\mathcal{N}$ of finite index in the interpolated free group factors $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right), 1<t \leqslant \infty$, do not contain abelian subalgebras of finite multiplicity.

Proof. Consider first the case $1<t<\infty$ and suppose that $\mathcal{N}$ has an abelian subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of finite multiplicity $\leqslant v$. For every projection $p \in \mathcal{A}, p \mathcal{A}$ is an abelian subalgebra of multiplicity $\leqslant v$ in $p \mathcal{N} p$ (Lemma 3.4). Moreover ([8]), $\left[\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{p}: p \mathcal{N} p\right]=\left[\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right): \mathcal{N}\right]<\infty$. Eventually after replacing $\mathcal{A}$ by a MASA in $\mathcal{N}$ that contains $\mathcal{A}$ (and thus, is of finite multiplicity $\leqslant v$ in $\mathcal{N}$ ), we can assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a MASA in $\mathcal{N}$, hence has no minimal projections. Therefore, there exists a projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $m=1+\frac{t-1}{\tau(p)^{2}}$ is a conveniently large integer (i.e., $m>2 r+2 v+3$ ). Theorem 3.5 implies that the (modified) free entropy dimension of any finite system of generators of $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{p} \simeq \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{F}_{m}\right)$ (compression formula in [2], [11]) is $\leqslant 2 r+2 v+3$, and this is in contradiction with the fact that $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{F}_{m}\right)$ is generated by a semicircular system with $\delta_{0}=m$ ([15], [16]).

Suppose now $t=\infty$ and let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ be an infinite semicircular system that generates $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\infty}\right)$. Making use of inequality (2.8), one obtains:

$$
\chi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}:\left(m_{j}^{(l)}\right)_{j, l},\left(p_{q}\right)_{q},\left(z_{k}\right)_{k}\right)<\chi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)
$$

for some suitable elements. Let $E_{n}, n \geqslant 1$, be the conditional expectation from $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\infty}\right)$ onto $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}^{\prime \prime}$. The convergence in distribution ([15], [16]) implies the existence of a integer $n>m$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}:\left(E_{n}\left(m_{j}^{(l)}\right)\right)_{j, l},\left(E_{n}\left(p_{q}\right)\right)_{q},\left(E_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)_{k}\right)<\chi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

One obtains then a contradiction:

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)= & \chi  \tag{3.5}\\
\leqslant & \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}:\left(E_{n}\left(m_{j}^{(l)}\right)\right)_{j, l}\left(E_{n}\left(p_{q}\right)\right)_{q},\left(E_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)_{k}\right) \\
\leqslant & \chi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}:\left(E_{n}\left(m_{j}^{(l)}\right)\right)_{j, l},\left(E_{n}\left(p_{q}\right)\right)_{q,}\left(E_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)_{k}\right) \\
& +\chi\left(x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)<\chi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \\
& +\chi\left(x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\chi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

COROLLARY 3.7. The interpolated free group subfactors (of finite index) do not contain Cartan subalgebras.

Proof. With the result of Feldman and Moore (Theorem 3.2), every Cartan subalgebra is in particular an abelian subalgebra of multiplicity 1 , the statement follows immediately from Corollary 3.6.
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