

GAUGE ACTIONS ON \mathcal{O}_A

DAVID E. EVANS

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose A is a zero-one $n \times n$ matrix, satisfying certain standard mild conditions as in [12, 9, 10]. Then \mathcal{O}_A , the C^* -algebra generated by n non-zero partial isometries S_1, \dots, S_n satisfying

$$S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A(i, j) S_j S_j^* \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

was introduced in [12] and shown to be uniquely determined by these relations. Here we consider the natural gauge action of the circle group \mathbf{T} on \mathcal{O}_A , where $t \in \mathbf{T}$ sends a generator S_i of \mathcal{O}_A to tS_i . If $G = G(p)$ is the torsion subgroup of \mathbf{T} determined by a generalized integer p , we consider $C^*(\mathcal{O}_A, G(p))$ the crossed product of \mathcal{O}_A under this action of $G(p)$, and compute the K -groups of this crossed product. In particular taking \mathcal{O}_n , the C^* -algebra of the full n -shift, this enables us to show that for fixed n, p is a complete invariant for $C^*(\mathcal{O}_n, G(p))$.

In [18] we showed how to construct \mathcal{O}_n from shift operators on Fock space $\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} (\otimes^m C^n)$. In §2 this construction is modified to get \mathcal{O}_A from shift operators on a subspace F_A of Fock space. This enables one to give a canonical definition of \mathcal{O}_A for any matrix A without restriction. Thus if A is a permutation matrix, \mathcal{O}_A is canonically isomorphic to $B \otimes C(\mathbf{T})$ where B is a finite dimensional C^* -algebra. In the other extreme we consider the full n -shift, and give an elementary proof of simplicity of \mathcal{O}_n .

In §2 we consider the C^* -algebra produced by considering weighted shifts on F_A of period p , for some positive integer p . This algebra can be identified as $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ for the matrix $A(p) = A \otimes J_p$ where J_p is an irreducible $p \times p$ permutation matrix. $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ can also be identified as $C^*(\mathcal{O}_A, G(p))$, [11, 17]. This particularly concrete representation of $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ naturally leads us to embed $\mathcal{O}_{A(p_1)}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{A(p_2)}$ if $p_1 | p_2$ and define

$\mathcal{O}_{A(p)} := \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{A(p_i)} \right)^-$ if p is a generalized integer determined by a sequence of positive integers (p_i) where $p_i | p_{i+1}$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ can be identified with $C^*(\mathcal{O}_A, G(p))$. If $n = 1$, $A = 1$, these algebras are precisely the weighted shift algebras of Bunce and Deddens [4].

In §4 we compute the K-groups of $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$, and deduce that p is a complete invariant for $\mathcal{U}_{n(p)} = C^*(\mathcal{O}_n, G(p))$ for fixed n . In particular we recover the result of Bunce and Deddens [4] when $n = 1$. In §5 we study the stable algebra of $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ and show that it can be expressed as the crossed product of an AF algebra by an automorphism, (cf. [12]). Moreover if an integer p_1 divides a generalized integer p , we show that $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{A^{p_1(p/p_1)}}$ are stably isomorphic, but not isomorphic in general. In particular if p is finite, $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ and \mathcal{O}_{A^p} are stably isomorphic. In this case, this can be regarded as a consequence of the flow equivalence of $A(p)$ and A^p , and the fact that \mathcal{O}_A is a stable isomorphism invariant of flow equivalence [12, 9].

We let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, respectively $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the bounded, respectively compact operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ will denote the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. If \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra, $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ will denote its multiplier algebra.

I am grateful to K. Schmidt and W. Parry for discussions on topological Markov chains and the referee of an earlier version of this paper for his remarks, particularly in pointing out the relationship between the algebras considered here and twisted tensor products of [8] and certain groupoid C^* -algebras of [24].

This work was essentially completed during a visit to the University of Copenhagen. I am grateful to E. Christensen, G. A. Elliott, D. Olesen and G. K. Pedersen for their hospitality, and the Royal Society for their financial support.

2. SHIFT ALGEBRAS

Let $\Sigma = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and H be a n -dimensional Hilbert space, with orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_n . Define Fock space $F(H)$ to be $\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} (\otimes^m H)$, where $\otimes^0 H$ denotes a one-dimensional Hilbert space spanned by a unit vector Ω , the vacuum. If u is a unitary on H , define $F(u)$ to be the unitary $\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} (\otimes^m u)$ on $F(H)$ where $\otimes^0 u = 1$. If $h \in H$, let $O^F(h)$ [18] denote the operator on $F(H)$ such that $O^F(h)f = h \otimes f$, $f \in \otimes^m H$, $m \geq 1$, and $O^F(h)\Omega = h$. Then

$$F(u)O^F(h)F(u)^* = O^F(uh),$$

$$O^F(h)^*O^F(h') = \langle h', h \rangle 1,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n O^F(e_i)O^F(e_i)^* = 1, \quad \text{for } h, h' \in H,$$

u unitary on H .

Let $A = [A(i, j)]$ be a $n \times n$ matrix with $A(i, j) \in \{0, 1\}$, and let \mathcal{M}_A^m for $m \geq 2$ denote the set of multi-indices $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in \Sigma^m$, for which $A(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1}) = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, and put $\mathcal{M}_A^1 = \Sigma$, $\mathcal{M}_A = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_A^m$. If $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in \Sigma^m$, let $e_\mu = e_{\mu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\mu_m} \in \otimes^m H$. Let F_A^m for $m \geq 1$, denote the subspace of $\otimes^m H$ spanned by $\{e_\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_A^m\}$, and $F_A = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} F_A^m$ where $F_A^0 = \mathbb{C}\Omega$, and let p be the projection of $F(H)$ on F_A . For $i \in \Sigma$, define $\bar{S}_i = pO^F(e_i)p \in \mathcal{B}(F_A)$. Then S_i is a partial isometry such that $\bar{S}_i\Omega = e_i$

$$\bar{S}_i e_{\mu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\mu_m} = \begin{cases} e_i \otimes e_{\mu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\mu_m} & \text{if } A(i, \mu_1) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in \mathcal{M}_A^m$, $m \geq 1$. Then

$$\bar{S}_i^* \bar{S}_i = \sum_j A(i, j) \bar{S}_j \bar{S}_j^* + \Omega \otimes \bar{\Omega}, \quad i \in \Sigma$$

where $\Omega \otimes \bar{\Omega}$ denotes the projection on the vacuum. If $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in \Sigma^m$, let $\bar{S}_\mu = \bar{S}_{\mu_1} \dots \bar{S}_{\mu_m}$, so that $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_A^m$ if and only if $\bar{S}_\mu \neq 0$, in which case $e_\mu = S_\mu \Omega$. If $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_A$, then

$$\bar{S}_\mu [\bar{S}_i^* \bar{S}_i - \sum_j A(i, j) \bar{S}_j \bar{S}_j^*] \bar{S}_\nu^*$$

is the rank one operator, $e_\mu \otimes \bar{e}_\nu$. Thus \mathcal{O}_A^F , the C^* -algebra generated by the operators $\{\bar{S}_i : i \in \Sigma\}$ contains the compact operators $\mathcal{K}(F_A)$ on F_A . We can thus form the quotient $\mathcal{O}_A^F / \mathcal{K}(F_A)$ which we call \mathcal{O}_A (c.f. [12]), and denote the image of \bar{S}_μ , $\mu \in \Sigma^m$ in this quotient algebra by S_μ . Then \mathcal{O}_A is generated by partial isometries S_i which satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad S_i^* S_i = \sum_j A(i, j) S_j S_j^*, \quad i \in \Sigma$$

(cf. [12]). This modification of [17] to get operators from Fock space satisfying (2.1) has been observed by a number of people including K. Schmidt and [15]. Note that S_j is non-zero if and only if

$$\{(\mu_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} : \mu_k \in \Sigma, A(j, \mu_1) = 1 = A(\mu_k, \mu_{k+1}), k = 1, 2, \dots\} \neq \emptyset.$$

In particular, $S_j \neq 0$ for every j if and only if every column of A is non-zero.

If $t \in \mathbf{T}$, $F(t)$ leaves F_A invariant and if we let $G(t) = F(t)|_{F_A}$, then $G(t) \bar{S}_i G(t)^* = t \bar{S}_i$, $t \in \mathbf{T}$, $i \in \Sigma$. Let $\{\alpha(t) : t \in \mathbf{T}\}$ denote the induced strongly

continuous automorphism group of \mathcal{O}_A such that $\alpha(t)S_i = tS_i$, $t \in \mathbf{T}$, $i \in \Sigma$. In particular, if $\mu \in \Sigma^m$ and $S_\mu \neq 0$, then $\sigma(S_\mu) \supset \mathbf{T}$.

If $n = 1$, $A = 1$, then \bar{S}_1 is the unilateral shift on $F(\mathbf{C}) \cong \ell_2$, $\mathcal{O}_1^F = C^*(\bar{S}_1)$, and $\mathcal{O}_1 = C^*(S_1) \cong C(\mathbf{T})$, as S_1 is unitary with $\sigma(S_1) = \mathbf{T}$, (cf. [5]). Thus $\mathcal{O}_1 \cong C(\mathbf{T})$ as in [8].

