

## LIFTINGS AND EXTENSIONS OF MAPS ON $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

A. G. ROBERTSON and R. R. SMITH

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with a two sided closed ideal  $J$  and let  $\pi : B \rightarrow B/J$  be the quotient homomorphism. If  $E$  is either an operator system or a  $C^*$ -algebra then a map  $\varphi : E \rightarrow B/J$  is said to have a lifting, or be liftable, if there exists a map  $\psi : E \rightarrow B$  such that  $\varphi = \pi\psi$ . If  $E$  is contained in  $B/J$  and  $\varphi$  is the identity then the map is suppressed and  $\psi$  is said to be a lifting of  $E$ . Usually conditions are imposed on  $\varphi$ , and lifting maps of the same type are sought. If  $E$  is a finite dimensional operator system then unital positive maps have unital positive liftings [2], contractive maps have contractive liftings [11], but completely positive maps need not have completely positive liftings [3]. In light of these results we formulate and prove the strongest possible general lifting theorem. This asserts that every finite dimensional operator system in  $B/J$  has an  $n$ -positive unital lifting (Proposition 2.4), for each integer  $n \geq 1$ . The lifting theorems of Andersen [2] and Choi-Effros [11] are both special cases. The lifting of finite dimensional operator systems is a prelude to the lifting of  $C^*$ -algebras, as in [2] for  $C^*$ -algebras with the positive approximation property, or as in [10] for nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras. Here, we obtain  $n$ -positive liftings for separable  $C^*$ -algebras which have the  $n$ -positive approximation property.

In the third section this theory is applied to the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra of  $F_2$ , the free group on two generators, in order to answer a question which arises from recent work of Størmer [24]. In that paper the problem of extending a positive map  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$  to a larger  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  was considered, and this was shown to be possible if  $A$  is nuclear. An example was given to the contrary in the non-nuclear case in [18] and we strengthen this to  $n$ -positive maps here. Examples of non-extendible maps on operator systems have been known for some time [4], [24] and we incorporate these in a general theory. The fourth section is concerned with a short application to matrix ranges. Background material is to be found in [20], [21].

It is assumed throughout that all  $C^*$ -algebras and operator systems are unital, with 1 representing the identity. The  $C^*$ -algebra of  $n \times n$  matrices is denoted  $M_n$ , and if  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  is a linear map then  $\varphi \otimes \text{id}_n : A \otimes M_n \rightarrow B \otimes M_n$  is defined by  $\varphi \otimes \text{id}_n(a_{ij}) = (\varphi(a_{ij}))$ .  $\varphi$  is  $n$ -positive if  $\varphi \otimes \text{id}_n$  is positive, and is completely positive if every  $\varphi \otimes \text{id}_n$  is positive.

## 2. $n$ -POSITIVE LIFTINGS

In [2] Andersen proved, as a corollary of a difficult selection theorem for  $A(K)$  spaces, that any finite dimensional operator system  $E$  in a quotient  $C^*$ -algebra  $B/J$  has a positive unital lifting  $\varphi : E \rightarrow B$ . A consequence of this result was the existence of positive unital liftings  $\varphi : B/J \rightarrow B$  whenever  $B/J$  is separable and has the positive approximation property [2, Theorem 7]. We wish to obtain a generalization of this to  $n$ -positive liftings, but first take the opportunity to provide a simple proof of Andersen's theorem.

**THEOREM 2.1 (Andersen).** *Let  $E$  be a finite dimensional operator system in a quotient  $C^*$ -algebra  $B/J$ . Then there exists a unital positive lifting  $\varphi : E \rightarrow B$ .*

*Proof.* Working with a basis for  $E$  consisting of self-adjoint elements and the identity, it is easy to construct a self-adjoint unital lifting  $\psi : E \rightarrow B$ , which is automatically bounded since  $E$  is finite dimensional. Let

$$K = \{\psi(a)_- : a \in E, \|a\| = 1, a \geq 0\}$$

where  $x_-$  denotes the negative part of a self-adjoint  $C^*$ -algebra element  $x$ . Then  $K$  is a compact subset of  $J$  and is thus contained in  $\overline{\text{conv}\{x_n\}}$  for some sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq J$  satisfying  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = 0$  [14]. By reordering  $\{x_n\}$  if necessary, there exist integers  $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 \dots$  such that

$$2^{-(k+1)} \leq \|x_n\| < 2^{-k} \quad \text{for } n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}.$$

Since the positive part of the open unit ball of a  $C^*$ -algebra is upward filtering [15] there exists a sequence  $\{j_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq J$  such that

$$\|j_k\| < 2^{-k} \quad \text{and} \quad j_k \geq x_n \quad \text{for } n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}.$$

Define  $j = \sum_{r=1}^{n_1-1} x_r + \sum_{k=1}^\infty j_k$  and observe that  $j \geq x$  for all  $x \in K$ .

