

A CHARACTERIZATION OF UHF C^* -ALGEBRAS

BELISARIO A. VENTURA

1. INTRODUCTION

A C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} (with unit 1) is defined to be approximately finite-dimensional (AF C^* -algebra) if there is an ascending sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of finite-dimensional C^* -algebras in \mathcal{A} , each of them containing 1, such that $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_n$ is dense in \mathcal{A} . \mathcal{A} is said to be uniformly hyperfinite (UHF C^* -algebra) if the C^* -subalgebras \mathcal{A}_n can be chosen to be $*$ -isomorphic to full complex matrix algebras. In [9, Theorem I.1.10] Strătilă and Voiculescu showed that given an AF C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} and an ascending sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ with union dense in \mathcal{A} , there is a maximal abelian subalgebra (m.a.s.a.) \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} , a conditional expectation P of \mathcal{A} with respect to \mathcal{C} and a subgroup \mathcal{U} of the unitary group of \mathcal{A} such that

- i) $u\mathcal{C}u^* = \mathcal{C}$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and
- ii) $P(uxu^*) = uP(x)u^*$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $x \in \mathcal{C}$,
- iii) $\mathcal{A} = \text{closed linear span of } (\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}) = \text{c.l.s.}(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{U})$.

This generalized a similar result for UHF C^* -algebras, obtained by Garding and Wightman [4]. The group \mathcal{U} is a countable discrete proper subgroup of the normalizer of \mathcal{C} and can be viewed as a group of $*$ -automorphisms of \mathcal{C} , by defining for each $u \in \mathcal{U}$, $\alpha_u(x) = uxu^*$, for $x \in \mathcal{C}$. In fact \mathcal{U} is chosen so that the correspondence $u \leftrightarrow \alpha_u$ is one-to-one.

It follows from the work of Garding and Wightman [4], that a UHF C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , when diagonalized relative to an ascending sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of full matrix algebras, has the following property

(*) There is an abelian subgroup \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{U} such that \mathcal{A} is $*$ -isomorphic to $\mathcal{G} \times_\alpha \mathcal{C}$, the crossed product of \mathcal{C} by \mathcal{G} , where α is the action of \mathcal{U} on \mathcal{C} restricted to \mathcal{G} .

(See also [8, 7.10.8] for a proof of this fact.) Thus, it is natural to ask whether any simple AF C^* -algebra satisfying (*) is necessarily UHF. The purpose of this paper is to provide an answer to this question.

The main result is:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a simple AF C^* -algebra, $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ an ascending sequence of finite-dimensional C^* -subalgebras of \mathcal{A} , with $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_n$ dense in \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{C} be the diagonal of \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{U} , the unitary group related to the diagonalization of \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{A}_n$ and for $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ let $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{A}_n$. Then \mathcal{A} is a UHF C^* -algebra iff the following conditions are satisfied*

- (a) *There is an abelian subgroup \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{U} such that \mathcal{A} is $*$ -isomorphic to $\mathcal{G} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{C}$, where α is the action of \mathcal{U} on \mathcal{C} restricted to \mathcal{G} . The isomorphism carries \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{C} into their canonical images in $\mathcal{G} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{C}$.*
- (b) *Given n , there is $m \geq n$ such that each minimal projection of $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{A}_n$ embeds into every \mathcal{G}_m -orbit in \mathcal{C}_m with the same multiplicity.*

Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 3 of this paper. Section 4 contains an example of a simple non-UHF C^* -algebra that satisfies condition (a) but does not satisfy condition (b), thus showing that there are simple non-UHF AF C^* -algebras satisfying (*). Section 2 contains some preliminary results.

I am in debt to Justin Peters and Bruce Wagner for pointing out a mistake in an earlier version of this paper. Part of the research for this paper was done while the author was at Texas A&M University.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Given an AF C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} with approximating sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, we will always assume that $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathbb{C}1$, where \mathbb{C} denote the complex numbers, and we will denote by \mathcal{C} , the diagonal algebra of \mathcal{A} , and by \mathcal{C}_n , the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}_n \cap \mathcal{C}$, which is maximal abelian in \mathcal{A}_n [9, Lemma I.1.1]. \mathcal{U} will denote the unitary group related to the diagonalization of \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{C} , and \mathcal{U}_n will be $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}_n$.

