

## A CARLESON MEASURE THEOREM FOR THE BERGMAN SPACE ON THE BALL

JOSEPH A. CIMA and WARREN R. WOGEN

Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be the closed unit disc in the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$  and let  $\mu$  be a finite measure on  $\mathbf{B}$ . For  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ ,  $h \in (0, 1)$  let

$$\Omega(\theta, h) \equiv \{z \in \mathbf{B} \mid 1 - h < |z| < 1, \theta < \arg z < \theta + h\} .$$

The measure  $\mu$  is called a Carleson measure if there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\mu(\Omega(\theta, h)) \leq Ch \quad \forall \theta, h .$$

In [1] it is shown that  $\mu$  is a Carleson measure if and only if the injection mapping from the Hardy space  $H^2$  into  $H^2(d\mu)$  is bounded (i.e. there is a  $C' > 0$  so that for  $f \in H^2$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \leq C' \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} .$$

Several analogues of the Carleson theorem have subsequently been obtained. Hormander [6] proved a version of this result for the Hardy space of the unit ball  $\mathbf{B}_n$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Then Carleson [2] produced an example to show that the result is false for the Hardy space of the polydisc. In a more current paper Hastings [5] has proven a Carleson type theorem for the Bergman space of the polydisc. Further, Stegenga [9] has obtained analogous results for certain weighted Bergman spaces.

In this paper we prove a Carleson theorem for the Bergman space of the unit ball in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . We also outline a short proof of Hormander's result [6]. As an application we consider the question of compactness of Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces. After the results of this paper were obtained Professor Peter Duren pointed out the Hormander reference [6]. We wish to thank him for this reference.

## 1. THE PRINCIPAL THEOREM

Let  $\mathbf{B}_n$  be the closed unit ball in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  ( $\mathbf{B}_1 = \mathbf{B}$ ) and let  $\Sigma_n$  be the boundary of  $\mathbf{B}_n$ . If  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathbf{B}_n$  and  $p \geq 1$ , then  $H^p(d\mu)$  is the  $L^p(d\mu)$  closure of the polynomials in  $z := (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . Further, let  $m_n(z)$  denote volume measure on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ .

Fix  $n \geq 1$  and  $\beta > -n$ . Consider measures defined by the equations

$$dv_n(z) = (1 - |z_1|^2)^\beta dm_n(z), \quad z \in \mathbf{B}_n,$$

$$d\lambda(z_1) = (1 - |z_1|^2)^{\beta - n - 1} dm_1(z_1), \quad z_1 \in \mathbf{B}_1.$$

For  $0 < t < 1$  and  $\eta \in \Sigma_n$ , let

$$\mathcal{S}(t) \equiv \{z \in \mathbf{B}_n : |1 - \langle z, \eta \rangle| < t\}.$$

The notation  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes complex inner product in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . A measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{B}_n$  is a  $v_n$ -Carleson measure if there is a constant  $C > 0$  so that

$$\mu(\mathcal{S}(t)) \leq C v_n(\mathcal{S}(t)) \quad \forall \eta \in \Sigma_n, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

**THEOREM 1.** *A measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{B}_n$  is a  $v_n$ -Carleson measure if and only if there is a constant  $C'$  so that*

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}_n} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \leq C' \int_{\mathbf{B}_n} |f(z)|^2 dv_n(z) \quad \forall f \in H^2(dv_n).$$

*Proof.* Our proof follows the pattern of the proof in [4] of Carleson's theorem. We construct a maximal function, establish a pointwise estimate, and appeal to the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem. For  $n = 1$ , this theorem is due to Stegenga [9]. (See [5] for the  $\beta = 0$  case.)

