

## THE INVARIANT SUBSPACES OF A VOLTERRA OPERATOR

JOSÉ BARRÍA

Let  $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_m, b_m)$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) be disjoint subintervals of  $X = [0, c]$  ( $c > 0$ ) such that  $b_1 \leq a_2, \dots, b_{m-1} \leq a_m$ . Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $X$  such that  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $(a_i, b_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ), and  $\mu$  is purely atomic on  $X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m (a_i, b_i)$  with a finite number of atoms in  $(0, c) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m (a_i, b_i)$ . Let  $V_\mu$  be the bounded linear operator on  $L_2(X, \mu)$  defined by

$$(V_\mu f)(x) = \int_{[0, x]} f(t) d\mu(t) \quad (f \in L_2(X, \mu)).$$

The purpose of this paper is to determine all the (closed) invariant subspaces of  $V_\mu$ . For  $0 \leq a \leq c$ , let  $L_a$  ( $L'_a$ , resp.) denote the closed subspace of all functions in  $L_2(X, \mu)$  which vanish on  $[0, a]$  ( $[0, a]$ , resp.) a.e.  $[\mu]$ . Since  $V_\mu L_a \subset L'_a \subset L_a$ , it follows that the subspaces  $L_a$  and  $L'_a$  are invariant under  $V_\mu$ . It is easily seen that  $L_a \neq L'_a$  if and only if  $\mu(\{a\}) > 0$ .

**THEOREM A.** *The subspaces  $L_a$  and  $L'_a$  ( $0 \leq a \leq c$ ) are the only invariant subspaces of  $V_\mu$ .*

If  $\sigma$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $X$ , then the operator  $V_\sigma$  on  $L_2[0, c]$  is the usual Volterra operator and in this case the assertion of the theorem is a well known result ([2], [4], [5]). In particular the lattice of invariant subspaces of  $V_\mu$  has the order type of  $[0, 1]$ .

In [1] the theorem was proven for a measure  $\mu$  which is the Lebesgue measure with a finite number of atoms in  $(0, c)$ . In this case the lattice of invariant subspaces of  $V_\mu$  has the order type of the chain  $[0, 1] \cup [2, 3] \cup \dots \cup [2n, 2n + 1]$ , where  $n$  is the number of atoms of  $\mu$ .

In [3] P. Rosenthal gave an example of an operator whose lattice of invariant subspaces has the order type of the chain  $[0, 1] \cup \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). Theorem A implies that the ordinal sum of a finite number of such chains can be realized as the lattice of invariant subspaces of an operator  $V_\mu$ .

Let  $\chi_A$  denote the characteristic function of the set  $A$  in  $X$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be the atoms of  $\mu$  (if it has atoms), so arranged that  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n < c$ . By convention we take  $x_0 = 0$ .

LEMMA 1. *The adjoint of  $V_\mu$  is given by*

$$(V_\mu^* f)(x) = \int_{(x,c]} f(t) \, d\mu(t) \quad (f \in L_2(X, \mu)).$$

Furthermore,

$$\ker V_\mu = \{\lambda u_0 : \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, u_0 = \chi_{[b_m, c] \cap \{x_n\}}\}$$

and

$$\ker V_\mu^* = \{\lambda v_0 : \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, v_0 = \chi_{[0, a_1] \cap \{x_1\}}\}.$$

*Proof.* The operator  $V_\mu$  is the integral operator whose kernel is the characteristic function of the set  $\{(x, y) \in X \times X : y < x\}$ . Therefore  $V_\mu^*$  is the integral operator induced by the conjugate transpose kernel, that is, the characteristic function of  $\{(x, y) \in X \times X : x < y\}$ . Now the given expression for  $V_\mu^*$  follows immediately.

Assume that  $V_\mu f = 0$ . Then  $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(t) \, dt = 0$  for all  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in  $(a_i, b_i)$ . Therefore  $f = 0$  a.e.  $[\sigma]$  on  $(a_i, b_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ). Since  $\mu$  has a finite number of atoms it follows easily that  $f = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  on  $[0, b_m)$ . Then  $\ker V_\mu = \{0\}$  if it has no atoms in  $[b_m, c]$ . Otherwise,  $f = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  on  $[0, x_n)$  and  $V_\mu u_0 = 0$ . Similar reasoning can be used to prove the assertion about  $\ker V_\mu^*$ .  $\square$

If  $f$  and  $g$  are vectors in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , we denote by  $f \otimes g$  the rank one operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  defined by  $(f \otimes g)(z) = (z, g)f$  for all  $z$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Write  $\lambda_i = \mu(\{x_i\})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ),  $\chi_j = \chi_{(x_j, c]}$ , and  $\chi^{(j)} = \chi_{\{x_j\}}$  ( $0 \leq j \leq n$ ).