Let \mathcal{F}_A denote the fixed point algebra of α . Then \mathcal{F}_A is an AF algebra and can be described as follows. Let P_i denote the range projection $S_i S_i^*$ of S_i , $i \in \Sigma$. Then \mathcal{F}_A is the inductive limit of

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathbf{C}P_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{C}P_n, \quad \mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F}_k^1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{F}_k^n,$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_k^i = C^*(S_\mu P_i S_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_A^k)$$

are simple matrix algebras and the multiplicities of the embedding of \mathcal{F}_k in \mathcal{F}_{k+1} is given by A^t ; see [12, 9] for details.

A property of A called (I) was isolated in [12, 9] and shown to be equivalent to the uniqueness of the C^* -algebra generated by n non-zero partial isometries satisfying (2.1). Moreover, if A satisfies a stronger condition called (II), then the ideal structure of \mathcal{O}_A was determined [9, Theorem 2.5]. In particular if A is irreducible, and not a permutation matrix, then \mathcal{O}_A is simple. Thus if the $n \times n$ matrix C has entries $C(i, j) \equiv 1$, where $n \geq 2$, then the C^* -algebra \mathcal{O}_C , which is also called \mathcal{O}_n , is simple (see also [6]). Here we give an elementary proof of the simplicity of \mathcal{O}_n , inspired by [13]:

THEOREM 2.1. \mathcal{O}_n is simple for $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Let $P = \int \alpha(t)dt$ denote the faithful conditional expectation of \mathcal{O}_n onto $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}_C$, which is a UHF algebra with faithful trace τ . Let φ denote the averaging map or averaging process given by $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n S_i^* x S_i$, $x \in \mathcal{O}_n$. Then as observed in [1] it is easy to show that

$$\tau \circ P(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^m(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{O}_n$$

if $n \geq 2$. Thus if J is a non-zero ideal in \mathcal{O}_n , take $0 \neq x \in J_+$. Then $\tau \circ P(x) \in J$ as φ leaves ideals invariant. Hence J contains a non-zero scalar as $\tau \circ P$ is a faithful state on \mathcal{O}_n . Hence $J = \mathcal{O}_n$.

REMARK. The above argument is similar to Powers' argument [23] on simplicity of the reduced C^* -algebra of the free group on n generators. In fact \mathcal{O}_n^F can be regarded as the reduced C^* -algebra of the free semigroup on n -generators [16]. The same argument can be adapted to show that if \mathcal{A} is the C^* -algebra generated by any n -non-zero isometries S_i satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = 1$, then \mathcal{A} is simple. Following [13], take a unitary S with spectrum equal to the whole circle, and let γ be the strongly continuous automorphism group of $C^*(S)$ such that $\alpha(t)$ denote the restriction of $1 \otimes \gamma(t)$ on $\mathcal{A} \otimes C^*(S)$ to $C^*(S_i \otimes S : i \in \Sigma)$ so that $\alpha(t)(S_i \otimes S) = t(S_i \otimes S)$. Then the argument in the above theorem shows that $C^*(S_i \otimes S)$ is simple. Hence by taking a character χ of $C^*(S)$ and mapping $C^*(S_i \otimes S)$ onto $C^*(S_i)$ by $1 \otimes \chi$ it follows that $C^*(S_i)$ is simple. Uniqueness follows as in [13].

THEOREM 2.2. *If A is an irreducible $n \times n$ permutation matrix, then \mathcal{O}_A is canonically isomorphic to $M_n \otimes C(\mathbf{T})$.*

Proof. We take $A(i, i-1) = 1 = A(1, n)$, $i = 2, \dots, n$ and $A(i, j) = 0$ otherwise. Then $\{\bar{S}_i : i \in \Sigma\}$ are operators on F_A such that

$$\bar{S}_i^* \bar{S}_i = \bar{S}_{i-1} \bar{S}_{i-1}^* + \bar{\Omega}_i \otimes \bar{\Omega}, \quad i \in \Sigma,$$

and where the indices are taken mod n . Let $H_n = \bar{S}_n \bar{S}_n^* F_A$, $i \in \Sigma$, and consider $W : F_A \rightarrow H_n \oplus \dots \oplus H_n$, (n -copies) given by

$$W\zeta = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_n S_{n-1} \dots S_i S_i^* \zeta, \quad \zeta \in F_A,$$

so that

$$W^* \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \zeta_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* \dots S_n^* \zeta_i, \quad \zeta_i \in H_n.$$

Then $W^*W = 1$, WW^* is a finite rank projection and so W is a Fredholm partial isometry which induces an isomorphism α of $Q(F_A)$ onto $M_n \otimes Q(H_n)$. If e_{ij} denote the canonical matrix units in M_n , it is easily checked that

$$\alpha(S_i) = e_{i-1, i} \otimes 1 \quad i \neq 1$$

$$\alpha(S_1) = e_{n, 1} \otimes S_n \dots S_1.$$

Thus α takes \mathcal{O}_A onto $M_n \otimes C^*(S_n \dots S_1) \cong M_n \otimes C(\mathbf{T})$, as $\sigma(S_n \dots S_1) = \mathbf{T}$.

It follows that if A is a $n \times n$ permutation matrix, then \mathcal{O}_A is isomorphic to $B \otimes C(\mathbf{T})$, where B is a finite dimensional C^* -algebra.

If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N})$, an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in \mathbb{N} , let A' [12] be the associated, strong shift equivalent, zero-one matrix defined as follows. The state space Σ' of A' is

$$\{(i, j, k) : i, k \in \Sigma, 1 \leq j \leq A(i, k)\},$$

and $A'((i, j, k), (i', j', k')) = 1$ if $k = i'$ and 0 otherwise.

If A is a zero-one matrix, and $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we let

$$F_i = F_A^i + F_A^{i+p} + F_A^{i+2p} + \dots, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i < p.$$

Then if $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_A^p$, \bar{S}_μ leaves F_i invariant, and let \bar{T}_μ denote the restriction of \bar{S}_μ to F_1 . Let $\pi : \mathcal{B}(F_1) \rightarrow Q(F_1)$ denote the quotient map, and set $T_\mu = \pi(\bar{T}_\mu)$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_A^p$.

THEOREM 2.3. *If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N})$ and $p \geq 1$, there is a natural isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{(A^p)}$, and $C^*(T_\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_A^p)$.*

Proof. The state space Σ' of $(A^p)' = B$ can be identified with \mathcal{M}_A^{p+1} , and for $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p+1})$, $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{p+1}) \in \mathcal{M}_A^{p+1}$, $B(\mu, \nu) = 1$ if $\mu_{p+1} = \nu_1$, and 0 otherwise. There is a unitary map U of $\bigoplus_{m=1}^\infty F_B^m$ onto $\bigoplus_{m=1}^\infty F_A^{mp+1}$ given by

$$e_{\mu^1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\mu^m} \rightarrow e_{\mu_1^1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\mu_p^1} \otimes e_{\mu_1^2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\mu_p^2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\mu_{p-1}^m} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\mu_1^m} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\mu_{p+1}^m}$$

for $\mu^i = (\mu_1^i, \dots, \mu_{p+1}^i) \in \mathcal{M}_A^{p+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $(\mu^1, \dots, \mu^m) \in \mathcal{M}_B^m$. To avoid confusion, we write \bar{R}_μ etc. ($\mu \in \mathcal{M}_A^{p+1} = \Sigma'$) for the shift operators on F_B . Then

$$U \bar{R}_\mu f = \bar{S}_{\mu_1} \dots \bar{S}_{\mu_{p+1}} \bar{S}_{\mu_{p+1}}^* U f,$$

for $f \in \bigoplus_{m=1}^\infty F_B^m$, $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p+1}) \in \mathcal{M}_A^{p+1}$. Thus going to the Calkin algebras, U induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}_B = C^*(R_\mu : \mu \in \Sigma')$ onto $C^*(\pi(\bar{T}_\mu \bar{T}_i \bar{T}_i^*) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_A^p, i \in \Sigma) = C^*(T_\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_A^p)$, by elementary computations, and where we have denoted the restriction of $\bar{S}_i \bar{S}_i^*$ to F_1 by $\bar{T}_i \bar{T}_i^*$.

In particular taking $p = 1$, it is seen that if A is a zero-one matrix then $\mathcal{C}_A \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A'}$. Thus if $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{N})$, we can define unambiguously \mathcal{C}_A to be $\mathcal{C}_{A'}$.

3. WEIGHTED SHIFT ALGEBRAS

We will now modify the construction of \mathcal{C}_A from Fock space in order to build up inductive limits of such algebras.

Let A be a zero-one $n \times n$ matrix, and $p \geq 1$. Define subspaces F_0, \dots, F_{p-1} of F_A as in §2 by $F_j = F_A^j \oplus F_A^{j+p} \oplus F_A^{j+2p} \oplus \dots$ so that $F_A = F_0 \oplus \dots \oplus F_{p-1}$,

and let p_j denote the projection on F_j . Let $\Sigma(p) = \Sigma \times \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ and we will identify $\{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ with the group $\mathbf{Z}_p = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$, in the obvious way. For $\alpha = (i, j) \in \Sigma(p)$, we let \bar{R}_α denote the partial isometry on F_A with initial projection in F_{j-1} and range projection in F_j given by $\bar{R}_\alpha = \bar{S}_i p_{j-1}$. Let $\mathcal{O}^F(A, p)$ denote the C^* -algebra generated by $\{\bar{R}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma(p)\}$, so that $\mathcal{O}^F(A, p) \cong \mathcal{O}_A^F \cong \mathcal{K}(F_A)$, since $\bar{S}_i = \sum_{j=1}^p \bar{R}_{(i, j)}$.