We begin with a lemma (see also [7]) which gives integration with respect to  $v_n$  as an integrated integral. For  $A$  a measurable subset of  $\mathbf{B}$ , let

$$\hat{A} \equiv \{z \in \mathbf{B}_n : z_1 \in A\}.$$

Further, for  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$ , write  $z = (z_1, u)$ , where  $u = (z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^{n-1}$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $f \in L^1(dv_n)$  and  $A \subset \mathbf{B}$ , then*

$$\int_{\hat{A}} |f(z)| dv_n(z) = \int_A d\lambda(z_1) \int_{\mathbf{B}_{n-1}} |f(z_1, \sqrt{1 - |z_1|^2} u')| dv_{n-1}(u'),$$

where  $u' = u / \sqrt{1 - |z_1|^2}$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{A}} |f(z)| dv_n(z) &= \int_A dm_1(z_1) \int_{u^2 \leq 1 - |z_1|^2} |f(z_1, u)|(1 - |z|^2)^\beta dm_{n-1}(u) = \\ &= \int_A (1 - |z_1|^2)^{\beta+n-1} dm_1(z_1) \int_{B_{n-1}} |f(z_1, \sqrt{1 - |z_1|^2} u')| (1 - |u'|^2)^\beta dm_{n-1}(u') = \\ &= \int_A d\lambda(z_1) \int_{B_{n-1}} |f(z_1, \sqrt{1 - |z_1|^2} u')| dv_{n-1}(u') . \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose  $\mu$  is  $v_n$  Carleson. Fix  $\eta \in \Sigma_n$ . By an orthonormal change of basis we can assume  $\eta = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \equiv \mathbf{1}$ . Let  $f \in L^1(dv_n)$ , and define a function  $\tilde{f}$  on  $\mathbf{B}$  by

$$\tilde{f}(z_1) \equiv \int_{B_{n-1}} |f(z_1, \sqrt{1 - |z_1|^2} u')| dv_{n-1}(u') .$$

By Lemma 1, we have that

$$(1) \quad \int_{B_n} |f(z)| dv_n(z) = \int_{\mathbf{B}} \tilde{f}(z_1) d\lambda(z_1) .$$

If  $S(t) \equiv \{z_1 \in \mathbf{B} : |z_1 - \mathbf{1}| < t\}$ , then again by Lemma 1, we have

$$(2) \quad v_n(\mathcal{S}(t)) = \lambda(S(t)) .$$

Let

$$(Mf)(r\mathbf{1}) \equiv \sup_{1 > t > 1-r} \left( \frac{1}{v_n(\mathcal{S}(t))} \int_{\mathcal{S}(t)} |f(z)| dv_n(z) \right) .$$

Also, if  $g \in L^1(d\lambda)$ , let

$$(Ng)(r) = \sup_{1 > t > 1-r} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(S(t))} \int_{S(t)} |g(z_1)| d\lambda(z_1) \right) .$$

Suppose now that  $f \in H^1(dv_n)$ . Then for  $z_1$  fixed,  $|f(z_1, \sqrt{1 - |z_1|^2} u')|$  is pluri-subharmonic in  $u'$ . Using this fact and the radial symmetry of the measure  $dv_{n-1}$  one can show

$$\tilde{f}(z_1) = \int_{B_{n-1}} |f(z_1, \sqrt{1 - |z_1|^2} u')| dv_{n-1}(u') \geq |f(z_1, 0)| v_{n-1}(B_{n-1}) .$$

Thus  $f(z_1, 0) \in H^1(d\lambda)$  and by Stegenga's proof [9, page 117],

$$|f(r, 0)| \leq K(Nf)(r, 0)$$

for some  $K > 0$ . By (1) and (2)

$$(Mf)(r\mathbf{1}) = (N\tilde{f})(r) ,$$

so

$$|f(r, 0)| \leq \frac{K}{v_{n-1}(\mathbf{B}_{n-1})} (Mf)(r\mathbf{1}) = K'(Mf)(r\mathbf{1}).$$

Now  $K'$  is independent of  $\eta$  (see [9]) so that

$$(3) \quad |f(z)| \leq K'(Mf)(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbf{B}_n .$$

The remainder of this part of the proof is standard. A covering argument shows that the sublinear map  $M$  is weak type  $(1,1)$  from  $L^1(dv_n)$  to  $L^1(d\mu)$ .  $M$  is clearly of type  $(\infty, \infty)$ . Hence, by the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem,  $M$  is bounded from  $L^2(dv_n)$  to  $L^2(d\mu)$ . Now the pointwise estimate (3) shows that the inclusion map from  $H^2(d\mu)$  to  $H^2(dv_n)$  is bounded.