Let  $V_j$  and  $T_j$  be the operators on  $L_2(X, \mu)$  defined by

$$(1) \quad V_j = V_\mu - \chi_{j+1} \otimes \chi^{(j+1)} - \dots - \chi_n \otimes \chi^{(n)},$$

and

$$(2) \quad T_j = V_{j+1} - \lambda_{j+1} M_{j+1}$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ , where  $M_i$  is the multiplication operator induced by  $\chi_i$  on  $L_2(X, \mu)$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ), and  $V_n = V_\mu$ . As an equivalent definition of  $V_j$  we have

$$(3) \quad (V_j f)(x) = \int_{[0, x]} f(t) \, d\mu_j(t) \quad (f \in L_2(X, \mu)),$$

where  $\mu_j$  ( $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ ) is the measure on  $X = [0, c]$  such that  $\mu_j$  and  $\mu$  coincide on  $X \setminus \{x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ , and  $\mu_j(\{x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}) = 0$ .

It is easy to check that  $V_j$  and  $T_j$  leave invariant the subspaces  $L_a$  and  $L'_a$  for  $0 \leq a \leq c$ .

For  $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$  and  $j \geq 1$  we define

$$A(i, j) = (T_0 + \lambda_1)(T_1 + \lambda_2) \dots (T_{i-2} + \lambda_{i-1}) T_i T_{i+1} \dots T_{j-1},$$

with the convention that

$$A(0, j) = T_0 T_1 \dots T_{j-1} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n),$$

$$A(j, j) = (T_0 + \lambda_1)(T_1 + \lambda_2) \dots (T_{j-2} + \lambda_{j-1}) \quad (2 \leq j \leq n),$$

and

$$A(1, 1) = I.$$

By induction it follows easily that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=0}^j \lambda_i A(i, j) = (T_0 + \lambda_1)(T_1 + \lambda_2) \dots (T_{j-1} + \lambda_j)$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  (with  $\lambda_0 = 1$ ).

LEMMA 2. *If  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and if  $j \leq k$ , then*

$$(5) \quad V_j^k = \sum_{i=0}^j \lambda_i M_i V_0^{k-j} A(i, j).$$

*Proof.* First it will be proven that

$$(6) \quad V_j^k = V_{j-1}^{k-1} T_{j-1} + \lambda_j M_j V_{j-1}^{k-1} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n, k \geq 1).$$

The proof of (6) will proceed by induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 1$  the equality follows from (2). From (1) we have that  $V_j = V_{j-1} + \chi_j \otimes \chi^{(j)}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} V_j^{k+1} &= (V_{j-1} + \chi_j \otimes \chi^{(j)}) V_j^k = \\ &= V_{j-1} V_j^k + (\chi_j \otimes \chi^{(j)}) V_j^k = \\ &= V_{j-1}^k T_{j-1} + S \end{aligned} \quad \text{(by induction)}$$

where

$$S = \lambda_j V_{j-1} M_j V_{j-1}^{k-1} + (\chi_j \otimes \chi^{(j)}) V_j^k.$$

To complete the proof of (6) we have to show that  $S = \lambda_j M_j V_{j-1}^k$ . Let  $f \in L_2(X, \mu)$ . From (3) it follows that  $Sf(x) = 0$  for all  $x$  in  $[0, x_j]$ . Since the measures  $\mu_{j-1}$  and  $\mu_j$  coincide on  $[0, x_j]$ , from (3) it follows that  $(V_j^k f)(x_j) = (V_{j-1}^k f)(x_j)$ , and therefore for  $x$  in  $(x_j, c]$  we have

$$Sf(x) = \lambda_j \int_{(x_j, x)} (V_{j-1}^{k-1} f)(t) \, d\mu_{j-1}(t) + \lambda_j \int_{[0, x_j]} (V_{j-1}^{k-1} f)(t) \, d\mu_{j-1}(t).$$

Since  $\mu_{j-1}(\{x_j\}) = 0$ , we conclude that  $Sf(x) = \lambda_j (V_{j-1}^k f)(x)$ . Therefore  $S = \lambda_j M_j V_{j-1}^k$ .