We let R_α denote the image of \bar{R}_α in $\mathcal{O}^F(A, p)/\mathcal{K}(F_A)$. If $\Sigma(p)$ is ordered by $(i, j) \leq (i', j')$ if $j < j'$ or if $j = j'$ and $i \leq i'$, let $B = A(p)$ denote the $np \times np$ matrix on the state space $\Sigma(p)$ given by

$$(3.1) \quad B = A(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & A \\ A & 0 & & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ 0 & & & A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $R_\alpha^* R_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \in \Sigma(p)} B(\alpha, \beta) R_\beta R_\beta^*$ if $\alpha \notin \Sigma \times \{p\}$ and $R_\alpha^* R_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \in \Sigma(p)} B(\alpha, \beta) \bar{R}_\beta \bar{R}_\beta^* + \Omega \otimes \bar{\Omega}$, if $\alpha \in \Sigma \times \{p\}$. Thus $R_\alpha^* R_\alpha = \sum B(\alpha, \beta) R_\beta R_\beta^*$, $\alpha \in \Sigma(p)$.

$\mathcal{O}^F(A, p)$ can be regarded as a weighted shift C^* -algebra. If $\gamma \in \ell_\infty$, $i \in \Sigma$, let $\bar{R}_i(\gamma)$ denote the bounded operator of F_A given by

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{R}_i(\gamma) e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_m} = \begin{cases} \gamma_m e_i \otimes e_{i_m} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_m} & \text{if } A(i, i_1) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in \mathcal{M}_A^m$. If γ is of period p , i.e. $\gamma_m = \gamma_{m+p}$ for all m , then $R_i(\gamma)$ can be represented as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \gamma_p S_{(i, p)} \\ \gamma_1 S_{(i, 1)} & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \gamma_{p-1} S_{(i, p-1)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

on $F_0 \oplus \dots \oplus F_{p-1}$. Then $\mathcal{O}^F(A, p)$ is the C^* -algebra generated by $\{R_i(\gamma) : i \in \Sigma\}$ as γ ranges over all sequences of period p , (cf. [3, 4] for the case $n = 1$). Notice also that if one takes the matrix of the full shift $A(i, j) \equiv 1$, and unbounded weights in (3.2) and project onto Boson and Fermion Fock space, one gets the Bose and Fermi creation operators, [18].

Let $\bar{T}_{(i, j)} = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{p-1} \bar{R}_{(i, j+r)} \in \mathcal{B}(F_A \oplus \dots \oplus F_A)$, for $(i, j) \in \Sigma(p)$, and let

$\pi : \mathcal{B}(\oplus F_A) \rightarrow Q(\oplus F_A)$ denote the quotient map, and $T_{(i, j)} = \pi(\bar{T}_{(i, j)})$. Then

there is a natural isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{A(p)}$ and $C^*(T_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma(p))$. Let $\Omega' = \Omega \oplus \dots \oplus \Omega \in F_A \oplus \dots \oplus F_A$, (p -copies), and let Ω'' denote the vacuum of $F_{A(p)}$, with shift operators $\{\bar{N}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma(p)\}$ on $F_{A(p)}$. Then $V : \bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty F_{A(p)}^k \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty F_A^k \right)$ (p -copies) given by $\bar{N}_\mu \Omega'' \rightarrow T_\mu \Omega'$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{A(p)}^k$, $k \geq 1$ gives a unitary which induces an isomorphism of $Q(F_{A(p)})$ onto $Q(\bigoplus F_A)$ taking \bar{N}_α onto \bar{T}_α , $\alpha \in \Sigma(p)$. The coordinate map $\bar{T}_{(i,j)} \rightarrow \bar{R}_{(i,j)}$ then induces a homomorphism of $\mathcal{C}_{A(p)}$ onto $C^*(R_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma(p))$, (which is an isomorphism if A satisfies (I), since then $A(p)$ also satisfies (I)).

By §2, there is an action $\bar{\alpha}$ (respectively α) of $\mathbf{Z}_p = \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ on \mathcal{C}_A^F , (respectively \mathcal{C}_A) given by $\bar{\alpha}(q) : \bar{S}_i \rightarrow \gamma^q \bar{S}_i$ (respectively $\alpha(q) : S_i \rightarrow \gamma^q S_i$) where $\gamma = \exp(2\pi i/p)$, $q \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$. Let $\bar{\theta} : \mathcal{C}_A^F \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{C}_A^F, \mathbf{Z}_p) \subseteq M_p(\mathcal{B}(F_A))$ denote the canonical embedding in the crossed product, so that $\bar{\theta}(\bar{S}_i) = \bar{S}_i \oplus \bar{\gamma} \bar{S}_i \oplus \dots \oplus \bar{\gamma}^{p-1} \bar{S}_i$, and let U be the unitary in $C^*(\mathcal{C}_A^F, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ implementing $\bar{\alpha}$, with spectral projections E_j , and spectral decomposition $U = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \gamma^j E_j$ so that $\bar{\theta}(\bar{S}_i) E_j = E_j \bar{\theta}(\bar{S}_i)$. Then

let $\bar{L}_{(i,j)} = \bar{\theta}(\bar{S}_i) E_j \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, if $H = F_A \oplus \dots \oplus F_A$ (p -copies), and $C^*(\mathcal{C}_A^F, \mathbf{Z}_p) \simeq C^*(L_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma(p))$. Similarly, one can define $L_{(i,j)} = \theta(S_i) E_j \in Q(H)$ and $C^*(\mathcal{C}_A, \mathbf{Z}_p) = C^*(L_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma(p))$. Then put $\bar{M}_{(i,j)} = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{p-1} L_{(i,j+r)} \in \mathcal{B}(H \oplus \dots \oplus H)$

(p -copies), and if $\pi : \mathcal{B}(\bigoplus H) \rightarrow Q(\bigoplus H)$ is the quotient map, put $M_{(i,j)} = \pi(\bar{M}_{(i,j)})$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{A(p)}$ and $C^*(M_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma(p))$. In fact let $\Omega' = (\Omega \oplus \dots \oplus \Omega) / \sqrt{p} \in H$, and $\Omega'' = \Omega' \oplus \dots \oplus \Omega' \in H \oplus \dots \oplus H$ (p -copies). Define a Fredholm partial isometry V from $H \oplus \dots \oplus H$ into $F_{A(p)}$ by $V : M_{\alpha_1} \dots M_{\alpha_m} \Omega'' \rightarrow \bar{S}_{\alpha_1} \dots \bar{S}_{\alpha_m} \Omega$, where Ω here is the vacuum in $F_{A(p)}$, S_α are the shifts on $F_{A(p)}$ and $\alpha_i \in \Sigma(p)$, $m \geq 1$. (Details are left to the reader). Thus V induces an isomorphism of $Q(H \oplus \dots \oplus H)$ onto $Q(F_{A(p)})$ taking M_α onto S_α , $\alpha \in \Sigma(p)$. Taking the coordinate map $M_\alpha \rightarrow L_\alpha$ induces a homomorphism of $\mathcal{C}_{A(p)}$ onto $C^*(\mathcal{C}_A, \mathbf{Z}_p)$, (which is an isomorphism if A satisfies (I), cf. [11]).

The following will shed some light on the relationship between the crossed product $C^*(\mathcal{C}_A, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ and the fixed point algebra $\mathcal{C}_A^{\mathbf{Z}_p}$.

LEMMA 3.1. *If A is a zero-one matrix, A^p is flow equivalent to $A(p)$.*

Proof. Let $C_i = [C_{r,s}^i]_{r,s=1}^p$, $D_i = [D_{r,s}^i]_{r,s=1}^p$ denote the $p \times p$ matrices $i = 1, \dots, p-1$ where

$$C_{r,s}^i = 0 \text{ if } r \neq s, \quad C_{r,r}^i = 1 \text{ if } r \neq i+1, \quad C_{i+1,i+1}^i = A^i$$

and

$$D_{r,r-1}^i = 1 \text{ if } 1 < r \leq i + 1, \quad D_{r,r-1}^i = A \text{ if } r > i + 1,$$

$$D_{1,p}^i = A, \quad \text{and } D_{r,s} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then $A(p) = C_1 D_1$, $D_1 C_1 = C_2 D_2$, \dots and $D_{p-1} C_{p-1} = E = [E_{r,s}]_{r,s=1}^p$, where $E_{r,r-1} = 1$ if $r = 2, \dots, p$, $E_{1,p} = A^p$, and $E_{r,s} = 0$ otherwise. Thus E is strong shift equivalent to $A(p)$. It is a routine matter using operation (ii) of [21, Theorem] to show that E is flow equivalent to A^p .

