

CONTRACTIVITY AND COMPLETE CONTRACTIVITY FOR FINITE DIMENSIONAL BANACH SPACES

GADADHAR MISRA, AVIJIT PAL and CHERIAN VARUGHESE

Communicated by Hari Bercovici

ABSTRACT. It is known that if $m \geq 3$ and \mathbb{B} is any ball in \mathbb{C}^m with respect to some norm, say $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{B}}$, then there exists a linear map $L : (\mathbb{C}^m, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{B}}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k$ which is contractive but not completely contractive. The characterization of those balls in \mathbb{C}^2 for which contractive linear maps are always completely contractive, however, remains open. We answer this question for balls of the form $\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}$ in \mathbb{C}^2 and the balls in their norm dual, where $\Omega_{\mathbf{A}} = \{(z_1, z_2) : \|z_1 A_1 + z_2 A_2\|_{\text{Op}} < 1\}$ for some pair of 2×2 matrices A_1, A_2 .

KEYWORDS: *Contractive and completely contractive linear maps, test functions.*

MSC (2010): 46L07, 47L25.

INTRODUCTION

In 1951, von Neumann (see Corollary 1.2 of [16]) proved that if T is a bounded linear operator on a separable complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , then, for all complex polynomials p ,

$$\|p(T)\| \leq \|p\|_{\infty, \mathbb{D}} := \sup\{|p(z)| : |z| < 1\}$$

if and only if $\|T\| \leq 1$. Or, equivalently, the homomorphism ρ_T induced by T on the polynomial ring $P[z]$ by the rule $\rho_T(p) = p(T)$ is contractive if and only if T is contractive.

The original proof of this inequality is intricate. A couple of decades later, Sz.-Nagy (see Theorem 4.3 of [16]) proved that a bounded linear operator T admits a unitary (power) dilation if and only if there exists a unitary operator U on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$P_{\mathcal{H}} p(U)|_{\mathcal{H}} = p(T),$$

for all polynomials p . The existence of such a dilation may be established by actually constructing a unitary operator U dilating T . This construction is due to

Schäffer (cf. [18]). Clearly, the von Neumann inequality follows from the existence of a power dilation via the spectral theorem for unitary operators.

Let $P = ((p_{ij}))$ be a $k \times k$ matrix valued polynomial in m variables. Let

$$\|P\|_{\infty, \Omega} = \sup\{\|((p_{ij}(z)))\|_{\text{Op}} : z \in \Omega\},$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$ is a bounded open and connected set. Define $P(T)$ to be the operator $((p_{ij}(T)))$, $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. The homomorphism ρ_T is said to be completely contractive if

$$\|P(T)\| \leq \|P\|_{\infty, \Omega}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

A deep theorem due to Arveson (cf. [1]) says that T has a *normal boundary dilation* if and only if ρ_T is completely contractive. Clearly, if ρ_T is completely contractive, then it is contractive. The dilation theorems due to Sz.-Nagy and Ando (cf. [16]) give the non-trivial converse in the case of the disc and the bi-disc algebras.

However, Parrott (cf. [14]) showed that there are three commuting contractions for which it is impossible to find commuting unitaries dilating them. In view of Arveson's theorem this naturally leads to the question of finding other algebras $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ for which all contractive homomorphisms are necessarily completely contractive. At the moment, this is known to be true of the disc, bi-disc (cf. [16]), symmetrized bi-disc (cf. [3]) and the annulus algebras (cf. [2]). Counterexamples are known for plane domains of connectivity ≥ 2 (cf. [8]) and any ball in \mathbb{C}^m , $m \geq 3$, as we will explain below.

Neither Ando's proof of the existence of a unitary dilation for a pair of commuting contractions, nor the counterexample to such an existence theorem due to Parrott involved the notion of complete contractivity directly. In the papers [10], [11], [12], it was shown that the examples of Parrott are not even 2-contractive. In these papers, for any bounded, connected and open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$, the homomorphism $\rho_{\mathbf{V}} : \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{p+q}$, induced by an m -tuple of $p \times q$ matrices $\mathbf{V} = (V_1, \dots, V_m)$, modeled after the examples of Parrott, was introduced. This was further studied, in depth, by V. Paulsen [15], where he showed that the question of "contractive vs completely contractive" for Parrott like homomorphisms $\rho_{\mathbf{V}}$ is equivalent to the question of "contractive vs completely contractive" for the linear maps $L_{\mathbf{V}}$ (induced by \mathbf{V}) from some finite dimensional Banach space X to $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{C})$. The existence of linear maps of the form $L_{\mathbf{V}}$ which are contractive but not completely contractive for $m \geq 5$ were found by him. A refinement (see remark at the bottom of p. 76 in [17]) includes the case $m = 3, 4$, leaving the question of what happens when $m = 2$ open. This is Problem 1 on page 79 of [17] in the list of "Open Problems".

Let $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ be the normed linear space with $\|\mathbf{z}\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \|z_1 A_1 + z_2 A_2\|_{\text{Op}}$, for $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 . We show, except when the pair A_1, A_2 is simultaneously diagonalizable, that there is a contractive linear map $L_{\mathbf{V}}$ on $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ taking values in 2×2 matrices, which is not completely contractive. Also, it follows from Theorem 4.1 of [10] that the norm dual $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})^*$ has exactly the same

property. But when the matrices A_1, A_2 are simultaneously diagonalizable, the unit ball $\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}$ is equivalent to the bidisc \mathbb{D}^2 . So, among the examples of the linear maps $L_{\mathbf{V}} : (\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ we consider, only the normed space $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ and its norm dual, namely $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_1)$, have the property that all the contractive linear maps are completely contractive.

We point out that the results of Paulsen used deep ideas from geometry of finite dimensional Banach spaces. In contrast, our results are elementary in nature, although the computations, at times, are somewhat involved.

1. PRELIMINARIES

The norm $\|\mathbf{z}\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \|z_1 A_1 + \dots + z_m A_m\|_{\text{Op}}$, $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, is obtained from the embedding of the linear space \mathbb{C}^m into the C^* -algebra of $n \times n$ matrices via the map $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z) := z_1 A_1 + \dots + z_m A_m$. Let $\Omega_{\mathbf{A}} \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be the unit ball with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}}$. Let $\mathcal{O}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}})$ denote the algebra of functions each of which is holomorphic on some open set containing the closed unit ball $\overline{\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}}$. Given $p \times q$ matrices V_1, \dots, V_m and a function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}})$, define

$$(1.1) \quad \rho_{\mathbf{V}}(f) := \begin{pmatrix} f(w)I_p & \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_i f(w) V_i \\ 0 & f(w)I_q \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for a fixed } w \in \Omega_{\mathbf{A}}.$$

Clearly, $\rho_{\mathbf{V}} : (\mathcal{O}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C}), \|\cdot\|_{\text{Op}})$ defines an algebra homomorphism.

At the outset we point out the interesting and useful fact that $\rho_{\mathbf{V}}$ is contractive on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}})$ if and only if it is contractive on the subset of functions which vanish at w . This is the content of the following lemma. The proof is reproduced from Lemma 5.1 of [15], a direct proof appears in Lemma 3.3 of [10].

LEMMA 1.1. *The inequality $\sup_{\|f\|_{\infty}=1} \{\|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(f)\|_{\text{Op}} : f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}})\} \leq 1$ is equivalent to the inequality $\sup_{\|g\|_{\infty}=1} \{\|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(g)\|_{\text{Op}} : g \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}), g(w) = 0\} \leq 1$.*

Proof. The implication in one direction is obvious. To prove the converse, assume that $\|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(g)\| \leq 1$ for every g such that $g(w) = 0$ and $\|g\|_{\infty} = 1$.

For $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}})$ with $\|f\|_{\infty} = 1$ let $\phi_{f(w)}$ be the Möbius map of the disc which maps $f(w)$ to 0. We let $g = \phi_{f(w)} \circ f$. Then $g(w) = 0, \|g\|_{\infty} = 1$ and, from our assumption, $\|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(g)\| \leq 1$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(f)\| &= \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(\phi_{f(w)}^{-1} \circ g)\| \\ &= \|\phi_{f(w)}^{-1}(\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(g))\| \quad (\text{since } \rho_{\mathbf{V}} \text{ is a homomorphism}) \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we use the von Neumann inequality since $\phi_{f(w)}^{-1}$ is a rational function from the disc to itself. ■

Note. For the rest of this work, we restrict to the case where $w = 0$ in the definition (1.1) of $\rho_{\mathbf{V}}$ above.

The following lemma provides a characterization of the unit ball $\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}^*$ with respect to the dual norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}}^*$ in \mathbb{C}^m , that is $\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}^* = (\mathbb{C}^m, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}}^*)_1^*$.

