

A NONCOMMUTATIVE BEURLING THEOREM WITH RESPECT TO UNITARILY INVARIANT NORMS

YANNI CHEN, DON HADWIN and JUNHAO SHEN

Communicated by Hari Bercovici

ABSTRACT. In 1967, Arveson invented a noncommutative generalization of classical H^∞ , known as finite maximal subdiagonal subalgebras, for a finite von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} with a faithful normal tracial state τ . In 2008, Blecher and Labuschagne proved a version of Beurling theorem on H^∞ -right invariant subspaces in a noncommutative $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ space for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In the present paper, we define and study a class of norms $N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ on \mathcal{M} , called normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norms, which properly contains the class $\{\|\cdot\|_p : 1 \leq p < \infty\}$ and the class of rearrangement invariant quasi Banach function norms studied by Bekjan. For $\alpha \in N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we define a noncommutative $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ space and a noncommutative H^α space. Then we obtain a version of the Blecher–Labuschagne–Beurling invariant subspace theorem on H^∞ -right invariant subspaces in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ spaces and H^α spaces. Key ingredients in the proof of our main result include a characterization theorem of H^α and a density theorem for $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

KEYWORDS: *Normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm, maximal subdiagonal algebra, dual space, Beurling theorem, noncommutative Hardy space.*

MSC (2010): Primary 46L52, 30H10; Secondary 47A15.

INTRODUCTION

One of the most celebrated theorems in operator theory is Beurling's invariant subspace theorem, stating that *if \mathcal{W} is a nonzero closed, H^∞ -invariant subspace (or, equivalently, $z\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$) of $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ on the unit circle, then $\mathcal{W} = \psi H^2(\mathbb{T})$ for some $\psi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ with $|\psi| = 1$ a.e. (μ) [2].* Later, the Beurling theorem for $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ was generalized to describe closed H^∞ -invariant subspaces in the Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{T})$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ (see [6], [13], [14], [15], [16], [27] and etc.). Beurling theorem has been extended to many other directions.

In 1967, Arveson [1] invented a noncommutative generalization of classical H^∞ , known as finite maximal subdiagonal subalgebras, for a finite von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} with a faithful normal tracial state τ . Roughly, a subdiagonal algebra \mathcal{A} is a subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} which has many of the structural properties of the Hardy space $H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Subsequently, several authors studied the invariant subspaces of \mathcal{A} acting on the noncommutative Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. In 2008, Blecher and Labuschagne [5] proved a version of Beurling theorem on H^∞ -right invariant subspaces in a noncommutative $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ space for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Very recently, in 2015, T.N. Bekjan [3] obtained the similar Beurling theorem in noncommutative Hardy spaces based on his beautiful study of symmetric Banach spaces.

In the present paper, we set up a Beurling theorem for noncommutative Hardy spaces associated with unitarily invariant norms, which properly contains the class $\{\|\cdot\|_p : 1 \leq p < \infty\}$ and the class of rearrangement invariant quasi Banach function norms studied in [3]. It is worth pointing out that many of the classical proofs for the $\|\cdot\|_p$ case use the L^2 -result and take cases when $p \leq 2$ and $2 < p$ (see Theorem 4.5 in [5] and Theorem 6.5 of [3]). In our general setting, the cases $p \leq 2$ and $2 < p$ have no analogue, hence tools available in the setting of L^p -spaces and symmetric Banach spaces are no longer available. In order to achieve this extension, a lot of technology regarding these generalized settings needs to be developed. This is the reason why we proved a new version of Hölder’s inequality, a new version of Saito’s result [24] and many other results. The approach which we use is not only more elementary, even in the L^p -case, but is much more general.

We now review some of the definitions and notations. Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ . For each $1 \leq p < \infty$, we define a mapping $\|\cdot\|_p : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\|x\|_p = (\tau((x^*x)^{p/2}))^{1/p}$ for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$. It is a highly nontrivial fact that $\|\cdot\|_p$ actually defines a norm, an L^p -norm, on \mathcal{M} . Thus we let $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be the completion of \mathcal{M} under the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$. Moreover, it is not hard to see that there exists an anti-representation ρ of \mathcal{M} on the space $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ given by $\rho(a)\xi = \xi a$ for $\xi \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $a \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus we might assume that \mathcal{M} acts naturally on each $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ space by right multiplication for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We will refer to a wonderful handbook [23] by Pisier and Xu for general knowledge and current development of the theory of noncommutative L^p -spaces.

A (finite maximal) subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} is a weak* closed unital subalgebra \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{M} such that if Φ is the unique conditional expectation from \mathcal{M} onto $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^*$, then

- (i) $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^*$ is weak* dense in \mathcal{M} ;
- (ii) $\Phi(xy) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (iii) $\tau \circ \Phi = \tau$.

In [10], Exel showed that if \mathcal{A} is weak* closed and τ satisfies (iii), then \mathcal{A} (with respect to Φ) is maximal among those subdiagonal subalgebras (with respect to Φ) satisfying (i), (ii). Such a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{M} is also called an H^∞ space of \mathcal{M} . For each $1 \leq p < \infty$, the closure of H^∞ in $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is denoted by H^p and the closure of $H_0^\infty = \{x \in H^\infty : \Phi(x) = 0\}$ is denoted by H_0^p .

The concept of unitarily invariant norms was introduced by von Neumann [22] for the purpose of metrizing matrix spaces. These norms have now been generalized and applied in many contexts (for example, see [18], [20], [26] and etc.). Besides all L^p -norms for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there are many other interesting examples of unitarily invariant norms on \mathcal{M} (for example, see [3], [7], [8], [12] and others).

In this paper, we introduce a class $N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ of normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating and continuous norms (see Definition 1.2). If $\alpha \in N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and H^∞ is a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} , then we let $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and H^α be the completion of \mathcal{M} , and H^∞ respectively, with respect to the norm α .

In 2008, Fang, Hadwin, Nordgren and Shen set up a generalized noncommutative Lebesgue space associated with unitarily invariant norms. Some classical results in noncommutative L^p -theory (e.g., noncommutative Hölder’s inequality, duality and reflexivity of noncommutative L^p -spaces) are obtained for unitarily invariant norms on finite factors.

Motivated by the relation between finite factors and finite von Neumann algebras, in this paper we consider the noncommutative L^p -spaces and the noncommutative H^p -spaces associated with unitarily invariant norms on a finite von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} and prove a version of Beurling’s theorem for H^∞ -right invariant subspaces in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, and therefore for H^∞ -right invariant subspaces in H^α , when $\alpha \in N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. More specifically, we are able to obtain the following Beurling theorem for $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, built on Blecher and Labuschagne’s result in the case of $p = \infty$.

THEOREM 0.1. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, tracial state τ . Let H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{D} = H^\infty \cap (H^\infty)^*$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} . If \mathcal{W} is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $\mathcal{W}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ if and only if*

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Z} \bigoplus^{\text{col}} \left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}}^{\text{col}} u_i H^\alpha \right),$$

where \mathcal{Z} is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z}H_0^\infty]_\alpha$, and where u_i are partial isometries in $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ with $u_j^*u_i = 0$ if $i \neq j$, and with $u_i^*u_i \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, for each i , $u_i^*\mathcal{Z} = \{0\}$, left multiplication by the $u_iu_i^*$ are contractive projections from \mathcal{W} onto the summands u_iH^α , and left multiplication by $1 - \sum_i u_iu_i^*$ is a contractive projection from \mathcal{W} onto \mathcal{Z} .

Here \bigoplus^{col} denotes an internal column sum (see Definition 4.5). Moreover, $\bigoplus_i^{\text{col}} u_i H^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z}H_0^\infty]_\alpha$ are of type 1, and of type 2 respectively (see [5] for definitions of invariant subspaces of different types).

Many tools used in a noncommutative $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ space are no longer available in an arbitrary $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ space and new techniques or new proofs need to be invented. Key ingredients in the proof of Theorem 4.7 include a characterization of H^α (see Theorem 3.9), a factorization result in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (see Proposition 4.2), and a density theorem for $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (see Theorem 4.3), which extend earlier results by Saito in [24].

THEOREM 0.2. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ , and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} . Then*

$$H^\alpha = H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^\infty\}.$$

PROPOSITION 0.3. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ , and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} . If $k \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k^{-1} \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then there are unitary operators $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and operators $a_1, a_2 \in H^\infty$ such that $k = w_1 a_1 = a_2 w_2$ and $a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1} \in H^\alpha$.*

THEOREM 0.4. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ , and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} . If \mathcal{W} is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and \mathcal{N} is a weak*-closed linear subspace of \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{W}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{N}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, then*

- (i) $\mathcal{N} = [\mathcal{N}]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ is weak* closed in \mathcal{M} ;
- (iii) $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}]_\alpha$;
- (iv) if \mathcal{S} is a subspace of \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{S}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, then

$$[\mathcal{S}]_\alpha = [\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}]_\alpha,$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}$ is the weak* closure of \mathcal{S} in \mathcal{M} .