Now suppose A and its transpose satisfy (I), then so do $A(p)$, $(A^p)'$ and their transposes. In which case by [9, Theorem 2.4] and the above Lemma, $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ and \mathcal{O}_{A^p} are stably isomorphic. This will also be shown directly in §5. Note that by [11] the fixed point algebra $\mathcal{O}_A^{\mathbb{Z}^p}$ is isomorphic to $C^*(S_\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_A^p)$ which by Theorem 2.3 is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_{A^p} . Thus in this case, $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)} \simeq C^*(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ and $\mathcal{O}_A^{\mathbb{Z}^p} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{A^p}$ are stably isomorphic.

From now on we assume that A satisfies (I). Let p_1, p_2 be positive integers with $p_1 | p_2$, and let $q = p_2/p_1$. Then we have a natural embedding \bar{e} of $\mathcal{O}_{A(p_1)}^F$ in $\mathcal{O}_{A(p_2)}^F$, since every sequence of period p_1 is also of period p_2 . If $\alpha = (i, j) \in \Sigma(p_1)$, we let $A(\alpha) = \{(i, r p_1 + j), r = 0, 1, \dots, q - 1\} \subseteq \Sigma(p_2)$. Then under this embedding \bar{R}_α in $\mathcal{O}_{A(p_1)}^F$ is mapped onto $\sum_{\beta \in A(\alpha)} \bar{R}_\beta$ in $\mathcal{O}_{A(p_2)}^F$. Then in the quotient algebras, $\mathcal{O}_{A(p_1)}^F / \mathcal{K}(F_A)$ is mapped into $\mathcal{O}_{A(p_2)}^F / \mathcal{K}(F_A)$ with R_α mapped onto $\sum_{\beta \in A(\alpha)} R_\beta$.

If we embed \mathbf{Z}_{p_1} in \mathbf{Z}_{p_2} by identifying $x \bmod p_1$ with $xq \bmod p_2$, $x \in \mathbf{Z}$, then identifying $C^*(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathbf{Z}_{p_1})$ with $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{Z}_{p_1}, \mathcal{O}_A)$, the C^* -algebra of \mathcal{O}_A valued functions on \mathbf{Z}_{p_1} , with twisted convolution, the function $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{Z}_{p_1}, \mathcal{O}_A)$ is mapped onto \bar{f} in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{Z}_{p_2}, \mathcal{O}_A)$, given by

$$\bar{f}(g) = \begin{cases} f(g) & \text{if } g \in \mathbf{Z}_{p_1} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_{p_2} \\ 0 & \text{if } g \in \mathbf{Z}_{p_2} \setminus \mathbf{Z}_{p_1}. \end{cases}$$

Then the embeddings $\mathcal{O}_{A(p_1)} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{A(p_2)}$ and $C^*(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathbf{Z}_{p_1}) \subseteq C^*(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathbf{Z}_{p_2})$ are compatible with the previous identifications.

Let $p = \{p_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of integers such that $p_i | p_{i+1}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ denote the inductive limit of $\{\mathcal{O}_{A(p_i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ under the natural embeddings of $\mathcal{O}_{A(p_i)}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{A(p_{i+1})}$, which can be regarded as a subalgebra of Calkin algebra $\mathcal{B}(F_A) / \mathcal{K}(F_A)$. Note that if G denotes the discrete subgroup of the circle generated by $\{e^{2\pi i/p_j} : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ then $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ can be identified with the crossed product $C^*(\mathcal{O}_A, G)$ under the natural gauge action of §2. If A is an aperiodic matrix, then $A(p)$ is irreducible for finite p , in which case $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ will be simple for any generalized integer p .

If $A \in M_n(\mathbf{N})$ we let $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)} = \mathcal{O}_{A'(p)}$. This is unambiguous because if A is such a matrix and p is finite then $A'(p) = A(p)'$ and so by Theorem 2.3, $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{A'(p)}$, for finite p , and hence for all sequences considered above. In particular, if $A(i, j) \equiv 1$, we can consistently write $\mathcal{O}_{n(p)}$ for the corresponding $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$.

These algebras $\mathcal{O}_{n(p)}$ are related to some others appearing in the literature. If p is finite, $\mathcal{O}_{n(p)}$ can be identified as the twisted tensor product $\mathbf{C}^p \times \mathcal{C}_n$ of \mathbf{C}^p by \mathcal{C}_n acting by cyclic permutation of the factors. In fact if $\{e_{ij}\}$ are canonical matrix units in M_p , let $u = \sum_{i=1}^p e_{i, i-1}$. Then the twisted tensor product $\mathbf{C}^p \times \mathcal{O}_n$ is defined in [8] to be the C^* -subalgebra of $M_p \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$ generated by $\mathbf{C}^p \otimes 1$ and $\{u \otimes S_i : i \in \Sigma\}$. Then $\mathbf{C}^p \times \mathcal{O}_n$ is also generated by the np partial isometries $\{e_{jj}u \otimes S_i : (i, j) \in \Sigma(p)\}$ which generate $\mathcal{O}_{n(p)}$. Renault [Chapter III.2] has expressed \mathcal{O}_n as a groupoid C^* -algebra. First let G_n be the groupoid corresponding to the transformation group $\bigoplus_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{Z}_n$ acting pointwise on $\prod_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{Z}_n$ with the product topology. Let \mathbf{Z} act on G_n by a shift, σ , in the natural way, and form $G_n \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbf{Z}$ the semi-direct product of groupoids [24, 1.1.7]. Let $O_n^0 = \{u \in \prod \mathbf{Z}_n : u_i = 0 \text{ if } i < 0\}$ which is a subset of the unit space of G_n . Then the Cuntz groupoid O_n is the reduction of $G_n \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbf{Z}$ to O_n^0 [24]. Let $c_0 : G_n \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p$ be the cocycle given by $c_0(g, m) = m \bmod p$ for $g \in G_n, m \in \mathbf{Z}$, and c be the restriction of c_0 to O_n . Then the C^* -algebra $C^*(O_n \rtimes_c \mathbf{Z}_p)$ of the skew products of groupoids (as defined in [24, 1.1.6]) is isomorphic by [24, 2.5.7] to the C^* -crossed product $C^*(C^*(O_n), \mathbf{Z}_p)$, where $\mathcal{O}_n = C^*(O_n)$ the C^* -algebra of the groupoid O_n , and the action of \mathbf{Z}_p on \mathcal{O}_n is seen to be the canonical defined in §2.

The analogous algebras in the case $n = 1$ are those of Bunce and Deddens [4]. If $n = 1, A = 1$, then $\mathcal{O}^F(1, p)/\mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \simeq M_p \otimes \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{T})$ by [4]. Thus by Theorem 2.2, $\mathcal{O}^F(1, p)/\mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \simeq \mathcal{O}_A$ where A is an irreducible $p \times p$ permutation matrix. We thus write $\mathcal{O}_{1(p)}$ for $\mathcal{O}^F(1, p)/\mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \simeq \mathcal{O}_A \simeq M_p \otimes \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{T})$, if p is finite, and if $p = \{p_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ with $p_i \mid p_{i+1}$, we let $\mathcal{O}_{1(p)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{1(p_i)}$. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{1(p)}$ can also be described as $C^*(\mathbf{T}, G)$ (or $C^*(\mathcal{O}_1, G)$) where G is the torsion subgroup of \mathbf{T} associated with p as defined previously, and where G acts by rotations [19, page 248].

4. COMPUTING THE K-GROUPS OF WEIGHTED SHIFT ALGEBRAS

From now on we assume that A is a zero-one matrix, satisfying (I), with $n \geq 2$. The quotient map from \mathbf{Z}^n onto $\mathbf{Z}^n/(1 - A)\mathbf{Z}^n$ will be denoted by $[\cdot]$, and the canonical basis for \mathbf{Z}^n by $\{f_i : i \in \Sigma\}$. In [9], $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ was computed to be isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}^n/(1 - A)\mathbf{Z}^n$, and generated by the equivalence classes of $P_i = S_i S_i^*, i \in \Sigma$, which

are identified with $[f_i]$, $i \in \Sigma$. Moreover in [9, 10], $K_1(\mathcal{O}_A)$ was shown to be isomorphic to $\text{Ker}(1 - A^t)$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i f_i \in \text{Ker}(1 - A^t)$, with $x_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, then taking the equivalence class of $\sum x_i S_i$ (suitably interpreted and modified via unitaries in \mathcal{F}_A) essentially gives a bijection between $\text{Ker}(1 - A^t)$ and $K_1(\mathcal{O}_A)$.

If p_1 and p_2 are positive integers with $p_1 | p_2$, then $e : \mathcal{O}_{A(p_1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A(p_2)}$ induces maps $e_* : K_*(\mathcal{O}_{A(p_1)}) \rightarrow K_*(\mathcal{O}_{A(p_2)})$. We already know from §3 that $\mathcal{O}_{A(p_i)}$ is stably isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{A^{p_i}}$, $i = 1, 2$ (at least if A^t also satisfies (I)). In which case, $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A(p_i)}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}^n / (1 - A^{tp_i})\mathbf{Z}^n$, and $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{A(p_i)}) \simeq \text{Ker}(1 - A^{tp_i})$. (Moreover if $A(i, j) \equiv 1$, then $\mathcal{O}_{A^{p_i}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{n^{p_i}}$ and so $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{n^{p_i}}) = \mathbf{Z} / (n^{p_i} - 1)\mathbf{Z}$, and $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{n^{p_i}}) = 0$.) In order to compute e_* after these identifications, we first make these identifications a bit more precise.