Now we are ready to prove (5). Equalities (5) and (6) coincide for  $j = 1$ . If  $2 \leq j \leq n$ , then by induction it follows that

$$V_{j-1}^{k-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \lambda_i M_i V_0^{k-i} A(i, j-1).$$

If  $0 \leq i \leq j-1$ , then  $M_j M_i = M_j$  and  $A(i, j-1) T_{j-1} = A(i, j)$ ; therefore

$$\begin{aligned} M_j V_{j-1}^{k-1} &= \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \lambda_i M_j V_0^{k-i} A(i, j-1) = \\ &= M_j V_0^{k-j} A(j, j) \end{aligned} \tag{by (4)},$$

and

$$V_{j-1}^{k-1} T_{j-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \lambda_i M_i V_0^{k-i} A(i, j).$$

Therefore

$$V_{j-1}^{k-1} T_{j-1} + \lambda_j M_j V_{j-1}^{k-1} = \sum_{i=0}^j \lambda_i M_i V_0^{k-i} A(i, j).$$

From (6), the proof of (5) is complete. ▣

The following discussion concerning the usual Volterra operator  $V_\sigma$  on  $L_2[0, c]$  is well known and is included here only for the sake of completeness.

By induction it follows easily that

$$(V_\sigma^k f)(x) = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^x (x-t)^{k-1} f(t) \, dt$$

for  $f \in L_2[0, c]$  and  $k \geq 1$ . It  $e$  is the constant function one on  $[0, c]$ , then  $V_\sigma f = e * f$  (the convolution of  $e$  and  $f$ ) for all  $f$  in  $L_2[0, c]$ . Therefore  $V_\sigma^k f = e_k * f$  where  $e_k$  is the  $k$ -fold convolution of  $e$  with itself. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be in  $L_2[0, c]$ , and write  $h(x) =$

$= \overline{g(c-x)}$  for  $x$  in  $[0, c]$ . The following computation gives an expression for the inner product  $(V_\sigma^k f, g)$  in the space  $L_2[0, c]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (V_\sigma^k f, g) &= \int_0^c (V_\sigma^k f)(t) h(c-t) dt = \\ &= ((V_\sigma^k f) * h)(c) = \\ &= (e_k * f * h)(c) = \\ &= V_\sigma^k(f * h)(c). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(7) \quad (V_\sigma^k f, g) = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^c t^{k-1} (f * h)(c-t) dt$$

for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $L_2[0, c]$  and  $k \geq 1$ .

We write  $d_0 = 0$  and  $d_i = (b_1 - a_1) + \dots + (b_i - a_i)$  if  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . For  $f \in L_2(X, \mu)$  we define  $\tilde{f}$  in  $L_2[0, d_m]$  such that  $\tilde{f}|(d_{i-1}, d_i)$  is the translation of  $f| (a_i, b_i)$ . More precisely,  $\tilde{f}(t) = f(a_i + t)$  for  $t \in (d_{i-1}, d_i)$  and  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Since  $\mu_0$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $(a_i, b_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) and has no atoms, it follows immediately from (3) that  $(V_0 f)^\sim = V_\sigma \tilde{f}$ , where  $V_\sigma$  is the usual Volterra operator on  $L_2[0, d_m]$ . Therefore

$$(8) \quad (V_0^k f)^\sim = V_\sigma^k \tilde{f} \quad (f \in L_2(X, \mu), k \geq 1).$$

LEMMA 3. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be in  $L_2(X, \mu)$ . Then the inner product  $(V_\mu^k f, g)$  in the space  $L_2(X, \mu)$  is given by

$$(V_\mu^k f, g) = \frac{1}{(k-n-1)!} \int_0^{d_m} t^{k-n-1} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \tilde{f}_i * h_i \right\} (d_m - t) dt$$

for all  $k \geq n + 1$ , where

$$f_i = \lambda_i A(i, n) f \quad (0 \leq i \leq n),$$

$$f_{n+j} = \lambda_j V_0^{n-j} A(j, j) f \quad (1 \leq j \leq n),$$

$$h_i(t) = \tilde{\chi}_i(d_m - t) \tilde{g}(d_m - t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, d_m] \quad (0 \leq i \leq n),$$

and

$$h_{n+j}(t) = \overline{g(x_j)} \tilde{\chi}_{[0, x_j]}(d_m - t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, d_m] \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $(u, v)_0$  the integral  $\int_X u(t) \overline{v(t)} d\mu_0(t)$  for  $u$  and  $v$  in  $L_2(X, \mu)$ .

