

## ON DISTRIBUTION SEMI-GROUPS OF SUBNORMAL OPERATORS

IOANA CIORĂNESCU

### § 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $A$  a closed, densely defined operator in  $X$  with domain  $D(A)$ ; an  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ -valued distribution  $\mathcal{E}$  with the support contained in  $[0, +\infty)$  is said to be a *regular distribution semi-group* (R.D.S.G., in short) of generator  $A$  if  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $A$  satisfy the equations:

$$(1.1) \quad (A - d/dt)*\mathcal{E} = \delta \otimes I_X \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}*(A - d/dt) = \delta \otimes I_{D(A)}.$$

An R.D.S.G.  $\mathcal{E}$  is said to be an *exponential distribution semi-group* of type  $\leq \omega$  (E.D.S.G., in short) if  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies the following condition:

*there exists a real  $\omega$  such that  $e^{-\xi t}\mathcal{E}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ -valued tempered distribution, for any  $\xi > \omega$ .*

Distribution semi-groups were defined and studied by J. L. Lions in [7].

Let us denote  $Y = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D(A^n)$  and endow  $Y$  with the Fréchet topology determined by the norms  $\|x\|_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \|A^j x\|$ .

Then the following conditions on the operator  $A$  are equivalent:

- (i)  $A$  is the generator of a R.D.S.G.;
- (ii) the resolvent  $R(\lambda; A)$  exists in a logarithmic region of the form

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \alpha \log|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| + \beta, \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \gamma\}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  are some given constants, and satisfies

$$\|R(\lambda; A)\| \leq p(|\lambda|), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda$$

$p(\lambda)$  being a polynom with positive coefficients.

- (iii) the resolvent set  $\rho(A)$  is not empty and the restriction of  $A$  to  $Y$ ,  $A_Y$ , is the generator of a locally-equicontinuous semi-group  $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  of class  $(C_0)$  in  $Y$ .

The equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) was proved by J. Chazarain in [2] and the equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) was obtained by T. Ushijima in [11].

The R.D.S.G.  $\mathcal{E}$  and the semi-group  $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  generated by  $A_Y$  may be expressed in terms of the resolvent  $R(\lambda; A)$  as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \int_{\partial A} \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda) R(\lambda; A) d\lambda \quad \text{for each } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  is the space of all indefinitely differentiable functions on the real line with compact support,  $\tilde{\varphi}(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda t} \varphi(t) dt$ , and  $\partial A$  is the boundary of  $A$ ;

$$(1.3) \quad U_t x = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0_+} (I - hA)^{-[t/h]} x \quad \text{for each } x \in Y,$$

where the convergence is uniform with respect to  $t$  in every finite interval in  $[0, +\infty)$  (see [8] and [9]).

Moreover, we recall that:

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) U_t x dt \quad \text{for each } x \in Y, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$$

(see [11]) and that the semi-group property is given by  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi * \psi) = \mathcal{E}(\varphi)\mathcal{E}(\psi)$  for  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}_0 := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}, \text{ supp } \varphi \subset [0, +\infty)\}$ .

Further, the following conditions on the operator  $A$  are equivalent:

- (i')  $A$  is the generator of an E.D.S.G. of type  $\leq \omega$ ;
- (ii') the resolvent  $R(\lambda; A)$  exists for  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$  and satisfies

$$\|R(\lambda; A)\| \leq p(|\lambda|), \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$$

for a polynom  $p(\lambda)$ ;

(iii') the resolvent set  $\rho(A)$  is not void and  $A_Y - \omega$  is the generator of an equicontinuous semi-group of class  $(C_0)$  in  $Y$ .

J. L. Lions proved [7] that (i')  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii') and D. Fujiwara [4] got that (i')  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii').