The group \mathcal{U} , constructed in [9, I.1.9], is a proper subgroup of the normalizer of \mathcal{C} . $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{U}_n$, where \mathcal{U}_n is the finite group corresponding to the permutations of the minimal projections of \mathcal{C}_n that fix the central projections of \mathcal{A}_n . Thus \mathcal{U} is a countable discrete group.

We have also noted that \mathcal{U} acts as a group of automorphisms of \mathcal{C} , by

$$\chi_u(x) = uxu^* \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{U}, x \in \mathcal{C}.$$

This action is faithful [9, I.1.9].

Let \mathcal{Z} denote the Gelfand spectrum of \mathcal{C} , and let σ_u be the homeomorphism of \mathcal{Z} associated with χ_u , that is

$$\hat{x}(\sigma_u(z)) = (\chi_u(x))^*(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathcal{Z}, x \in \mathcal{C},$$

where $\hat{\cdot}$ denotes the Gelfand transform of \mathcal{C} .

Let us begin by obtaining some consequences of condition (a) in Theorem 1.1. If \mathcal{A} is a simple AF C^* -algebra with \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{C} as in (a) of Theorem 1.1, then it follows from [8, Proposition 7.6.4] that \mathcal{A} is generated by \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{C} , and since \mathcal{G} acts on \mathcal{C} as a group of automorphisms, we have that

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{closed linear span of } (\mathcal{G}\mathcal{C}) = \text{closed linear span}(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{G}).$$

We first establish the following variations of [9, Lemma I.2.2 and Theorem I.2.4].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let \mathcal{I} be a \mathcal{G} -invariant closed ideal of \mathcal{C} . Then*

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I}) = \{x \in \mathcal{A} : P(x^*x) \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

where P is the conditional expectation of \mathcal{A} with respect to \mathcal{C} constructed in [9], is a closed two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A} .

Proof. The argument used in [9, Lemma I.2.2] can be used here to show that $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I})$ is a closed left ideal of \mathcal{A} . In order to show that $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I})$ is also a right ideal, let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I})$. By the remark previous to this lemma, it suffices to take $a = gc$, $g \in \mathcal{G}$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Then:

$$P((xa)^*(xa)) = P(c^*g^*x^*xgc) = c^*cg^*P(x^*x)g.$$

\mathcal{I} is \mathcal{G} -invariant and $P(x^*x) \in \mathcal{I}$, so $P((xa)^*(xa)) \in \mathcal{I}$, and therefore $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I})$ is also a right ideal. \blacksquare

LEMMA 2.2. *For any closed two-sided ideal \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{A} we have that*

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{C}) = \{x \in \mathcal{A} : P(x^*x) \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{C}\}.$$

For any closed \mathcal{G} -invariant ideal \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{C} we have that

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{C}.$$

Thus the correspondences $\mathcal{K} \mapsto \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{I} \mapsto \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I})$ are inverses of each other and they define a bijective correspondence between the set of all closed two-sided ideals of \mathcal{A} and the set of all closed \mathcal{G} -invariant ideals of \mathcal{C} .

Proof. The same argument given in [9, Theorem I.2.4] will show that $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{C})$, since that equality only depends on \mathcal{K} being a closed two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A} .

$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{C}$ can be easily verified for any closed ideal \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{C} . If \mathcal{I} is \mathcal{G} -invariant, Lemma 2.1 shows that $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I})$ is a closed two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A} , so the correspondences establish a bijection between closed two-sided ideals of \mathcal{A} and closed \mathcal{G} -invariant ideals of \mathcal{C} . \blacksquare

COROLLARY 2.3. *A closed subset F of \mathcal{Z} is \mathcal{G} -invariant iff it is \mathcal{U} -invariant.*

Proof. $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, so any \mathcal{U} -invariant set is also \mathcal{G} -invariant.