For the proof of the converse, suppose that

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}_n} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \leq C' \int_{\mathbf{B}_n} |f(z)|^2 dv_n(z) \quad \forall f \in H^2(dv_n) .$$

It suffices to check the Carleson condition at  $\eta = \mathbf{1}$ . For  $w \in \mathbf{B}_n$ , let  $g_w(z) = (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{-(1+2n)}$ . If  $r = |w|$ , by radial symmetry and Lemma 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_w\|_{L^2(dv_n)}^2 &= \|g_{r\mathbf{1}}\|_{L^2(dv_n)}^2 = \\ &= v_{n-1}(\mathbf{B}_{n-1}) \cdot \frac{1}{(1-rz_1)^{2+2n}} \Big|_{L^2(d\lambda)} \leq \frac{C_1}{(1-r^2)^{1+2n}} . \end{aligned}$$

(See [9, p. 118].) Thus if  $w(t) = (1-t)\mathbf{1}$ , then

$$\|g_{w(t)}\|_{L^2(dv_n)}^2 \leq \frac{C_2}{t^{1+2n}} .$$

Finally,

$$v_n(\mathcal{S}(t)) = \lambda(S(t)) \geq C_3 t^{1+2n}$$

and for  $z \in \mathcal{S}(t)$ ,

$$|g_{w(t)}(z)|^2 \geq \frac{C_3}{t^{2+4n}} .$$

Thus

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}_n} |g_{w(t)}(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \geq \int_{\mathcal{S}(t)} |g_{w(t)}(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \geq \frac{C_3}{t^{2\beta+4n}} \mu(\mathcal{S}(t)) ,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}_n} |g_{w(t)}(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \leq C' \int_{\mathbf{B}_n} |g_{w(t)}(z)|^2 d\nu_n(z) \leq \frac{C' C_2}{t^{\beta+2n}} .$$

This implies

$$\mu(\mathcal{S}(t)) \leq \frac{C' C_2}{C_3} t^{\beta+2n} \leq C \nu_n(\mathcal{S}(t)) .$$

We complete this section with a few remarks.

REMARK 1. There are other sets which can replace the  $\mathcal{S}(t)$  sets to give an equivalent definition of Carleson measure. For instance, we can use the sets  $\mathcal{D}(t)$  or  $\mathcal{E}(t)$  defined as follows. For  $\eta \in \Sigma_n$ , let

$$\mathcal{B}(t) = \{z \in \Sigma_n : |1 - \langle z, \eta \rangle| < t\} ,$$

$$\mathcal{D}(t) = \{z \in \mathbf{B}_n : |z| > 1 - t, z/|z| \in \mathcal{B}(t)\} ,$$

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \{z \in \mathbf{B}_n : z = \rho - \lambda\eta, \text{ where } \rho \in \mathcal{B}(t) \text{ and } 0 \leq \lambda \leq t\} .$$

Note that  $\mathcal{D}(t/2) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(t) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(t)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(t/2) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(t) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(t)$  .

REMARK 2. A careful check of the constants appearing in the proof of Theorem 1 shows that if  $C$  is small, then  $C'$  can be chosen small.

REMARK 3. Hormander's theorem [6] is valid for surface measure on the boundary of a strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The method of proof of Theorem 1 provides an elementary proof of his theorem for the ball.

Let  $\sigma_n$  denote surface measure of  $\Sigma_n$ . A measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{B}_n$  is  $\sigma_n$ -Carleson if there is a constant  $C > 0$  so that

$$\mu(\mathcal{S}(t)) \leq C \sigma_n(\mathcal{B}(t)) \quad \forall \eta \in \Sigma_n, t > 0 .$$

THEOREM 2 (Hörmander). *A finite measure  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma_n$ -Carleson measure if and only if there is a constant  $C' > 0$  so that*

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}_n} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \leq C' \int_{\Sigma_n} |f(z)|^2 d\sigma_n(z) \quad \forall f \in H^2(d\sigma_n) .$$

*Proof.* We begin with a modification of Lemma 1. For  $A \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ , let  $\tilde{A} = \{z \in \Sigma_n; z_1 \in A\}$ .