LEMMA 1.2. *The dual unit ball is*

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}^* = \{(\partial_1 f(0), \partial_2 f(0), \dots, \partial_m f(0)) : f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbb{D}), f(0) = 0\}.$$

Proof. Given $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\|\mathbf{z}\|_{\mathbf{A}} = 1$ and $f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbb{D}), f(0) = 0$, we define $g_{\mathbf{z}} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{A}}$ by

$$g_{\mathbf{z}}(\lambda) = \lambda \mathbf{z}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then $f \circ g_{\mathbf{z}} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ with $(f \circ g_{\mathbf{z}})(0) = 0$. Applying the Schwarz lemma to the function $(f \circ g_{\mathbf{z}})$ we get

$$1 \geq |(f \circ g_{\mathbf{z}})'(0)| = |f'(g_{\mathbf{z}}(0)) \cdot g_{\mathbf{z}}'(0)| = |f'(0) \cdot g_{\mathbf{z}}'(0)| = |f'(0) \cdot \mathbf{z}|,$$

where, $f'(0) \cdot \mathbf{z} = \sum_{i=1}^m (\partial_i f(0)) z_i$, etc. Hence $(\partial_1 f(0), \partial_2 f(0), \dots, \partial_m f(0)) \in \Omega_{\mathbf{A}}^*$.

Conversely, given $\mathbf{w} \in \Omega_{\mathbf{A}}^*$, define $f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{z}$ so that $\partial_i f_{\mathbf{w}}(0) = w_i$. ■

1.1. THE MAPS $L_{\mathbf{V}}^{(k)}$. From Lemma 1.1 above it follows that

$$(1.2) \quad \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}\| \leq 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \sup_{\|f\|_{\infty}=1, f(0)=0} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_i f(0) V_i \right\|_{\text{Op}} \leq 1.$$

Taking into account Lemma 1.2 and the equivalence (1.2) above, it is natural to consider the induced linear map $L_{\mathbf{V}} : (\mathbb{C}^m, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$L_{\mathbf{V}}(w) = w_1 V_1 + \dots + w_m V_m.$$

It follows from (1.2) above that

$$\|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}\| \leq 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \|L_{\mathbf{V}}\| \leq 1.$$

We will show now that the complete contractivity of $\rho_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $L_{\mathbf{V}}$ are also related similarly.

For a holomorphic function $F : \Omega_{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k$ with $\|F\| = \sup_{\mathbf{z} \in \Omega_{\mathbf{A}}} \|F(\mathbf{z})\|$, we define

$$(1.3) \quad \rho_{\mathbf{V}}^{(k)}(F) := (\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(F_{ij}))_{i,j=1}^m = \begin{pmatrix} F(0) \otimes I & \sum_{i=1}^m (\partial_i F(0)) \otimes V_i \\ 0 & F(0) \otimes I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using a method similar to that used for ρ_V it can be shown that $\|\rho_V^{(k)}\| \leq 1$ if and only if

$$\sup_F \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m (\partial_i F(0)) \otimes V_i \right\| : F \in \text{Hol}(\Omega_A, (\mathcal{M}_k)_1), F(0) = 0 \right\} \leq 1,$$

that is, (by repeating the argument used for ρ_V) we have

$$\|\rho_V^{(k)}\| \leq 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \|L_V^{(k)}\| \leq 1,$$

where

$$L_V^{(k)} : (\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathcal{M}_k, \|\cdot\|_{A,k}^*) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_k \otimes \mathcal{M}_{p,q}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{Op}})$$

is the map

$$L_V^{(k)}(\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \dots, \Theta_m) = \Theta_1 \otimes V_1 + \Theta_2 \otimes V_2 + \dots + \Theta_m \otimes V_m$$

for $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \dots, \Theta_m \in \mathcal{M}_k$.

1.2. THE POLYNOMIAL P_A . A very useful construct for our analysis is the matrix valued polynomial P_A with $P_A(\Omega_A) \subseteq (\mathcal{M}_n, \|\cdot\|_{\text{Op}})_1$ defined by

$$P_A(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) = z_1 A_1 + z_2 A_2 + \dots + z_m A_m,$$

with the norm $\|P_A\|_\infty = \sup_{(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \Omega_A} \|P_A(z_1, \dots, z_m)\|_{\text{Op}}$. Note that $\|P_A\|_\infty = 1$ by

definition. The typical procedure used to show the existence of a homomorphism which is contractive but not completely contractive is to construct a contractive homomorphism ρ_V (by a suitable choice of V) and to then show that its evaluation on P_A , that is, $\rho_V^{(n)}(P_A)$, has norm greater than 1.

1.3. HOMOMORPHISMS INDUCED BY m -VECTORS. We now consider the special situation when the matrices V_1, \dots, V_m are vectors in \mathbb{C}^m realized as row m -vectors. For $w = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ in some bounded domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$, the commuting m -tuple of $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} w_i & V_i \\ 0 & w_i I_m \end{pmatrix}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, induce the homomorphism ρ_V via the usual functional calculus, that is,

$$\rho_V(f) := f\left(\begin{pmatrix} w_1 & V_1 \\ 0 & w_1 I_m \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} w_m & V_m \\ 0 & w_m I_m \end{pmatrix}\right), \quad f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega),$$

see (1.1). The localization of a commuting m -tuple T of operators in the class $B_1(\Omega)$, introduced in [5], [6], is also a commuting m -tuple of $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ matrices, which is exactly of the form described above. The vectors V_1, \dots, V_m appearing in such localizations are given explicitly in terms of the curvature of the holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle corresponding to T as shown in [6]. The contractivity of the homomorphism ρ_V then results in curvature inequalities (see [9], [11], [12], [13]).

Let $V_i = (v_{i1} \ v_{i2} \ \cdots \ v_{im})$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. The propositions below are useful to study contractivity and complete contractivity in this special case, where, as before, we assume that $\Omega = \Omega_A$ and $w = 0$.

PROPOSITION 1.3. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) ρ_V is contractive;
- (ii) $\sup_{\sum_{j=1}^m |z_j|^2 \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m z_j B_j \right\|_{\text{Op}}^2 \leq 1$, where $B_j = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{ij} A_i$.

Proof. We have shown that the homomorphisms ρ_V are contractive, that is, $\|\rho_V\|_{\mathcal{O}(\Omega_A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m+1}(\mathbb{C})} \leq 1$ if and only if the linear maps L_V are contractive, that is, $\|L_V\|_{(\mathbb{C}^m, \|\cdot\|_A^*) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, \|\cdot\|_2)} \leq 1$, equivalently, $\|L_V^*\|_{(\mathbb{C}^m, \|\cdot\|_2) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, \|\cdot\|_A)} \leq 1$.

The matrix representation of L_V^* is $\begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & \cdots & v_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{m1} & \cdots & v_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$. Hence the contractivity of L_V^* is given by the condition that

$$\sup_{\sum_{j=1}^m |z_j|^2 \leq 1} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & \cdots & v_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{m1} & \cdots & v_{mm} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_m \end{pmatrix} \right\|_A \leq 1.$$

From the definition of $\|\cdot\|_A$ it follows that

$$\|L_V^*\|_{(\mathbb{C}^m, \|\cdot\|_2) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, \|\cdot\|_A)} \leq 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \sup_{\sum_{j=1}^m |z_j|^2 \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m z_j B_j \right\|_{\text{Op}}^2 \leq 1,$$

where $B_j = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{ij} A_i$. ■

In particular, if $V_1 = (u \ 0)$ and $V_2 = (0 \ v)$, the condition (ii) above becomes

$$\sup_{\sum_{j=1}^2 |z_j|^2 \leq 1} \|z_1 u A_1 + z_2 v A_2\|^2 \leq 1,$$

which is equivalent to the following two conditions:

- (i) $|u|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\|A_1^*\|^2}$ or $|v|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\|A_2^*\|^2}$ and
- (ii) $\inf_{\beta \in \mathbb{C}^2, \|\beta\|=1} \{1 - |u|^2 \|A_1^* \beta\|^2 - |v|^2 \|A_2^* \beta\|^2 + |uv|^2 (\|A_1^* \beta\|^2 \|A_2^* \beta\|^2 - |\langle A_1 A_2^* \beta, \beta \rangle|^2)\} \geq 0$.

PROPOSITION 1.4. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\|\rho_V^{(n)}(P_A)\| \leq 1$;
- (ii) the $n \times mn$ matrix $(B_1 \ B_2 \ \cdots \ B_m)$ is contractive, where $B_j = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{ij} A_i$.