By compactness there exists a positive linear functional  $\theta \in E^*$  such that  $\theta(a) \geq \|a\|$  for all  $a \in E^+$ . Define  $v : E \rightarrow B$  by  $v(a) = \psi(a) + \theta(a)j$ . Then if  $a \in E^+$ ,

$$\|a\| = 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} v(a) &= \psi(a)_+ + (\theta(a)j - \psi(a)_-) \geq \\ &\geq \psi(a)_+ + (j - \psi(a)_-) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the construction of  $j$ . Thus  $v$  is a positive lifting of  $E$ , and it only remains to make it unital. Following [6], write  $v(1) = 1 + k_1 - k_2$  where  $k_1, k_2 \in J^+$  and choose a state  $\omega$  on  $E$ . Define  $\varphi : E \rightarrow B$  by

$$\varphi(a) = (1 + k_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v(a) + \omega(a)k_2)(1 + k_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (a \in E)$$

and observe that  $\varphi$  is a unital positive lifting of  $E$ .

In order to generalize this theorem, the following technical lemma will be needed.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $E$  be an operator system and let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $\psi : E \otimes M_n \rightarrow B \otimes M_n$  satisfies*

$$\psi(U^*XU) = U^*\psi(X)U$$

for all  $X \in E \otimes M_n$  and all unitary matrices  $U \in M_n$  then there exist  $\varphi, \lambda : E \rightarrow B$  such that

$$\psi(X) = \varphi \otimes \text{id}_n(X) + \lambda(\text{trace } X) \otimes I_n, \quad X \in E \otimes M_n.$$

*Proof.* To avoid technical complications only the case  $n = 2$  will be discussed. The calculations for  $n \geq 3$  are in the same spirit, depending on a number of matrix identities.

(1) For  $a \in E$ , the identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{it} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-it} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

leads to

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{it} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \psi \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-it} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \psi \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and so  $\psi \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is a diagonal matrix. Thus there exist maps  $\lambda, \mu : E \rightarrow B$  such that

$$\psi \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda(a) \end{pmatrix}.$$

(2) The identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

gives

$$\psi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \mu(a) \end{pmatrix}.$$

(3) For any  $t \in \mathbf{R}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{it} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-it} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = e^{it} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and so

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{it} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \psi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-it} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = e^{it} \psi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus there exists a linear map  $\varphi : E \rightarrow B$  such that

$$\psi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(a) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(4) Since  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  it follows from (3) that

$$\psi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varphi(a) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(5) The identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix}$$

leads to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(a) & 0 \\ 0 & -\varphi(a) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda(a) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \mu(a) \end{pmatrix}$$

and so  $\varphi = \mu - \lambda$ .

(6) Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda(a) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(b) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varphi(c) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(d) & 0 \\ 0 & \mu(d) \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(a) + \lambda(a + d) & \varphi(b) \\ \varphi(c) & \varphi(d) + \lambda(a + d) \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \varphi \otimes \text{id}_2 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \left( \text{trace} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) \otimes I_2, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete.

If  $E$  is a finite dimensional operator system then a simple compactness argument gives a strictly positive state  $\theta_0 \in E^*$  and a constant  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\theta_0(a) \geq k\|a\| \quad (a \in E^+).$$

Many different choices of  $\theta_0$  and  $k$  are possible, but we fix one now for the remainder of the section.

Given any state  $\alpha$  on  $E$ , the linear functional  $\beta = (1 - k)^{-1}(\theta_0 - k\alpha)$  is also a state, and so  $\theta_0 = k\alpha + (1 - k)\beta$ . Write

$$E_0 = \{a \in E : \theta_0(a) = 0\}.$$

LEMMA 2.3. *If  $A \in E_0 \otimes M_n$  satisfies*

$$I_n + A \geq 0$$

*then  $\|\text{trace } A\| \leq n/k$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $A$  is self-adjoint and so if  $A$  is written in matrix form as  $(a_{ij})$  with  $a_{ij} \in E_0$ , then each diagonal entry  $a_{ii}$  is self-adjoint, and  $1 + a_{ii} \geq 0$ . If  $\alpha$  is any state on  $E$ , let  $\beta$  be the state satisfying

$$\theta_0 = k\alpha + (1 - k)\beta.$$

Then

$$1 + \alpha(a_{ii}) \geq 0, \quad 1 + \beta(a_{ii}) \geq 0$$

from which it follows that

$$\alpha(a_{ii}), \beta(a_{ii}) \geq -1.$$

On the other hand

$$0 = \theta_0(a_{ii}) = k\alpha(a_{ii}) + (1 - k)\beta(a_{ii}),$$

and so

$$\alpha(a_{ii}) = - \left( \frac{1}{k} - 1 \right) \beta(a_{ii}) \leq \left( \frac{1}{k} - 1 \right) \leq \frac{1}{k}$$

since  $\beta(a_{ii}) \geq -1$ . Thus

$$-1 \leq \alpha(a_{ii}) \leq 1/k$$

and since  $\alpha$  was an arbitrary state,  $\|a_{ii}\| \leq 1/k$ . Consequently

$$\|\text{trace } A\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_{ii}\| \leq n/k.$$

