

MAXIMAL ABELIAN AND SINGULAR SUBALGEBRAS IN $L(F_N)$

V. NIȚICĂ, A. TÖRÖK

INTRODUCTION

Let M be a type II_1 factor, tr its unique normalized trace, and let $A \subseteq M$ be a maximal abelian von Neumann subalgebra (M.A.S.A.). Let $\mathcal{N}_M(A) = \{u \in M \mid u \text{ unitary, } uAu^* = A\}$ be the normalizer of A in M and let $B = \mathcal{N}_M(A)''$ be the von Neumann subalgebra generated by $\mathcal{N}_M(A)$ in M . A is called *singular* if $B = A$ and A is called *regular* (or *Cartan*) if $B = M$. For singular M.A.S.A.'s see [4], [6], [8], [9], [13], [14], and for regular M.A.S.A.'s see [3] and [7].

The aim of this paper is to find certain singular M.A.S.A.'s in $L(F_N)$, the von Neumann algebra generated by the left regular representation of the free nonabelian group with N generators, say a_1, \dots, a_N . Examples of singular M.A.S.A.'s in $L(F_N)$ are given in [6] and [11]. These algebras are generated by the convolutors a_i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, in [6], or by $a_1 + \dots + a_N + a_1^{-1} + \dots + a_N^{-1}$ in [11]. Our examples are generated by convolutors of the form

$$(1) \quad a_1^{r_1} + \dots + a_N^{r_N} + a_1^{-r_1} + \dots + a_N^{-r_N}, \quad \text{where } r_1, \dots, r_N \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}.$$

In Theorem 4 of Section 1, developing the technique of Pytlic [10], we prove that even more general convolutors in $L(F_N)$ generate M.A.S.A.'s. Then, in Theorem 18 of Section 2, we prove the singularity for convolutors given by (1), using a result of Popa [7] about the Pukanszky invariant.

Let $\|x\|_2 = \text{tr}(x^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ be the Hilbert norm given by tr on M , let $L^2(M, \text{tr})$ be the completion of M with respect to this norm, so that M acts (in the standard way) on $L^2(M, \text{tr})$. We denote the induced scalar product by $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$. Let also $J : L^2(M, \text{tr}) \rightarrow L^2(M, \text{tr})$ be the canonical conjugation (given by $Jx = x^*$, for

$x \in M$) and let $\mathcal{A} = (A \vee JAJ)''$ be the (abelian) von Neumann subalgebra generated in $B(L^2(M, \tau))$ by A and JAJ .

Denote by p_ξ the cyclic projection corresponding to $\xi \in L^2(M, \text{tr})$ in \mathcal{A}' (i.e. p_ξ is the projection on $\overline{\mathcal{A}\xi}^{\|\cdot\|_2}$). Since A is a M.A.S.A., p_1 is a central projection of \mathcal{A}' (see Lemma 3.1 in [7]).

The Pukanszky invariant of A is the type of homogeneity of the (type I) algebra \mathcal{A}' . This invariant was also considered by Ambrose-Singer.

Now, by Popa's result (Corollary 3.2 in [7]), if $\mathcal{A}'(I - P_1)$ is homogeneous of type I_∞ , then A is singular.

Developing the technique of Rădulescu [11], we prove that the Pukanszky invariant of the von Neumann algebra A generated by any convolutor given by (1) is infinite.

Note that by a result of Popa ([6], Theorem 6.1) our result implies that the singularity holds also for von Neumann algebras generated by convolutors of the form

$$a_1^{r_1} + \cdots + a_k^{r_k} + a_1^{-r_1} + \cdots + a_k^{-r_k}$$

where $2 \leq k \leq N$ and $r_1, \dots, r_k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

One is led to conjecture that given a free family $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}_{k \geq 2}$ in F_N , the von Neumann algebra generated by the convolutor $v_1 + \cdots + v_k + v_1^{-1} + \cdots + v_k^{-1}$ is singular.

Finally, we mention that similar problems can be studied in free products of groups instead of free groups (see [1], where the singularity is proved for the radial algebra in some free products of groups).

1. MAXIMAL ABELIAN SUBALGEBRAS

Let $N \geq 2$ be an integer and let F_N be the free nonabelian group with N generators a_1, \dots, a_n . Let λ be the left regular representation of F_N on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(F_N)$. Denote by $L(F_N)$ the von Neumann algebra generated by $\lambda(F_N)$ in $B(\ell^2(F_N))$. It is well known that $L(F_N)$ is a type II_1 factor that acts standardly on $\ell^2(F_N)$ and that with this identifications the norm given by tr coincides with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $\ell^2(F_N)$. For a set $X \subseteq \ell^2(F_N)$, \overline{X} will stand for the closure of X in the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$.