Assume that  $n + 1 \leq k$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , it follows from (7) and (8) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_i M_i V_0^{k-n} A(i, n) f, g)_0 &= (V_0^{k-n} f_i, \chi_i g)_0 = (V_\sigma^{k-n} \tilde{f}_i, \tilde{\chi}_i g) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(k - n - 1)!} \int_0^{d_m} t^{k-n-1} (\tilde{f}_i * h_i)(d_m - t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from Lemma 2 it follows that

$$(V_\mu^k f, g)_0 = \frac{1}{(k - n - 1)!} \int_0^{d_m} t^{k-n-1} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{f}_i * h_i \right\} (d_m - t) dt.$$

Since the measures  $\mu_{j-1}$  and  $\mu$  coincide on  $[0, x_j]$ ,  $(V_\mu^k f)(x_j) = (V_{j-1}^k f)(x_j)$ . Now from Lemma 2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (V_\mu^k f)(x_j) &= \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \lambda_i V_0^{k-j+1} A(i, j-1) f(x_j) = \\ &= V_0^{k-j+1} A(j, j) f(x_j) = && \text{(by (4))} \\ &= \int_{[0, x_j]} V_0^{k-j} A(j, j) f(t) d\mu_0(t) = && \text{(by (3))} \\ &= (V_0^{k-j} A(j, j) f, \chi_{[0, x_j]})_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_j (V_\mu^k f)(x_j) \overline{g(x_j)} &= \lambda_j (V_0^{k-n} V_0^{n-j} A(j, j) f, g(x_j) \chi_{[0, x_j]})_0 = \\ &= (V_0^{k-n} f_{n+j}, g(x_j) \chi_{[0, x_j]})_0 = \\ &= (V_\sigma^{k-n} \tilde{f}_{n+j}, g(x_j) \tilde{\chi}_{[0, x_j]}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(k - n - 1)!} \int_0^{d_m} t^{k-n-1} (\tilde{f}_{n+j} * h_{n+j})(d_m - t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

From the definition of the inner product in  $L_2(X, \mu)$  it follows that

$$(V_\mu^k f, g) = (V_\mu^k f, g)_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j (V_\mu^k f)(x_j) \overline{g(x_j)}.$$

Now the lemma follows immediately from the preceding computations.  $\square$

The next lemma is the crucial point in the proof of Theorem A. The idea is to use the Titchmarsh Convolution Theorem, just as was done in [2]. The support  $S_\mu(f)$  of  $f$  with respect to the measure  $\mu$  is the complement of the largest open set on which  $f = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ .

**THEOREM B [6].** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions in  $L_2[0, c]$  such that  $f * g = 0$  a.e.  $[\sigma]$  on  $[0, c]$ . If  $0 \in S_\sigma(f)$ , then  $g = 0$  a.e.  $[\sigma]$  on  $[0, c]$ .*

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $f$  be an element in  $L'_a$  with  $a_1 \leq a < b_1$  and  $a \in S_\sigma(f)$ . Then the closed subspace  $M$  spanned by  $f, V_\mu f, V_\mu^2 f, \dots$  is equal to  $L'_a$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $M \subset L'_a$ . Assume that  $a = a_1$ . Next it will be shown that if  $g \in L'_a \ominus M$  and  $g = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  on  $[b_m, c]$ , then  $g = 0$ . The proof will proceed by induction on the number of atoms of  $\mu$  in  $(a_1, b_m)$ .

If  $\mu$  has no atoms in  $(a_1, b_m)$ , then  $V_\mu^k f = V_0^k f$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  on  $[0, b_m]$ . Thus, by (8), it follows that  $(V_\mu^k f, g) = (V_0^k f, g) = (V_\sigma^k \tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$ . Now the conclusion follows from Theorem A for the usual Volterra operator  $V_\sigma$ .