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let  $E$  be a finite dimensional operator system in  $B|J$ . Then for each integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $E$  has an  $n$ -positive unital lifting.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1 there exists a positive lifting  $\varphi : E \otimes M_n \rightarrow B \otimes M_n$ . Let  $G$  be the compact unitary group of  $M_n$  with normalized Haar measure  $\mu$ , and define a new positive lifting  $\psi$  of  $E \otimes M_n$  by

$$\psi(X) = \int_G U \varphi(U^* X U) U^* d\mu(U)$$

for  $X \in E \otimes M_n$ . Then if  $V \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(V^* X V) &= \int_G V^* (V U) \varphi((V U)^* X (V U)) (V U)^* V d\mu(U) = \\ &= V^* \psi(X) V \end{aligned}$$

by invariance of  $\mu$ . By Lemma 2.2 there exist maps  $\tau, \lambda : E \rightarrow B$  such that

$$\psi(X) = \tau \otimes \text{id}_n(X) + \lambda(\text{trace } X) \otimes I_n, \quad (X \in E \otimes M_n).$$

If  $a \in E^+$  then  $a \otimes P_1 \geq 0$ , where

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and so  $\psi(a \otimes P_1) \geq 0$ . From this it follows that  $\lambda(a) \geq 0$  by examination of the

(2, 2) entry, and thus  $\lambda \geq 0$ . In addition  $\lambda(a) \in J$  and so  $\lambda : E \rightarrow J$ . Let  $j_0 = \lambda(1) \in J^+$  and define  $\sigma : E \rightarrow B$  by

$$\rho(a) = \tau(a) + \frac{2n}{k} \theta_0(a) j_0.$$

We now check that  $\sigma$  is an  $n$ -positive map.

If  $X \in (E \otimes M_n)^+$  then  $X$  may be expressed as

$$X = M + Y, \quad M \in M_n, \quad Y \in E_0 \otimes M_n.$$

States are completely positive [22], and so  $\theta_0 \otimes \text{id}_n(X) \geq 0$ , leading to  $M \geq 0$  since  $Y$  is annihilated. If  $\sigma \otimes \text{id}_n(X + \varepsilon I_n) \geq 0$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  then  $\sigma \otimes \text{id}_n(X) \geq 0$ , and so without loss of generality we assume that  $M$  is invertible. Then there exists an invertible matrix  $T$  such that  $T^*MT = I_n$ , and so

$$T^*XT = I_n + T^*YT \geq 0.$$

Clearly

$$\sigma \otimes \text{id}_n(X) = T^{-1*} \sigma \otimes \text{id}_n(T^*XT) T^{-1}$$

and so it suffices to check positivity of  $\sigma \otimes \text{id}_n$  on positive matrices of the form  $I_n + A$  where  $A \in E_0 \otimes M_n$ .

By Lemma 2.3  $\|\text{trace } A\| \leq n/k$  and thus  $\|\text{trace}(I_n + A)\| \leq n + n/k \leq 2n/k$  since  $k < 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \otimes \text{id}_n(I_n + A) &= \tau \otimes \text{id}_n(I_n + A) + \frac{2n}{k} j_0 \otimes I_n = \\ &= \psi(I_n + A) + \left( \frac{2n}{k} j_0 - \lambda(\text{trace}(I_n + A)) \otimes I_n \right) \geq \\ &\geq \psi(I_n + A) + \left( \frac{2n}{k} j_0 - \frac{2n}{k} j_0 \right) \otimes I_n \end{aligned}$$

since  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $\text{trace}(I_n + A) \leq (2n/k)1$ . Thus

$$\sigma \otimes \text{id}_n(I_n + A) \geq \psi(I_n + A) \geq 0$$

and  $\sigma$  is an  $n$ -positive lifting of  $E$ . The final argument, modifying  $\sigma$  to a unital  $n$ -positive lifting, has been given at the end of Theorem 2.1.

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *If  $E$  is a finite dimensional operator system in  $B/J$  then for each integer  $n \geq 1$  there exists an  $n$ -isometric unital lifting of  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi: E \rightarrow B$  be a  $2n$ -positive unital lifting of  $E$ , by Proposition 2.4. If  $X \in E \otimes M_n$  then  $\|X\| \leq 1$  if and only if  $\begin{pmatrix} I_n & X \\ X^* & I_n \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$  in  $E \otimes M_{2n}$ . Then  $\begin{pmatrix} I_n & \varphi \otimes \text{id}_n(X) \\ \varphi \otimes \text{id}_n(X)^* & I_n \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , and so  $\|\varphi \otimes \text{id}_n(X)\| \leq 1$ . Since any lifting is norm non-decreasing, it follows that  $\varphi$  is  $n$ -isometric.

We say that a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has the  $n$ -positive (respectively  $n$ -contractive) approximation property if there exists a net  $\{T_\lambda: A \rightarrow A\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of finite rank  $n$ -positive (respectively  $n$ -contractive) operators converging in the point norm topology to  $I$ . If  $A$  is separable then the net may be replaced by a sequence of operators  $\{T_r\}_{r=1}^\infty$ .