LEMMA 2. *If  $f \in L^1(d\sigma_n)$ , then*

$$\int_{\tilde{A}} |f(z)| d\sigma_n(z) = 2 \int_A (1 - |z_1|^2)^{n-1} dm_1(z_1) \cdot \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} |f(z_1, \sqrt{1 - |z_1|^2} u')| d\sigma_{n-1}(u').$$

The proof of Lemma 2 is routine. One can use the parametrization

$$z = (r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_{n-1} e^{i\theta_{n-1}}, (1 - r_1^2 - \dots - r_{n-1}^2)^{1/2} e^{i\theta_n}),$$

$$d\sigma = 2^{n-2} (1 - r_1^2)^{n-2} (1 - r_2^2)^{n-3} \dots (1 - r_{n-2}^2)^2 r_1 r_2 \dots r_{n-1} dr_1 \dots dr_{n-1} d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_n.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, fix  $\eta \in \Sigma_n$  and assume without loss of generality that  $\eta = (1, 0, \dots, 0) = \mathbf{1}$ . If  $f \in H^1(d\sigma_n)$  let

$$\tilde{f}(z_1) = \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} |f(z_1, \sqrt{1 - |z_1|^2} u')| d\sigma_{n-1}(u').$$

The rest of the proof parallels the proof of Theorem 1, and we omit the details.

## 2. APPLICATIONS

As an application of Theorem 1, we consider the problem of characterizing the compact Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space. (See [3] and [8].)

Let  $P$  be the orthogonal projection of  $L^2(dm_n)$  onto  $H^2(dm_n)$ . If  $\varphi \in L^\infty(dm_n)$ , define

$$T_\varphi : H^2(dm_n) \rightarrow H^2(dm_n)$$

by  $T_\varphi f = P\varphi f$ .  $T_\varphi$  is the Toeplitz operator with symbol  $\varphi$ . More generally Voas has observed [10] that an unbounded function  $\varphi$  may induce a bounded Toeplitz operator. Following [10] call a function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{B}_n$  admissible if there is a constant  $C > 0$  so that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{B}_n} f(z)g(z)\varphi(z) dm_n(z) \right| \leq C \|f\| \|g\| \quad f, g \in H^2(dm_n).$$

Clearly an admissible  $\varphi$  will induce a bounded Toeplitz operator, and conversely.

THEOREM 3. *If  $\varphi \geq 0$ , then  $\varphi$  is admissible if and only if the measure  $\varphi dm_n$  is  $m_n$ -Carleson.*

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $\varphi \geq 0$  is admissible, then  $T_\varphi$  is compact if and only if*

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}(t)} \varphi(z) dm_n(z) = o(m_n(\mathcal{S}(t)))$$

uniformly in  $t \in \Sigma_n$ .

The proof of Theorem 3 is essentially Voas' proof for  $n = 1$ , and is straightforward. Further, Theorem 4 is proved using Theorem 1 and Remark 2 in exactly the same way that McDonald and Sundberg prove the  $n = 1$  case [8]. Of course the above theorems hold in the weighted Bergman spaces  $H^2(dv_n)$  of the previous section.

We close with some observations and examples concerning Toeplitz operators  $T_\varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  need not be positive.

1. If  $\varphi$  is any function in  $L^1(dm_n)$  whose support is bounded away from  $\Sigma_n$ , then  $T_\varphi$  is compact. (A weakly convergent sequence in  $H^2(dm_n)$  converges uniformly on compacta.)

2. For  $\varphi \geq 0$ , compactness of  $T_\varphi$  is equivalent to  $\lim \langle \varphi f_n, f_n \rangle = 0$  for every weakly convergent sequence  $\{f_n\}$ . Hence, if  $0 \leq \psi \leq \varphi$  and  $T_\varphi$  is compact, we must have  $T_\psi$  being compact. Thus  $T_\varphi$  compact implies  $T_\psi$  is compact.

