

HARDY AND RELICH INEQUALITIES IN NON-INTEGRAL DIMENSION

BARRY SIMON

In [1], Davies asked the following question. Let $H_0 = -\Delta$ on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)$. Let

$$(1) \quad V(x) = c|x|^{-\alpha}$$

with $c > 0$, $\alpha > 0$. $H = H_0 + V$ can always be defined as a self-adjoint operator via a form sum [4,5]. When is it true that

$$(2) \quad D(H) = D(H_0) \cap D(V) ?$$

Davies found the striking answer: (2) holds if $\alpha < 3/2$ or $\alpha > 2$ but fails if $3/2 \leq \alpha < 2$. For the borderline case, $\alpha = 2$, he found that (2) is false if $0 < c \leq 3/4$ and true if $c > 3/4$. He left open the case $\alpha = 2$, $3/4 < c \leq 3/2$. Our original goal was to fill in this gap, and we will give an analysis of all the $\alpha = 2$ situations. We will concentrate on the question of whether the operator sum $H_0 + V$ is a closed operator on $D(H_0) \cap D(V)$. That $D(H_0) \cap D(V)$ is a core for H in the cases in this paper where it is closed is known (see e.g. [5], vol. II, p. 161) so (2) will be established by this closure result. It is an observation of Glimm — Jaffe [2] that $H_0 + V$ is closed on $D(H_0) \cap D(V)$ if and only if for some $a, b \geq 0$:

$$(3) \quad \|V\varphi\| \leq a\|H\varphi\| + b\|\varphi\|.$$

In fact for the case

$$(4) \quad V = c|x|^{-2}, \quad H = -\Delta + V$$

we will prove (3) with optimal constants:

THEOREM 1. *On \mathbf{R}^3 , (3) holds with V, H given by (4), if and only if $c > 3/4$ and in that case, it holds with $b = 0$ and $a = c(c - 3/4)^{-1}$ and is false with any smaller constant.*

The first observation is that V and H have the same properties under scaling; this will be critical later also. That is, if

$$(u(\lambda)f)(x) = \lambda^{3/2}f(\lambda x),$$

then

$$u(\lambda)Vu(\lambda)^{-1} = \lambda^{-2}V; \quad u(\lambda)Hu(\lambda)^{-1} = \lambda^{-2}H.$$

Thus, if (3) holds with any b , it also holds with the same a, b for $\lambda^{-2}V$ and $\lambda^{-2}H$ or equivalently for the same a but for b replaced by $b\lambda^2$. Taking λ to zero, we find that if (3) holds, it holds for $b = 0$. Thus, we are reduced to seeing if

$$(3') \quad \|V\phi\| \leq a\|H\phi\|.$$

But since H has no kernel, this is equivalent to asking if

$$(3'') \quad \|VH^{-1}\| \leq a,$$

i.e. we must see if VH^{-1} is bounded, and, if so, to compute its norm. Since VH^{-1} commutes with rotations and dilations, this will be easy.

In the usual way, VH^{-1} is a direct sum of operators on subspaces of the form $\{rg(r)Y_{lm} | g \in L^2(0, \infty; dr)\}$. Thus, we define

$$(5) \quad h(L) = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{L(L+1)}{r^2}$$

on $L^2(0, \infty; dr)$ and we want to know if

$$\sup_{l=0,1,2,\dots} \|Vh(L(c, l))^{-1}\| < \infty$$

where $L(c, l)$ solves $L(L+1) = l(l+1) + c$. Theorem 1 will thus follow from the following (since $(L-1/2)(L+3/2) = L(L+1) - 3/4$):

THEOREM 1'. $r^{-2}h(L)^{-1}$ is bounded if and only if $L > 1/2$ and

$$(6) \quad \|r^{-2}h(L)^{-1}\| = 1/(L-1/2)(L+3/2).$$

$r^{-2}h(L)^{-1}$ commutes with dilations, so following Herbst [3], we change variables to $r = e^x$ in which case, the new operator on $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ is guaranteed to commute with translations and so be a convolution operator. Explicitly, let $u: L^2(0, \infty; dr) \rightarrow L^2(-\infty, \infty; dx)$ by $(uf)(x) = e^{x/2}f(e^x)$ so $(u^{-1}g)(r) = r^{-1/2}g(\ln r)$. Direct calculation shows that if K has an integral kernel $K(r, r')$ on $L^2(0, \infty; ds)$, then $K = uKu^{-1}$ has integral kernel

$$\tilde{K}(x, x') = e^{x/2}e^{-x'/2}K(e^x, e^{x'}).$$

By standard ODE techniques and the fact that $h(L)u = 0$ is solved by $u = r^{L+1}$ and $u = r^{-L}$, we see that $r^{-2}h(L)^{-1}$ has integral kernel