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $A$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra with the  $n$ -positive (respectively  $n$ -contractive) approximation property, and let  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B/J$  be an  $n$ -positive (respectively  $n$ -contractive) map. Then there exists an  $n$ -positive (respectively  $n$ -contractive) lifting  $\psi: A \rightarrow B$  of  $\varphi$ , which may be chosen to be unital if  $\varphi$  is unital.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{T_r\}_{r=1}^\infty$  be the sequence of approximating maps and let  $E_r$  be the operator system in  $B/J$  spanned by  $\varphi T_r(A)$  and  $1$ . By Proposition 2.4 or Corollary 2.5 there exists an  $n$ -positive (respectively  $n$ -contractive) lifting  $\psi_r: E_r \rightarrow B$  and so  $\varphi T_r: A \rightarrow B/J$  has an  $n$ -positive (respectively  $n$ -contractive) lifting  $\psi_r \varphi T_r: A \rightarrow B$ . The argument given by Arveson in [6] is valid here, and so the set of  $n$ -positive (respectively  $n$ -contractive) liftable maps of  $A$  into  $B/J$  is closed in the point norm topology. Since we have shown that each  $\varphi T_r$  is liftable, we conclude that  $\varphi$  has an  $n$ -positive (respectively  $n$ -contractive) lifting  $\psi$ .

In the  $n$ -positive case the argument at the end of Theorem 2.1 will modify  $\psi$  to be unital and  $n$ -positive. If  $\varphi$  is unital and  $n$ -contractive then  $\varphi$  is  $n$ -positive and so has an  $n$ -positive lifting  $\psi$ . Since  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\psi$  is also  $n$ -contractive and so the proof is complete.

**REMARK.** The  $n$ -contractive part of this theorem generalizes the  $C^*$ -algebra version of a result of Choi-Effros [11, Theorem 2.6].

### 3. EXTENSIONS OF POSITIVE MAPS

The starting point for this section is a recent result due to Størmer [24] which asserts that if  $A \subseteq B$  are unital  $C^*$ -algebras with  $A$  nuclear, then every unital positive map  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B(H)$  has a positive extension  $\psi: B \rightarrow B(H)$ . Note that the completely positive version (with the nuclearity requirement dropped) is Arveson's Hahn-Banach theorem [4]. We begin by strengthening this result to  $n$ -positive maps.



**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $A \subseteq B$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras with  $A$  nuclear. Then every  $n$ -positive unital map  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B(H)$  has an  $n$ -positive extension  $\psi: B \rightarrow B(H)$ .*

*Proof.*  $A \otimes M_n$  is nuclear and  $\varphi \otimes \text{id}_n: A \otimes M_n \rightarrow B(H \otimes C^n)$  is positive, so by [24, Theorem 3.14] there exists a positive unital extension  $\lambda: B \otimes M_n \rightarrow B(H \otimes C^n)$ . Since  $B \otimes M_n$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\lambda$  is contractive. As in Proposition 2.4, let  $G$  be the unitary group of  $M_n$  with normalized Haar measure  $\mu$ , which is both left and right invariant since  $G$  is compact. Define  $\eta: B \otimes M_n \rightarrow B(H \otimes C^n)$  by

$$\eta(X) = \iint_{G \times G} U \lambda(U^* X V) V^* d\mu(U) d\mu(V), \quad (X \in B \otimes M_n).$$

The invariance of  $\mu$  implies that

$$\eta(CXD) = C\eta(X)D$$

for  $X \in B \otimes M_n$ ,  $C, D \in G$ , and by linearity this relation holds for any matrices  $C, D \in M_n$ . By considering suitable choices of  $C$  and  $D$  as matrix units in  $M_n$ , it is easy to check that  $\eta$  has the form  $\psi \otimes \text{id}_n$  for some map  $\psi: B \rightarrow B(H)$ .

Now  $\lambda$  is contractive, and so  $\eta$  is contractive, from the definition. Moreover, if  $X \in A \otimes M_n$  then, for  $U, V \in G$ ,

$$\lambda(U^* X V) = \varphi \otimes \text{id}_n(U^* X V) = U^* \varphi \otimes \text{id}_n(X) V$$

and so

$$\varphi \otimes \text{id}_n(X) = \iint_{G \times G} U \lambda(U^* X V) V^* d\mu(U) d\mu(V) = \eta(X) = \psi \otimes \text{id}_n(X).$$

Thus  $\psi$  is an  $n$ -contractive extension of the unital map  $\varphi$ , and so is an  $n$ -positive extension.

**REMARK.** The restriction that  $\varphi$  be unital is not essential. If  $\varphi$  is  $n$ -positive and  $\varphi(1) = T \in B(H)$  then, modifying an argument of Choi-Effros [12, Lemma 2.2], there exists a unital  $n$ -positive map  $\xi: A \rightarrow B(H)$  such that  $\varphi(a) = T^{1/2} \xi(a) T^{1/2}$  for  $a \in A$ . Proposition 3.1 can then be applied to  $\xi$ .