Finally we recall that in [3] C. Foiaş studied distribution semi-groups of normal operators and obtained the following result:

*if  $\mathcal{E}$  is an E.D.S.G. of normal operators in a Hilbert space, then  $\mathcal{E}$  is an ordinary continuous semi-group of normal operators.*

After these preliminaries on distribution semi-groups, we shall now give some elementary facts on subnormal operators.

Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space; a linear operator  $T$  with domain  $D(T)$  is called subnormal if there exists a larger Hilbert space  $H$  containing  $X$  and a normal operator  $N$  in  $H$  which extends  $T$  (one says also that  $H$  reduces  $T$ ). This definition is due to P. R. Halmos [5]. J. Bram [1] showed that a bounded operator on  $X$  is subnormal if and only if for every finite sequence of vectors  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $X$  holds:

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^n \langle T^i x_j, T^j x_i \rangle \geq 0.$$

He also proved that if  $N$  is a minimal normal extension of the bounded subnormal operator  $T$ , then  $\|T\| = \|N\|$  and  $\sigma(N) \subset \sigma(T)$ . ( $\sigma(N)$  denotes the spectrum of  $N$ .)

Let  $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a continuous semi-group of bounded subnormal operators in  $X$ ; then there exists a Hilbert space  $H \supset X$  and a continuous semi-group of normal operators in  $H$  extending  $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ .

This theorem was first proved by T. Ito [6]; recently a short, different proof was obtained by E. Nussbaum [10].

Adapting conveniently the method from [10], we shall extend in this note the above theorem to distribution semi-groups of subnormal operators and as a corollary we shall get a generalization of Foiaş' result to E.D.S.G. of subnormal operators in a Hilbert space.

## § 2. MAIN RESULT

In all this paragraph  $X$  will be a Hilbert space.

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a continuous semi-group of bounded subnormal operators in  $X$ ; then for each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ , the operator*

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(t) T_t dt$$

*is a subnormal operator.*

*Proof.* By a result from [10], Proposition 2, for each  $a > 0$  and each continuous function  $f:[0, a] \rightarrow X$ , we have:

$$\int_0^a \int_0^a \langle T_t f(s), T_s f(t) \rangle dt ds \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, using the semi-group property, it is easy to prove that  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi \otimes \psi) = \mathcal{E}(\varphi)\mathcal{E}(\psi)$ , for each  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}$ , where  $\varphi \otimes \psi(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(s)\psi(t-s)ds$ . Then,  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  being arbitrary  $n+1$  vectors in  $X$ , it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=0}^n \langle \mathcal{E}^i(\varphi)x_j, \mathcal{E}^j(\varphi)x_i \rangle = \\ & = \sum_{i,j=0}^n \langle \mathcal{E}(\varphi_i)x_j, \mathcal{E}(\varphi_j)x_i \rangle = \\ & = \int_0^{na} \int_0^{na} \sum_{i,j=0}^n \langle \varphi_i(t)T_t x_j, \varphi_j(s)T_s x_i \rangle dt ds = \\ & = \int_0^{na} \int_0^{na} \langle T_t f(s), T_s f(t) \rangle dt ds \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi_i = \underbrace{\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi}_i$ ,  $f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \varphi_i(t)x_i$  and  $a$  is such that  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-a, a]$ .

q.e.d

We shall say that the R.D.S.G.  $\mathcal{E}$  is a distribution semi-group of subnormal operators on  $X$  if for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  is a subnormal operator on  $X$ .

By the above proposition, it is a quite natural generalization of the notion of continuous semi-group of bounded subnormal operators.

Then we have the following

**LEMMA.** *The generator  $A$  of a R.D.S.G. of subnormal operators is a subnormal operator.*

*Proof.* We start by using some arguments from [2] to get a convenient form of the resolvent  $R(\lambda; A)$ .