Let $F \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$ be \mathcal{G} -invariant. Then $\mathcal{I}_F = \{x \in \mathcal{C} : \hat{x}|F = 0\}$ is a \mathcal{G} -invariant closed ideal of \mathcal{C} . Thus, by Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I}_F)$ is a closed two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A} , so [9, Theorem I.2.4] implies that $\mathcal{I}_F = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I}_F) \cap \mathcal{C}$ is \mathcal{U} -invariant! It follows that F is \mathcal{U} -invariant. \blacksquare

LEMMA 2.4. *\mathcal{G} acts freely and minimally on \mathcal{Z} .*

Proof. Let F be a closed \mathcal{G} -invariant subset of \mathcal{Z} . Then by Corollary 2.3, $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I}_F)$ is a two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A} . The simplicity of \mathcal{A} gives that $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I}_F)$ is either $\{0\}$ or \mathcal{A} . Hence $\mathcal{I}_F = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I}_F) \cap \mathcal{C}$ is either $\{0\}$ or \mathcal{C} , and therefore F is either \emptyset or \mathcal{Z} .

If $\sigma_t(z) = z$ for some $t \in \mathcal{G}$, $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ then

$$\sigma_t(\sigma_s(z)) = \sigma_s(\sigma_t(z)) = \sigma_s(z).$$

Since $\{\sigma_s(z) : s \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is dense in \mathcal{Z} , it follows that σ_t is the identity map on \mathcal{Z} . But \mathcal{G} acts faithfully on \mathcal{Z} (since \mathcal{U} does), so $t = e$, the identity in \mathcal{G} . \blacksquare

Let \mathcal{G}_n be the finite group $\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{A}_n$ and let \mathcal{Z}_n be the set of minimal projections of \mathcal{C}_n . Note that \mathcal{Z} is homeomorphic to the inverse limit of the sequence $\{\mathcal{Z}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$.

LEMMA 2.5. *\mathcal{G}_n acts freely on \mathcal{Z}_n .*

Proof. Let $t \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and $p_0 \in \mathcal{Z}_n$ satisfying $x_t(p_0) = p_0$. By the definition of \mathcal{U}_n [9, I.1.9]

$$t = \sum_{p,q \in \mathcal{Z}_n} \lambda_{pq} e_{pq}$$

where e_{pq} are matrix units for \mathcal{A}_n with respect to \mathcal{C}_n , and λ_{pq} is either 0 or 1. Since $e_{p_0 p_0} = p_0$, $x_t(p_0) = p_0$ implies that

$$(\sum_{p,q \in \mathcal{Z}_n} \lambda_{pq} e_{pq}) e_{p_0 p_0} (\sum_{p,q \in \mathcal{Z}_n} \lambda_{pq} e_{pq}^*) = e_{p_0 p_0}.$$

Thus

$$e_{kp_0} e_{p_0 p_0} e_{p_0 k} = e_{p_0 p_0}$$

where e_{kp_0} is the only matrix unit in the expansion of t with initial space the range of $e_{p_0 p_0}$ and $\lambda_{kp_0} = 1$. Thus $k = p_0$, so $e_{p_0 p_0}$ appears in the expansion of t with coefficient one, which shows that σ_t fixes every point in the subset of \mathcal{Z} corresponding to p_0 , contradicting Lemma 2.4. \blacksquare

It follows that \mathcal{Z}_n can be written as a union of disjoint \mathcal{G}_n -orbits, each of them with $|\mathcal{G}_n|$ elements (where $|\mathcal{G}_n|$ is the order of \mathcal{G}_n).

Let us label the minimal projections of \mathcal{Z}_n as p_{jt} , for $j = 1, \dots, N$ and $t \in \mathcal{G}_n$, where N is the number of \mathcal{G} -orbits in \mathcal{Z}_n , and

$$\alpha_s(p_{jt}) = p_{j(st)}$$

so that $\{p_{jt} : t \in \mathcal{G}_n\}$ forms a \mathcal{G}_n -orbit in \mathcal{Z}_n . Let

$$P_j = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{G}_n} p_{jt}.$$

The next lemma follows from general theorems about ergodic actions of abelian groups (see, for example [3, Corollary 5.16]). However, we outline a proof for completeness.