Proof. Since $P_{\mathbf{A}}(0) = 0$, it follows from the definition (1.3) that $\|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}^{(n)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\| \leq 1$ if and only if

$$\|A_1 \otimes V_1 + \cdots + A_m \otimes V_m\| \leq 1.$$

For $V_i = (v_{i1} \ \cdots \ v_{im})$, we have

$$A_1 \otimes V_1 + \cdots + A_m \otimes V_m = (B_1 \ B_2 \ \cdots \ B_m).$$

Thus $\|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}^{(n)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\| \leq 1$ if and only if $\|(B_1 \ B_2 \ \cdots \ B_m)\| \leq 1$. ■

In particular if $V_1 = (u \ 0)$ and $V_2 = (0 \ v)$ the condition (ii) above becomes

$$\inf_{\beta \in \mathbb{C}^2, \|\beta\|=1} \{1 - |u|^2 \|A_1^* \beta\|^2 - |v|^2 \|A_2^* \beta\|^2\} \geq 0.$$

Note. For most of this paper we will restrict to the two dimensional case. That is, we consider \mathbb{C}^2 with the norm defined by a matrix pair (A_1, A_2) . In fact, for the most part, we even restrict to the situation where A_1, A_2 are 2×2 matrices. This is adequate for our primary purpose of constructing homomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}})$ which are contractive but not completely contractive. Many of the results can be adapted to the higher dimensional situation.

2. DEFINING FUNCTIONS AND TEST FUNCTIONS

Recall the matrix valued polynomial $P_{\mathbf{A}} : \Omega_{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\text{Op}})_1$ defined earlier by

$$P_{\mathbf{A}}(z_1, z_2) = z_1 A_1 + z_2 A_2,$$

where $(\mathcal{M}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\text{Op}})_1$ is the matrix unit ball with respect to the operator norm. For (z_1, z_2) in $\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}$, the norm

$$\|P_{\mathbf{A}}\|_{\infty} := \sup_{(z_1, z_2) \in \Omega_{\mathbf{A}}} \|P_{\mathbf{A}}(z_1, z_2)\|_{\text{Op}} = 1$$

by definition of the polynomial $P_{\mathbf{A}}$.

Let \mathbb{B}^2 be the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^2 . For $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{B}^2 \times \mathbb{B}^2$, define $p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha, \beta)} : \Omega_{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ to be the linear map

$$p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z_1, z_2) = \langle P_{\mathbf{A}}(z_1, z_2)\alpha, \beta \rangle = z_1 \langle A_1 \alpha, \beta \rangle + z_2 \langle A_2 \alpha, \beta \rangle.$$

The sup norm $\|p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\|_{\infty}$, for any pair of vectors (α, β) in $\mathbb{B}^2 \times \mathbb{B}^2$, is at most 1 by definition. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}$ denote the collection of linear functions $\{p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha, \beta)} : (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{B}^2 \times \mathbb{B}^2\}$.

The map $P_{\mathbf{A}}$ which we call the *defining function* of the domain and the collection of functions $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}$ which we call a family of *test functions* encode a significant amount of information relevant to our purpose about the homomorphism $\rho_{\mathbf{V}}$. For instance $\rho_{\mathbf{V}}$ is contractive if its restriction to $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}$ is contractive. Also the lack of

complete contractivity can often be shown by evaluating $\rho_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}$ on $P_{\mathbf{A}}$. Some of the details are outlined in the lemma below.

LEMMA 2.1. *In the notation fixed in the preceding discussion, we have:*

- (i) $\sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=1} \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha,\beta)})\| \leq \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\|;$
(ii) $\rho_{\mathbf{V}}$ is contractive if and only if $\sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=1} \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha,\beta)})\| \leq 1.$

Proof. (i) Since

$$\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\partial_1 p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(0)) V_1 + (\partial_2 p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(0)) V_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

by definition, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha,\beta)})\| &= \|(\partial_1 p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(0)) V_1 + (\partial_2 p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(0)) V_2\|_{\text{Op}} \\ &= \|\langle A_1 \alpha, \beta \rangle V_1 + \langle A_2 \alpha, \beta \rangle V_2\|_{\text{Op}} \\ &= \sup_{\|u\|=\|v\|=1} |\langle A_1 \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle V_1 u, v \rangle + \langle A_2 \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle V_2 u, v \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=1} \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha,\beta)})\| &= \sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=1} \sup_{\|u\|=\|v\|=1} |\langle A_1 \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle V_1 u, v \rangle + \langle A_2 \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle V_2 u, v \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=1} \sup_{\|u\|=\|v\|=1} |\langle (A_1 \otimes V_1 + A_2 \otimes V_2) \alpha \otimes u, \beta \otimes v \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=1} \sup_{\|u\|=\|v\|=1} |\langle \rho_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}}) \alpha \otimes u, \beta \otimes v \rangle| \\ (2.1) \quad &\leq \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\|. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) As indicated earlier the contractivity of $\rho_{\mathbf{V}}$ is equivalent to the contractivity of

$$L_{\mathbf{V}} : (\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}}^*) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_{p,q}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{Op}})$$

given by the formula

$$L_{\mathbf{V}}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1 V_1 + \omega_2 V_2.$$

So we identify the conditions for the contractivity of $L_{\mathbf{V}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{\mathbf{V}}\| &= \sup_{\|(\omega_1, \omega_2)\|_{\mathbf{A}}^* \leq 1} \|\omega_1 V_1 + \omega_2 V_2\|_{\text{Op}} \\ &= \sup_{\|(\omega_1, \omega_2)\|_{\mathbf{A}}^* \leq 1} \sup_{\|u\|=\|v\|=1} |\omega_1 \langle V_1 u, v \rangle + \omega_2 \langle V_2 u, v \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since (ω_1, ω_2) lies in the dual of $\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{\mathbf{V}}\| \leq 1 &\iff (\langle V_1 u, v \rangle, \langle V_2 u, v \rangle) \in \Omega_{\mathbf{A}} \forall u, v \text{ such that } \|u\| = \|v\| = 1 \\ &\iff \sup_{\|u\|=\|v\|=1} \|\langle V_1 u, v \rangle A_1 + \langle V_2 u, v \rangle A_2\|_{\text{Op}} \leq 1 \\ &\iff \sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=1} \sup_{\|u\|=\|v\|=1} |\langle A_1 \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle V_1 u, v \rangle + \langle A_2 \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle V_2 u, v \rangle| \leq 1 \\ &\iff \sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=1} \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha, \beta)})\| \leq 1 \text{ from (2.1) above. } \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

As mentioned earlier, by choosing a pair (V_1, V_2) such that the inequality in (i) above is strict, we can often construct a contractive homomorphism which is not completely contractive. We illustrate below choices of (V_1, V_2) for the Euclidean ball for which the inequality is strict.

EXAMPLE 2.2 (Euclidean ball). Choosing $\mathbf{A} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$, we see that $\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}$ defines the Euclidean ball \mathbb{B}^2 in \mathbb{C}^2 . Choose $V_1 = (v_{11} \ v_{12}), V_2 = (v_{21} \ v_{22})$. We will prove that

$$\sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=1} \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha, \beta)})\| < \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\|_{\text{Op}},$$

if V_1 and V_2 are linearly independent.

In fact we can choose (V_1, V_2) such that $\sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=1} \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha, \beta)})\| \leq 1$ and $\|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\|_{\text{Op}} > 1$. This example of a contractive homomorphism of the ball algebra which is not completely contractive was found in [10], [11].

THEOREM 2.3. For $\Omega_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbb{B}^2$, let $V_1 = (v_{11} \ v_{12}), V_2 = (v_{21} \ v_{22})$. Then

- (i) $\sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=1} \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha, \beta)})\|^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\text{Op}}^2$;
- (ii) $\|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\|_{\text{Op}}^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2$ (HS is the Hilbert–Schmidt norm).

Consequently, $\sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=1} \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha, \beta)})\| < \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\|_{\text{Op}}$ if V_1 and V_2 are linearly independent.

Proof. By the definition of $\rho_{\mathbf{V}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=1} \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha, \beta)})\|^2 \\ &= \sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=\|u\|=\|v\|=1} |\langle A_1 \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle V_1 u, v \rangle + \langle A_2 \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle V_2 u, v \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=\|u\|=1} |\alpha_1 (v_{11} u_1 + v_{12} u_2) + \alpha_2 (v_{21} u_1 + v_{22} u_2)|^2 |\beta_1|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|u\|=1} |\alpha_1(v_{11}u_1 + v_{12}u_2) + \alpha_2(v_{21}u_1 + v_{22}u_2)|^2 \\
&= \sup_{\|u\|=1} |v_{11}u_1 + v_{12}u_2|^2 + |v_{21}u_1 + v_{22}u_2|^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\text{op}}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\|_{\text{Op}}^2 = \|V_1\|^2 + \|V_2\|^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2.$$

If V_1 and V_2 are linearly independent, then

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\text{op}}^2 < \left\| \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2$$

and we have

$$\sup_{\|\alpha\|=\|\beta\|=1} \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}(p_{\mathbf{A}}^{(\alpha,\beta)})\| < \|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\|_{\text{Op}}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Now choose $V_1 = (1 \ 0)$ and $V_2 = (0 \ 1)$. From Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 it follows that $\rho_{\mathbf{V}}$ is contractive but $\|\rho_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\| = \sqrt{2}$.