LEMMA 4.1. *With the identifications $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A(p_i)}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}^n / (1 - A^{tp_i})\mathbf{Z}^n$, $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{A(p_i)}) \simeq \text{Ker}(1 - A^{tp_i})$, $e_* : \mathbf{Z}^n / (1 - A^{tp_1})\mathbf{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^n / (1 - A^{tp_2})\mathbf{Z}^n$ takes $[x]$ onto $[(1 + A^{tp_1} + \dots + A^{t(p_2 - p_1)})x]$, $x \in \mathbf{Z}^n$, and $e_* : \text{Ker}(1 - A^{tp_1}) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(1 - A^{tp_2})$ is the inclusion map.*

Proof. Let $p \geq 1$, and let X, Y denote the invertible $np \times np$ matrices given by

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ A & 1 & & \vdots \\ A^2 & A & 1 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ A^{p-1} & A^{p-2} & \dots & A & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & A \\ 0 & 1 & & & A^2 \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & 1 & A^{p-1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$X[1 - A(p)]Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ 0 & & & 1 - A^p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus Y^t induces an isomorphism f of $\mathbf{Z}^{np} / (1 - A(p))^t \mathbf{Z}^{np}$ onto $\mathbf{Z}^n / (1 - A^p)\mathbf{Z}^n$, given by $f : [x] \rightarrow [(Yx)_0]$, $x \in \mathbf{Z}^{np}$, where y_0 denotes the last n coordinates of y in \mathbf{Z}^{np} . Thus $f : [(x_1, \dots, x_p)] \rightarrow [A^t x_1 + A^{t^2} x_2 + \dots + A^{t(p-1)} x_{p-1} + x_p]$, $x_i \in \mathbf{Z}^n$, and $f^{-1} : [z] \rightarrow [(0, \dots, 0, z)]$, $z \in \mathbf{Z}^n$. Similarly X^t induces an isomorphism g of

$\text{Ker}(1 - A^{t_{p_1}})$ onto $\text{Ker}(1 - A(p)^t)$. Specifically if $x \in \text{Ker}(1 - A^{t_p})$ then $g(x) = (A^{t(p-1)}x, A^{t(p-2)}x, \dots, A^t x, x)$ is the corresponding element of $\text{Ker}(1 - A(p)^t)$.

For $p = p_1, p_2$, let f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 etc. denote the corresponding objects. Define $\bar{\varphi} : \mathbf{Z}^{np_1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{np_2}$ by $\bar{\varphi}(x) = (x, \dots, x)$ (p_2/p_1 copies), $x \in \mathbf{Z}^{np_1}$. Then $\bar{\varphi}(1 - A(p_1)^t) = (1 - A(p_2)^t) \bar{\varphi}$, so that we have an induced map φ from $\mathbf{Z}^{np_1}/(1 - A(p_1)^t)\mathbf{Z}^{np_1}$ into $\mathbf{Z}^{np_2}/(1 - A(p_2)^t)\mathbf{Z}^{np_2}$. Moreover since e maps $S_\alpha S_\alpha^*$ onto $\sum_{\beta \in A(\alpha)} S_\beta S_\beta^*$, $\alpha \in \Sigma(p)$ it is seen that $e_* = \varphi$. We now have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Z}^n/(1 - A^{t_{p_1}})\mathbf{Z}^n & & \mathbf{Z}^n/(1 - A^{t_{p_2}})\mathbf{Z}^n \\ \downarrow f_1^{-1} & & \uparrow f_2 \\ \mathbf{Z}^{np_1}/(1 - A(p_1)^t)\mathbf{Z}^{np_1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbf{Z}^{np_2}/(1 - A(p_2)^t)\mathbf{Z}^{np_2}. \end{array}$$

Then for $x \in \mathbf{Z}^n$,

$$f_2 \varphi f_1^{-1}[x] = f_2 \varphi[(0, \dots, 0, x)] = f_2[z],$$

where $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{p_2})$, $z_i \in \mathbf{Z}^n$, $z_i = x$ if $i \equiv 0 \pmod{p_1}$ and 0 otherwise. But

$$f_2[z] = [(A^{t_{p_1}} + A^{t_{2p_1}} + \dots + A^{t_{(p_2-p_1)p_1}} + 1)x]$$

so that

$$f_2 \varphi f_1^{-1}[x] = [(1 + A^{t_{p_1}} + \dots + A^{t_{(p_2-p_1)p_1}})x],$$

which completes the identification for K_0 .

If $(x_x) \in \mathbf{Z}^{np_1}$, then

$$e\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma(p_1)} x_\alpha S_\alpha\right) = \sum_{\beta \in \Sigma(p_2)} \bar{\varphi}(x)_\beta S_\beta.$$

It then follows that $e_* : \text{Ker}(1 - A(p_1)^t) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(1 - A(p_2)^t)$ is the restriction of $\bar{\varphi}$. We now have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ker}(1 - A^{t_{p_1}}) & & \text{Ker}(1 - A^{t_{p_2}}) \\ \downarrow g_1 & & \uparrow g_2^{-1} \\ \text{Ker}(1 - A(p_1)^t) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & \text{Ker}(1 - A(p_2)^t). \end{array}$$

Then for $x \in \text{Ker}(1 - A^{tp_1}) \subseteq \mathbf{Z}^n$,

$$g_2^{-1}\bar{\varphi}g_1(x) = g_2^{-1}\bar{\varphi}(A^{t(p_1-1)}x, A^{t(p_1-2)}x, \dots, A^t x, x) = g_2^{-1}(z),$$

where $z := (z_1, \dots, z_{p_2})$, and $z_i = A^{t(p_1-j)}x$ if $i = j \bmod p_1$, $1 \leq j \leq p_1$. But $g_2^{-1}(z) = x$, so that $g_2^{-1}\varphi g_1(x) = x$, which completes the identification for \mathbf{K}_1 .

LEMMA 4.2. *With the identifications $\mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_{n(p_i)}) = \mathbf{Z}/(n^{p_i} - 1)\mathbf{Z}$, $e_* : \mathbf{Z}/(n^{p_1} - 1)\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/(n^{p_2} - 1)\mathbf{Z}$ takes $x \bmod(n^{p_1} - 1)$ to $x(n^{p_2} - 1)/(n^{p_1} - 1) \bmod(n^{p_2} - 1)$, $x \in \mathbf{Z}$.*

Proof. If $A(i, j) \equiv 1$, then $A^p(i, j) \equiv n^{p-1}$. Then by Lemma 4.1, $\mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_{n(p)}) = \mathbf{Z}^n/(1 - A^p)\mathbf{Z}^n$. We have by [20] the diagonalization

$$V(1 - A^p)W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ 0 & & & & 1 - n^p \end{pmatrix}$$

where V, W are nonsingular $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbf{Z} with

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 1 & \dots & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad V^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ -1 & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $\mathbf{Z}^n/(1 - A^p)\mathbf{Z}^n$ is identified with $\mathbf{Z}/(n^p - 1)\mathbf{Z}$ and the remainder of the identification is as in Lemma 4.1.

THEOREM 4.3. a). *If $p = (p_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of integers with $p_i | p_{i+1}$, then $\mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}) \cong \lim_{\rightarrow} (\mathbf{Z}^n/(1 - A^{tp_i})\mathbf{Z}^n, \varphi_i)$, where $\varphi_i : \mathbf{Z}^n/(1 - A^{tp_i})\mathbf{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^n/(1 - A^{tp_{i+1}})\mathbf{Z}^n$ is given by*

$$[x] \rightarrow [(1 + A^{tp_i} + A^{t2p_i} + \dots + A^{t(p_{i+1}-p_i)})x], \quad x \in \mathbf{Z}^n$$

and $\mathbf{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}) \simeq \text{Ker}(1 - A^{tp_j})$, for some j .

$$\text{b).} \quad \mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_{n(p)}) \simeq \lim_{\rightarrow} (\mathbf{Z}/(n^{p_i} - 1)\mathbf{Z}, \psi_i)$$

where $\psi_i : \mathbf{Z}/(n^{p_i} - 1)\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/(n^{p_{i+1}} - 1)\mathbf{Z}$ is given by $x \bmod(n^{p_i} - 1) \rightarrow x(n^{p_{i+1}} - 1)/(n^{p_i} - 1) \bmod(n^{p_{i+1}} - 1)$, $x \in \mathbf{Z}$. Moreover $\mathbf{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_{n(p)}) = 0$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of continuity of K_* , Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, and that $\lim_{\rightarrow}(\text{Ker}(1 - A^{p_i}), \theta_i) = \text{Ker}(1 - A^{p_j})$ for some j , if θ_i are the inclusion maps.