We end the paper with two quick applications of Theorem 4.7, which contain the classical Beurling theorem as a special case by letting \mathcal{M} be $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}, \mu)$.

COROLLARY 0.5. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, tracial state τ . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} . If \mathcal{W} is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, then there exists a projection e in \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{W} = eL^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.*

COROLLARY 0.6. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, tracial state τ . Let H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} such that $H^\infty \cap (H^\infty)^* = \mathbb{C}I$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous*

norm on \mathcal{M} . Assume that \mathcal{W} is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. If \mathcal{W} is simply H^∞ -right invariant, i.e. $[\mathcal{W}H^\infty]_\alpha \subsetneq \mathcal{W}$, then there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{W} = uH^\alpha$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 1, we introduce a class $N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ of normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating and continuous norms and study their dual norms on a finite von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} with a faithful normal tracial state τ . In Section 2, for each $\alpha \in N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we show a new version of Hölder’s inequality and prove a duality theorem of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, whose form is different from the usual L^p -spaces for each $1 \leq p < \infty$. In Section 3, we define the noncommutative H^α spaces and provide a characterization of H^α . Finally, in Section 4, based on our density theorem for $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we obtain the main result of the paper, a version of Beurling theorem for H^∞ -right invariant subspaces in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ spaces and in H^α spaces.

1. UNITARILY INVARIANT NORMS AND DUAL NORMS ON FINITE VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

1.1. UNITARILY INVARIANT NORMS. Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ . For general knowledge about noncommutative L^p -spaces for $0 < p \leq \infty$ associated with a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , we will refer to a wonderful handbook [23] by Pisier and Xu. For each $0 < p < \infty$, we let $\|\cdot\|_p$ be the mapping from \mathcal{M} to $[0, \infty)$ (see [23]) as defined by

$$\|x\|_p = (\tau(|x|^p))^{1/p}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

It is known that $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a norm if $1 \leq p < \infty$, and a quasi-norm if $0 < p < 1$. We define $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, the so called noncommutative L^p -space associated with (\mathcal{M}, τ) , to be the completion of \mathcal{M} with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$ for $0 < p < \infty$.

In the paper, we will mainly focus on the following two classes of unitarily invariant norms of a finite von Neumann algebra.

DEFINITION 1.1. We denote by $N(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ the collection of all norms $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying:

- (i) $\alpha(I) = 1$, i.e. α is normalized.
- (ii) $\alpha(uxv) = \alpha(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and unitaries u, v in \mathcal{M} , i.e. α is unitarily invariant.
- (iii) $\|x\|_1 \leq \alpha(x)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$, i.e. α is $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating.

The norm α in $N(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is called a *normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating norm* on \mathcal{M} .

DEFINITION 1.2. We denote by $N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ the collection of all norms $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that:

- (i) $\alpha \in N(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and

(ii) $\lim_{\tau(e) \rightarrow 0} \alpha(e) = 0$ as e ranges over the projections in \mathcal{M} (α is a continuous norm with respect to a trace τ).

The norm α in $N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is called a *normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm* on \mathcal{M} .

EXAMPLE 1.3. Each p -norm, $\|\cdot\|_p$, is in the class $N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

EXAMPLE 1.4. Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ satisfying the weak Dixmier property (see [12]). Let α be a normalized tracial gauge norm on \mathcal{M} . Then Theorem 3.30 in [12] shows that $\alpha \in N(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

EXAMPLE 1.5. Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ and $E(0, 1)$ be a rearrangement invariant Banach function space on $(0, 1)$. A noncommutative Banach function space $E(\tau)$ together with a norm $\|\cdot\|_{E(\tau)}$, corresponding to $E(0, 1)$ and associated with (\mathcal{M}, τ) , can be introduced (see [7] or [8]). Moreover \mathcal{M} is a subspace in $E(\tau)$ and the restriction of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{E(\tau)}$ to \mathcal{M} lies in $N(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. If E is also order continuous, then the restriction of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{E(\tau)}$ to \mathcal{M} lies in $N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

EXAMPLE 1.6. Let \mathcal{N} be a type II_1 factor with a tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{1, \mathcal{N}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{2, \mathcal{N}}$ be L^1 -norm, and L^2 -norm respectively, on \mathcal{N} . Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ , defined by

$$\tau(x \oplus y) = \frac{\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x) + \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(y)}{2}, \quad \forall x \oplus y \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Let α be a norm of \mathcal{M} , defined by

$$\alpha(x \oplus y) = \frac{\|x\|_{1, \mathcal{N}} + \|y\|_{2, \mathcal{N}}}{2}, \quad \forall x \oplus y \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then $\alpha \in N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. But α is neither tracial (see Definition 3.7 in [12]) nor rearrangement invariant (see Definition 2.1 in [9]).

The following lemma is well-known.

LEMMA 1.7. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ and α be a norm on \mathcal{M} . If α is unitarily invariant, i.e.*

$$\alpha(uxv) = \alpha(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and unitaries } u, v \text{ in } \mathcal{M},$$

then

$$\alpha(x_1 y x_2) \leq \|x_1\| \cdot \|x_2\| \cdot \alpha(y), \quad \forall x_1, x_2, y \in \mathcal{M}.$$

In particular, if α is a normalized unitarily invariant norm on \mathcal{M} , then

$$\alpha(x) \leq \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\|x\| = 1$. Assume that $x = v|x|$ is the polar decomposition of x in \mathcal{M} , where v is a unitary in \mathcal{M} and $|x|$ is positive. Then

$u = |x| + i\sqrt{I - |x|^2}$ is a unitary in \mathcal{M} such that $|x| = (u + u^*)/2$. Thus

$$\alpha(xy) = \alpha(|x|y) = \alpha\left(\frac{uy + u^*y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha(uy) + \alpha(u^*y)}{2} = \alpha(y).$$

Hence $\alpha(xy) \leq \|x\|\alpha(y), \forall x, y \in \mathcal{M}$. Similarly, $\alpha(yx) \leq \|x\|\alpha(y), \forall x, y \in \mathcal{M}$.

Furthermore, if α is a normalized unitarily invariant norm on \mathcal{M} , then from the discussion in the preceding paragraph we have that

$$\alpha(x) \leq \|x\|\alpha(I) = \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}. \quad \blacksquare$$

1.2. DUAL NORMS OF UNITARILY INVARIANT NORMS ON \mathcal{M} . The concept of dual norm plays an important role in the study of noncommutative L^p -spaces. In this subsection, we will introduce dual norms for unitarily invariant norms on a finite von Neumann algebra.

LEMMA 1.8. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating norm on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.1). Define a mapping $\alpha' : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ as follows:*

$$\alpha'(x) = \sup\{|\tau(xy)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha(y) \leq 1\}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then the following statements are true:

- (i) $\forall x \in \mathcal{M}, \|x\|_1 \leq \alpha'(x) \leq \|x\|$.
- (ii) α' is a norm on \mathcal{M} .
- (iii) $\alpha' \in N(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, i.e. α' is a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating norm.
- (iv) $|\tau(xy)| \leq \alpha(x)\alpha'(y)$ for all x, y in \mathcal{M} .

Proof. (i) Suppose $x \in \mathcal{M}$. If $y \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\alpha(y) \leq 1$, then, from the fact that α is $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, we have

$$|\tau(xy)| \leq \|x\|\|y\|_1 \leq \|x\|\alpha(y) \leq \|x\|,$$

whence $\alpha'(x) \leq \|x\|$. Thus α' is a mapping from \mathcal{M} to $[0, \infty)$.

Now, assume that $x = uh$ is the polar decomposition of x in \mathcal{M} , where u is a unitary element in \mathcal{M} and h in \mathcal{M} is positive. Then, from the fact that $\alpha(u^*) = 1$, we have

$$\alpha'(x) \geq |\tau(u^*x)| = \tau(h) = \|x\|_1.$$

Therefore $\|x\|_1 \leq \alpha'(x)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$. This ends the proof of part (i).

(ii) It is easy to verify that

$$\alpha'(ax) = |a|\alpha'(x), \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'(x_1 + x_2) \leq \alpha'(x_1) + \alpha'(x_2), \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{C}, \forall x, x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{M}.$$

From the result (i), we know that $\alpha'(x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$. Therefore α' is a norm on \mathcal{M} .

(iii) It is not hard to verify that α' satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) in the definition of $N(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. From the result (i), α' also satisfies condition (iii) in the definition of $N(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Therefore $\alpha' \in N(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

(iv) It follows directly from the definition of α' . \blacksquare

DEFINITION 1.9. The norm α' , as defined in Lemma 1.8, is called the *dual norm* of α on \mathcal{M} .