3. UNITARY EQUIVALENCE AND LINEAR EQUIVALENCE

If U and W are 2×2 unitary matrices and $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = (UA_1W, UA_2W)$, then

$$\|(z_1, z_2)\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \|z_1A_1 + z_2A_2\|_{\text{Op}} = \|z_1(UA_1W) + z_2(UA_2W)\|_{\text{Op}} = \|(z_1, z_2)\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}.$$

There are, therefore, various choices of the matrix pair (A_1, A_2) related as above which give rise to the same norm. We use this freedom to ensure that A_1 is diagonal. Consider the invertible linear transformation $(\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2) \mapsto (z_1, z_2)$ on \mathbb{C}^2 defined as follows.

For $\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = (\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 , let

$$z_1 = p\tilde{z}_1 + q\tilde{z}_2, \quad z_2 = r\tilde{z}_1 + s\tilde{z}_2,$$

where $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\|(z_1, z_2)\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \|(\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2)\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}},$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ is related to \mathbf{A} as follows:

$$\tilde{A}_1 = pA_1 + rA_2, \quad \tilde{A}_2 = qA_1 + sA_2.$$

More concisely, if T is the linear transformation above on \mathbb{C}^2 , then

$$\|T\tilde{\mathbf{z}}\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}\|_{\mathbf{A}(T \otimes I)}.$$

In particular T maps $\Omega_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ onto $\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}$.

LEMMA 3.1. For $k = 1, 2, \dots$, the contractivity of the linear maps $L_{\mathbf{V}}^{(k)}$ defined on $(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{M}_k, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A},k}^*)$ determine the contractivity of the linear maps $L_{\tilde{\mathbf{V}}}^{(k)}$ defined on $(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{M}_k, \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}},k}^*)$ and conversely, where $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A}(T \otimes I)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{V}} = (T \otimes I)\mathbf{V}$.

Proof. For $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we have to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{\mathbf{V}}^{(k)}\|_{(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{M}_k, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A},k}^*) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_k \otimes \mathcal{M}_{p,q}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{Op}})} &\leq 1 \\ \iff \|L_{\tilde{\mathbf{V}}}^{(k)}\|_{(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{M}_k, \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}},k}^*) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_k \otimes \mathcal{M}_{p,q}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{Op}})} &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We prove this result for the case $k = 1$, that is, for the map $L_{\mathbf{V}}$. The proof for the general case is similar.

Consider the bijection between the spaces

$$\{f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbb{D}), f(0) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\tilde{f} \in \text{Hol}(\Omega_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}, \mathbb{D}), \tilde{f}(0) = 0\}$$

defined as follows:

$$f \mapsto \tilde{f} = f \circ T, \quad \tilde{f} \mapsto f = \tilde{f} \circ T^{-1}.$$

Using this bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{\mathbf{V}}\|_{(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}}^*) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_{p,q}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{Op}})} &\leq 1 \\ \iff \sup_{\tilde{f}} \{\|D\tilde{f}(0) \cdot \mathbf{V}\|_{\text{Op}} : \tilde{f} \in \text{Hol}(\Omega_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}, \mathbb{D}), \tilde{f}(0) = 0\} &\leq 1 \\ \iff \sup_f \{\|D(f \circ T)(0) \cdot \mathbf{V}\|_{\text{Op}} : f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbb{D}), f(0) = 0\} &\leq 1 \\ \iff \sup_f \{\|Df(0) T \cdot \mathbf{V}\|_{\text{Op}} : f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbb{D}), f(0) = 0\} &\leq 1 \\ \iff \sup_f \{\|Df(0) \cdot (T \otimes I)\mathbf{V}\|_{\text{Op}} : f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbb{D}), f(0) = 0\} &\leq 1 \\ \iff \|L_{(T \otimes I)\mathbf{V}}\|_{(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}}^*) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_{p,q}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{Op}})} &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

In the above, Df is a row vector, T is a 2×2 matrix and by an expression of the form $X \cdot Y$ we mean $\sum_{i=1}^2 X_i Y_i$. ■

It follows that, in our study of the existence of contractive homomorphisms which are not completely contractive, two sets of matrices $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = (\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$ which are related through linear combinations as above yield the same result. We can, therefore, restrict our attention to a subcollection of matrices.

Since A_1 has already been chosen to be diagonal, we consider transformations as above with $r = 0$ to preserve the diagonal structure of A_1 . By choosing the parameters p, q, s suitably we can ensure that one diagonal entry of A_1 is 1 and the diagonal entries of A_2 are 1 and 0. By further conjugating with a diagonal unitary and a permutation matrix it follows that we need to consider only the following three families of matrices:

TABLE 1. Cases modulo unitary and linear equivalence

| A_1 | A_2 |
|---|---|
| $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} d \in \mathbb{C}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ |
| $\begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} d \in \mathbb{C}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ |
| $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} d \in \mathbb{C}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ |

In the above, \mathbb{R}_+ represents the set of non-negative real numbers.

3.1. SIMULTANEOUSLY DIAGONALIZABLE CASE. For the study of contractivity and complete contractivity in this situation we consider two possibilities. The first when A_1 and A_2 are simultaneously diagonalizable and the second when they are not. The simultaneously diagonalizable case reduces to the case of the bi-disc where we know that any contractive homomorphism is completely contractive. In all the other cases (when A_1 and A_2 are not simultaneously diagonalizable) we show that there exists a contractive homomorphism which is not completely contractive.

Consider first the case when A_1 and A_2 are simultaneously diagonalizable. Based on the discussion of linear equivalence above we need to study only the following possibilities:

TABLE 2. Simultaneously diagonalizable cases

| A_1 | A_2 |
|---|--|
| $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} d \in \mathbb{C}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ |
| $\begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} d \in \mathbb{C}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ |

Applying linear transformations as before, both cases can be reduced to

$$\mathbf{A} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

which represents the bi-disc. As mentioned earlier, it is known that any contractive homomorphism is completely contractive in this case. We now study the situation when A_1 and A_2 are not simultaneously diagonalizable.

4. CONTRACTIVITY, COMPLETE CONTRACTIVITY AND OPERATOR SPACE STRUCTURE

We recall some notions about operator spaces which are relevant to our purpose.

DEFINITION 4.1. (cf. Chapters 13, 14 of [16]) An abstract operator space is a linear space X together with a family of norms $\|\cdot\|_k$ defined on $\mathcal{M}_k(X)$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is simply a norm on the linear space X . These norms are required to satisfy the following compatibility conditions:

$$(i) \|T \oplus S\|_{p+q} = \max\{\|T\|_p, \|S\|_q\} \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \|ASB\|_p \leq \|A\|_{\text{Op}} \|S\|_q \|B\|_{\text{Op}}$$

for all $S \in \mathcal{M}_q(X)$, $T \in \mathcal{M}_p(X)$ and $A \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{C})$, $B \in \mathcal{M}_{q,p}(\mathbb{C})$.

Two such operator spaces $(X, \|\cdot\|_k)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_k)$ are said to be completely isometric if there is a linear bijection $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$T \otimes I_k : (\mathcal{M}_k(X), \|\cdot\|_k) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_k(Y), \|\cdot\|_k)$$

is an isometry for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Here we have identified $\mathcal{M}_k(X)$ with $X \otimes \mathcal{M}_k$ in the usual manner. We note that a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ admits an operator space structure if and only if there is an isometric embedding of it into the algebra of operators $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ on some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . This is the well-known theorem of Ruan (cf. [17]).

We recall here the notions of MIN and MAX operator spaces and a measure of their distance, $\alpha(X)$, following Chapter 14 of [16].

DEFINITION 4.2. The MIN operator structure $\text{MIN}(X)$ on a (finite dimensional) normed linear space X is obtained by isometrically embedding X in the C^* -algebra $C((X^*)_1)$, of continuous functions on the unit ball $(X^*)_1$ of the dual space. Thus for $((v_{ij}))$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(X)$, we set

$$\|((v_{ij}))\|_{\text{MIN}} = \|((\widehat{v}_{ij}))\| = \sup\{\|((f(v_{ij})))\| : f \in (X^*)_1\},$$

where the norm of a scalar matrix $((f(v_{ij})))$ in \mathcal{M}_k is the operator norm.