REMARK. If $n = 1$, and $p = (p_i)$ is a sequence of positive integers as usual, then as noted previously, the relevant algebras are the weighted shift algebras of Bunce and Deddens [4]. Then $\mathcal{O}_{1(p_i)} \simeq M_{p_i}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{T}))$. Now $K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{T})) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$, and $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{T})) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$, with the constant function in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{T})$, and the map $f : z \rightarrow z$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{T})$ generating K_0 and K_1 respectively. Then $K_j(\mathcal{O}_{1(p_i)}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$. Since the embedding of $\mathcal{O}_{1(p_i)}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{1(p_{i+1})}$ is unital, $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{1(p_i)}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ maps into $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{1(p_{i+1})}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ by $m \rightarrow (p_{i+1}/p_i)m$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Moreover, by [4, Proof of Theorem 2], the unitary $u = \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix}$ of $M_{p_i}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{T}))$ maps to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & 1 \\ u & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \\ 0 & & & u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then since the unitary group of $M_{p_{i+1}}$ is connected, the embedding of $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{1(p_i)}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ into $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{1(p_{i+1})}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ is the identity map. Thus $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{1(p)}) \simeq \lim_{\rightarrow}(\mathbf{Z}, p_{i+1}/p_i) \equiv \{a/p_i : a \in \mathbf{Z}, i = 1, 2, \dots\}$, a subgroup of the rationals, and $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{1(p)}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$.

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let $p = \{p_i\}$, $q = \{q_i\}$ be sequences of integers such that $p_i | p_{i+1}$, $q_i | q_{i+1}$, and $n \geq 1$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{n(p)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{n(q)}$ if and only if for each i there exists j such that $p_i | q_j$ and $q_i | p_j$.*

Proof. Suppose that for each i there exists a j such that $p_i | q_j$ and $q_i | p_j$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{n(p_i)} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{n(q_j)} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{n(q)}$, $\mathcal{O}_{n(q_i)} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{n(p_j)} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{n(p)}$ and so $\mathcal{O}_{n(p)} = \mathcal{O}_{n(q)}$.

Conversely suppose $\mathcal{O}_{n(p)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{n(q)}$. Then if $n \geq 2$, by Theorem 4.3 $\lim \mathbf{Z}/(n^{p_i} - 1)\mathbf{Z} \simeq \lim \mathbf{Z}/(n^{q_i} - 1)\mathbf{Z}$. Hence for each i there exists j such that $(n^{p_i} - 1) | (n^{q_j} - 1)$. Suppose $p_i \nmid q_j$. Then $q_j = rp_i + s$, where $r \geq 1$, $0 < s < p_i$, with $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then, for some integer x

$$(n^{p_i} - 1)x = n^{rp_i+s} - 1 = n^{rp_i} - 1 + n^{rp_i}(n^s - 1).$$

Hence $(n^{p_i} - 1)y = n^{rp_i}(n^s - 1)$, for some integer y . Let $z = n^{p_i} - 1$; $zy = (1 - z)^r(n^s - 1)$, and so $zw = n^s - 1$ for some integer w . Thus $w = (n^s - 1)/(n^{p_i} - 1)$, which means $0 < w < 1$, a contradiction. Thus $p_i | q_j$. The case $n = 1$ is an immediate corollary of the computation of $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{1(p)})$ in the preceding remark.

We have thus recovered the result of Bunce and Deddens [4] on the classification of the weighted shift algebras $\mathcal{O}_{1(p)}$, through an examination of K_0 .

For completeness we note that information about the Ext groups of the class of algebras considered in this paper can be obtained from the Universal Coefficient Theorem in [26]. Also if p is finite, $\text{Ext}^1 \mathcal{O}_{A(p)} \simeq \mathbf{Z}^n / (1 - A^p) \mathbf{Z}^n$, and if $p_1 | p_2$, $e^{s_2} : \mathbf{Z}^n / (1 - A^{p_2}) \mathbf{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^n / (1 - A^{p_1}) \mathbf{Z}^n$ is simply $[x] \rightarrow [x]$, $x \in \mathbf{Z}^n$. This can be shown directly from the identification of [12] or from Lemma 4.1 and [10, 3.1, 4.1]. Similarly for Ext^0 .

5. THE STABLE ALGEBRAS

Let A be an aperiodic $n \times n$ matrix, and $p = (p_i)$ a sequence of positive integers with $p_i | p_{i+1}$ as usual. Here we show that the stable algebra $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ can be expressed as a crossed product of an AF algebra and an automorphism. In §4 we have shown that $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}) \simeq \varinjlim (\mathbf{Z} / (1 - A^{p_i}) \mathbf{Z})$. In a similar fashion (by generalizing to not necessarily zero-one matrices) one can show that if p/p_1 denotes $(p_i/p_1)_{i=1}^\infty$, then

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^{p_1(p/p_1)}}) \simeq \varinjlim \mathbf{Z}^n / (1 - A^{p_1})^{(p/p_1)} \mathbf{Z}^n.$$

Thus

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}) \simeq K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^{p_1(p/p_1)}}).$$

We also show in this section that $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{A^{p_1(p/p_1)}}$ are in fact stably isomorphic but not in general isomorphic.

Let p be a positive integer. We first recall from [12, 9] how to express the stable algebra of \mathcal{O}_A (and $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$) as a crossed product of an AF algebra by the integers. Let X_A be the compact space $\{(x_i) \in \Sigma^{\mathbf{Z}} : A(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1\}$, with the shift $\sigma = \sigma_A$ defined on X_A by $\sigma(x)_i = x_{i+1}$, and with $\mathcal{G}(X_A)$ the group of uniformly finite homeomorphisms of X_A [12, 9]. Let $\theta : \Sigma(p) \rightarrow \Sigma$ be given by $\theta(i, j) = i$, and let Θ from $X_{A(p)}$ onto X_A be the continuous map given by $\Theta(x)_i = \theta(x)_i$. Define continuous injections h_1, \dots, h_p from X_A into $X_{A(p)}$ such that $\Theta h_j = \text{id}_{X_A}$, and $[h_j(x)]_0 = (x_0, j)$ $x \in X_A$, $i = 1, \dots, p$. Then $X_{A(p)}$ is the disjoint union $\bigcup_{j=1}^p h_j(X_A)$, and in this way we can identify $\mathcal{G}(X_{A(p)})$ with the direct sum $\mathcal{G}(X_A) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{G}(X_A)$, (p -copies). If F is a subset of X_A , we let $W^u(F)$ denote its unstable manifold [9], with the inductive limit topology. If F is a shift invariant countable subset of X_A , let $\mathcal{G}(W^u(F))$ be the group of homeomorphisms of $W^u(F)$ such that the coordinates affected by any g in $\mathcal{G}(W^u(F))$ are bounded on the right. Now let F be a countable shift invariant

subset of X_A such that $W^u(F)$ is dense in X_A , and such that the orbit under $\mathcal{G}(X_A)$ of each $x \in W^u(F)$ is infinite. Let $F^1 = \Theta^{-1}F$, which is a countable shift invariant subset of $X_{A(p)}$. Then $W^u(F^1)$ is the disjoint union $\bigcup_{j=1}^p h_j W^u(F)$, and we can identify $\mathcal{G}(W^u(F^1))$ with $\mathcal{G}(W^u(F)) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{G}(W^u(F))$ (p -copies). Thus $W^u(F^1)$ is dense in $X_{A(p)}$, and orbits of points of $W^u(F^1)$ under $\mathcal{G}(W^u(F^1))$ are infinite. Moreover Θ maps $W^u(F^1)$ onto $W^u(F)$, and induces Θ^* , say, from $\mathcal{C}_0(W^u(F))$ into $\mathcal{C}_0(W^u(F^1)) \simeq \mathcal{C}_0(W^u(F)) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{C}_0(W^u(F))$, given by $\Theta^*(f) = f \oplus \dots \oplus f$, which intertwines the shifts. Define a group homomorphism π from $\mathcal{G}(W^u(F))$ into $\mathcal{G}(W^u(F^1)) := \mathcal{G}(W^u(F)) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{G}(W^u(F))$ given by $\pi(g) = (g, \dots, g)$. Let U denote the canonical representation of $\mathcal{G}(W^u(F))$ in the crossed product $\mathcal{A}(A) := C^*(W^u(F), \mathcal{G}(W^u(F)))$, so that $\mathcal{A}(A) = \text{lin}\{fU(g) : f \in \mathcal{C}_0(W^u(F)), g \in \mathcal{G}(W^u(F))\}$. Then $\mathcal{A}(A(p)) := \mathcal{A}(A) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{A}(A)$ (p -copies). Let $\mathcal{I}(A)$ denote that ideal generated by $U(g)P(W) - U(h)P(W)$, where $P(W)$ is the characteristic function of a compact open subset W of $W^u(F)$ and $g, h \in \mathcal{G}(W^u(F))$ with $g|_W = h|_W$. Then $\mathcal{I}(A(p)) \simeq \mathcal{I}(A) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{I}(A)$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A := \mathcal{A}(A)/\mathcal{I}(A)$ and define a $*$ -homomorphism φ of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A$ into $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{A(p)} \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A$ by $\varphi(x) = (x, \dots, x)$. Since the shift normalises $\mathcal{G}(W^u(F))$ and leaves $W^u(F)$ invariant, there is an induced shift denoted by σ_A or σ on $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A$, and $C^*(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A, \sigma)$ is written as \mathcal{C}_A . With the above identification of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{A(p)}$ as $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A$ one has

$$(5.1) \quad \sigma_{A(p)}(x_1, \dots, x_p) = (\sigma_A(x_p), \sigma_A(x_1), \dots, \sigma_A(x_{p-1})), \quad x_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A.$$