Now we are ready to introduce L^α -spaces and $L^{\alpha'}$ -spaces for a finite von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} with respect to the unitarily invariant norms α , and α' respectively, as follows.

DEFINITION 1.10. Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating norm on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.1). Let α' be the dual norm of α on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.9). We define $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $L^{\alpha'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ to be the completion of \mathcal{M} with respect to α , and α' , respectively.

REMARK 1.11. If α is an L^p -norm for some $1 < p < \infty$, then α' is nothing but an L^q -norm where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Hence $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L^{\alpha'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ are the usual $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L^q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ spaces.

It is known that the dual space of $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is $L^q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ when $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. However generally, for $\alpha \in N(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, the dual of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ might not be $L^{\alpha'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

2. DUAL SPACES OF L^α -SPACES ASSOCIATED WITH FINITE VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

In this section we will study the dual spaces of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ by investigating some subspaces in $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

2.1. DEFINITIONS OF SUBSPACES $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ AND $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ OF $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating norm on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.1). Let α' be the dual norm of α on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.9). We define

$$\bar{\alpha} : L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow [0, \infty] \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\alpha}' : L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$$

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha}(x) &= \sup\{|\tau(xy)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y) \leq 1\}, \quad \forall x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \\ \bar{\alpha}'(x) &= \sup\{|\tau(xy)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha(y) \leq 1\}, \quad \forall x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) &= \{x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \bar{\alpha}(x) < \infty\} \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \\ L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) &= \{x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \bar{\alpha}'(x) < \infty\} \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\alpha}'$, are mappings from $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, and $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ respectively, into $[0, \infty)$. The next result follows directly from the definitions of $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha}'$, and part (iv) of Lemma 1.8.

LEMMA 2.2. *We have*

$$\bar{\alpha}'(x) = \alpha'(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\alpha}(x) \leq \alpha(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

The following proposition describes properties of $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\alpha}'$, which imply that $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ are normed spaces with respect to $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\alpha}'$, respectively.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating norm on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.1). Let α' be the dual norm of α on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.9). Let*

$$\bar{\alpha} : L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\alpha}' : L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

be as in Definition 2.1. Then the following statements are true:

- (i) $\bar{\alpha}(I) = 1$ and $\bar{\alpha}'(I) = 1$.
- (ii) If u, v are unitary elements in \mathcal{M} , then

$$\bar{\alpha}(x) = \bar{\alpha}(uxv), \quad \forall x \in L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$$

and

$$\bar{\alpha}'(x) = \bar{\alpha}'(uxv), \quad \forall x \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

- (iii₁) We have

$$\|x\|_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}(x), \quad \forall x \in L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$$

and

$$\|x\|_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}'(x), \quad \forall x \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

- (iii₂) If x is an element in \mathcal{M} , then

$$\bar{\alpha}(x) \leq \|x\| \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\alpha}'(x) \leq \|x\|.$$

- (iv) $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\alpha}'$ are norms on $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, and $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, respectively.

Proof. (i) Note that $\alpha \in N(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $\alpha' \in N(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ from part (iii) of Lemma 1.8. Thus

$$\bar{\alpha}(I) = \sup\{|\tau(y)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y) \leq 1\} = \sup\{\|y\|_1 : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y) \leq 1\} = 1.$$

Similarly,

$$\bar{\alpha}'(I) = 1.$$

- (ii) If u, v are unitaries in \mathcal{M} , then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha}(uxv) &= \sup\{|\tau(uxvy)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y) \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|\tau(xvyu)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y) \leq 1\} \quad (\text{by Definition 2.1}) \\ &= \sup\{|\tau(xyu_0)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y_0) = \alpha'(vyu) = \alpha'(y) \leq 1\} \quad (\text{because } \alpha' \in N(\mathcal{M}, \tau)) \\ &= \bar{\alpha}(x), \quad \forall x \in L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\bar{\alpha}'(x) = \bar{\alpha}'(uxv), \quad \forall x \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

(iii₁) Assume that $x \in L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. We let $x = uh$ be the polar decomposition of x in $L^1(\mathcal{M})$, where u is a unitary in \mathcal{M} and $h = |x| \in L^1(\mathcal{M})$. Then, from the result (ii), we obtain that

$$\bar{\alpha}(x) = \bar{\alpha}(uh) = \bar{\alpha}(h) \geq |\tau(h)| = \|x\|_1.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|x\|_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}'(x), \quad \forall x \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

(iii₂) Note that $\alpha' \in N(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Suppose $x \in \mathcal{M}$. If $y \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\alpha'(y) \leq 1$. Then

$$|\tau(xy)| \leq \|x\| \|y\|_1 \leq \|x\| \alpha'(y) \leq \|x\|.$$

Now it follows from the definition of $\bar{\alpha}$ that $\bar{\alpha}(x) \leq \|x\|$. Similarly, we have $\bar{\alpha}'(x) \leq \|x\|, \forall x \in \mathcal{M}$.

(iv) From the definition and the result (iii₁), we conclude that $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\alpha}'$ are norms on $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, and $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ respectively. ■

The following lemma is a useful tool for our later results.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating norm on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.1). Let α' be the dual norm of α on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.9). Let $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\alpha}'$ be as in Definition 2.1. Then the following statements are true:*

- (i) *For all $x \in L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $a \in \mathcal{M}$ $\bar{\alpha}(xa) \leq \bar{\alpha}(x)\|a\|$.*
- (ii) *For all $x \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $a \in \mathcal{M}$ $\bar{\alpha}'(xa) \leq \bar{\alpha}'(x)\|a\|$.*

Proof. (i) From Proposition 2.3, $\bar{\alpha}$ is a norm on $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ satisfying

$$\bar{\alpha}(x) = \bar{\alpha}(uxv), \quad \forall \text{ unitary elements } u, v \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } x \in L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

Now the proof of Lemma 1.7 can also be applied here.

- (ii) A similar result holds for $\bar{\alpha}'$. ■

Our next result shows that $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ are Banach spaces with respect to $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\alpha}'$ respectively.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating norm on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.1). Let α' be the dual norm of α on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.9). Let $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha}', L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be as in Definition 2.1. Then $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ are both Banach spaces with respect to norms $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\alpha}'$, respectively.*

Proof. Since the arguments for $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and for $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ are similar, we will only present the proof that $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a Banach space here.

From part (iv) of Proposition 2.3, we know that $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a normed space with respect to $\bar{\alpha}$. To prove the completeness of the space, we suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with respect to $\bar{\alpha}$. Then there is an $M > 0$ such that $\bar{\alpha}(x_n) \leq M$ for all n . From part (iii₁) of Proposition 2.3, we have that $\|x_m - x_n\|_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}(x_m - x_n)$ for $m, n \geq 1$. It follows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$,

which is a complete Banach space. Then there is an $x_0 \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\|x_n - x_0\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

We claim that $x_0 \in L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(x_n - x_0) \rightarrow 0$ as n goes to infinity. In fact, we let $y \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\alpha'(y) \leq 1$. Since

$$|\tau(x_n y) - \tau(x_0 y)| = |\tau((x_n - x_0)y)| \leq \|x_n - x_0\|_1 \|y\| \rightarrow 0,$$

we have

$$|\tau(x_0 y)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x_n y)|.$$

By the definition of $\bar{\alpha}$, we have that

$$|\tau(x_0 y)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x_n y)| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\alpha}(x_n) \alpha'(y) \leq M,$$

whence $\bar{\alpha}(x_0) \leq M$. This implies $x_0 \in L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Furthermore, since $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, it follows that, for each $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau((x_0 - x_n)y)| &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\tau((x_m - x_n)y)| \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\alpha}(x_m - x_n) \alpha'(y) \\ &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\alpha}(x_m - x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\bar{\alpha}(x_n - x_0) \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\alpha}(x_m - x_n)$ for each $n \geq 1$. Again from the fact that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we conclude that $\bar{\alpha}(x_n - x_0) \rightarrow 0$ as n goes to infinity. Therefore $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\bar{\alpha}$. This ends the proof of the whole proposition. ■

2.2. HÖLDER'S INEQUALITY. In this subsection, we will prove Hölder's inequality for $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ when α is a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm.

We will need the following result from [29].