Let \mathcal{B}_n be the C^* -algebra generated by \mathcal{G}_n and \mathcal{C}_n , and let

$$P_j \mathcal{G}_n = \{P_j t : t \in \mathcal{G}_n\}.$$

LEMMA 2.6. *Fix j . Let \mathcal{M} be the C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{B}_n generated by $P_j \mathcal{G}_n$ and $\{p_{jt} : t \in \mathcal{G}_n\}$. Then \mathcal{M} is $*$ -isomorphic to the algebra $M_{|\mathcal{G}_n|}$ of $|\mathcal{G}_n| \times |\mathcal{G}_n|$ complex matrices.*

Proof. For $s, t \in \mathcal{G}_n$ define:

$$e_{st} = p_{js}(st^{-1})p_{jt};$$

clearly

$$e_{tt} = p_{jt}, \quad \sum_{t \in \mathcal{G}_n} p_{jt} = P_j$$

and for $r, s, t, u \in \mathcal{G}_n$

$$e_{sr} e_{ut} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \neq u \\ e_{st} & \text{if } r = u. \end{cases}$$

Then setting $\Phi(e_{st})$ equal to the $|\mathcal{G}_n| \times |\mathcal{G}_n|$ matrix [with (s, t) entry equal to 1 and all others equal to 0, standard arguments show that Φ extends to a $*$ -isomorphism from \mathcal{M} to $M_{|\mathcal{G}_n|}$ (see for example [6, Lemma 6.6.3]). \square

It follows that \mathcal{B}_n is the direct sum of N $*$ -subalgebras, each of them isomorphic to $M_{|\mathcal{G}_n|}$. Let Q_i , $i = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{G}_n|$ be a family of self-adjoint projections in \mathcal{C}_n such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{G}_n|} Q_i = 1$$

and $Q_i P_j$ is minimal in \mathcal{G}_n . Then if u is a unitary in \mathcal{B}_n such that

$$u Q_i u^* = Q_{i+1} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, |\mathcal{G}_n| - 1$$

$$u Q_{|\mathcal{G}_n|} u^* = Q_1$$

we have that an easy adaptation of the proof of Lemma 2.6 shows

LEMMA 2.7. *The unital $*$ -subalgebra of \mathcal{B}_n generated by u and $\{Q_i : i = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{G}_n|\}$ is $*$ -isomorphic to $M_{|\mathcal{G}_n|}$.*

Given an AF C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , and its approximating sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n>0}$ of finite-dimensional $*$ -subalgebras, we will denote by $\mathcal{A}(j, k)$ the finite-dimensional factors such that

$$\mathcal{A}_j = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N(j)} \mathcal{A}(j, k).$$

THEOREM 2.8. *Let \mathcal{A} be an AF C^* -algebra and \mathcal{A}_j and $\mathcal{A}(j, k)$ as above. If given n , there exists $m \geq n$ such that for each $k = 1, \dots, N(n)$, $r(j, k)$ the multiplicity of the partial embedding of $\mathcal{A}(n, k)$ into $\mathcal{A}(m, j)$ is non-zero and independent of j , then \mathcal{A} is a UHF C^* -algebra.*

Proof. For each $k = 1, \dots, N(n)$ pick a complete set $\{p_{kl} : l = 1, \dots, N(n, k)\}$ of minimal projections in $\mathcal{A}(n, k)$. Let u_k be the partial isometry in $\mathcal{A}(n, k)$ corresponding to a cyclic permutation of the p_{kl} 's as defined in Lemma 2.7 for the Q_i 's. The proof of that lemma shows that u_k and $\{p_{kl} : l = 1, \dots, N(n, k)\}$ generate $\mathcal{A}(n, k)$.

Now for each p_{kl} , let us construct projections $Q(k, l, i)$ in \mathcal{A}_m , $i = 1, \dots, r(j, k)$ such that

$$(2.8.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{r(j, k)} Q(k, l, i) = p_{kl}$$

and $Q(k, l, i)$ is a sum of $N(m)$ minimal projections of \mathcal{A}_m , one in each of $\mathcal{A}(m, j)$, $j = 1, \dots, N(m)$.