Suppose now that  $\mu$  has at least one atom in  $(a_1, b_m)$ . From Lemma 3 it follows that

$$(*) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \tilde{f}_i * h_i = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } [\sigma] \text{ on } [0, d_m].$$

Let  $n'$  be the largest integer such that  $x_{n'} \leq a_m$ . Let  $m'$  be the smallest integer such that  $x_{n'} \leq a_{m'}$ . Let  $i \leq n'$  and  $t \in (0, d_m - d_{m'-1})$ . Since  $d_{m'-1} < d_m - t < d_m$ , it follows that  $\tilde{\chi}_{(x_i, c]}(d_m - t) = 1$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_{[0, x_i]}(d_m - t) = 0$ . Now from the definition of  $h_i$  we conclude that

$$h_0(t) = h_1(t) = \dots = h_{n'}(t) = \overline{\tilde{g}(d_m - t)}$$

and

$$h_{n+1}(t) = h_{n+2}(t) = \dots = h_{n+n'}(t) = 0$$

a.e.  $[\sigma]$  on  $(0, d_m - d_{m'-1})$ .

Let  $n' < i \leq n$ . Then  $a_m < x_i$ , and therefore  $\tilde{\chi}_{(x_i, c]} = 0$  and  $g(x_i) = 0$ . Hence  $h_i = 0$  and  $h_{n+i} = 0$ . Now from  $(*)$  we have

$$(**) \quad (\tilde{f}_0 + \tilde{f}_1 + \dots + \tilde{f}_{n'}) * h_0 = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } [\sigma] \text{ on } (0, d_m - d_{m'-1}).$$

By (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 + f_1 + \dots + f_{n'} &= (A(0, n) + \lambda_1 A(1, n) + \dots + \lambda_{n'} A(n', n))f = \\ &= (T_0 + \lambda_1)(T_1 + \lambda_2) \dots (T_{n'-1} + \lambda_{n'})f. \end{aligned}$$

From  $f \in L'_a$  and  $a = a_1$ , it follows that  $(T_{i-1} + \lambda_i)f = (V_0 + \lambda_i)f$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  on  $[a_1, b_1]$ . Therefore  $a \in S_\sigma((T_{i-1} + \lambda_i)f)$ . Since  $(T_{i-1} + \lambda_i)f \in L'_a$ , the equality above implies that  $a \in S_\sigma(f_0 + f_1 + \dots + f_{n'})$ . Hence  $0 \in S_\sigma(\tilde{f}_0 + \tilde{f}_1 + \dots + \tilde{f}_{n'})$ . Now (\*\*) and Theorem B imply that  $h_0(t) = \tilde{g}(\overline{d_m - t}) = 0$  a.e.  $[\sigma]$  on  $(0, d_m - d_{m'-1})$ . Thus from the definition of  $\tilde{g}$  we conclude that  $g = 0$  a.e.  $[\sigma]$  on  $(a_i, b_i)$  for  $m' \leq i \leq m$ . Now it follows immediately from Lemma 1 that  $V_\mu^*g = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  on  $[x_{n'}, c]$ . Write  $v = \chi_{(a,c]}V_\mu^*g$ . Then  $v \in L'_a$  and  $v = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  on  $[x_{n'}, c]$ . Let  $\nu$  be the measure on  $X$  such that  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  coincide on  $X \setminus \{x_{n'}\}$ , and  $\nu(\{x_{n'}\}) = 0$ . Let  $V_\nu$  be the integral operator induced by  $\nu$  on  $L_2(X, \nu)$ ; then  $(V_\nu^k f)(t) = (V_\mu^k f)(t)$  for  $t$  in  $[0, x_{n'})$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (V_\nu^k f, v)_\nu &= \int_{[a, x_{n'})} (V_\nu^k f)(t) \overline{v(t)} \, d\nu(t) = \\ &= \int_{[a, x_{n'})} (V_\mu^k f)(t) \overline{(V_\mu^*g)(t)} \, d\mu(t) = \\ &= (V_\mu^k f, V_\mu^*g) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all integers  $k \geq 0$ . Since the set of atoms of  $\nu$  is  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \setminus \{x_{n'}\}$ , by induction it follows that  $v = 0$  a.e.  $[\nu]$  on  $X$ . Now  $v(x_{n'}) = 0$  implies that  $V_\mu^*g = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  on  $(a, c]$ . From this, as in the proof of Lemma 1, it follows that  $g = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  on  $(a, c]$ . Hence  $g = 0$ .