For an arbitrary $k \times k$ matrix over X , we simply write $\|((v_{ij}))\|_{\text{MIN}(X)}$ to denote its norm in $\mathcal{M}_k(X)$. This is the minimal way in which we represent the normed space as an operator space. There is also a “maximal” representation which is denoted $\text{MAX}(X)$.

DEFINITION 4.3. The operator space $\text{MAX}(X)$ is defined by setting

$$\|((v_{ij}))\|_{\text{MAX}} = \sup\{\|((T(v_{ij})))\| : T : X \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})\},$$

and the supremum is taken over all isometries T and all Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} .

Every operator space structure on a normed linear space X “lies between” $\text{MIN}(X)$ and $\text{MAX}(X)$. The extent to which the two operator space structures

$\text{MIN}(X)$ and $\text{MAX}(X)$ differ is characterized by the numerical constant $\alpha(X)$ introduced by Paulsen (cf. Chapter 14 of [16]), which we recall below.

DEFINITION 4.4. The numerical constant $\alpha(X)$ is defined as

$$\alpha(X) := \sup\{\|((v_{ij}))\|_{\text{MAX}} : \|((v_{ij}))\|_{\text{MIN}} \leq 1, ((v_{ij})) \in \mathcal{M}_k(X), k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Thus $\alpha(X) = 1$ if and only if the identity map is a complete isometry from $\text{MIN}(X)$ to $\text{MAX}(X)$. Equivalently, we conclude that there exists a unique operator space structure on X whenever $\alpha(X)$ is 1. Therefore, those normed linear spaces for which $\alpha(X) = 1$ are rather special. Unfortunately, there are not too many of them! The familiar examples are $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$, and consequently \mathbb{C}^2 with the ℓ_1 norm. It is pointed out in pp. 76 of [17] that $\alpha(X) > 1$ for $\dim(X) \geq 3$, refining an earlier result of Paulsen that $\alpha(X) > 1$ whenever $\dim(X) \geq 5$. This leaves the question open for normed linear spaces whose dimension is 2.

Returning to the space $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ with $\|(z_1, z_2)\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \|z_1 A_1 + z_2 A_2\|_{\text{Op}}$, we show below that $\alpha(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}) > 1$ in a large number of cases. From Theorem 4.2 of [15], it therefore follows that, in all these cases, there must exist a contractive homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}})$ into the algebra $B(\mathcal{H})$ which is not completely contractive. In the remaining cases, the existence of a contractive homomorphism which is not completely contractive is established by a careful study of certain extremal problems.

The norm $\|(z_1, z_2)\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \|z_1 A_1 + z_2 A_2\|_{\text{Op}}$ defines a natural isometric embedding into $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ given by $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto z_1 A_1 + z_2 A_2$. However, note that

$$\|(z_1, z_2)\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \|z_1 A_1 + z_2 A_2\|_{\text{Op}} = \|z_1 A_1^t + z_2 A_2^t\|_{\text{Op}} = \|(z_1, z_2)\|_{\mathbf{A}^t}.$$

We, therefore, get another isometric embedding into $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ given by $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto z_1 A_1^t + z_2 A_2^t$.

In a variety of cases the operator spaces determined by these two embeddings are distinct and it follows that $\alpha > 1$. Therefore, the existence of contractive homomorphisms which are not completely contractive is established in these cases. We present the details below.

Recall the map $P_{\mathbf{A}}$ defined earlier by

$$P_{\mathbf{A}}(z_1, z_2) = z_1 A_1 + z_2 A_2.$$

Let $P_{\mathbf{A}}^{(2)} = P_{\mathbf{A}} \otimes I_2$. For the three families of matrices $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2)$ characterized in Table 1 we show that \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A}^t define distinct operator space structures unless $|d| = 1$ or $b = |c|$.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let*

$$Z_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $|d| \neq 1$ and $b \neq |c|$ then $\|P_{\mathbf{A}}^{(2)}(Z_1, Z_2)\|_{\text{Op}} \neq \|P_{\mathbf{A}^t}^{(2)}(Z_1, Z_2)\|_{\text{Op}}$.

Proof. We illustrate the proof for the case $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The other cases can be proved similarly. For this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|P_{\mathbf{A}}^{(2)}(Z_1, Z_2)\|_{\text{Op}}^2 \\
 &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} (Z_1 + Z_2) & bZ_2 \\ cZ_2 & dZ_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (Z_1 + Z_2)^* & \bar{c}Z_2^* \\ bZ_2^* & \bar{d}Z_1^* \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\text{Op}} \\
 (4.1) \quad &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} (Z_1 + Z_2)(Z_1 + Z_2)^* + b^2Z_2Z_2^* & \bar{c}(Z_1 + Z_2)Z_2^* + b\bar{d}Z_2Z_1^* \\ cZ_2(Z_1 + Z_2)^* + bdZ_1Z_2^* & |c|^2Z_2Z_2^* + |d|^2Z_1Z_1^* \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\text{Op}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|P_{\mathbf{A}^t}^{(2)}(Z_1, Z_2)\|_{\text{Op}}^2 \\
 (4.2) \quad &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} (Z_1 + Z_2)(Z_1 + Z_2)^* + |c|^2Z_2Z_2^* & b(Z_1 + Z_2)Z_2^* + \bar{c}dZ_2Z_1^* \\ bZ_2(Z_1 + Z_2)^* + \bar{c}dZ_1Z_2^* & b^2Z_2Z_2^* + |d|^2Z_1Z_1^* \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\text{Op}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Assume $\|P_{\mathbf{A}}^{(2)}(Z_1, Z_2)\|_{\text{Op}}^2 = \|P_{\mathbf{A}^t}^{(2)}(Z_1, Z_2)\|_{\text{Op}}^2$. Using the form of (Z_1, Z_2) this is equivalent to

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} 2 + b^2 & \bar{c} \\ c & |c|^2 + |d|^2 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\text{Op}} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 2 + |c|^2 & b \\ b & b^2 + |d|^2 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\text{Op}}$$

i.e. $(b^2 - |c|^2)(1 - |d|^2) = 0$ (note that the matrices on the left and right have the same trace), from which the result follows. ■

Since $\alpha(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}}) = 1$ if and only if the two operator spaces $\text{MIN}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}})$ and $\text{MAX}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}})$ are completely isometric, it follows from the theorem we have just proved that if $|d| \neq 1$ and $b \neq |c|$, then $\alpha(X) > 1$. Consequently, there exists a contractive homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}})$ into $B(\mathcal{H})$, which is not completely contractive.

EXAMPLE 4.6 (Euclidean ball). The Euclidean ball \mathbb{B}^2 is characterized by

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, in Theorem 4.5, we have $|d| \neq 1$ and $b \neq |c|$. Hence \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A}^t give rise to distinct operator space structures and, consequently, there exists a contractive homomorphism which is not completely contractive.

5. CASES NOT AMENABLE TO THE OPERATOR SPACE METHOD

Theorem 4.5 shows that there is a contractive homomorphism which is not completely contractive for all the choices of (A_1, A_2) listed in Table 1 except when

$|d| = 1$ or $b = |c|$. We are, therefore, left with the following families of (A_1, A_2) to be considered:

TABLE 3. Cases not covered by the operator space approach

| | A_1 | A_2 |
|-------|--|---|
| (i) | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ |
| (ii) | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ |
| (iii) | $\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ |
| (iv) | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} d \in \mathbb{C}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \in \mathbb{C}$ |
| (v) | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} d \in \mathbb{C}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \in \mathbb{C}$ |
| (vi) | $\begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} d \in \mathbb{C}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \in \mathbb{C}$ |

These six families are not disjoint and have been classified as such on the basis of the method of proof used.

5.1. DUAL NORM METHOD. We first consider a special case of type (ii) in Table 3 with $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Although this case is covered by the more general method to be outlined later we present an alternate, interesting procedure for this example since it is possible to explicitly calculate the dual norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}}^*$ in this case. Equipped with the information about the dual norm we can directly construct a pair $\mathbf{V} = (V_1, V_2)$ such that $\|L_{\mathbf{V}}\| \leq 1$ and $\|L_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\| > 1$.