$$K(r, r') = r^{-2}(2L+1)^{-1}r_{<}^{L+1}r_{>}^{-L}$$

where $r_{>} = \max(r, r')$, $r_{<} = \min(r', r)$. In particular, $r_{<}/r_{>} = \exp(-|x - x'|)$. Thus, with $\theta(\cdot)$ the characteristic function of $[0, \infty)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}(x, x') &= (2L + 1)^{-1} \{ \theta(x' - x) \exp[-(L - 1/2)|x - x'|] + \\ &+ \theta(x - x') \exp[-(L + 3/2)|x - x'|] \} \end{aligned}$$

so \tilde{K} is convolution by a positive function, f , on R . This is bounded on L^2 if and

only if $f \in L^1$ and its norm is then $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)dx$. This yields (6).

A similar, but more direct, calculation shows that

$$(7) \quad \|r^{-1}h(L)^{-1}r^{-1}\| = 1/(L + 1/2)^2 \quad \text{if } L > -1/2,$$

or more generally, for $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$,

$$\|r^{-1-\gamma}h(L)^{-1}r^{-1+\gamma}\| = 1/[(L + 1/2)^2 - \gamma^2] \quad \text{if } L > \gamma - 1/2.$$

We close with two remarks:

(1) If one looks at $r^{-2}(-\Delta)^{-1}$ on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, it is a direct sum of $r^{-2}h(L)$ with $L = (1/2)(n - 3) + l$; $l = 0, 1, \dots$. Thus Theorem 1' implies that $r^{-2}(-\Delta)^{-1}$ is bounded if and only if $n \geq 5$ and

$$\|r^{-2}(-\Delta)^{-1}\| = 4/n(n - 4).$$

This is an equality of Rellich (see e.g. [6]). Thus (6) is a kind of Rellich inequality for non-integral n . It says the proper condition is not $n \geq 5$ but $n > 4$. Similarly, (7) is a kind of Hardy inequality (Hardy says $\|r^{-1}(A)^{-1}r^{-1}\| = 4/(n - 2)^2$) for non-integral n .

(2) If $n \geq 4$, the above analysis shows that for all $c \geq 0$, $H_0 + cr^{-\alpha}$ is closed on $D(H_0) \cap D(V)$ in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ when $\alpha = 2$. Davies' methods [1] show this is true for all $\alpha \neq 2$; i.e., if one restricts to $V = cr^{-\alpha}$, the phenomenon of $D(H_0) \cap D(V) \neq D(H)$ does not occur. For $n = 4$, it is easy to find other V with $D(H) \neq D(H_0) \cap D(V)$, e.g. $V = 2/r^2(\ln r)$ for which $D(H)$ has vectors ψ , behaving as $\ln r$ for small r , so $V\psi \notin L^2$. For $n \geq 5$, it is not easy to construct such V . In fact we conjecture:

CONJECTURE. Let $V(x) = V(|x|)$ on \mathbf{R}^n ; $n \geq 5$. Suppose

- (i) $V \geq 0$;
- (ii) V is bounded on any closed set avoiding 0.

Then $H_0 + V$ is closed on $D(H_0) \cap D(V)$.

It is a pleasure to thank E. B. Davies for telling me of [1] before its publication.

Research partially supported by USNSF Grant MCS81-19979.

REFERENCES

1. DAVIES, E. B., Some norm bounds and quadratic form inequalities for Schrödinger operators, U. London, *J. Operator Theory*, 9(1983), 147-162.
2. GLIMM, J.; JAFFE, A., Singular perturbation of self-adjoint operators, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 22(1969), 401-414.
3. HERBST, I., Spectral theory of the operator $(p^2 + m^2)^{1/2} - Ze^2/r$, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 53(1977), 285-.
4. KATO, T., *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer, 1966.
5. REED, M.; SIMON, B., *Methods of modern mathematical physics. I, II*, Academic Press, 1972, 1976.
6. RELICH, F., *Perturbation theory of eigenvalue problems*, Gordon and Breach, 1969.

BARRY SIMON

*Departments of Mathematics and Physics,
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, CA 91125,
U.S.A.*

Received January 4, 1982.

Additional note. The problem raised in this paper, namely, when is $-\Delta + c|x|^{-2}$ closed operator on $D(-\Delta) \cap D(|x|^{-2})$ is raised already in the paper by D. Robinson, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré*, 21(1974), 185-215. In this paper, Robinson proves things are closed if the constant c is larger than $3/2$ (that is, the result which we attribute to Davies in the paper appears already in the paper of Robinson).