3. We construct a real function  $\varphi \in L^1(dm_1)$  such that  $T_\varphi$  is compact, but  $T_{\varphi^+}$  is bounded but not compact. Let

$$S = \{re^{i\theta} : r \geq 0, |\theta| < (1-r)^2\}.$$

On  $S$ , let  $\varphi(re^{i\theta}) = (1-r)^{-1}$  if  $\theta > 0$  and  $\varphi(re^{i\theta}) = -(1-r)^{-1}$  if  $\theta < 0$ . Let  $\varphi \equiv 0$  off  $S$ . As usual  $\varphi_+$  and  $\varphi_-$  denote the positive and negative parts of  $\varphi$ . Since

$$\int_{1-t}^1 \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} \varphi_+(re^{i\theta}) r dr d\theta = \int_0^{(1-t)^2} \int_0^{\theta} \varphi_+(re^{i\theta}) r dr d\theta = \frac{t^2}{6} \leq t^2,$$

it follows (Theorems 3 and 4) that  $T_{\varphi_+}$  is bounded but not compact.

The same is true for  $T_{\varphi_-}$ , and hence for  $T_\varphi$ .

We show that  $T_\varphi$  is Hilbert-Schmidt. Let  $e_k(z) = \sqrt{k+1} z^k$ . The set  $\{e_n\}_0^\infty$  is an orthonormal basis for  $H^2(dm_1)$ . First,

$$\langle T_\varphi z^{-k}, z^k \rangle = 0$$

and if  $k \neq l$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_\varphi z^k, z^l \rangle &= \int_0^1 \frac{r^{k+l+1}}{(1-r)} dr \int_0^{(1-r)^2} (e^{i(k-l)\theta} - e^{-i(k-l)\theta}) d\theta = \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{r^{k+l+1}}{1-r} dr \int_0^{(1-r)^2} 2i \sin(k-l)\theta d\theta . \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|\langle T_\varphi z^k, z^l \rangle| \leq \int_0^1 \frac{r^{k+l+1}}{(1-r)} |k-l| (1-r)^2 dr \leq \frac{|k-l| 3!}{(k+l+2)^2} .$$

Hence,

$$|\langle T_\varphi e_k, e_l \rangle| \leq \frac{3! |k-l| \sqrt{k+1} \sqrt{l+1}}{(k+l+2)^2}$$

and

$$\sum_k \sum_l |\langle T_\varphi e_k, e_l \rangle|^2 < +\infty .$$

We can modify this example to produce a real function  $\varphi$  so that  $T_\varphi$  is compact, but  $T_\varphi$  is not even bounded. Let  $\varphi(re^{i\theta}) = (1-r)^{-3}$  if  $0 < \theta < (1-r)^2$ ,  $\varphi(re^{i\theta}) = -(1-r)^3$  if  $0 > \theta > -(1-r)^2$  and  $\varphi \equiv 0$  elsewhere. One can check that  $\varphi_+$  and  $\varphi_-$  are not admissible, but  $T_\varphi$  is Hilbert-Schmidt.

C. Sundberg has informed us that he and G. McDonald have constructed similar examples.

### REFERENCES

1. CARLESON, L., Interpolation by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem, *Ann. of Math.*, **76**(1962), 547--559.
2. CARLESON, L., *A counterexample for measures bounded on  $H^p$  for the bi-disc*, Report No. 7, Mittag-Leffler, 1974.
3. COBURN, L. A., Singular integral operators and Toeplitz operators on odd spheres, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **23**(1973), 433--439.
4. DUREN, P. L., *Theory of  $H^p$  spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
5. HASTINGS, W. W., A Carleson measure theorem for Bergman spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **52**(1975), 237--241.
6. HÖRMANDER, L.,  $L^p$  estimates for (pluri-) subharmonic functions, *Math. Scand.*, **20**(1967), 65--78.



7. JEWELL, N. P., Toeplitz operators on the Bergman spaces and in several complex variables, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **41**(1980), 193—216.
8. McDONALD, G.; SUNDBERG, C., Toeplitz operators on the disc, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **28**(1979), 595—611.
9. STEGENGA, D. A., Multipliers of the Dirichlet space, *Illinois J. Math.*, **24**(1980), 113—139.
10. VOAS, C., *Toeplitz operators and univalent functions*, Thesis, Univ. of Virginia, 1980.

*JOSEPH A. CIMA and WARREN R. WOGEN*  
*Department of Mathematics,*  
*University of North Carolina,*  
*Chapel Hill, NC 27514,*  
*U.S.A.*

Received January 16, 1981.