Order the set:

$$\{(k, l, i) : i = 1, \dots, r(j, k); \quad l = 1, \dots, N(n, k); \quad k = 1, \dots, N(n)\}$$

lexicographically. Let v be the unitary on \mathcal{A}_m corresponding to the cyclic permutation of

$$\{Q(k, l, i) : i = 1, \dots, r(j, k); \quad l = 1, \dots, N(n, k); \quad k = 1, \dots, N(n)\}$$

that sends $Q(N(n), N(n, k), r(j, k))$ to $Q(1, 1, 1)$, and all others to their successors in the order just defined.

Thus the proof of Lemma 2.6 shows that v and the $Q(k, l, i)$'s generate a sub-algebra \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{A}_m *-isomorphic to a matrix algebra. In this case, \mathcal{M} is unital. By (2.8.1) $\{p_{k,l} : l = 1, \dots, N(n, k), k = 1, \dots, N(n)\}$ is contained in \mathcal{M} . Also, it can be verified that if

$$M_k = \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq k}}^{N(n)} N(n, s) r(j, s)$$

then

$$u_k = v^{r(j, k)} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{N(n, k)-1} \sum_{i=1}^{r(j, k)} Q(k, l, i) \right) + v^{M_k} \sum_{i=1}^{r(j, k)} Q(k, N(n, k), i)$$

so that $u_k \in \mathcal{M}$ and hence $\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

Now, if $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ are given, we can choose j and $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathcal{A}_j$ such that

$$\|x_i - y_i\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Above argument shows that \mathcal{A}_j is contained in a matrix subalgebra of \mathcal{A} , so [5, Theorem 1.13] implies that \mathcal{A} is UHF. \blacksquare

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let us assume first that \mathcal{A} is a simple AF C^* -algebra satisfying conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.1. Let \mathcal{B}_n be as defined in Section 2. Note that

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{B}_n$$

is dense in \mathcal{A} .

We will show that $\{\mathcal{B}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.8. As a consequence we get that \mathcal{A} is a UHF C^* -algebra.

Let

$$\mathcal{B}_j = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N(j)} \mathcal{B}(j, k)$$

be the central decomposition of \mathcal{B}_j into finite-dimensional factors. Recall that $\mathcal{B}(j, k)$ is *-isomorphic to $M_{|\mathcal{O}_j|}$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Given n , there exists $m \geq n$ such that for each k , $r(i, k)$, the multiplicity of the partial embedding of $\mathcal{B}(n, k)$ into $\mathcal{B}(m, j)$ is non-zero and independent of j .*

Proof. Notice that any two minimal projections of \mathcal{C}_n that are in the same \mathcal{G}_n -orbit will embed in exactly the same way into every \mathcal{G}_j -orbit in \mathcal{C}_j , for $j \geq n$. This is because a projection of \mathcal{C}_j corresponding to a \mathcal{G}_j -orbit is \mathcal{G}_j -invariant. Thus if P is the projection corresponding to a \mathcal{G}_j -orbit in \mathcal{C}_j and p, q are minimal projections of \mathcal{C}_n such that $\alpha_t(p) = q$, for $t \in \mathcal{G}_n$, then

$$\alpha_t(pP) = \alpha_t(p)P = qP$$

and hence p and q embed with the same multiplicity into the orbit corresponding to P .

We also remark that the minimal central projections of \mathcal{B}_n correspond to the \mathcal{G}_n -orbits in \mathcal{C}_n and are precisely the projections $P_j = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{G}_n} \alpha_t(p)$, where p is a minimal projection of \mathcal{C}_n , defined before Lemma 2.6.