LEMMA 2.6 (Corollary III.3.11 in [29]). *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ . If ϕ is a bounded linear functional on a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i) ϕ is normal;
- (ii) for every orthogonal family $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ in \mathcal{M} ,

$$\phi\left(\sum_{i \in I} e_i\right) = \sum_{i \in I} \phi(e_i).$$

When α is a continuous norm, the following result relates the dual space of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ to the space $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.2). Let $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be as in Definition 2.1. Then for every bounded linear functional $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^\sharp$, there is a $\xi \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\bar{\alpha}'(\xi) = \|\phi\|$ and $\phi(x) = \tau(x\xi)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\alpha \in N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^\sharp$. Let $\{e_n\}$ be a family of orthogonal projections in \mathcal{M} . It is easily verified that $\sum_{n=N}^\infty e_n \rightarrow 0$ in the strong operator topology as N approaches infinity. Since τ is normal, by Lemma 2.6, we have that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(\sum_{n=N}^\infty e_n\right) \rightarrow 0$. Note that $\alpha \in N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then the continuity of α with respect to τ implies that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \alpha\left(\sum_{n=N}^\infty e_n\right) \rightarrow 0$. From the fact that $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^\sharp$, we know that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty e_n - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} e_n\right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(\sum_{n=N}^\infty e_n\right) = 0.$$

Now Lemma 2.6 implies that ϕ is a normal functional on \mathcal{M} . Hence ϕ is in the predual space of \mathcal{M} , i.e. there is a $\zeta \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\phi(x) = \tau(x\zeta)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Furthermore, since \mathcal{M} is dense in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\| &= \sup\{|\phi(x)| : x \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha(x) \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|\tau(x\zeta)| : x \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha(x) \leq 1\} = \bar{\alpha}'(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\zeta \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. This ends the proof of the result. ■

For a finite von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the set of possibly unbounded, closed and densely defined operators on \mathcal{H} which are affiliated to \mathcal{M} , forms a topological $*$ -algebra where the topology is the noncommutative topology of convergence in measure [21]. We will denote this algebra by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$; it is the closure of \mathcal{M} in the topology just mentioned. We let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$ be the set of positive operators in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Then the trace

$$\tau : \mathcal{M}_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

can be extended to a generalized trace

$$\tilde{\tau} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty].$$

We refer to [21], [25], [30] for more details on the noncommutative integration theory.

We will summarize some properties of the generalized trace on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$ as follows.

LEMMA 2.8. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ be the set of closed and densely-defined operators affiliated to \mathcal{M} and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$ be the set of positive operators in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. If $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$, there is a family $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ of projections (spectral resolution of a) in \mathcal{M} such that:*

- (i) $e_\lambda \rightarrow I$ increasingly;
- (ii) $e_\lambda a = a e_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$ for every $0 < \lambda < \infty$;
- (iii) $\tilde{\tau}(a) = \sup_{\lambda>0} \tau(e_\lambda a)$ ($\tilde{\tau}(a)$ could be infinity);

(iv) if $a \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $\|e_\lambda a - a\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

Assume that x is an element in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Then $x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ if and only if $\widetilde{\tau}(|x|) < \infty$.

The result is well-known. More details could be found in Section 1.1 of [11] or in [30].

If no confusion arises, we still use τ to denote the generalized trace $\widetilde{\tau}$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$. A consequence of the preceding lemma is the following result.

COROLLARY 2.9. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.2). Let α' be the dual norm of α on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.9). Let $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\alpha}'$ be as defined in Definition 2.1. Then*

$$\alpha(x) = \bar{\alpha}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'(x) = \bar{\alpha}'(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Proof. It is clear by Lemma 2.2 that $\alpha'(x) = \bar{\alpha}'(x)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(x) \leq \alpha(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. We will need only to show that $\bar{\alpha}(x) \geq \alpha(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

Now suppose $x \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\alpha(x) = 1$. By the Hahn–Banach theorem, there is a continuous linear functional $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^\sharp$ such that $\phi(x) = \alpha(x) = 1$ and $\|\phi\| = 1$. Since $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^\sharp$, from Proposition 2.7, there is an element $\xi \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\phi(x) = |\tau(x\xi)| = 1$ and $\bar{\alpha}'(\xi) = \|\phi\| = 1$.

Let $\xi = uh$ be the polar decomposition of $\xi \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, where $u \in \mathcal{M}$ is a unitary and $h \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M})$ is positive. Then it follows from Lemma 2.8 that there exists a family $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ of projections in \mathcal{M} such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|h - he_\lambda\|_1 \rightarrow 0$$

and $e_\lambda h = he_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$ for every $0 < \lambda < \infty$. Thus $uhe_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 that

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha'(uhe_\lambda) = \bar{\alpha}'(uhe_\lambda) \leq \bar{\alpha}'(uh)\|e_\lambda\| \leq \bar{\alpha}'(uh) = \bar{\alpha}'(\xi) = 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau(x\xi)| &= |\tau(xuh)| \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(xuhe_\lambda)| \quad (\text{by (2.1) and } xu \in \mathcal{M}) \\ &\leq \sup\{|\tau(xy)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y) \leq 1\} \quad \text{by (2.2).} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from the definition of $\bar{\alpha}$ we obtain

$$\bar{\alpha}(x) = \sup\{|\tau(xy)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y) \leq 1\} \geq |\tau(x\xi)| = 1 = \alpha(x).$$

This finishes the proof of the result. ■

A quick corollary of the preceding result is the following conclusion.

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.2). Let α' be the dual norm*

of α on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.9). Let $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\alpha}'$ be as defined in Definition 2.1. There are natural isometric embeddings

$$L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \hookrightarrow L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad L^{\alpha'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \hookrightarrow L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau),$$

such that

$$x \mapsto x \quad \text{and} \quad x \mapsto x, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Thus $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $L^{\alpha'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ are Banach subspaces of $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, and $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, respectively.

The following theorem is a generalization of Hölder's inequality in non-commutative L^p -spaces.

THEOREM 2.11. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.2). Let α' be the dual norm of α on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.9). Let $L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be as defined in Definition 2.1. If $x \in L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $y \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $xy \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $\|xy\|_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}(x)\bar{\alpha}'(y)$.*

In particular, if $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $y \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $xy \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $\|xy\|_1 \leq \alpha(x)\bar{\alpha}'(y)$.

Proof. Suppose $x \in L_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $y \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then $xy \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is the set of closed and densely defined operators affiliated with \mathcal{M} . Let $xy = uh$ be the polar decomposition of xy in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, where $u \in \mathcal{M}$ is a unitary and $h = |xy| \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$. From Lemma 2.8, there exists an increasing family $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ of projections in \mathcal{M} , such that $e_\lambda h = he_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$ for each $\lambda > 0$ and such that $\tau(h) = \sup_{\lambda>0} \tau(e_\lambda h)$. We will show that $\tau(h) \leq \bar{\alpha}(x)\bar{\alpha}'(y)$.

Assume, to the contrary, that

$$\tau(h) = \sup_{\lambda>0} \tau(e_\lambda h) > \bar{\alpha}(x)\bar{\alpha}'(y).$$

Then there is a projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $eh \in \mathcal{M}$ and

$$\tau(eh) > \bar{\alpha}(x)\bar{\alpha}'(y) + \varepsilon.$$

Note that $eh = eu^*xy$. Let $eu^*x = h_2u_2$, where $u_2^*h_2$ is the polar decomposition of x^*ue in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. It is clear that $u_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ is a unitary and $h_2 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$. Again from Lemma 2.8, we may choose $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ to be an increasing family of projections in \mathcal{M} such that (i) $f_\lambda \rightarrow I$ increasingly in the strong operator topology, (ii) $f_\lambda h_2 = h_2 f_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$, and (iii) $\tau(eu^*xu_2^*) = \tau(h_2) = \sup_{\lambda} \tau(f_\lambda h_2)$. From (ii), we have $f_\lambda h_2 u_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ for each $\lambda > 0$. It follows that, for each $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau(f_\lambda eh)| &= |\tau(f_\lambda eu^*xy)| = |\tau(f_\lambda h_2 u_2 y)| \\ &\leq \alpha(f_\lambda h_2 u_2) \bar{\alpha}'(y) \quad (\text{by definition of } \bar{\alpha}') \\ &= \bar{\alpha}(f_\lambda h_2 u_2) \bar{\alpha}'(y) \quad (\text{by Corollary 2.9}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|f_\lambda\|\bar{\alpha}(h_2u_2)\bar{\alpha}'(y) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4}) \\ &\leq \bar{\alpha}(h_2)\bar{\alpha}'(y) \quad (\text{by properties of } \bar{\alpha}) \\ &= \bar{\alpha}(eu^*xu_2^*)\bar{\alpha}'(y) \\ &\leq \|e\|\bar{\alpha}(u^*xu_2^*)\bar{\alpha}'(y) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4}) \\ &\leq \bar{\alpha}(x)\bar{\alpha}'(y) \quad (\text{by properties of } \bar{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $f_\lambda \rightarrow I$ increasingly in the strong operator topology and $eh \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $f_\lambda eh \rightarrow eh$ in the strong operator topology. Since τ is normal, τ is continuous on bounded subsets of \mathcal{M} in the strong operator topology. Therefore, we have

$$\tau(eh) = |\tau(eh)| = \lim_{\lambda} |\tau(f_\lambda eh)| \leq \bar{\alpha}(x)\bar{\alpha}'(y),$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore

$$\|xy\|_1 = \tau(|xy|) = \tau(h) \leq \bar{\alpha}(x)\bar{\alpha}'(y),$$

and $xy \in L^1(\mathcal{M})$. If $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $y \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then, from Proposition 2.10, $\alpha(x) = \bar{\alpha}(x)$. Hence, $\|xy\|_1 \leq \alpha(x)\bar{\alpha}'(y)$. ■

2.3. DUAL SPACE OF $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Now we are ready to describe the dual space of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, when α is a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating and continuous norm on \mathcal{M} .