To complete the proof of the lemma when  $a = a_1$ , we take any element  $g$  in  $L'_a \ominus M$ . Since  $\mu$  is purely atomic on  $[b_m, c]$ , from Lemma 1 it follows that  $V_\mu^{*p}g = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  on  $[b_m, c]$ , where  $p$  is the number of atoms of  $\mu$  in  $[b_m, c]$ . If  $g_1 = \chi_{(a,c]}V_\mu^{*p}g$ , then  $g_1 \in L'_a \ominus M$  and  $g_1 = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  on  $[b_m, c]$ . Therefore  $g_1 = 0$ . Thus  $V_\mu^{*p}g = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  on  $(a, c]$ . As in the proof of Lemma 1 we conclude that  $g = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  on  $(a, c]$ . Hence  $g = 0$ .

The case when  $a_1 < a < b_1$  can be reduced to the case just considered because  $(V_\mu^k f, g)_\mu = (V_\eta^k f, g)_\eta$  where  $\eta$  is the measure on  $X$  defined by  $\eta(E) = \mu(E \cap [a, c])$  for every Borel set  $E$  in  $X$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $f$  be an element of  $L_a$  such that  $a \in S_\mu(f)$ . Let  $M$  be the span of all vectors  $f, V_\mu f, V_\mu^2 f, \dots$ . Then*

(i)  $M = L'_a$  if  $f \cdot \chi_{\{a\}} = 0$ ,

and

(ii)  $M = L_a$  if  $f \cdot \chi_{\{a\}} \neq 0$ .



*Proof.* (i) If  $f \cdot \chi_{(a)} = 0$ , then  $f \in L'_a$ . If  $b_m \leq a$ , then  $L'_a$  is a finite dimensional subspace and  $V_\mu|_{L'_a}$  is similar to a Jordan block, and the result follows easily. Assume that  $a < b_m$ . Let  $\nu$  be the measure on  $X$  such that  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  coincide on  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \cup (a, c]$  and  $\nu((a_i, b_i)) = 0$  for all the subintervals  $(a_i, b_i)$  which are contained in  $[0, a]$ . Then  $L'_a = L_2((a, c], \nu)$  and  $a \in S_\nu(f)$ . Furthermore,  $V_\mu^k f = V_\nu^k f$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . An application of Lemma 4 to the measure  $\nu$  implies that the span of the vectors  $f, V_\nu f, V_\nu^2 f, \dots$  is equal to  $L_2((a, c], \nu)$ . Therefore  $M = L'_a$ .

(ii) If  $f \cdot \chi_{(a)} \neq 0$ , then  $a$  is an atom of  $\mu$  and  $f(a) \neq 0$ . If  $f_1 = V_\mu f$  then  $f_1 \in L'_a$  and  $a \in S_\mu(f_1)$ . Therefore from (i) it follows that  $L'_a \subset M$ . Now  $f(a) \neq 0$  and  $f \in M$  imply that  $L_a \subset M$ . Hence  $M = L_a$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem A.* For  $f$  in  $L_2(X, \mu)$  we denote by  $a(f)$  the infimum of the  $\mu$ -support of  $f$ .

Let  $M$  be an invariant subspace for  $V_\mu$ . If  $a = \inf\{a(f) : f \in M\}$ , then  $M \subset L_a$ . Let  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $M$  such that  $a(f_k) \rightarrow a$  ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ). From Corollary 1 it follows that  $L_{a(f_k)} \subset M$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Therefore  $L'_a \subset M \subset L_a$ . Since the codimension of  $L'_a$  with respect to  $L_a$  is either zero or one, it follows that  $M = L'_a$  or  $M = L_a$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. BARRÍA, J., *On chains of invariant subspaces*, Indiana University Dissertation, 1974.
2. KALISCH, G. K., A functional analysis proof of Titchmarsh's convolution theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **5**(1962), 176–183.
3. ROSENTHAL, P., Examples of invariant subspace lattices, *Duke Math. J.*, **37**(1970), 103–112.
4. SARASON, D., A remark on the Volterra operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **12**(1965), 244–246.
5. SCHREIBER, M., Remark on a paper of Kalisch, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **7**(1963), 62–63.
6. TITCHMARSH, E. C., The zeros of certain integral functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **25**(1926), 288–302.

JOSE BARRÍA

*Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas,  
Departamento de Matemáticas,  
Apartado 1827, Caracas 1010 – A,  
Venezuela.*

Received September 16, 1980.