Thus, combining the above, we see that (b) in Theorem 1.1 implies that given n , there is $m \geq n$ such that each $\mathcal{B}(n, k)$ embeds into every $\mathcal{B}(m, j)$ with the same multiplicity. \square

In order to prove the remaining implication in Theorem 1.1, let us assume first that \mathcal{A} is a UHF C^* -algebra with $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}$, a sequence of complex matrix algebras. Then, by [8, 7.10.8], condition (a) is satisfied if we choose \mathcal{C}_n to be the commutative C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_n , corresponding to the diagonal matrices, and \mathcal{G}_n , the unitary group generated by a cyclic permutation of the minimal projections of \mathcal{C}_n . In [8, 7.10.8], \mathcal{G}_n acts minimally on \mathcal{C}_n , hence condition (b) is also satisfied for any $m \geq n$.

Now, let \mathcal{A} be a UHF C^* -algebra, but assume $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an arbitrary sequence of finite-dimensional C^* -subalgebras of \mathcal{A} with $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_n$ dense in \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{U} be the diagonal and unitary group, respectively, obtained through the Strătilă-Voiculescu diagonalization, when applied to \mathcal{A} and $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}$. Let \mathcal{P} be the set of projections of \mathcal{C} . Then if $\{p_i : i = 1, \dots, N\}$ is a collection of pairwise disjoint projections with $\sum_{i=1}^N p_i = 1$, and if $\{g_i : i = 1, \dots, N\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ satisfies that $\{\alpha_{g_i}(p_i) : i = 1, \dots, N\}$ is also a partition, then it can be verified that

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{g_i}(p_i) g_i p_i$$

is an element of \mathcal{U} such that $\alpha_u(p_i) = \alpha_{g_i}(p_i)$. Thus, any homeomorphism of \mathcal{X} that can be patched together from elements of \mathcal{U} is already \mathcal{U} , and hence $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{U})$ forms a unit system, in the terminology of [7].

Let $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}$ be an ascending sequence of full matrix algebras with union dense in \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{V} be the diagonal and unitary group, respectively, obtained from \mathcal{A} and $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}$. Then, if \mathcal{Q} denotes the set of projections in \mathcal{D} , we also have that $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{V})$ forms a unit system.

LEMMA 3.2. *There exists an automorphism Φ of \mathcal{A} such that $\Phi(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{C}$ and $\Phi(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{U}$.*

Proof. By [7, Lemma 3.2] we obtain that the scale of the dimension group of \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to the dimension range of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{U})$ (resp. $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{V})$). Thus, the dimension range of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{U})$ is isomorphic to the dimension range of $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{V})$, and [7, Theorem 3.5] implies that there is an automorphism of \mathcal{A} mapping \mathcal{D} onto \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{V} onto \mathcal{U} . \square

REMARK 3.3. Lemma 3.2 holds for any AF C^* -algebra.

Let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ be the abelian group constructed in [8, 7.10.8] satisfying that \mathcal{A} is $*$ -isomorphic to $\mathcal{H} \times_{\beta} \mathcal{D}$, where β denotes the action of \mathcal{V} on \mathcal{D} restricted to \mathcal{H} . Define $\mathcal{G} = \Phi(\mathcal{H})$. Then Φ extends to a $*$ -isomorphism between $\mathcal{H} \times_{\beta} \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{G} \times_{\alpha} \mathcal{C}$, where α denotes the action of \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{C} . Therefore \mathcal{A} is $*$ -isomorphic to $\mathcal{G} \times_{\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ and hence \mathcal{A} and $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}$ satisfy condition (a) in Theorem 1.1.

Let \mathcal{B}_n be the C^* -algebra generated by \mathcal{G}_n and \mathcal{C}_n , as defined in Section 2. Since we know that \mathcal{A} is $*$ -isomorphic to $\mathcal{G} \times_{\alpha} \mathcal{C}$, from the results of Section 2 we have that $\mathcal{A} = (\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{B}_n)^-$. As $\mathcal{A} = (\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{M}_n)^-$ as well, [2 Lemma 2.6] yields an automorphism Ψ of \mathcal{A} such that given n , there are positive integers k and m with $\mathcal{B}_n \subseteq \Psi(\mathcal{M}_k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_m$. Hence, given n we can find m such that \mathcal{B}_n is contained in a matrix subalgebra of \mathcal{B}_m .