Note that in this case

$$(5.1) \quad \|(z_1, z_2)\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{|z_2| + \sqrt{|z_2|^2 + 4|z_1|^2}}{2}$$

and the unit ball

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{A}} = \{(z_1, z_2) : |z_1|^2 + |z_2| < 1\}.$$

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in (\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})^*$, then the dual norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}}^*$ is given by the formula*

$$\|(\omega_1, \omega_2)\|_{\mathbf{A}}^* = \begin{cases} \frac{|\omega_1|^2 + 4|\omega_2|^2}{4|\omega_2|} & \text{if } |\omega_2| \geq \frac{|\omega_1|}{2}; \\ |\omega_1| & \text{if } |\omega_2| \leq \frac{|\omega_1|}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let f_{ω_1, ω_2} be the linear functional on $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ defined by

$$f_{\omega_1, \omega_2}(z_1, z_2) = \omega_1 z_1 + \omega_2 z_2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\omega_1, \omega_2)\|_{\mathbf{A}}^* &= \sup_{(z_1, z_2) \in \Omega_{\mathbf{A}}} |f_{\omega_1, \omega_2}(z_1, z_2)| = \sup_{|z_2| \leq 1 - |z_1|^2} |\omega_1 z_1 + \omega_2 z_2| \\ &= \sup_{|z_2| \leq 1 - |z_1|^2} (|\omega_1||z_1| + |\omega_2||z_2|) = \sup_{|z_1| \leq 1} (|\omega_1||z_1| + |\omega_2|(1 - |z_1|^2)). \end{aligned}$$

If $|\omega_2| \geq \frac{|\omega_1|}{2}$ the expression on the right attains its maximum at $|z_1| = \frac{|\omega_1|}{2|\omega_2|} \leq 1$ and the maximum value is $\frac{|\omega_1|^2 + 4|\omega_2|^2}{4|\omega_2|}$.

If $|\omega_2| \leq \frac{|\omega_1|}{2}$ the expression on the right is monotonic in $|z_1|$ and the maximum is attained at $|z_1| = 1$. The maximum value in this case is $|\omega_1|$. ■

THEOREM 5.2. Let $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $V_1 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ 0\right)$, $V_2 = (0 \ 1)$. Then

$$(i) \|L_{\mathbf{V}}\|_{(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}}^*) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_2)} = 1;$$

$$(ii) \|L_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\| = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Consequently $\rho_{\mathbf{V}}$, for this choice of $\mathbf{V} = (V_1, V_2)$, is contractive on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}})$ but not completely contractive.

Proof. (i) By definition of the various norms, we have

$$\|L_{\mathbf{V}}\|_{(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}}^*) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_2)}^2 = \sup_{\|(\omega_1, \omega_2)\|_{\mathbf{A}}^* = 1} \|\omega_1 V_1 + \omega_2 V_2\|_2^2 = \sup_{\|(\omega_1, \omega_2)\|_{\mathbf{A}}^* = 1} \left(\frac{|\omega_1|^2}{2} + |\omega_2|^2\right).$$

We now consider two cases.

Case (a). $|\omega_2| \geq \frac{|\omega_1|}{2}$ and $1 = \|(\omega_1, \omega_2)\|_{\mathbf{A}}^* = \frac{|\omega_1|^2 + 4|\omega_2|^2}{4|\omega_2|}$ from Lemma 5.1.

These two constraints together can be seen to be equivalent to the constraints $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\omega_2| \leq 1$ and $|\omega_1|^2 = 4|\omega_2|(1 - |\omega_2|)$.

Hence the supremum above for this range of (ω_1, ω_2) is given by

$$\sup_{1/2 \leq |\omega_2| \leq 1} |\omega_2|(2 - |\omega_2|) = 1.$$

Case (b). $|\omega_2| \leq \frac{|\omega_1|}{2}$ and $1 = \|(\omega_1, \omega_2)\|_{\mathbf{A}}^* = |\omega_1|$ from Lemma 5.1.

The supremum for this range of (ω_1, ω_2) is given by

$$\sup_{|\omega_2| \leq 1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + |\omega_2|^2\right) = \frac{3}{4}.$$

Taking the larger of the supremums in Case (a) and Case (b) we get that $\|L_{\mathbf{V}}\| = 1$.

(ii) We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|L_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\|^2 &= \|A_1 \otimes V_1 + A_2 \otimes V_2\|^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A_1 & A_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A_1 & A_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A_1^* \\ A_2^* \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\
&= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \right\| \quad \text{using the form of } A_1, A_2 \\
&= \frac{3}{2}. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

REMARK 5.3. The referee points out that some of the six cases described in Table 3 can be eliminated using Remark 2.15 of [15], namely, if a normed space X of dimension n has the property that every contractive linear map is completely contractive, then its Banach–Mazur distance from the Hilbert space ℓ_n^2 must be maximal. It means that $d(X, \ell_n^2) = \sqrt{2}$ when $\dim X = 2$. In the case of the unit ball $\Omega_{\mathbf{A}} = \{(z_1, z_2) : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 < 1\}$, for instance, the identity map T from $X \rightarrow \ell_2^2$ is contractive. The norm of its inverse is $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ using (5.1). Hence $d(X, \ell_2^2) \leq \|T\| \|T^{-1}\| = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} < \sqrt{2}$.

The referee also says that in each of the six cases in Table 3, the Banach–Mazur distance from the normed space $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ to ℓ_2^2 may be less than $\sqrt{2}$. For this reason it would be nice to know when the normed space induced by a pair of 2×2 matrices is of maximal distance.

5.2. GENERAL CASES NOT AMENABLE TO THE OPERATOR SPACE METHOD. The various families of (A_1, A_2) listed in Table 3 require a case by case analysis to show that there is a contractive homomorphism which is not completely contractive. We first present a general outline of the method used.

We choose the pair $\mathbf{V} = (V_1, V_2)$ to be of the form $V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix}$, $V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ u & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$. $L_{\mathbf{V}} : (\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}}^*) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_2)$ then becomes the linear map $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (z_1u, z_2v)$.

We show, in each case, that by a suitable choice of u and v we can ensure that $L_{\mathbf{V}}$ is contractive while $\|L_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\| > 1$ although $\|P_{\mathbf{A}}\| = 1$ by definition.

We list the contractivity conditions (see Propositions 1.3 and 1.4 for details):

(a) $L_{\mathbf{V}}$ is contractive if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.2) \quad & u \leq \frac{1}{\|A_1^*\|} \quad \text{or} \quad v \leq \frac{1}{\|A_2^*\|} \quad \text{and} \\
& \inf_{\beta \in \mathbb{C}^2, \|\beta\|=1} \{1 - u^2 \|A_1^* \beta\|^2 - v^2 \|A_2^* \beta\|^2 + \\
& \quad u^2 v^2 (\|A_1^* \beta\|^2 \|A_2^* \beta\|^2 - |\langle A_1 A_2^* \beta, \beta \rangle|^2)\} \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$$(b) \|L_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\| \leq 1 \text{ if and only if}$$

$$(5.3) \quad \inf_{\beta \in \mathbb{C}^2, \|\beta\|=1} \{1 - u^2 \|A_1^* \beta\|^2 - v^2 \|A_2^* \beta\|^2\} \geq 0.$$

Note that the term in parenthesis in (5.2) is non-negative by the Schwarz inequality and that the expression (5.3) is the same as the first three terms in (5.2).

We show that, in each case, we can choose (u, v) such that the infimum in (5.2) is exactly 0. Also that this infimum is attained at $\beta = \beta_0$ such that the term in parenthesis in (5.2) is positive (that is, the Schwarz inequality referred to above is a strict inequality at β_0). It then follows that the expression in braces in (5.3) is negative when $\beta = \beta_0$ and, consequently, the infimum in (5.3) is negative. Taken together it follows that $L_{\mathbf{V}}$ (and consequently $\rho_{\mathbf{V}}$) is contractive but $\|L_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}(P_{\mathbf{A}})\| > 1$ and, as a result, $\rho_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)}$ is not contractive.

Let $\eta^{(i)}, i = 1, 2$, be the vectors such that $A_1^* \eta^{(i)}$ and $A_2^* \eta^{(i)}$ are linearly dependent. That is, the term in parenthesis in (5.2) vanishes when $\beta = \eta^{(i)}$.

We now provide the details of the argument which proceeds in two steps.

Step 1. Show that there are certain ranges of the parameters (u, v) such that the infimum in (5.2) is not attained at $\eta^{(1)}$ or $\eta^{(2)}$ for those values of (u, v) . Let

$$g_{u,v}(\beta) = 1 - u^2 \|A_1^* \beta\|^2 - v^2 \|A_2^* \beta\|^2 + u^2 v^2 (\|A_1^* \beta\|^2 \|A_2^* \beta\|^2 - |\langle A_1 A_2^* \beta, \beta \rangle|^2).$$

We need to show that there exists β such that

$$g_{u,v}(\beta) < g_{u,v}(\eta^{(i)}), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

when (u, v) take values in a range of interest. That is, we need to find β such that

$$(5.4) \quad g_{u,v}(\eta^{(i)}) - g_{u,v}(\beta) = a_i(\beta)u^2 + b_i(\beta)v^2 - c(\beta)u^2v^2 > 0.$$

Here

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} a_i(\beta) &= \|A_1^* \beta\|^2 - \|A_1^* \eta^{(i)}\|^2, \\ b_i(\beta) &= \|A_2^* \beta\|^2 - \|A_2^* \eta^{(i)}\|^2, \\ c(\beta) &= \|A_1^* \beta\|^2 \|A_2^* \beta\|^2 - |\langle A_1 A_2^* \beta, \beta \rangle|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the functions

$$f_i(u, v, \beta) = a_i(\beta)u^2 + b_i(\beta)v^2 - c(\beta)u^2v^2 \quad \text{with } c(\beta) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The following result is evident from the nature of the functions $f_i(u, v, \beta)$.