Let  $0 < a < a'$  and  $\theta \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\theta(t) \equiv 1$  for  $t \in [0, a]$  and  $\theta(t) \equiv 0$  for  $t \notin [-1, a']$ . Denote  $\theta_\lambda(t) = e^{-\lambda t}\theta(t)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then, using the first equation from (1.1), we get:

$$(A - \lambda)\mathcal{E}(\theta_\lambda) = I - \mathcal{E}(e^{-\lambda t}\theta'(t)).$$

Put  $\psi_\lambda(t) = e^{-\lambda t}\theta'(t)$ ; then in [2] it is proved that for  $\lambda$  belonging to some logarithmic region  $\Lambda$ ,  $\|\mathcal{E}(\psi_\lambda)\| \leq 1/2$ , that is

$$\begin{aligned} [I - \mathcal{E}(\psi_\lambda)]^{-1} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}^n(\psi_\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}^n(\psi_\lambda^+) = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\mathcal{E}(\psi_\lambda^+ * \dots * \psi_\lambda^+)}_{n\text{-times}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\varphi_{\lambda,k}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi_{\lambda,k} = \sum_{n=0}^k \underbrace{\psi_\lambda^+ * \dots * \psi_\lambda^+}_{n\text{-times}} \in \mathcal{D}_0$  and  $\psi_\lambda^+ = \begin{cases} \psi_\lambda & \text{on } [0, +\infty) \\ 0 & \text{on } (-\infty, 0) \end{cases}$ . Finally we get

using in the same way the second equation from (1.1) that  $R(\lambda; A)$  exists in a logarithmic region  $\Lambda$  and it is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda; A) &= \mathcal{E}(\theta_\lambda)[I - \mathcal{E}(\psi_\lambda)]^{-1} = \\ &= \mathcal{E}(\theta_\lambda) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\varphi_{\lambda,k}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\theta_\lambda^+) \cdot \mathcal{E}(\varphi_{\lambda,k}) = \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\Phi_{\lambda,k}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi_{\lambda,k} = \theta_\lambda^+ * \varphi_{\lambda,k} \in \mathcal{D}_0$ .

(We used the fact proved in [7], that putting for  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}(\psi^+)\mathcal{E}(\varphi)x = \mathcal{E}(\psi^+ * \varphi)x$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_0$ ,  $x \in X$ , we get a closable densely defined operator such that  $\mathcal{E}(\psi^+) = \mathcal{E}(\psi)$ .) As for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the operator  $\mathcal{E}(\Phi_{\lambda,k})$  is subnormal, it is clear that  $R(\lambda; A)$  is a subnormal operator on  $X$ .

Let  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  be fixed and let  $N_{\lambda_0}$  be a minimal normal extension of  $R(\lambda_0; A)$  acting on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then  $N_{\lambda_0}^{-1}$  exists by an argument given in [10], Proposition 3; we give it for completeness. If  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0} := \mathcal{N}(N_{\lambda_0})$  is the null space of  $N_{\lambda_0}$ , then  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0} = \mathcal{N}(N_{\lambda_0}^*)$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}^\perp = \overline{\mathcal{R}(N_{\lambda_0})} \supset \mathcal{R}(N_{\lambda_0}) = X$  ( $\mathcal{R}$  denotes the range). Since  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}^\perp$  reduces  $N_{\lambda_0}$  and  $N_{\lambda_0}$  is a minimal normal extension of  $R(\lambda_0; A)$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}^\perp = H$  and therefore  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0} = \{0\}$ . Hence  $N_{\lambda_0}^{-1}$  exists, is closed and densely defined and is a minimal normal extension of  $\lambda_0 - A$ . Hence  $N = \lambda_0 - N_{\lambda_0}^{-1}$  is a minimal normal extension of  $A$ . q.e.d.