LEMMA 3.4. *Let \mathcal{M} be a C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{B}_m $*$ -isomorphic to M_k , the algebra of $k \times k$ complex matrices. If p is a projection in $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{C}_m$ and P is a minimal central projection in \mathcal{B}_m (and thus corresponding to a \mathcal{G}_m -orbit), then pP is the sum of $\tau(p)|\mathcal{G}_m|/k$ minimal projections of \mathcal{C}_m , where τ is the canonical trace on \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. From Section 2 we know that $P\mathcal{B}_m$ is $*$ -isomorphic to $M_{|\mathcal{G}_m|}$. $f(x) = xP$ maps \mathcal{M} into $P\mathcal{B}_m$ in a 1-1 way. Thus if τ (resp. τ') is the canonical trace on \mathcal{M} (resp. $P\mathcal{B}_m$) we have that

$$\tau'(f(p)) = |\mathcal{G}_m|\tau(p)/k. \quad \square$$

Thus if p is a minimal projection of \mathcal{C}_n , p will embed with the same multiplicity into every orbit of \mathcal{B}_m , as shown in the previous lemma. It follows that \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{C} satisfy condition (b) of Theorem 1.1.

REMARK 3.5. Define, for a countable locally finite abelian group \mathcal{G} , its supernatural number as

$$\prod \{p^{n_p} : p \text{ a prime}\}$$

where

$$n_p = \max\{m : \mathcal{G} \text{ has an element of order } p^m\}$$

(with the usual conventions). It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.1 that if a UHF C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is expressed as $\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{C}$, as in (b) of Theorem 1.1, then the supernatural number of \mathcal{A} coincides with the supernatural number of \mathcal{G} .

4. A NON-UHF EXAMPLE

Let \mathcal{A} be the AF-algebra with Bratteli diagram:

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \xrightarrow{\quad} 4 \xrightarrow{\quad} 16 \\ \times \qquad \times \qquad \text{etc.} \\ 1 \xrightarrow{\quad} 4 \xrightarrow{\quad} 16 \end{array}$$

Thus \mathcal{A}_n is $*$ -isomorphic to $M_{4^{n-1}} \oplus M_{4^{n-1}}$ and the embeddings are all given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If follows from [1, 7.6 and 7.7.1] that \mathcal{A} is simple and that $K_0(\mathcal{A}) = D^2$, where D is the group of dyadic rationals. Thus \mathcal{A} is not UHF. We will show that \mathcal{A} is $*$ -isomorphic to $\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{C}$, where \mathcal{C} is a diagonal for \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{G} is an abelian subgroup of \mathcal{U} , as in condition (a) of Theorem 1.1, but that the action of \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{C} does not satisfy condition (b) in Theorem 1.1.

Let \mathcal{C}_n be the subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_n corresponding to the diagonal matrices in $M_{4^{n-1}} \oplus M_{4^{n-1}}$, so \mathcal{U}_n is the group of permutation matrices in $M_{4^{n-1}} \oplus M_{4^{n-1}}$. Let \mathcal{G}_n be the subgroup of \mathcal{U}_n generated by two copies of a 4^{n-1} -cyclic permutation matrix. Let

$$\mathcal{G} := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{G}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C} = (\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{C}_n)^{\perp}.$$

Notice that \mathcal{G}_n has two orbits in \mathcal{C}_n , each of them corresponding to the set of minimal projections of \mathcal{C}_n dominated by one of the central projections in \mathcal{A}_n . Thus, if \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{C} satisfy condition (b) in Theorem 1.1 it will follow that given n , there is $m \geq n$ such that each central factor of \mathcal{A}_n embeds into each of the two central factors of \mathcal{A}_m with the same multiplicity. (This is because two minimal projections in the same \mathcal{G}_n -orbit of \mathcal{C}_n will embed in identical ways into each \mathcal{G}_k for $k \geq n$.) Thus:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}^{m-n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ b \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for some } b \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

But this is impossible because it would imply that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}^{n-m} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

so $1 = 4^{n-m}b = 0$.