LEMMA 5.4. (i) Assume $a_i(\beta) > 0$ for some fixed β and $i = 1, 2$. Then, given any $u_0 > 0$, there exists $v_0 > 0$ (depending on u_0) such that $f_i(u, v, \beta) > 0$ in the region $u < u_0, v < \frac{v_0}{u_0}u$, that is, inside the triangle with vertices $(0, 0), (u_0, 0)$ and (u_0, v_0) .

(ii) Assume $b_i(\beta) > 0$ for some fixed β and $i = 1, 2$. Then, given any $v_0 > 0$, there exists $u_0 > 0$ (depending on v_0) such that $f_i(u, v, \beta) > 0$ in the region $v < v_0, u < \frac{u_0}{v_0}v$, that is, inside the triangle with vertices $(0, 0), (0, v_0)$ and (u_0, v_0) .

(iii) If $f_i(u_0, v_0, \beta) > 0$ then $f_i(tu_0, tv_0, \beta) > 0$ for $0 < t < 1$.

We will show below that, in each of the six cases in Table 3, it is possible to ensure the positivity of $a_i(\beta)$, $i = 1, 2$ or $b_i(\beta)$, $i = 1, 2$ for some choice of β . Consequently, it will follow that the inequality (5.2) will be true for that vector β with (u, v) in the region characterized in Lemma 5.4 above. Hence, for (u, v) in this range, the infimum is not attained at $\eta^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2$.

Consider first the cases (i), (ii) and (iii).

| | A_1 | A_2 |
|-------|--|---|
| (i) | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ |
| (ii) | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ |
| (iii) | $\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ |

We use the unitary equivalence described in Section 3. In cases (i) and (ii) multiply A_1 and A_2 on the left by the unitary matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$ so that A_1 becomes the identity matrix. In case (iii) multiply A_1 and A_2 on the left by the unitary matrix $\begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ so that A_1 becomes the identity matrix.

Now conjugate A_1 and A_2 by the unitary which makes A_2 upper triangular so that cases (i), (ii) and (iii) reduce to the situation

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & \sigma \\ 0 & \nu \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } |\mu| \geq |\nu|, \sigma \neq 0.$$

In this case $a_i(\beta) = 0$ for all β but it is possible to choose β such that $b_i(\beta) > 0$.

$\eta^{(i)}$ satisfies the equation $(A_2^* - \lambda_i A_1^*)\eta^{(i)} = 0$. So in this case $\eta^{(i)}$ is a (unit) eigenvector of A_2^* with eigenvalue λ_i . Since the eigenvalues of A_2^* are $\bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{\nu}$ it follows that $\|A_2^*\eta^{(i)}\|^2 = |\mu|^2$ or $|\nu|^2$. Hence we can take $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ so that $b_i(\beta) \geq |\sigma|^2 > 0$.

Now consider cases (iv) and (v).

| | A_1 | A_2 |
|------|---|---|
| (iv) | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} d \in \mathbb{C}, d \neq 1$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \in \mathbb{C}$ |
| (v) | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} d \in \mathbb{C}, d \neq 1$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \in \mathbb{C}$ |

In cases (iv) and (v) we have, in equation (5.5),

$$\begin{aligned} a_i(\beta) &= |\beta_1|^2 + |d|^2|\beta_2|^2 - |\eta_1^{(i)}|^2 - |d|^2|\eta_2^{(i)}|^2 \\ &= (|\beta_1|^2 - |\eta_1^{(i)}|^2) + |d|^2(|\beta_2|^2 - |\eta_2^{(i)}|^2) = (1 - |d|^2)(|\eta_2^{(i)}|^2 - |\beta_2|^2). \end{aligned}$$

If $|\eta_2^{(i)}| = 0$ or 1 then $c = 0$ and it reduces to the simultaneously diagonalizable case. If $|\eta_2^{(i)}| \neq 0, 1$ we can choose β such that $|\beta_2| < |\eta_2^{(i)}|$ (respectively $|\beta_2| > |\eta_2^{(i)}|$) if $|d| < 1$ (respectively $|d| > 1$) to ensure that $a_i(\beta) > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.

The methods used in cases (iv) and (v) can be adapted to the last case (vi):

| | A_1 | A_2 |
|------|---|---|
| (vi) | $\begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} d \in \mathbb{C}, d \neq 1$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \in \mathbb{C}$ |

Step 2. Show that, in each case, there is a choice of (u, v) in the region characterized in Lemma 5.4 for which the infimum in (5.2) is, in fact, zero.

We choose $\hat{\beta}$ to ensure that $a_i(\hat{\beta})$ or $b_i(\hat{\beta})$ is positive as described in Step 1.

Note that $g_{u,v}(\hat{\beta})$ vanishes at the two points $(u, v) = \left(\frac{1}{\|A_1^*(\hat{\beta})\|}, 0\right)$, $(u, v) = \left(0, \frac{1}{\|A_2^*(\hat{\beta})\|}\right)$ and also along a curve joining these two points. We now consider two cases:

Case (i). $a_i(\hat{\beta}) > 0$.

Choose (u_0, v_0) such that $0 < v_0 < \frac{1}{\|A_2^*\|}$, $f_i(u_0, v_0, \hat{\beta}) > 0$ and $g_{u_0, v_0}(\hat{\beta}) = 0$. This is possible using Lemma 5.4 and the above note about the vanishing of $g_{u,v}(\hat{\beta})$.

Let

$$x_0 = \inf \left\{ u : \inf_{\beta} g_{u, \lambda_0 u}(\beta) \leq 0 \right\} \quad \text{where } \lambda_0 = \frac{v_0}{u_0}.$$

Note that

$$x_0^2 \geq \frac{1}{\|A_1^*\|^2 + \lambda_0^2 \|A_2^*\|^2}.$$

Also, from Lemma 5.4, it is clear that $f_i(x_0, \lambda_0 x_0, \hat{\beta}) > 0$.

We now show that $\inf_{\beta} g_{x_0, \lambda_0 x_0}(\beta) = 0$.

To prove this we first show that $g_{(x_0, \lambda_0 x_0)}(\beta) \geq 0$ for all β (with $\|\beta\|_2 = 1$) as follows. Assume there exists $\beta = \mu$ such that $g_{(x_0, \lambda_0 x_0)}(\mu) < 0$. Then there exists a neighborhood U of x_0 such that $g_{u, \lambda_0 u}(\mu) < 0$ for all $u \in U$. For any $u \in U$, $\inf_{\beta} g_{u, \lambda_0 u}(\beta) < 0$, since $g_{u, \lambda_0 u}(\mu) < 0$ for all $u \in U$. Since U is a neighbourhood of x_0 there exists a $u \in U$ such that $u < x_0$. By the previous assertion, $\inf_{\beta} g_{u, \lambda_0 u}(\beta) \leq 0$ for this smaller value of u , which is a contradiction.

Since $\inf_{\beta} g_{x_0, \lambda_0 x_0}(\beta) \leq 0$ by the definition of x_0 it follows that

$$\inf_{\beta} g_{x_0, \lambda_0 x_0}(\beta) = 0.$$

Case (ii). $b_i(\widehat{\beta}) > 0$.

The arguments in this case are similar to Case (i). This time choose (u_0, v_0) such that $0 < u_0 < \frac{1}{\|A_1^*\|}$, $f_i(u_0, v_0, \widehat{\beta}) > 0$ and $g_{u_0, v_0}(\widehat{\beta}) = 0$.

Let

$$y_0 = \inf \left\{ v : \inf_{\beta} g_{\lambda_0 v, v}(\beta) \leq 0 \right\} \quad \text{where } \lambda_0 = \frac{u_0}{v_0}.$$

As in Case (i) we can see that $y_0^2 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2 \|A_1^*\|^2 + \|A_2^*\|^2}$ and (from Lemma 5.4) that $f_i(\lambda_0 y_0, y_0, \widehat{\beta}) > 0$.

Using a procedure similar to that used in Case (i) it follows that

$$\inf_{\beta} g_{\lambda_0 y_0, y_0}(\beta) = 0.$$

We have therefore shown that for all the cases in Table 3 which were not covered by the operator space approach it is possible to choose (u, v) such that the infimum in (5.2) is zero and this infimum is attained at a vector β not equal to $\eta^{(1)}$ or $\eta^{(2)}$, so that the last term in parenthesis in (5.2) is positive at β .