In [24] Størmer conjectured that his extension theorem was no longer true if  $A$  were not assumed to be nuclear. An example to this effect was given in [18], but we present here a related and stronger result. Let  $F_2$  denote the free group on two generators. When  $F_2$  acts on  $K = l_2(F_2)$  by left translation the resulting  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $B(K)$  is denoted  $C_\lambda^*(F_2)$  and is a quotient of the group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(F_2)$  by an ideal  $J$ . Let  $C^*(F_2)$  be faithfully represented on some separable Hilbert space  $H$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *For each integer  $n \geq 1$  there exists an  $n$ -positive unital map  $\varphi_n: C_\lambda^*(F_2) \rightarrow B(H)$  which has no positive extension to  $B(K)$ .*

*Proof.*  $C_\lambda^*(F_2)$  is separable and has the  $n$ -positive approximation property for each positive integer  $n$  [13]. By Theorem 2.6, applied to the identity map of  $C_\lambda^*(F_2)$  onto  $C^*(F_2)/J$ , there exists for each  $n \geq 1$  an  $n$ -positive unital lifting  $\varphi_n: C_\lambda^*(F_2) \rightarrow C^*(F_2)$ . Since  $C^*(F_2) \subseteq B(H)$  we may regard the larger algebra as the range of  $\varphi_n$ . The verification that no  $\varphi_n$  has a positive extension to  $B(K)$  now follows by combining the argument of [18] with that of [11, Theorem 4.5] to show that the quotient map  $C^*(F_2) \rightarrow C_\lambda^*(F_2)$  does not lift to an extendible positive map.

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *For each integer  $n \geq 1$  there exists an integer  $k(n)$  and an  $n$ -positive unital map  $\psi_n: C_\lambda^*(F_2) \rightarrow M_{k(n)}$  which has no positive extension to  $B(K)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that this were false for some integer  $n$ . Then all unital  $n$ -positive maps of  $C_\lambda^*(F_2)$  into matrix algebras would have positive extensions to  $B(K)$ . Since  $B(H)$  is the  $w^*$ -closure of an increasing union of matrix algebras, a simple limit argument would then imply that  $\varphi_n: C_\lambda^*(F_2) \rightarrow B(H)$ , constructed in Theorem 3.2, has a positive extension to  $B(K)$ . A contradiction has been reached.

**REMARK.** With some simple exceptions,  $B(H)$  could be replaced in Theorem 3.2 by any unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra which has irreducible representations on Hilbert spaces of arbitrarily large dimension (including  $\infty$ ). Fix  $n \geq 1$  and recall from [19] that there exist completely positive maps  $\tau_r: M_{k(n)} \rightarrow A$ ,  $\sigma_r: A \rightarrow M_{k(n)}$  such that  $\|\sigma_r \tau_r - \text{id}\| < 1/r$  for  $r \geq 1$ . If  $\psi_n: C_\lambda^*(F_2) \rightarrow M_{k(n)}$  is the non-extendible  $n$ -positive map of Corollary 3.3 then  $\tau_r \psi_n: C_\lambda^*(F_2) \rightarrow A$  is  $n$ -positive. At least one of these must be non-extendible otherwise, after composition with  $\sigma_r$ , a limit argument would construct a positive extension of  $\psi_n$ .

We now construct non-extendible positive maps on operator systems by methods which allow us to obtain the explicit examples of [4, A2] and [24] as special cases. These positive maps are actually order isomorphisms. We need a general result, which is an abstraction of the proof of [24, Proposition 3.15].

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Let  $E, E', F, F'$  be operator systems with  $E \subset E'$  and  $F \subset F'$ . Suppose that there is a positive unital projection  $\pi$  from  $F'$  onto  $F$  and a positive linear map  $\varphi$  from  $E$  onto  $F$  which has a positive right inverse  $\psi^{-1}$ .*

*Then  $\varphi$  extends to a positive linear map  $\bar{\varphi}$  from  $E'$  into  $F'$  if and only if there is a positive unital projection from  $E'$  onto  $E$ .*

*Proof.* If such an extension  $\bar{\varphi}$  exists, then the map  $\varphi^{-1}\bar{\varphi}$  is a positive unital projection from  $E'$  onto  $E$ . Conversely if there is a positive projection  $\rho$  from  $E'$  onto  $E$  then  $\bar{\varphi} = \varphi\rho$  is a positive extension of  $\varphi$ .

As a first application, we assume that  $F' = B(H)$  for some Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $F$  is the range of a positive unital projection on  $B(H)$  and  $F$  is not order isomorphic to an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra. (For example  $F$  could be an injective non-abelian  $C^*$ -algebra.) Let  $X$  be the  $w^*$ -closure of the pure states of  $F$  and define the canonical map  $\psi: F \rightarrow C(X)$  by  $\psi(x)(p) = p(x)$ ,  $x \in F$ ,  $p \in X$ . Then  $\psi$  is an order isomorphism from  $F$  onto an operator system  $E \subseteq C(X)$ . Let  $\varphi = \psi^{-1}$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.5.**  *$\varphi$  does not extend to a positive map  $\bar{\varphi}: C(X) \rightarrow B(H)$ .*

*Proof.* If such an extension did exist then  $E$  would be the range of a positive projection on  $C(X)$  and hence would be order-isomorphic to an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra [17, Theorem 4] contrary to assumption.

A simple concrete example is provided by the case where  $F = V + iV$ , with  $V$  a finite dimensional spin factor [1]. Thus  $F = \text{span}\{1, s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ , where  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  are symmetries in  $B(H)$  satisfying  $s_j s_k + s_k s_j = 2\delta_{jk}1$ . According to [16] there exists a positive projection from  $B(H)$  onto  $F$ . The pure state space  $X$  of  $F$  may be identified with the unit sphere in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  [1]. It is readily verified that in this case  $E$  is the linear span of  $1$  and the coordinate functions  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . The order isomorphism  $\varphi$  is given by

$$\varphi(\alpha_0 1 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n) = \alpha_0 1 + \alpha_1 s_1 + \dots + \alpha_n s_n.$$

When  $n = 2$ ,  $s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $s_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $X = T$ , the unit circle in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , we recover Arveson's example [4, A2], together with a new proof that  $\varphi$  is not extendible.