It is clear that \mathcal{G}_n and \mathcal{C}_n generate \mathcal{A}_n , and hence \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{C} generate \mathcal{A} . Theorem 4.2 will show that under these circumstances \mathcal{A} is $*$ -isomorphic to $\mathcal{G} \times_{\alpha} \mathcal{C}$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a simple AF C^* -algebra with \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{U} the diagonal and unitary group associated to the diagonalization of \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{C} , respectively. Let \mathcal{G} be an abelian subgroup of \mathcal{U} such that \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{C} generate \mathcal{A} .

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{G}$ be such that $g_i \neq g_j$ for $i \neq j$. If $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfy that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i g_i = 0$ then $x_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. Let m be big enough so that $g_i \in \mathcal{G}_m$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $\{e'_{jk}\}$ be a system of matrix units for \mathcal{A}_m with respect to \mathcal{C}_m , associated with the diagonalization of \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{C} [9, I.1.8]. Here l runs through the factors in the central decomposition of \mathcal{A}_m , and j and k run through the minimal projections in the l central factor of \mathcal{A}_m .

By construction [9, I.1.9], every g_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, has the form

$$g_i = \sum_l \sum_{j,k} b'_{jk}(g_i) e'_{jk} \quad \text{with } b'_{jk}(g_i) \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Moreover, if $b'_{jk}(g_i) = 1$, then $b'_{jk}(g_{i'}) = 0$ for $i \neq i'$. Otherwise, $g_i^* g_i$ would fix e'_{kk} and $g_i^* g_i \neq 1$, contradicting Lemma 2.5.

Fix i_0 , and let $e'_{j_0 k_0}$ be such that $b'_{j_0 k_0}(g_{i_0}) = 1$. Then by above

$$e'_{j_0 k_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i g_i \right) e'_{k_0 k_0} = b'_{j_0 k_0}(g_{i_0}) x_{i_0} e'_{j_0 k_0}.$$

Thus, if $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i g_i = 0$, we get above expression equal to 0. That will be true of any e'_{jk} for which $b'_{jk}(g_{i_0}) = 1$. Thus $x_{i_0} g_{i_0} = 0$, and hence $x_{i_0} = 0$. □

THEOREM 4.2. *\mathcal{A} is $*$ -isomorphic to $\mathcal{G} \times_{\alpha} \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Consider $K(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C})$, the linear space of continuous functions from \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{C} with compact supports. For $g \in \mathcal{G}$, let $\delta_g \in K(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C})$ be such that

$$\delta_g(h) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g = h \\ 0 & \text{if } g \neq h. \end{cases}$$

From Lemma 4.1 it follows that the map $g \mapsto \delta_g$, $x \mapsto x\delta_e$ for $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and $x \in \mathcal{C}$, where e denotes the identity of \mathcal{G} , extends to a $*$ -homomorphism from \mathcal{A} onto $\mathcal{G} \times_{\omega} \mathcal{C}$. Since \mathcal{A} is simple, we actually have a $*$ -isomorphism. \blacksquare

REFERENCES

1. BLACKADAR, B., *K-theory for operator algebras*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1986.
2. BRATTELI, O., Inductive limits of finite-dimensional C^* -algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **171**(1972), 195–234.
3. EFFROS, E. G.; HAHN, F., Locally compact transformation groups and C^* -algebras, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.*, No. **75**, 1967.
4. GARDING, L.; WIGHTMAN, A., Representations of the anticommutation relations, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **40**(1954), 617–621.
5. GLIMM, J., On a certain class of operator algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **95**(1960), 318–340.
6. KADISON, R.; RINGROSE, J., *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras*, Volume II, Academic Press, New York, 1986.
7. KRIEGER, W., On a dimension for a class of homeomorphism groups, *Math. Ann.*, **252**(1980), 87–95.
8. PEDERSEN, G., *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
9. STRÄTILÄ, S.; VOICULESCU, D., *Representations of AF-algebras and of the group $U(\infty)$* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **486**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1975.

BELISARIO A. VENTURA
 Department of Mathematics,
 University of California,
 Irvine, California 92717,
 U.S.A.

current address :

Department of Mathematics,
 California State University,
 San Bernardino, California 92407,
 U.S.A.

Received May 8, 1989; revised July 10, 1989.