Thus φ intertwines with the shifts on $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{A(p)}$, and so induces a $*$ -homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ of \mathcal{C}_A into $\mathcal{C}_{A(p)}$. Similarly, if $p_1 \neq p_2$, there is a natural homomorphism, also denoted by $\tilde{\varphi}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{A(p_1)}$ into $\mathcal{C}_{A(p_2)}$. Let

$$\mathcal{L}(F) := \bigcup_{x \in F} \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \{(y_k) \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^-} : y_k = x_k, k \leq -m, A(y_k, y_{k-1}) = 1, k < 0\},$$

and $Z(a) = \{(y_k) \in W^u(F) : (y_k)_{k \leq 0} = a\}$, $a \in \mathcal{L}(F)$. Similarly one defines $\mathcal{L}(F^1)$, and $Z(a)$ for $a \in \mathcal{L}(F^1)$. Let $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_p : \mathcal{L}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(F^1)$ be the maps such that $[\psi_i(y)]_0 := (y_0, i)$, and $\theta[\psi_i(y)]_j = y_j$, for all $j \leq 0$. Suppose $a \in \mathcal{L}(F)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) \in \mathcal{M}_A^k$ with $A(a_0, \mu_1) = 1$. Set $Z(a, \mu) = \{y \in Z(a) : (y_1, \dots, y_k) = \mu\}$. If also $a' \in \mathcal{L}(F)$ and $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \mathcal{M}_A^k$ with $A(a'_0, v_1) = 1$, let $u(a', v, a, \mu) : Z(a, \mu) \rightarrow Z(a', v)$ be the uniformly finite dimensional homeomorphism defined by

$$(u(a', v, a, \mu)y)_i = y_i, \quad i \geq k, \quad y \in Z(a, \mu).$$

If u is a uniformly finite dimensional homeomorphism of a compact open subset B of $W^u(F)$ onto a compact open subset C of $W^u(F)$, let \tilde{u} denote its image in \mathcal{F}_A which is a partial isometry with range projection $P(B)$ and support projection $P(C)$.

In [12, 9] it was shown that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_A$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$, where \mathcal{K} denotes the compact operators on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

LEMMA 5.1. *If p_1, p_2 are positive integers with $p_1 | p_2$, then there is a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{A(p_1)} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \mathcal{O}_{A(p_1)} \otimes \mathcal{K} \\ \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} & & \downarrow e \otimes 1 \\ \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{A(p_2)} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & \mathcal{O}_{A(p_2)} \otimes \mathcal{K} \end{array}$$

where $e : \mathcal{O}_{A(p_1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A(p_2)}$ is the embedding of §3, and α_1 and α_2 are isomorphisms.

Proof. We follow the construction of [12, 9] for the isomorphism α_1, α_2 , and choose them carefully so that the diagram commutes. For simplicity, we only give details in the case $p_1 = 1, p_2 = p$.

For all $i \in \Sigma$, choose $a(i) \in \mathcal{L}(F)$ such that $A(a(i)_0, i) = 1$. If $\alpha = (i, k) \in \Sigma \times \{1, \dots, p\}$, let

$$a(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \psi_{k-1}(a(i)) & \text{if } k \neq 1 \\ \psi_p(a(i)) & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $a(\alpha) \in \mathcal{L}(F^1)$ and $B(a(\alpha_0), \alpha) = 1$, if $B = A(p)$. For all $i, j \in \Sigma$ such that $A(i, j) = 1$, define $a'_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}(F)$ by

$$(a'_{ij})_r = \begin{cases} a(i)_{r+1} & r \leq 1 \\ i & r = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then if $k \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, and $\alpha = (i, k), \beta = (j, k-1)$, let $a'_{\alpha\beta} = \psi_k(a'_{ij}) \in \mathcal{L}(F^1)$, so that $(a'_{\alpha\beta})_0 = \alpha$. Then let $a''_{ij} = a(i), a'_{\alpha\beta} = a(\alpha)$. Then $\sigma^{-1}Z(a'_{ij}, j) = Z(a''_{ij}, (i, j))$ and $\sigma^{-1}Z(a'_{\alpha\beta}, \beta) = Z(a'_{\alpha\beta}, (\alpha, \beta))$. Then

$$S_i = \sum_j A(i, j) P(Z(a(i), (i, j))) \sigma^{-1} \tilde{u}(a'_{ij}, j; a(j), j), \quad i \in \Sigma$$

generate \mathcal{O}_A , and

$$S_\alpha = \sum_\beta B(\alpha, \beta) P(Z(a(\alpha), (\alpha, \beta))) \sigma^{-1} \tilde{u}(a'_{\alpha\beta}, \beta; a(\beta), \beta), \quad \alpha \in \Sigma(p)$$

generate $\mathcal{C}_{A(p)}$. Then under the homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$, S_i gets mapped to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_j A(i, j) \left\{ \sum_k P(Z(a(i, k), (i, k), (j, k - 1))) \sigma^{-1} \left(\sum_r \tilde{u}(a'_{(i, r)(j, r-1)}, (j, r - 1); \right. \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \left. a(j, r - 1), (j, r - 1)) \right) \right\} = \\ & = \sum_{j, k} A(i, j) P[Z(a(i, k), (i, k), (j, k - 1))] \sigma^{-1} \tilde{u}(a'_{(i, k)(j, k-1)}, (j, k - 1); \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad a(j, k - 1), (j, k - 1)) = \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^p S_{(i, k)} = e(S_i) \end{aligned}$$

(with $k - 1, r - 1$ taken mod p), where e is as in §3. Letting

$$B = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} Z(a(i), i),$$

and

$$B^1 = \Theta^{-1} B = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}(p)} S(a(\alpha), \alpha),$$

it follows that α_1, α_2 constructed as in [12] yield the required commutative diagram.

REMARK 5.2. In [9] Cuntz used the isomorphism $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{C}_A \simeq C^*(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A, \sigma)$ to determine $K_*(\mathcal{C}_A)$ from the exact sequence of Pimsner and Voiculescu [22]. The commutative diagram of the above Lemma can be used together with the exact sequence of [22] to give an alternative proof of the identification of the embedding of $K_*(\mathcal{C}_{A(p_1)})$ in $K_*(\mathcal{C}_{A(p_2)})$, which we have already done in §4. One could also use the following immediate consequence of Lemma 5.1:

THEOREM 5.3.

$$\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{A(p)} \simeq C^*(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{A(p)}, \mathbf{Z}), \quad \text{where } \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{A(p)} = \varinjlim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{A(p_i)}.$$

If $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A$, $\sigma = \sigma_A$, we let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^p = \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, (p -copies) which we identify with $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{A(p)}$ as before. Under this identification, $\sigma_p = \sigma_{A(p)}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^p$ is given by (5.1). If $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$, the $*$ -automorphism group of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, define $\theta = \theta(p, \alpha) \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^p)$ by

$$\theta(x_1, \dots, x_p) = (\theta(x_p), x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}), \quad x_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Define also $\delta = \delta_p \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^p)$ by

$$\delta(x_1, \dots, x_p) = (x_1, \sigma(x_2), \dots, \sigma^{p-1}(x_p)), \quad x_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Then if $\theta_p = \theta(p, \sigma^p)$, $\theta_p \delta = \delta \sigma_p$ and so

$$\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A(p)} \simeq C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^p, \sigma_p) \simeq C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^p, \theta_p)$$

using δ . Let u be the unitary in the multiplier algebra of $C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \sigma)$ satisfying $\sigma(x) = uxu^*$, $x \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Then $C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \sigma) = \overline{\text{lin}}\{au^r : a \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}, r \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Define $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{F}}^p \rightarrow M_p(\overline{\mathcal{F}})$ by

$$\pi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & x_p \end{pmatrix},$$

and $v = v(p) = [v_{ij}] \in M_p[M(C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \sigma))]$ by $v_{r, r-1} = 1$ if $r \neq 1$, $v_{1, p} = u^p$, $v_{rs} = 0$ otherwise. Then $\pi \theta_p(x) = v\pi(x)v^*$, $x \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}^p$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^p, \theta_p) &= \overline{\text{lin}}\{\pi(a)v^r : a \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}^p, r \in \mathbf{Z}\} = \\ (5.2) \quad &= M_p[\overline{\text{lin}}\{au^{pr} : a \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}, r \in \mathbf{Z}\}] = M_p[C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \sigma^p)]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, this says $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A(p)} \simeq M_p[\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^p}]$, since we can identify $(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \sigma^p)$ with $(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{A^p}, \sigma)$, and so $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ is stably isomorphic with \mathcal{O}_{A^p} as in §3. We would also like to draw the reader's attention to [12, Remark 3.9] on which this identification is based.

If $p_1 | p_2$, we have $\varphi : \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_2}$ given by $\varphi(x) = (x, \dots, x)$, (p_2/p_1 -copies), $x \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_1}$. This was defined previously when $p_1 = 1$. Define $\varphi' : \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_2}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(x_1, \dots, x_{p_1}) &= \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_{p_1}, \sigma^{-p_1}(x_1), \dots, \sigma^{-p_1}(x_{p_1}), \dots, \sigma^{-(p_2-p_1)}(x_1), \dots, \sigma^{-(p_2-p_1)}(x_{p_1})), \end{aligned}$$

if $x_i \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Then $\varphi \delta = \delta \varphi'$, $\varphi' \theta_{p_1} = \theta_{p_2} \varphi'$, and so φ extends to a map also denoted by φ of $C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_1}, \sigma_{p_1})$ into $C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_2}, \sigma_{p_2})$, which is as in Lemma 5.1, and φ' extends to a map of $C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_1}, \theta_{p_1})$ into $C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_2}, \theta_{p_2})$.