THEOREM 2.12. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.2). Let $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be as defined in Definition 2.1. Then*

$$(L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^\sharp = L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau),$$

i.e.,

(i) for every $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^\sharp$, there is a $\xi \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\bar{\alpha}'(\xi) = \|\phi\|$ and $\phi(x) = \tau(x\xi)$ for all $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

(ii) for every $\xi \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, the mapping $\phi : L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by $\phi(x) = \tau(x\xi)$ for all x in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, is in $(L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^\sharp$. Moreover, $\|\phi\| = \bar{\alpha}'(\xi)$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^\sharp$. From Proposition 2.7, there exists a $\xi \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\bar{\alpha}'(\xi) = \|\phi\|$ and $\phi(y) = \tau(y\xi)$ for all $y \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus we need only to show that $\phi(x) = \tau(x\xi)$ for all $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Suppose $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathcal{M} such that $\alpha(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$. Note that $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^\sharp$. Then $\phi(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$. By the generalized Hölder's inequality (Theorem 2.11), we have

$$|\tau(x_n\xi) - \tau(x\xi)| = |\tau((x_n - x)\xi)| \leq \alpha(x_n - x)\bar{\alpha}'(\xi) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus $\tau(x\xi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(x_n\xi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n) = \phi(x)$.

(ii) It follows directly from the definition of $\bar{\alpha}'$ in Definition 2.1 and the fact that \mathcal{M} is dense in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\| &= \sup\{|\phi(x)| : x \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha(x) \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|\tau(x\zeta)| : x \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha(x) \leq 1\} = \bar{\alpha}'(\zeta) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^\sharp$. ■

3. NONCOMMUTATIVE HARDY SPACES H^α

Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ . Given a von Neumann subalgebra \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{M} , a conditional expectation $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is defined to be a positive linear map which preserves the identity and satisfies $\Phi(x_1 y x_2) = x_1 \Phi(y) x_2$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ and $y \in \mathcal{M}$. For a finite von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} with a faithful normal tracial state τ and a von Neumann subalgebra \mathcal{D} , it is a well-known fact that there exists a unique, faithful, normal, conditional expectation Φ from \mathcal{M} onto \mathcal{D} such that $\tau(\Phi(y)) = \tau(y)$, for all $y \in \mathcal{M}$. Furthermore it is known that such $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ can be extended to a contractive linear mapping $\Phi : L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow L^1(\mathcal{D}, \tau)$ satisfying $\tau(y) = \tau(\Phi(y))$ for all $y \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (for example, see Proposition 3.9 in [19].)

3.1. ARVESON'S NONCOMMUTATIVE HARDY SPACES. We now recall the noncommutative analogue of the classical Hardy space $H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ by Arveson in [1] (also see [10]).

DEFINITION 3.1. Suppose \mathcal{M} is a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ . Let \mathcal{A} be a weak* closed unital subalgebra of \mathcal{M} , and let Φ be a faithful, normal conditional expectation from \mathcal{M} onto the diagonal von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^*$. Then \mathcal{A} is called a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} with respect to Φ if

- (i) $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^*$ is weak* dense in \mathcal{M} ;
- (ii) $\Phi(xy) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (iii) $\tau \circ \Phi = \tau$.

Such a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{M} is also called an H^∞ space of \mathcal{M} .

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $\mathcal{M} = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries equipped with a trace τ . Let \mathcal{A} be the subalgebra of upper triangular matrices. Now \mathcal{D} is the diagonal matrices and Φ is the natural projection onto the diagonal. Then \mathcal{A} is a finite maximal subdiagonal algebra of \mathcal{M} .

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let $\mathcal{M} = L^\infty(X, \mu)$, where (X, μ) is a probability space. Let $\tau(f) = \int f d\mu$ for all f in $L^\infty(X, \mu)$. Let \mathcal{A} be a weak* closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ such that $I \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^*$ is weak* dense in $L^\infty(X, \mu)$, and such that

$\int fg d\mu = (\int f d\mu)(\int g d\mu)$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\Phi(f) = (\int f d\mu)I$ for all f in $L^\infty(X, \mu)$. Then \mathcal{A} is a finite, maximal subdiagonal algebra in $L^\infty(X, \mu)$. These examples are the weak* Dirichlet algebras of Srinivasan and Wang [28].

3.2. NONCOMMUTATIVE H^α SPACES. Let H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . We let

$$H_0^\infty = \{x \in H^\infty : \Phi(x) = 0\}.$$

For $\mathcal{S} \subseteq L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau), 0 < p < \infty$, let $[\mathcal{S}]_p$ denote the closure of \mathcal{S} in $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$. Let

$$H^p = [H^\infty]_p \quad \text{and} \quad H_0^p = [H_0^\infty]_p.$$

For $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, let $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}$ denote the weak* closure of \mathcal{S} in \mathcal{M} .

The following characterization of noncommutative H^p spaces for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ was proved by Saito in [24].

PROPOSITION 3.4 (from [24]). *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then*

- (i) $H^1 \cap L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = H^p$ and $H_0^1 \cap L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = H_0^p$.
- (ii) $H^p = \{x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^\infty\}$.
- (iii) $H_0^p = \{x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H^\infty\} = \{x \in H^p : \Phi(x) = 0\}$.

Similarly, we have the following definition in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ spaces.

DEFINITION 3.5. Suppose \mathcal{M} is a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ . Let H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . Suppose α is a normalized, unitarily invariant, continuous, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating norm on \mathcal{M} . For $\mathcal{S} \subseteq L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, let $[\mathcal{S}]_\alpha$ denote the closure of \mathcal{S} in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with respect to the norm α . In particular, We define H^α to be the α -closure of H^∞ , i.e.,

$$H^\alpha = [H^\infty]_\alpha.$$

3.3. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF H^α SPACES. In this section, our object is to provide an analogue of Saito’s result stated in Proposition 3.4 in the new setting H^α , where α is a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} .

It is proved in [4] that the multiplicativity of the conditional expectation Φ on H^∞ surprisingly extends to multiplicativity on H^p for all $0 < p < \infty$.

LEMMA 3.6 (from [4]). *The conditional expectation Φ is multiplicative on Hardy spaces. More precisely, $\Phi(ab) = \Phi(a)\Phi(b)$ for all $a \in H^p$ and $b \in H^q$ with $0 < p, q \leq \infty$.*

Next we will prove two lemmas before we state the main result of the section.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ , and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.2). Let*

$L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be as defined in Definition 2.1. Then

$$H^\alpha = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$X = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\}.$$

Suppose $x \in H^\alpha$. If $y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subseteq H_0^1$, then it follows from part (iii) of Proposition 3.4 that $\tau(xy) = 0$, which implies $x \in X$, and so $H^\alpha \subseteq X$.

We claim that X is α -closed in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. In fact, suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X and $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\alpha(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$. If $y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then by the generalized Hölder’s inequality (Theorem 2.11), we have

$$|\tau(xy) - \tau(x_n y)| = |\tau((x - x_n)y)| \leq \alpha(x - x_n)\bar{\alpha}'(y) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $x_n \in X$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $\tau(xy) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(x_n y) = 0$ for all $y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. By the definition of X , we know that $x \in X$. Hence X is closed in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Therefore

$$H^\alpha = [H^\alpha]_\alpha \subseteq X.$$

Next, we show that $H^\alpha = X$. Assume, via contradiction, that $H^\alpha \subsetneq X \subseteq L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. By the Hahn–Banach theorem, there is a $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^\#$ and $x \in X$ such that

- (i) $\phi(x) \neq 0$, and
- (ii) $\phi(y) = 0$ for all $y \in H^\alpha$.