The operator system  $E$  constructed by the above method is of necessity infinite dimensional. The following example, which was shown to us by M.-D. Choi, shows that we can choose  $E$  to be finite dimensional if we drop the requirement that  $\varphi$  be an order isomorphism.

Let  $E = \{(a, b, c, d) \in \ell_4^\infty : a - b = c - d\}$ , and define  $\varphi: E \rightarrow M_2$  by  $\varphi(a, b, c, d) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a + b & a - b \\ c - d & c + d \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\varphi$  is positive and unital but  $\|\varphi(1 + i, 1 - i, -1 + i, -1 - i)\| = 2$ , so that  $\|\varphi\| > 1$ . Hence  $\varphi$  does not extend to a positive map on  $\ell_4^\infty$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.6.** *Let  $A$  be an injective  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\varphi: E \rightarrow A \subseteq B(H)$  be as in Proposition 3.5. The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\varphi$  is extendible (to a positive map  $\bar{\varphi}: C(X) \rightarrow B(H)$ ),
- (2)  $\|\varphi\| = 1$ ,
- (3)  $A$  is abelian.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).  $\bar{\varphi}$  is unital, so  $\|\varphi\| = \|\bar{\varphi}\| = 1$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). If  $A$  is nonabelian then there exists  $a \in A$ ,  $\|a\| = 1$  such that  $a^2 = 0$ . Then  $|f(a)| \leq 1/2$  for each state of  $A$ . Thus  $\|\psi(a)\| \leq 1/2$  (where  $\psi: A \rightarrow C(X)$  is the canonical order isomorphism defined by  $\psi(a)(f) = f(a)$ ). Now  $\varphi = \psi^{-1}$  so  $\|\varphi(\psi(a))\| = 1 = \|a\| \geq 2\|\psi(a)\|$ . Therefore  $\|\psi\| \geq 2$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). If  $A$  is abelian then  $\varphi$  is completely positive [22] and so  $\varphi$  is extendible [4].

We now study non-commutative operator systems and non-extendible order-isomorphisms on them from a rather different viewpoint from that adopted in [24, Proposition 3.15]. The following result is obtained by modifying the arguments in [5, page 286] to deal with positive, instead of completely positive maps.  $K(H)$  denotes the algebra of compact operators on a Hilbert space  $H$ .

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Let  $\varphi: B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$  be a positive unital map and let  $F = \{x \in B(H) : \varphi(x) = x\}$  be irreducible. Suppose that there exists  $x_0 \in F$ , such that  $d(x_0, K(H)) < \|x_0\|$ . Then  $F_{sa}$  is a JC-algebra.*

*Proof.* This closely follows the argument in [5]. First note that there is a central projection  $e \in B(H)^{**}$  such that  $B(H)$  is  $*$ -isomorphic to  $B(H)^{**}e$  and  $K(H)(1-e) = 0$ . Note also that  $e$  is a minimal projection, since  $B(H)^{**}e$  is a factor. By [5, p. 286], there exists a normal positive projection  $\psi$  on  $B(H)^{**}$  such that  $\psi\varphi = \psi$  on  $B(H)$  and  $F \subseteq \psi(B)$ . Let  $p$  be the support projection of  $\psi$ . Then  $px = xp$  for all  $x \in F$  [16, Lemma 1.2(2)]. Hence  $pex = pxe = xpe$  for all  $x \in F$ , so that  $pe$  is a central projection in  $B(H)^{**}e$ . Thus  $pe = 0$  or  $pe = 1$ . We prove that the first possibility does not occur.

Suppose that  $pe = 0$ . Then  $x_0 = \psi(x_0) = \psi(px_0p) = \psi(p(1-e)x_0(1-e)p) = \psi((1-e)x_0)$ . Now there exists  $y \in K(H)$  such that  $\|x_0 - y\| < \|x_0\|$  and  $(1-e)y = 0$ . Therefore  $\|x_0\| = \|\psi((1-e)(x_0 - y))\| \leq \|x_0 - y\| < \|x_0\|$ . This contradiction shows that  $pe \neq 0$ . Hence  $pe = e$ .

To complete the proof it is enough to show that  $F_{sa}$  is a Jordan algebra: that is  $x^3 \in F_{sa}$  whenever  $x \in F_{sa}$ . Let  $z = \varphi(x^2) = x^2$ . Then  $z = \varphi(x^2) - \varphi(x)^2 \geq 0$  and  $\psi(z) = 0$ , since  $\psi\varphi = \psi$  on  $B(H)$ . It follows that  $pzp = 0$ , and therefore  $ez = 0$ , since  $pe = e$ . Hence  $z = 0$ , since the map  $x \rightarrow ex$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $\varphi(x^3) = x^3$ . This proves the result.