We can now give the

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a R.D.S.G. of bounded subnormal operators in a Hilbert space  $X$ ; then there exists a Hilbert space  $H$  containing  $X$  and a R.D.S.G.  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$  of normal operators in  $H$  such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)_X = \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ , for each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be a minimal normal extension of  $A$ , associated as in the Lemma to a fixed  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ , acting in a Hilbert space  $H \supset X$ . Then  $\sigma((\lambda_0 - N)^{-1}) \subset \sigma((\lambda_0 - A)^{-1})$  and by the spectral mapping theorem, it results that  $\sigma_e(N) \subset \sigma_e(A)$  ( $\sigma_e$  is the extended spectrum). Hence  $\sigma(N) \subset \sigma(A)$ , whence  $\rho(A) \subset \rho(N)$ . So  $\rho(N)$  contains the logarithmic region  $\Lambda$  and for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  holds:

$$\|R(\lambda; N)\| = \|R(\lambda; A)\| \leq p(|\lambda|).$$

Therefore, by the equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii),  $N$  is the generator of a R.D.S.G. of normal operators in  $H$ , given by (1.2):

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) = \int_{\Lambda} \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda) R(\lambda; N) d\lambda, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D},$$

where by the Lemma,  $R(\lambda; N)$  is normal. It is clear that each  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$  extends  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ . q.e.d.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an E.D.S.G. of subnormal operators in a Hilbert space  $X$ ; then  $\mathcal{E}$  is given by an usual continuous semi-group of bounded subnormal operators in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be a minimal normal extension of the generator  $A$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  acting on the Hilbert space  $H \supset X$ . Then, by a similar argument as in the above theorem, it results that  $R(\lambda; N)$  exists for  $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega$ , where  $\omega$  is the type of  $\mathcal{E}$  and it is majorized by a polynom. Hence, by the equivalence (i')  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii'),  $N$  is the generator of an E.D.S.G. of normal operators in  $H$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ . By the result of C. Foias,  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$  is given by an ordinary continuous semi-group  $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  of normal operators in  $H$ .

Let  $x \in Y = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} D(A^n)$  and  $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  the locally-equicontinuous semi-group of class  $(C_0)$  generated by  $A_Y$  in  $Y$  (see (iii)); then by (1.3) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} U_t x &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} (I - hA)^{-[t/h]} x = \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} (I - hN)^{-[t/h]} x = T_t x. \end{aligned}$$

As  $Y$  is dense in  $X$ , it is clear that  $\{U_t\}_{t>0}$  is a continuous semi-group of subnormal operators on  $X$  which by (1.4) coincide, in the distributional sense, with  $\mathcal{E}$ .  
q.e.d.

#### REFERENCES

1. BRAM, J., Subnormal operators, *Duke Math. J.*, **22**(1955), 75–94.
2. CHAZARAIN, J., Problèmes de Cauchy abstraits et applications à quelques problèmes mixtes, *J. Functional Analysis*, **7**(1972), 386–446.
3. FOIAŞ, C., Remarques sur les semi-groupes distributions d'opérateurs normaux, *Portugal. Math.*, **19**(1960), 227–242.
4. FUJIWARA, D., A characterisation of exponential distribution semi-groups, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **18**(1966), 267–274.
5. HALMOS, P. R., Normal dilations and extensions of operators, *Summa Brasil. Math.*, **2** (1950), 125–134.
6. ITO, T., On the commutative family of subnormal operators, *J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I*, **14**(1958), 1–15.
7. LIONS, J. L., Les semi-groupes distributions, *Portugal. Math.*, **19**(1960), 141–164.
8. OHARU, S., Semi-groups of linear operators in a Banach space, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, **7**(1971–72), 205–260.
9. OHARU, S., Eine Bemerkung zur Charakterisierung der Distributionenhalbgruppen, *Math. Ann.*, **204**(1973), 189–198.
10. NUSSBAUM, E., Semi-groups of subnormal operators, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2), **14**(1976), 340–344.
11. USHIJIMA, T., On the generation and smoothness of semi-groups of linear operators, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, **19**(1972), 65–127.

IOANA CIORĂNESCU  
Department of Mathematics  
INCREST  
B-dul Păcii 220, 79622 Bucharest  
Romania.

Received January 25, 1980; revised June 15, 1980.