Since α is a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} , it follows from Proposition 2.7 that there exists a $\zeta \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that

- (iii) $\phi(z) = \tau(z\zeta)$ for all $z \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Hence from (ii) and (iii) we can conclude that

- (iv) $\tau(y\zeta) = \phi(y) = 0$ for every $y \in H^\alpha \subseteq H^\alpha \subseteq L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Since $\zeta \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, it follows from part (iii) of Proposition 3.4 and (iv) as above that $\zeta \in H_0^1$, which means $\zeta \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Combining this with the fact that $x \in X = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\}$, we obtain that $\tau(x\zeta) = 0$. Note, again, that $x \in X \subseteq L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. From (i) and (iii), it follows that $\tau(x\zeta) = \phi(x) \neq 0$. This is a contradiction. Therefore

$$H^\alpha = X = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\}. \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 3.8. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ , and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} (see Definition 1.2). Let $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be as defined in Definition 2.1. Then*

$$H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$X = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\}.$$

It is clear that $X \subseteq L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Now we suppose $x \in X$, that is $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\tau(xy) = 0$ for all $y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Since $H_0^\infty \subseteq H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $H_0^\infty \subseteq H_0^1$, it follows that $\tau(xy) = 0$ for all $y \in H_0^\infty$. Then by part (ii) of Proposition 3.4, $x \in H^1$, which implies $X \subseteq H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

To prove $H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subseteq X$, suppose $x \in H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Assume that $y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. So $\Phi(y) = 0$. Note that $xy \in H^1 H_0^1 \subseteq H^{1/2}$. From Lemma 3.6, we know that $\Phi(xy)$ is in $L^{1/2}(\mathcal{D}, \tau)$ (see Theorem 2.1 in [4]) and $\Phi(xy) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y) = 0$. Moreover, since $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $y \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, it follows from Theorem 2.11 that $xy \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, whence $\Phi(xy)$ is also in $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Thus $\tau(xy)$ is well defined and $\tau(xy) = \tau(\Phi(xy)) = 0$. By the definition of X , we conclude that $x \in X$. Therefore $H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subseteq X$. Now we can obtain that

$$H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\}. \quad \blacksquare$$

The following theorem gives a characterization of H^α .

THEOREM 3.9. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ , and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} . Then*

$$H^\alpha = H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^\infty\}.$$

The result follows directly from Lemma 3.7, Lemma 3.8 and Proposition 3.4.

4. BEURLING INVARIANT SUBSPACE THEOREM

In this section, we extend the classical Beurling theorem to Arveson’s non-commutative Hardy spaces associated with unitarily invariant norms.

4.1. A FACTORIZATION RESULT. In [24], Saito proved the following useful factorization theorem.

LEMMA 4.1 (from [24]). *Suppose \mathcal{M} is a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ , and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . If $k \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k^{-1} \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then there are unitary operators $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and operators $a_1, a_2 \in H^\infty$ such that $k = u_1 a_1 = a_2 u_2$ and $a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1} \in H^2$.*

We shall show that in fact it is possible to choose a_1 and a_2 with their inverses in H^α .

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ , and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . Let α be a*

normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} . If $k \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k^{-1} \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then there are unitary operators $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and operators $a_1, a_2 \in H^\infty$ such that $k = w_1 a_1 = a_2 w_2$ and $a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1} \in H^\alpha$.

Proof. Suppose $k \in \mathcal{M}$ with $k^{-1} \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Assume that $k = vh$ is the polar decomposition of k in \mathcal{M} , where v is a unitary operator in \mathcal{M} and h in \mathcal{M} is positive. Then from the assumption that $k^{-1} = h^{-1}v^* \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we see $h^{-1} \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Since h in \mathcal{M} is positive, we can conclude that $h^{-1/2} \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Note that $h^{1/2} \in \mathcal{M}$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that there exist a unitary operator $u_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $h_1 \in H^\infty$ such that $h^{1/2} = u_1 h_1$ and $h_1^{-1} \in H^2$.

Now $h = h^{1/2} \cdot h^{1/2} = u_1(h_1 u_1)h_1$. Since $h_1 u_1$ is in \mathcal{M} and $(h_1 u_1)^{-1} = u_1^* h_1^{-1} \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, by Lemma 4.1 there exist a unitary operator $u_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $h_2 \in H^\infty$ such that $h_1 u_1 = u_2 h_2$ and $h_2^{-1} \in H^2$. Thus

$$k = vh = vu_1 h_1 u_1 h_1 = vu_1 u_2 h_2 h_1 = w_1 a_1,$$

where $w_1 = vu_1 u_2$ is a unitary operator in \mathcal{M} and $a_1 = h_2 h_1 \in H^\infty$ with

$$a_1^{-1} = (h_2 h_1)^{-1} = h_1^{-1} h_2^{-1} \in H^2 \cdot H^2 \subseteq H^1.$$

Since $k^{-1} = (w_1 a_1)^{-1} = a_1^{-1} w_1^* \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we obtain that $a_1^{-1} \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then by Theorem 3.9, we have

$$a_1^{-1} \in H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}) = H^\alpha.$$

Hence w_1 is a unitary in \mathcal{M} and a_1 is in H^∞ such that $k = w_1 a_1$ and $a_1^{-1} \in H^\alpha$.

Similarly, there exist a unitary operator $w_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a_2 \in H^\infty$ such that $k = a_2 w_2$ and $a_2^{-1} \in H^\alpha$. ■

4.2. DENSE SUBSPACES. The following theorem plays an important role in the proof of our main result of the paper.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ , and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} . If \mathcal{W} is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and \mathcal{N} is a weak* closed linear subspace of \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{W}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{N}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, then*

- (i) $\mathcal{N} = [\mathcal{N}]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ is weak* closed in \mathcal{M} ;
- (iii) $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}]_\alpha$;
- (iv) if \mathcal{S} is a subspace of \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{S}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, then

$$[\mathcal{S}]_\alpha = [\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}]_\alpha,$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}$ is the weak* closure of \mathcal{S} in \mathcal{M} .

Proof. (i) It is clear that $\mathcal{N} \subseteq [\mathcal{N}]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}$. Assume, via contradiction, that $\mathcal{N} \subsetneq [\mathcal{N}]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}$. Note that \mathcal{N} is a weak* closed linear subspace of \mathcal{M} and

$L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is the predual space of \mathcal{M} . It follows from the Hahn–Banach theorem that there exist a $\zeta \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and an $x \in [\mathcal{N}]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}$ such that

- (a) $\tau(\zeta x) \neq 0$, but
- (b) $\tau(\zeta y) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{N}$.

We claim that there exists a $z \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

- (a') $\tau(zx) \neq 0$, but
- (b') $\tau(zy) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{N}$.

Actually assume that $\zeta = |\zeta^*|v$ is the polar decomposition of ζ in $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, where v is a unitary element in \mathcal{M} and $|\zeta^*|$ in $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is positive. Let f be a function on $[0, \infty)$ defined by the formula $f(t) = 1$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $f(t) = 1/t$ for $t > 1$. We define $k = f(|\zeta^*|)$ by the functional calculus. Then by the construction of f , we know that $k \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k^{-1} = f^{-1}(|\zeta^*|) \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. It follows from Theorem 4.2 that there exist a unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a \in H^\infty$ such that $k = ua$ and $a^{-1} \in H^1$. Therefore, we can further assume that $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of elements in H^∞ such that $\|a^{-1} - a_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$. Observe that

- (1) since a, a_n are in H^∞ , for each $y \in \mathcal{N}$ we have that $ya_n a \in \mathcal{N}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and

$$\tau(a_n a \zeta y) = \tau(\zeta y a_n a) = 0;$$

- (2) we have $a \zeta = (u^* u) a (|\zeta^*| v) = u^* (k |\zeta^*|) v \in \mathcal{M}$, by the choice of a and u ;
- (3) from (a) and (ii), we have

$$0 \neq \tau(\zeta x) = \tau(a^{-1} a \zeta x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(a_n a \zeta x).$$

Combining (1), (2) and (3), we are able to find an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z = a_N a \zeta \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying

- (a') $\tau(zx) \neq 0$, but
- (b') $\tau(zy) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{N}$.

Recall that $x \in [\mathcal{N}]_\alpha$. Then there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathcal{N} such that $\alpha(x - x_n) \rightarrow 0$. We have

$$|\tau(zx_n) - \tau(zx)| = |\tau(z(x - x_n))| \leq \|x - x_n\|_1 \|z\| \leq \alpha(x - x_n) \|z\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Combining with (b') we conclude that $\tau(zx) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(zx_n) = 0$. This contradicts the result (a'). Therefore $\mathcal{N} = [\mathcal{N}]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}$.

(ii) Let $\overline{\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}}^{w^*}$ be the weak* closure of $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ in \mathcal{M} . In order to show that $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M} = \overline{\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}}^{w^*}$, it suffices to show that $\overline{\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}}^{w^*} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. Assume, to the contrary, that $\overline{\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}}^{w^*} \not\subseteq \mathcal{W}$. Thus there exists an element $x \in \overline{\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}}^{w^*} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, but $x \notin \mathcal{W}$. Since \mathcal{W} is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, by the Hahn–Banach theorem and Theorem 2.12, there exists a $\zeta \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\tau(\zeta x) \neq 0$ and $\tau(\zeta y) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{W}$. Since $\zeta \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, the linear mapping $\tau_\zeta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by $\tau_\zeta(a) = \tau(\zeta a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{M}$, is weak* continuous. Note that $x \in \overline{\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}}^{w^*}$ and $\tau(\zeta y) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{W}$. But then we

know that $\tau(\xi x) = 0$, which contradicts the assumption that $\tau(\xi x) \neq 0$. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}}^{w*} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, whence $\overline{\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}}^{w*} = \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$.