**COROLLARY 3.8.** *If  $\pi$  is a positive unital projection on  $B(H)$  whose range is irreducible and contains a non-zero compact operator, then  $\pi(B(H))_{sa}$  is a JC-algebra.*

**COROLLARY 3.9.** *Let  $E$  be an irreducible operator system which contains a non-zero compact operator. Let  $F$  be an operator system on a Hilbert space  $K$  which is the range of a positive unital projection on  $B(K)$ . Suppose that  $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$  is an order-isomorphism from  $E$  onto  $F$ . If  $\varphi$  is extendible then  $E_{sa}$  is a JC-algebra.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.4 there exists a positive unital projection from  $B(H)$  onto  $E$ . Therefore  $E_{sa}$  is a JC-algebra by Corollary 3.8.

REMARK. The fact that the positive map of [24, Example 3.16] is not extendible is an immediate consequence of this result. In that particular case  $F_{sa}$  was the JC-algebra of real symmetric  $2 \times 2$  matrices. In general, it follows from [16] that  $F_{sa}$  will be order-isomorphic to a JC-algebra.

We now give an example of a 2-positive map on a finite dimensional JC-algebra which has no 2-positive extension. This contrasts with the case of finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras, which are of course nuclear.

EXAMPLE 3.10. Let  $D = \{x \oplus x^t : x \in M_2\}$ , where  $x^t$  denotes the usual transpose of a complex  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $x$ .  $D$  is naturally embedded as a subspace of  $M_4$ . The self-adjoint part of  $D$  is a JC-algebra which is Jordan-isomorphic to  $(M_2)_{sa}$ .

According to [25] there exists a positive linear map  $\varphi: M_2 \rightarrow M_4$  which is not decomposable in the sense of [23]. Thus,  $\varphi$  cannot be expressed as a sum of completely positive and completely copositive maps. Define a linear map  $\psi: D \rightarrow M_4$  by  $\psi(x \oplus x^t) = \varphi(x)$ .

We first claim that  $\psi$  is 2-positive. For if not, there exist elements  $a, b, c \in M_2$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \oplus a^t & b \oplus b^t \\ b^* \oplus b^{*t} & c \oplus c^t \end{pmatrix} \geq 0, \quad \text{but} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(a) & \varphi(b) \\ \varphi(b^*) & \varphi(c) \end{pmatrix} \not\geq 0.$$

Replacing  $c$  by  $c + \varepsilon 1$  if necessary, where  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we may suppose that  $c$  is invertible. By [9, Lemma 2.1],  $a \geq bc^{-1}b^*$  and  $a^t \geq b^t c^{-1} b^{*t}$ , or equivalently,  $a \geq b^* c^{-1} b$ . However  $\varphi(a) \not\geq \varphi(b)\varphi(c)^{-1}\varphi(b)^*$ . Write  $x = c^{-1/2}bc^{-1/2}$  and  $y = c^{-1/2}ac^{-1/2}$ . Then  $y \geq x^*x$ ,  $y \geq xx^*$ , but  $\varphi_0(y) \not\geq \varphi_0(x)\varphi_0(x)^*$  where  $\varphi_0: M_2 \rightarrow M_4$  is the positive unital map defined by  $\varphi_0(z) = \varphi(c)^{-1/2}(c^{1/2}zc^{1/2})\varphi(c)^{-1/2}$ . However, this contradicts [25, Theorem 5.2], where it is shown that such  $\varphi_0$  must satisfy a "Strong Kadison Inequality". Therefore  $\psi$  is 2-positive.

Now suppose that  $\psi$  extends to a 2-positive map  $\bar{\psi}: M_4 \rightarrow M_4$ . Then define positive maps  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  on  $M_2$  by  $\psi_1(x) = \bar{\psi}(x \oplus 0)$  and  $\psi_2(x) = \bar{\psi}(0 \oplus x^t)$ . Clearly  $\psi_1$  is 2-positive, hence completely positive and  $\psi_2$  is 2-copositive, hence completely copositive. However  $\varphi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\varphi$  is not decomposable.

We have therefore shown that  $\psi$  is a 2-positive map on  $D$  which does not extend to a 2-positive map on  $M_4$ .

REMARKS. 1. The first part of the proof actually shows that every positive map from  $D$  into  $B(H)$  is 2-positive.

2. The map  $\psi$  above does extend to a positive map on  $M_4$ , since there is a positive projection from  $M_4$  onto  $D$ .

#### 4. MATRIX RANGES

Recall from [7] that the numerical range  $W(a)$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra element  $a \in A$  is defined to be  $\{\varphi(a) : \varphi \text{ is a state on } A\}$ . If an ideal  $J$  is specified then the essential numerical range  $W_e(a)$  is defined to be  $W(\pi(a))$  where  $\pi: A \rightarrow A/J$  is the quotient homomorphism. A state is a completely positive unital map  $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and so the higher order matrix ranges  $W_n(a)$  are defined by replacing states by completely positive unital  $M_n$ -valued maps. As before the essential matrix ranges  $W_{nc}(a)$  are defined to be  $W_n(\pi(a))$ . These were introduced in [4] and studied in [20], [21]. It is always true that  $W_{nc}(a) \subseteq W_n(a)$  and a natural question is whether an ideal perturbation  $a + j$  of  $a$  can be found for which  $W_n(a + j) = W_{nc}(a)$ . This was solved positively in [20], [21], but the methods of § 2 allow us to state a stronger result.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $E$  be a finite dimensional operator system in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with an ideal  $J$ . Given an integer  $n$ , there exists a linear map  $\tau: E \rightarrow J$  such that  $W_n(a + \tau(a)) = W_{nc}(a)$  for all  $a \in E$ .*