It follows that, in each of these cases, there exists a contractive homomorphism which is not completely contractive.

From Theorem 4.1 of [10], it follows that, except in the case where \mathbf{A} is simultaneously diagonalizable, there must exist a contractive linear map on the dual space $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})^*$ which is not completely contractive. Thus we have proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.5. *Suppose that $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2)$ and the matrices A_1, A_2 are not simultaneously diagonalizable. Then there exists a contractive linear map on $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ which is not completely contractive. Also, there exists a contractive linear map on the dual space $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})^*$ which is not completely contractive.*

6. AN INTERESTING OPERATOR SPACE COMPUTATION

In Section 4 the existence of contractive homomorphisms which are not completely contractive was shown in many cases by studying different isometric embeddings of the space $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ into $(\mathcal{M}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\text{Op}})$ which led to distinct operator space structures. The two embeddings considered there were $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto z_1 A_1 + z_2 A_2$ and $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto z_1 A_1^t + z_2 A_2^t$. In this section we show that we can, for some choices of (A_1, A_2) , construct large collections of isometric embeddings of the space $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ into various matrix spaces. Although the embeddings are into very distinct matrix spaces, we show that the operator space structures thus obtained are equivalent.

A result which is very useful in this context is the following proposition due to Douglas, Muhly and Pearcy (cf. Proposition 2.2 of [7]).

PROPOSITION 6.1. *For $i = 1, 2$, let T_i be a contraction on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_i and let X be an operator mapping \mathcal{H}_2 into \mathcal{H}_1 . A necessary and sufficient condition that the operator on $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ defined by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} T_1 & X \\ 0 & T_2 \end{pmatrix}$ be a contraction is that there exist a contraction C mapping \mathcal{H}_2 into \mathcal{H}_1 such that*

$$X = \sqrt{1_{\mathcal{H}_1} - T_1 T_1^*} C \sqrt{1_{\mathcal{H}_2} - T_2^* T_2}.$$

The operator norm of the block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha I_m & B \\ 0 & \alpha I_n \end{pmatrix}$, where B is an $m \times n$ matrix and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, is not hard to compute (cf. Lemma 2.1 of [10]). The result can be easily extended to a matrix of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 I_m & B \\ 0 & \alpha_2 I_n \end{pmatrix}$, for arbitrary $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

LEMMA 6.2. *If B is an $m \times n$ matrix and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ then*

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 I_m & B \\ 0 & \alpha_2 I_n \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \|B\| \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\|.$$

Proof. Consider the following two sets

$$S_1 = \left\{ ((\alpha_1, \alpha_2); B) : \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 I_m & B \\ 0 & \alpha_2 I_n \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq 1 \right\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$S_2 = \left\{ ((\alpha_1, \alpha_2); B) : \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \|B\| \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

To prove the lemma, it is sufficient to show that these unit balls are the same.

From Proposition 6.1 the condition for the contractivity of the elements of S_1 and S_2 is the same, that is,

$$\|B\|^2 \leq (1 - |\alpha_1|^2)(1 - |\alpha_2|^2). \quad \blacksquare$$

The important observation from the lemma above is that, for fixed α_1, α_2 , the norm of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 I_m & B \\ 0 & \alpha_2 I_n \end{pmatrix}$ depends only on $\|B\|$.

Now consider the pair $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2)$ with $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Given any $m \times n$ matrix B with $\|B\| = |\beta|$ we have the following isometric embedding of $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ into $(\mathcal{M}_{m+n}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{Op}})$

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \alpha_1 I_m & z_2 B \\ 0 & z_1 \alpha_2 I_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

For various choices of the dimensions m, n and the matrix B , this represents a large collection of isometric embeddings.

For fixed α_1, α_2 , we let X_B represent the above embedding of $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_A)$ into $(\mathcal{M}_{m+n}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{Op}})$. We now show that the operator space structures determined by these embeddings depend only on $\|B\|$. If \mathcal{V}_A is the space $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_A)$, then $(X_B \otimes I_k)$ gives the embedding of $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathcal{V}_A)$ into $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathcal{M}_{m+n}(\mathbb{C}))$. An element of $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathcal{V}_A)$ is defined by a pair of $k \times k$ matrices Z_1, Z_2 , and the corresponding embedding into $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathcal{M}_{m+n}(\mathbb{C}))$ has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 Z_1 \otimes I_m & Z_2 \otimes B \\ 0 & \alpha_2 Z_1 \otimes I_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

It now remains to show that the operator norm of this matrix depends only on $\|B\|$. Using Proposition 6.1 it can be shown that

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 Z_1 \otimes I_m & Z_2 \otimes B \\ 0 & \alpha_2 Z_1 \otimes I_n \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 Z_1 & Z_2 \|B\| \\ 0 & \alpha_2 Z_1 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq 1.$$

Hence it follows that these two norms are in fact equal. We have therefore proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 6.3. *For all $m \times n$ matrices B with the same (operator) norm, the operator space structures on \mathbb{C}^2 , determined by the different embeddings*

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto z_1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 I_m & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 I_n \end{pmatrix} + z_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C},$$

are completely isometric irrespective of the particular choice of B .

Acknowledgements. We thank G. Pisier for pointing out an erroneous claim in a previous draft of the paper. The authors gratefully acknowledge the help they have received from Sayan Bagchi, Michael Dritschel and Dmitry Yakubovich. We thank the referee for several insightful suggestions including Remark 5.3.

The work of G. Misra was supported, in part, through the J.C. Bose National Fellowship and UGC-CAS. The work of A. Pal was supported, in part, through the UGC-NET and the IFCAM Research Fellowship. The results of this paper are taken from his Ph.D. Dissertation, submitted to the Indian Institute of Science, with some of the proofs significantly simplified.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. ARVESON, Subalgebras of C^* -algebras. II, *Acta Math.* **128**(1972), 271–308.
- [2] J. AGLER, Rational dilation on an annulus, *Ann. of Math.* **121**(1985), 537–563.
- [3] J. AGLER, N.J. YOUNG, Operators having the symmetrized bidisc as a spectral set, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **43**(2000), 195–210.
- [4] B. BAGCHI, G. MISRA, Contractive homomorphisms and tensor product norms, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **21**(1995), 255–269.

- [5] M.J. COWEN, R.G. DOUGLAS, Complex geometry and operator theory, *Acta Math.* **141**(1978), 187–261.
- [6] M.J. COWEN, R.G. DOUGLAS, Operators possessing an open set of eigenvalues, in *Functions, Series, Operators, Proc. Int. Conf. (Budapest 1980)*, Vol. I, Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, vol. 35, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1983, pp. 323–341.
- [7] R.G. DOUGLAS, P.S. MUHLY, C. PEARCY, Lifting commuting operators, *Michigan Math. J.* **15**(1968), 385–395.
- [8] M. DRITSCHHEL, S. MCCULLOUGH, The failure of rational dilation on a triply connected domain, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18**(2005), 873–918.
- [9] G. MISRA, Curvature inequalities and extremal properties of bundle shifts, *J. Operator Theory* **11**(1984), 305–317.
- [10] G. MISRA, Completely contractive Hilbert modules and Parrott’s example, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **63**(1994), 291–303.
- [11] G. MISRA, N.S.N. SASTRY, Contractive modules, extremal problems and curvature inequalities, *J. Funct. Anal.* **88**(1990), 118–134.
- [12] G. MISRA, N.S.N. SASTRY, Completely contractive modules and associated extremal problems, *J. Funct. Anal.* **91**(1990), 213–220.
- [13] G. MISRA, A. PAL, Contractivity, complete contractivity and curvature inequalities, *J. Anal. Math.*, to appear.
- [14] S. PARROTT, Unitary dilations for commuting contractions, *Pacific J. Math.* **34**(1970), 481–490.
- [15] V. PAULSEN, Representations of function algebras, abstract operator spaces and Banach space geometry, *J. Funct. Anal.* **109**(1992), 113–129.
- [16] V. PAULSEN, *Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 2002.
- [17] G. PISIER, *An Introduction to the Theory of Operator Spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 2003.
- [18] B. SZ.-NAGY, C. FOIAS, *Harmonic Analysis of Hilbert Space Operators*, NorthHolland, Amsterdam 1970.

GADADHAR MISRA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE - 560 012, INDIA

E-mail address: gm@iisc.ac.in

AVIJIT PAL, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BHILAI, RAIPUR - 492015, INDIA

E-mail address: avijit@iitbhilai.ac.in

CHERIAN VARUGHESE, RENAISSANCE COMMUNICATIONS, BANGALORE - 560 058, INDIA

E-mail address: cherian@rcpl.com