If $p = (p_i)$ is a sequence of positive integers with $p_i | p_{i+1}$, we let $\varphi_i, \varphi'_i : \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_{i+1}}$, $\sigma_i = \sigma_{p_i}$, $\theta_i = \theta_{p_i}$, $\delta_i = \delta_{p_i} \in \text{Aut}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i})$, $v_i = v(p_i)$ be the corresponding objects. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A(p)} &\simeq \lim_{\rightarrow} (C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i}, \sigma_{p_i}), \varphi_i) \simeq && \text{by Lemma 5.1} \\ &\simeq \lim_{\rightarrow} (C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i}, \theta_{p_i}), \varphi'_i) \simeq && \text{using } \{\delta_i, i = 1; 2, \dots\} \\ &\simeq \lim_{\rightarrow} M_{p_i}[C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i}, \sigma^{p_i})] && \text{using (5.2).} \end{aligned}$$

Let 1 denote the identity in the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\overline{\mathcal{F}})$, and $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{M}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_1})$, which we can regard also as a multiplier on $\lim_{\rightarrow} C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i}, \theta_i, \varphi'_i) = \mathcal{A}$, say. We show that $e\mathcal{A}e \simeq \mathcal{O}_{A^{p_1(p/p_1)}} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Now

$$e[M_{p_i}(C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \sigma^{p_i}))]e \simeq M_{p_i/p_1}[C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \sigma^{p_i})] \simeq C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i/p_1}, \beta_i),$$

where $\beta_i = \theta(p_i/p_1, \sigma^{p_i})$. We determine the embedding $C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i/p_1}, \beta_i) \rightarrow C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_{i+1}/p_1}, \beta_{i+1})$ under φ'_i after the identifications have been made. If $\pi_1 : \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i/p_1} \rightarrow M_{p_i/p_1}(\overline{\mathcal{F}})$ is defined by

$$\pi_1(x_1, \dots, x_{p_i/p_1}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & x_{p_i/p_1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_j \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$$

and $w_i = [w_r] \in M_{p_i/p_1}(\mathcal{M}(C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \sigma^{p_i})))$ is given by $w_{r, r-1} = 1$ if $r \neq 1$, $w_{1, q} = u^{p_i}$ if $q = p_i/p_1$, and $w_{rs} = 0$ otherwise, then

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i/p_1}, \beta_i) &= \text{lin}\{\pi_1(a)w^r : a \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i/p_1}, r \in \mathbf{Z}\} = \\ &= M_{p_i/p_1}(C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \sigma^{p_i})). \end{aligned}$$

Define $\varphi''_i : \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i/p_1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_{i+1}/p_1}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi''_i(x_1, \dots, x_q) &= \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_q, \sigma^{-p_i}(x_1), \dots, \sigma^{-p_i}(x_q), \dots, \sigma^{-(p_{i+1}-p_i)}(x_1), \dots, \sigma^{-(p_{i+1}-p_i)}(x_q)) \end{aligned}$$

where $q = p_i/p_1$, $x_j \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Then $\varphi''_i \beta_i = \beta_{i+1} \varphi''_i$, and so φ''_i extends to a *-homomorphism from $C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i/p_1}, \beta_i)$ into $C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_{i+1}/p_1}, \beta_{i+1})$ also denoted by φ''_i . Now $\varphi'_i(v_i^{p_1}) = v_{i+1}^{p_1}$, and $\varphi''_i(w_i) = w_{i+1}$. From this, commutativity of the following diagram becomes clear:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} eC^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i}, \theta_i)e & \longrightarrow & C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i/p_1}, \beta_i) \\ \downarrow \varphi'_i & & \downarrow \varphi''_i \\ eC^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_{i+1}}, \theta_{i+1})e & \longrightarrow & C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_{i+1}/p_1}, \beta_{i+1}) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are given by the identifications noted above, and which take $v_i^{p_1}$ onto w_i . Details are left to the reader. Thus $e\mathcal{A}e \simeq \lim_{\rightarrow} (C^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{p_i/p_1}, \beta_i), \varphi''_i) \simeq$

$\simeq \mathcal{O}_{A^{p_1(p_1 p_1)}} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, using the identification of $(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \sigma^{p_1})$ with $(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{A^{p_1}}, \sigma_{(A^{p_1})})$. But Brown's theorem [2] shows that $e\mathcal{A}e$ is stably isomorphic to \mathcal{A} . We summarize all this by:

THEOREM 5.4. *If A is an aperiodic $n \times n$ matrix, and $p = (p_i)$ is a sequence of integers with $p_i | p_{i+1}$ then $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ is stably isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{A^{p_1(p_1 p_1)}}$.*

Note that in general $\mathcal{O}_{A(p)}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{A^{p_1(p_1 p_1)}}$, e.g. the image of the identity of $\mathcal{O}_{n(p)}$ in $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{n(p)})$ has order $n - 1$, whilst the image of the identity of $\mathcal{O}_{n^{p_1(p_1 p_1)}}$ in $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{n^{p_1(p_1 p_1)}})$ has order $n^{p_1} - 1$.

An alternative proof of Theorem 5.4 can be obtained by embedding $C^*(\mathcal{O}_A^{Z_{p_1}}, G(p)/Z_{p_1})$ as a corner of $C^*(\mathcal{O}_A, G(p))$ as in [25].

REFERENCES

1. ARCHBOLD, R., On the simple C^* -algebras of J. Cuntz, *J. London Math. Soc.*, (2) **21**(1980), 517–526.
2. BROWN, L. G., Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebras of C^* -algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, **71**(1977), 335–348.
3. BUNCE, J. W. ; DEDDENS, J. A., C^* -algebras generated by weighted shifts, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **25**(1973), 257–271.
4. BUNCE, J. W. ; DEDDENS, J. A., A family of C^* -algebras related to weighted shift operators, *J. Functional Analysis*, **19**(1975), 13–24.
5. COBURN, L. A., The C^* -algebra generated by an isometry, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **73**(1967), 722–726.
6. CUNTZ, J., Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **57**(1977), 173–185.
7. CUNTZ, J., K-theory for certain C^* -algebras, *Ann. of Math.*, **113**(1981), 181–197.
8. CUNTZ, J., K-theory for certain C^* -algebras. II, *J. Operator Theory*, **5**(1981), 101–109.
9. CUNTZ, J., A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains. II: reducible Markov chains and the Ext-functor for C^* -algebras, *Invent. Math.*, **63**(1981), 25–40.
10. CUNTZ, J., On the homotopy groups of the space of endomorphisms of a C^* -algebra (with applications to topological Markov chains), preprint.
11. CUNTZ, J. ; EVANS, D. E., Some remarks on the C^* -algebras associated with certain topological Markov chains, *Math. Scand.*, **48** (1981), 235–240.
12. CUNTZ, J. ; KRIEGER, W., A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains, *Invent. Math.*, **56**(1980), 251–258.
13. DE SCHREYE, D. ; VAN DAELE, A., A new technique for dealing with Cuntz algebras, *Math. Ann.* **257** (1981), 397–401.
14. ENOMOTO, M. ; FUJII, M. ; TAKEHANA, H. ; WATATANI, Y., Automorphisms on Cuntz algebras. II, *Math. Japon.*, **24**(1979), 463–468.
15. ENOMOTO, M. ; FUJII, M. ; WATATANI, Y., Tensor algebra on the sub Fock space associated with \mathcal{O}_A , preprint.
16. ENOMOTO, M. ; TAKEHANA, H. ; WATATANI, Y., C^* -algebras on free semigroups as extensions of Cuntz algebras, *Math. Japon.*, **24**(1979), 527–531.

17. ENOMOTO, M.; WATATANI, Y., A graph theory for C^* -algebras, *Math. Japon.*, to appear.
18. EVANS, D. E., On \mathcal{O}_n , *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, **16**(1980), 915–927.
19. GREEN, P., The local structure of twisted covariance algebras, *Acta Math.*, **140**(1978), 191–250.
20. HARTLEY, B.; HAWKES, T. O., *Rings, modules and linear algebra*, Chapman and Hall, London, 1970.
21. PARRY, B.; SULLIVAN, D., A topological invariant of flows on 0-dimensional spaces, *Topology*, **14**(1975), 297–299.
22. PIMSNER, M.; VOICULESCU, D., Exact sequences for K-groups and Ext groups of certain cross-product C^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory*, **4**(1980), 93–118.
23. POWERS, R. T., Simplicity of the C^* -algebra associated with the free group on two generators, *Duke Math. J.*, **42**(1975), 151–156.
24. RENAULT, J., *A groupoid approach to C^* -algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **793**(1980), Springer Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York.
25. ROSENBERG, J., Appendix to O. Bratteli's paper on "Crossed products of UHF algebras by product type actions", *Duke Math. J.*, **46**(1979), 1–23.
26. ROSENBERG, J.; SCHOCHET, C., The classification of extensions of C^* -algebras, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **4**(1981), 105–110.

DAVID E. EVANS
Department of Mathematics,
University of Warwick,
Coventry CV4 7AL,
England.

Received October 16, 1980; revised March 3, 1981 and July 6, 1981.