*Proof.*  $\pi(E)$  is a finite dimensional operator system in  $A/J$  and so has an  $n$ -positive unital lifting  $\psi: \pi(E) \rightarrow A$ . Define  $\tau: E \rightarrow J$  by

$$\tau(a) = \psi\pi(a) - a \quad (a \in E).$$

It suffices to show that  $W_n(\psi\pi(a)) \subseteq W_n(\pi(a))$ . If  $\varphi: A \rightarrow M_n$  is unital and completely positive then  $\varphi\psi: \pi(E) \rightarrow M_n$  is  $n$ -positive, and so completely positive [8]. It thus has a completely positive unital extension  $\theta: A/J \rightarrow M_n$ . If  $a \in E$  then

$$\theta(\pi(a)) = \varphi\psi\pi(a) = \varphi(a + \tau(a))$$

and the inclusion is proved.

**REMARK.** In the presence of the  $n$ -positive approximation property the same argument extends this result to infinite dimensional operator systems.

#### REFERENCES

1. ALFSEN, E. M.; SHULTZ, F. W., State spaces of Jordan algebras, *Acta Math.*, **140**(1978), 155–190.
2. ANDERSEN, T. B., Linear extensions, projections, and split faces, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **17**(1974), 161–173.
3. ANDERSON, J., A  $C^*$ -algebra for which  $\text{Ext}(A)$  is not a group, *Ann. of Math.*, **107**(1978), 455–458.

4. ARVESON, W. B., Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras, *Acta Math.*, **123**(1969), 141–224.
5. ARVESON, W. B., Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebra. II, *Acta Math.*, **128**(1972), 271–308.
6. ARVESON, W. B., Notes on extensions of  $C^*$ -algebras, *Duke Math. J.*, **44**(1977), 329–355.
7. BONSALL, F.; DUNCAN, J., *Complete normed algebras*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
8. CHOI, M. D., Positive linear maps on  $C^*$ -algebras, *Canad. J. Math.*, **24**(1972), 520–529.
9. CHOI, M. D., Some assorted inequalities for positive linear maps on  $C^*$ -algebras, *J. Operator Theory*, **4**(1980), 271–285.
10. CHOI, M. D.; EFFROS, E., The completely positive lifting problem for  $C^*$ -algebras, *Ann. of Math.*, **104**(1976), 585–609.
11. CHOI, M. D.; EFFROS, E., Lifting problems and the cohomology of  $C^*$ -algebras, *Canad. J. Math.*, **29**(1977), 1092–1111.
12. CHOI, M. D.; EFFROS, E., Injectivity and operator spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **24**(1977), 156–209.
13. DE CANNIÈRE, J.; HAAGERUP, U., Multipliers of the Fourier algebras of some Lie groups and their discrete subgroup, *Amer. J. Math.*, **107**(1985), 455–500.
14. DIESTEL, J., *Sequences and series in Banach spaces*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
15. DIXMIER, J.,  *$C^*$ -algebras*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
16. EFFROS, E.; STØRMER, E., Positive projections and Jordan structure operator algebras, *Math. Scand.*, **45**(1979), 127–138.
17. FRIEDMAN, Y.; RUSSO, B., Contractive projections on  $C_0(K)$ , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **273**(1982), 57–73.
18. ROBERTSON, A. G., A non-extendible positive map on the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra of a free group, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **18**(1986), 389–391.
19. SMITH, R. R., Completely bounded maps between  $C^*$ -algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **27**(1983), 157–166.
20. SMITH, R. R.; WARD, J. D., Matrix ranges for Hilbert space operators, *Amer. J. Math.*, **102**(1980), 1031–1081.
21. SMITH, R. R.; WARD, J. D., Locally isometric liftings from quotient  $C^*$ -algebras, *Duke Math. J.*, **47**(1980), 621–631.
22. STINESPRING, W. F., Positive functions on  $C^*$ -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **6**(1955), 211–216.
23. STØRMER, E., Decomposition of positive projections on  $C^*$ -algebras, *Math. Ann.*, **247**(1980), 21–41.
24. STØRMER, E., Extension of positive maps into  $B(H)$ , *J. Funct. Anal.*, **66**(1986), 235–254.
25. WORONOWICZ, S. L., Positive linear maps on low-dimensional matrix algebras, *Rep. Math. Phys.*, **10**(1976), 165–183.

A. G. ROBERTSON

Department of Mathematics,  
University of Edinburgh,  
Edinburgh EH9 3JZ,  
Scotland.

R. R. SMITH

Department of Mathematics,  
Texas A & M University,  
College Station, TX 77843,  
U.S.A.

Received January 30, 1988; revised May 14, 1988.

*Note added in proof.* E. Størmer has kindly drawn our attention to an alternative proof of Proposition 3.1, which follows immediately from Remark 6 of:

ITO, K.,  $\mathcal{K}^n$ -positive maps in  $C^*$ -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **101**(1987), 76–80.