(iii) Since \mathcal{W} is α -closed, it is easy to see $[\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}]_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. Now we assume $[\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}]_\alpha \subsetneq \mathcal{W} \subseteq L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. By the Hahn–Banach theorem and Theorem 2.12 there exist an $x \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\xi \in L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\tau(\xi x) \neq 0$ and $\tau(\xi y) = 0$ for all $y \in [\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}]_\alpha$. Let $x = v|x|$ be the polar decomposition of x in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, where v is a unitary element in \mathcal{M} . Let f be a function on $[0, \infty)$ defined by the formula $f(t) = 1$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $f(t) = 1/t$ for $t > 1$. We define $k = f(|x|)$ through the functional calculus. Then we see $k \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k^{-1} = f^{-1}(|x|) \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. It follows from Theorem 4.2 that there exist a unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a \in H^\infty$ such that $k = au$ and $a^{-1} \in H^\alpha$. A little computation shows that $|x|k \in \mathcal{M}$, which implies that

$$xa = xaau^* = xku^* = v(|x|k)u^* \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Since $a \in H^\infty$, we know $xa \in \mathcal{W}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, and thus $xa \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$. Furthermore, note that $(\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M})H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$. Thus, if $b \in H^\infty$, we see $xab \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$, and so $\tau(\xi xab) = 0$. Since H^∞ is dense in H^α and ξ is in $L_{\bar{\alpha}'}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, it follows from Theorem 2.11 that $\tau(\xi xab) = 0$ for all $b \in H^\alpha$. Since $a^{-1} \in H^\alpha$, we see $\tau(\xi x) = \tau(\xi xaa^{-1}) = 0$. This contradicts the assumption that $\tau(\xi x) \neq 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}]_\alpha$.

(iv) Assume that \mathcal{S} is a subspace of \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{S}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}$ is the weak* closure of \mathcal{S} in \mathcal{M} . Then $[\mathcal{S}]_\alpha H^\infty \subseteq [\mathcal{S}]_\alpha$. Note that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq [\mathcal{S}]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}$. From (ii), we know that $[\mathcal{S}]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}$ is weak*-closed. Therefore $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*} \subseteq [\mathcal{S}]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}$. Hence $[\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}]_\alpha \subseteq [\mathcal{S}]_\alpha$, whence $[\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}]_\alpha = [\mathcal{S}]_\alpha$. ■

4.3. MAIN RESULT. Before we state our main result in this section, we will need the following definition from [17].

DEFINITION 4.4. Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, tracial, normal state τ . Let X be a weak* closed subspace of \mathcal{M} . Then X is called an *internal column sum* of a family of weak* closed subspaces $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ of \mathcal{M} , denoted by

$$X = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}}^{\text{col}} X_i$$

if

- (i) $X_j^* X_i = \{0\}$ for all distinct $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$; and
- (ii) the linear span of $\{X_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is weak* dense in X , i.e.,

$$X = \overline{\text{span}\{X_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}}^{w*}.$$

Similarly, we introduce a concept of internal column sum of subspaces in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ as follows.

DEFINITION 4.5. Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, tracial, normal state τ , α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating

and continuous norm on \mathcal{M} . Let X be a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then X is called an *internal column sum* of a family of closed subspaces $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, denoted by

$$X = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}}^{\text{col}} X_i$$

if

- (i) $X_j^* X_i = \{0\}$ for all distinct $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$; and
- (ii) the linear span of $\{X_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is dense in X , i.e.,

$$X = [\text{span}\{X_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}]_\alpha.$$

In [5], David P. Blecher and Louis E. Labuschagne proved a version of Beurling theorem for $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ spaces when $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

LEMMA 4.6 (from [5]). *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, tracial, normal state τ , and H^∞ be a maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} with $\mathcal{D} = H^\infty \cap (H^\infty)^*$. Suppose that \mathcal{K} is a closed H^∞ -right-invariant subspace of $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, for some $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. (For $p = \infty$ we assume that \mathcal{K} is weak* closed.) Then \mathcal{K} may be written as a column L^p -sum $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{Z} \bigoplus^{\text{col}} (\bigoplus_i^{\text{col}} u_i H^p)$, where \mathcal{Z} is a closed (indeed weak* closed if $p = \infty$) subspace of $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z} H_0^\infty]_p$, and where u_i are partial isometries in $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{K}$ with $u_j^* u_i = 0$ if $i \neq j$, and with $u_i^* u_i \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, for each i , $u_i^* \mathcal{Z} = \{0\}$, left multiplication by the $u_i u_i^*$ are contractive projections from \mathcal{K} onto the summands $u_i H^p$, and left multiplication by $I - \sum_i u_i u_i^*$ is a contractive projection from \mathcal{K} onto \mathcal{Z} .*

Now we are ready to prove the main result of the paper, a generalized version of the classical theorem of Beurling [2] in a noncommutative $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ space for a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm α .

THEOREM 4.7. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, tracial state τ . Let H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{D} = H^\infty \cap (H^\infty)^*$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} . If \mathcal{W} is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $\mathcal{W} H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ if and only if*

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Z} \bigoplus^{\text{col}} (\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}}^{\text{col}} u_i H^\alpha),$$

where \mathcal{Z} is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z} H_0^\infty]_\alpha$, and where u_i are partial isometries in $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ with $u_j^ u_i = 0$ if $i \neq j$, and with $u_i^* u_i \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, for each i , $u_i^* \mathcal{Z} = \{0\}$, left multiplication by the $u_i u_i^*$ are contractive projections from \mathcal{W} onto the summands $u_i H^\alpha$, and left multiplication by $I - \sum_i u_i u_i^*$ is a contractive projection from \mathcal{W} onto \mathcal{Z} .*

Proof. The if part is obvious. Suppose \mathcal{W} is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\mathcal{W} H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. Then it follows from part (ii) of Theorem 4.3 that $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$

is weak* closed in \mathcal{M} . It follows from Lemma 4.6, in the case $p = \infty$, that

$$\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{Z}_1 \bigoplus^{\text{col}} \left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}}^{\text{col}} u_i H^\infty \right),$$

where \mathcal{Z}_1 is a weak* closed subspace in \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{Z}_1 = \overline{\mathcal{Z}_1 H_0^\infty}^{w*}$, and u_i are partial isometries in $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ with $u_i^* u_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$, and with $u_i^* u_i \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, for each i , $u_i^* \mathcal{Z}_1 = \{0\}$, left multiplication by the $u_i u_i^*$ are contractive projections from $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ onto the summands $u_i H^\infty$, and left multiplication by $I - \sum_i u_i u_i^*$ is a contractive projection from $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ onto \mathcal{Z}_1 .

Let $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z}_1]_\alpha$. It is not hard to verify that for each i , $u_i^* \mathcal{Z} = \{0\}$. We also claim that $[u_i H^\infty]_\alpha = u_i H^\alpha$. In fact it is obvious that $[u_i H^\infty]_\alpha \supseteq u_i H^\alpha$. We will need only to show that $[u_i H^\infty]_\alpha \subseteq u_i H^\alpha$. Let $\{a_n\} \subseteq H^\infty$ and $a \in [u_i H^\infty]_\alpha$ be such that $\alpha(u_i a_n - a) \rightarrow 0$. By the choice of u_i , we know that $u_i^* u_i \in \mathcal{D} \subseteq H^\infty$, whence $u_i^* u_i a_n \in H^\infty$ for each $n \geq 1$. Combining with the fact that $\alpha(u_i^* u_i a_n - u_i^* a) \leq \alpha(u_i a_n - a) \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that $u_i^* a \in H^\alpha$. Again from the choice of u_i , we know that $u_i u_i^* u_i a_n = u_i a_n$ for each $n \geq 1$. This implies that $a = u_i (u_i^* a) \in u_i H^\alpha$. Thus we conclude that $[u_i H^\infty]_\alpha \subseteq u_i H^\alpha$, whence $[u_i H^\infty]_\alpha = u_i H^\alpha$. Now from parts (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 4.3 and from the definition of internal column sum, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W} &= [\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}]_\alpha = \overline{[\text{span}\{\mathcal{Z}_1, u_i H^\infty : i \in \mathcal{I}\}]_\alpha}^{w*} = [\text{span}\{\mathcal{Z}_1, u_i H^\infty : i \in \mathcal{I}\}]_\alpha \\ &= [\text{span}\{\mathcal{Z}, u_i H^\alpha : i \in \mathcal{I}\}]_\alpha = \mathcal{Z} \bigoplus^{\text{col}} \left(\bigoplus_i^{\text{col}} u_i H^\alpha \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will verify that $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z} H_0^\infty]_\alpha$. Recall that $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z}_1]_\alpha$. It follows from part (i) of Theorem 4.3 we have that

$$[\mathcal{Z}_1 H_0^\infty]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M} = \overline{\mathcal{Z}_1 H_0^\infty}^{w*} = \mathcal{Z}_1.$$

Hence from part (iii) of Theorem 4.3 we have that

$$\mathcal{Z} \supseteq [\mathcal{Z} H_0^\infty]_\alpha \supseteq [\mathcal{Z}_1 H_0^\infty]_\alpha = [[\mathcal{Z}_1 H_0^\infty]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}]_\alpha = [\mathcal{Z}_1]_\alpha = \mathcal{Z}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z} H_0^\infty]_\alpha$.

Moreover, it is not hard to verify that for each i , left multiplication by the $u_i u_i^*$ are contractive projections from \mathcal{W} onto the summands $u_i H^\alpha$, and left multiplication by $I - \sum_i u_i u_i^*$ is a contractive projection from \mathcal{W} onto \mathcal{Z} . Now the proof is completed. ■

A quick application of Theorem 4.7 yields the following corollary on doubly invariant subspaces in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

COROLLARY 4.8. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, tracial state τ . Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} . If \mathcal{W} is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, then there exists a projection e in \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{W} = eL^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.*

Proof. Note that \mathcal{M} itself is a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . Let $H^\infty = \mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{M}$ and Φ is the identity map from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{M} . Hence $H_0^\infty = \{0\}$ and $H^\alpha = L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Assume that \mathcal{W} is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. From Theorem 4.7,

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Z} \bigoplus^{\text{col}} \left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}}^{\text{col}} u_i H^\alpha \right),$$

where \mathcal{Z} and the u_i 's satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 4.7. From the fact that $H_0^\infty = \{0\}$, we know that $\mathcal{Z} = \{0\}$. Since $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{M}$, we know that

$$u_i H^\alpha = u_i L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \supseteq u_i u_i^* L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \supseteq u_i u_i^* u_i L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = u_i L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = u_i H^\alpha.$$

So $u_i H^\alpha = u_i u_i^* L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W} &= \mathcal{Z} \bigoplus^{\text{col}} \left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}}^{\text{col}} u_i H^\alpha \right) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}}^{\text{col}} u_i u_i^* L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \\ &= \left(\sum_i u_i u_i^* \right) L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = e L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \end{aligned}$$

where $e = \sum_i u_i u_i^*$ is a projection in \mathcal{M} . ■

The next result is another application of Theorem 4.7 on simply invariant subspaces in weak* Dirichlet algebras.

COROLLARY 4.9. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, tracial state τ . Let H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of \mathcal{M} such that $H^\infty \cap (H^\infty)^* = \mathbb{C}I$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dominating, continuous norm on \mathcal{M} . Assume that \mathcal{W} is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then*

(i) *if \mathcal{W} is simply H^∞ -right invariant, i.e. $[\mathcal{W}H^\infty]_\alpha \subsetneq \mathcal{W}$, then $\mathcal{W} = uH^\alpha$ for some unitary $u \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$.*

(ii) *if \mathcal{W} is simply H^∞ -right invariant in H^α , i.e. $[\mathcal{W}H^\infty]_\alpha \subsetneq \mathcal{W}$, then $\mathcal{W} = uH^\alpha$ with u an inner element (i.e., u is unitary and $u \in H^\infty$).*

Proof. It is not hard to see that part (ii) follows directly from part (i). We will only need to prove (i). From Theorem 4.7, $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Z} \bigoplus^{\text{col}} \left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}}^{\text{col}} u_i H^\alpha \right)$, where \mathcal{Z} and the u_i 's satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 4.7.

Since $[\mathcal{W}H^\infty]_\alpha \subsetneq \mathcal{W}$, $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}}^{\text{col}} u_i \neq 0$. Then $u_i^* u_i$ is a nonzero projection in $H^\infty \cap (H^\infty)^* = \mathbb{C}I$, or $u_i^* u_i = I$. This implies that u_i is a unitary element in $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$. From the choice of $\{u_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, we further conclude that $\mathcal{W} = u_i H^\alpha$. ■

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank the referee for carefully reading the paper, and many useful suggestions, including bringing the work of T.N. Bekjan [3] to our attention. We are also grateful to E. Nordgren for several useful discussions. The research was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the central universities (Grant No. GK201603009) and a Collaboration Grants from the Simons Foundation.

REFERENCES

- [1] W.B. ARVESON, Analyticity in operator algebras, *Amer. J. Math.* **89**(1967), 578–642.
- [2] A. BEURLING, On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space, *Acta Math.* **81**(1949), 239–255.
- [3] T.N. BEKJAN, Noncommutative symmetric Hardy spaces, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **81**(2015), 191–212.
- [4] T.N. BEKJAN, Q. XU, Riesz and Szegő type factorizations for noncommutative Hardy spaces, *J. Operator Theory* **62**(2009), 215–231.
- [5] D. BLECHER, L.E. LABUSCHAGNE, A Beurling theorem for noncommutative L^p , *J. Operator Theory* **59**(2008), 29–51.
- [6] S. BOCHNER, Generalized conjugate and analytic functions without expansions, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **45**(1959), 855–857.
- [7] P. DODDS, T. DODDS, *Some Properties of Symmetric Operator Spaces*, Proc. Centre Math. Appl. Austral. Nat. Univ., vol. 29, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra 1992.
- [8] P. DODDS, T. DODDS, B. PAGTER, Noncommutative Banach function spaces, *Math. Z.* **201**(1989), 583–597.
- [9] P. DODDS, T. DODDS, B. PAGTER, Noncommutative Köthe duality, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **339**(1993), 717–750.
- [10] R. EXEL, Maximal subdiagonal algebras, *Amer. J. Math.* **110**(1988), 775–782.
- [11] T. FACK, H. KOSAKI, Generalized s -numbers of \ast -measurable operators, *Pacific J. Math.* **2**(1986), 269–300.
- [12] J. FANG, D. HADWIN, E. NORDGREN, J. SHEN, Tracial gauge norms on finite von Neumann algebras satisfying the weak Dixmier property, *J. Funct. Anal.* **255**(2008), 142–183.
- [13] P. HALMOS, Shifts on Hilbert spaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **208**(1961), 102–112.
- [14] H. HELSON, *Lectures on Invariant Subspaces*, Academic Press, New York-London 1964.
- [15] H. HELSON, D. LOWDENSLAGER, Prediction theory and Fourier series in several variables, *Acta Math.* **99**(1958), 165–202.
- [16] K. HOFFMAN, Analytic functions and logmodular Banach algebras, *Acta Math.* **108**(1962), 271–317.
- [17] M. JUNGE, D. SHERMAN, Noncommutative L^p -modules, *J. Operator Theory* **53**(2005), 3–34.
- [18] R.A. KUNZE, L^p -Fourier transforms on locally compact unimodular groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **89**(1958), 519–540.
- [19] M. MARSALLI, G. WEST, Noncommutative H^p spaces, *J. Operator Theory* **40**(1998), 339–355.
- [20] C.A. MCCARTHY, C_p , *Israel J. Math.* **5**(1967), 249–271.
- [21] E. NELSON, Notes on noncommutative integration, *J. Funct. Anal.* **15**(1974), 103–116.
- [22] J. VON NEUMANN, Some matrix-inequalities and metrization of matrix-space, *Tomsk Univ. Rev.* **1**(1937), 286–300.

- [23] G. PISIER, Q. XU, *Noncommutative L^p -Spaces*, Vol. 2, Handbook on Banach Spaces, North-Holland, Amsterdam 2003.
- [24] K.S. SAITO, A note on invariant subspaces for finite maximal subdiagonal algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **77**(1979), 348–352.
- [25] I. SEGAL, A noncommutative extension of abstract integration, *Ann. Math.* **57**(1952), 401–457.
- [26] B. SIMON, *Trace Ideals and their Applications*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 35, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge-New York 1979.
- [27] T.P. SRINIVASAN, Simply invariant subspaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **69**(1963), 706–709.
- [28] T. SRINIVASAN, J.K. WANG, Weak*-Dirichlet algebras, in *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Function Algebras, Tulane University, 1965 (Chicago)*, Scott-Foresman, town 1966, pp. 216–249.
- [29] M. TAKESAKI, *Theory of Operator Algebras*. I, Springer, New York-Heidelberg 1979.
- [30] F. YEADON, Noncommutative L^p -spaces, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **77**(1975), 91–102.

YANNI CHEN, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE,
SHAANXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, XI'AN, 710119, CHINA
E-mail address: yanni.chen@snnu.edu.cn

DON HADWIN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE,
DURHAM, NH 03824, U.S.A.
E-mail address: don@unh.edu

JUNHAO SHEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE,
DURHAM, NH 03824, U.S.A.
E-mail address: junhao.shen@unh.edu

Received July 13, 2015.