By $\mathbb{C}[F_N]$ we denote the group ring of F_N over \mathbb{C} , and by 1 we denote the unity of F_N . (However, the identity operator will usually be denoted by I .) By means of the identification of M with a subspace of $L^2(M, \text{tr})$, $\mathbb{C}[F_N]$ corresponds to the subspace of finitely supported functions from $\ell^2(F_N)$. (We shall use the same notation for the elements of F_N and for their image in $\mathbb{C}[F_N]$. If $f = \sum_{w \in F_N} \alpha_w \cdot w$ is a function in $\ell^2(F_N)$, then $\text{supp } f = \{w \in F_N \mid \alpha_w \neq 0\}$.)

Each $w \in F_N \setminus \{1\}$ can be written uniquely as a finite product of generators and their inverses, $w = a_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots a_{i_p}^{\alpha_p}$, such that $i_k \neq i_{k+1}$, $\alpha_k \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. This is the reduced form of w . We define the beginning of w to be $l(w) = a_{i_1}^{\text{sign } \alpha_1}$ and the end of w to be $r(w) = a_{i_p}^{\text{sign } \alpha_p}$. The canonical length function $|\cdot|$ on F_N is defined by $|w| = |\alpha_1| + \cdots + |\alpha_p|$, and $|1| = 0$. If H is a free subgroup of F_N with given generators, we shall denote by $|\cdot|_H$ the corresponding length function on H . For $v_1, \dots, v_k \in F_N$, $k \geq 2$, we say that the product $v_1 v_2 \dots v_k$ is reduced if none of the factors is 1 and for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, $r(v_i) \cdot l(v_{i+1}) \neq 1$. We say that the product $v_1 \dots v_k$ is reduced mod $\{v_i \mid i \in I\}$, where $I \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$, if some v_i 's, $i \in I$, may equal 1 and after deleting those equal to 1, we obtain a reduced product.

Let V be a family in $F_N \setminus \{1\}$, with at least two elements, which satisfies:

$$(2) \quad \text{for any } v, w \in V^\pm, \text{ if } vw \neq 1 \text{ then } vw \text{ is reduced}$$

where $V^\pm := V \cup V^-$, $V^- := \{v^{-1} \mid v \in V\}$. We denote by χ_1 the convolutor $\sum_{v \in V} (v + v^{-1})$, and by H the subgroup generated by V (which is a free group with basis $V!$).

Let A be the von Neumann algebra generated by χ_1 in $L(F_N)$. We shall prove that A is a M.A.S.A. in $L(F_N)$.

In the sequel, $f \cdot g$ will stand for the convolution of f and g , for any $f, g \in \ell^2(F_N)$.

LEMMA 1. *Let $v, v' \in V^\pm$ and $w \in F_N$ be such that vwv' is reduced mod $\{w\}$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a function $\varphi \in \ell^2(F_N)$ such that:*

$$\|(\chi_1 \cdot \varphi - \varphi \cdot \chi_1) - (vw - wv')\|_2 < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. We use the construction of Pytlik (see Lemma 4.1 from [10]), but we border vwv' with words of equal H -length from H instead of using words of equal length from F_N . ■

LEMMA 2. *Let $v, v' \in V^\pm$ and $w \in F_N \setminus \{1\}$ be such that $v'' \cdot w$ is reduced for all $v'' \in V^\pm \setminus \{v\}$ and wv'' is reduced for all $v'' \in V^\pm \setminus \{v'\}$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a function $\varphi \in \ell^2(F_N)$ such that:*

$$\|(\chi_1 \cdot \varphi - \varphi \cdot \chi_1) - (vw - wv')\|_2 < \varepsilon.$$

$$\text{Proof. } \chi_1 \cdot w - w \cdot \chi_1 = vw - wv' + \sum_{\substack{v'' \neq v \\ v'' \in V^\pm}} v''w - \sum_{\substack{v''' \neq v' \\ v''' \in V^\pm}} wv'''.$$

From the two sums above, we can form $2 \cdot \text{card } V - 1$ pairs $v'' \cdot w - w \cdot v'''$ with $v'' w v'''$ reduced. Applying for each of them Lemma 1, we find functions $\varphi_{v'', v'''} \in \ell^2(F_N)$ such that:

$$\|(\chi_1 \cdot \varphi_{v'', v'''} - \varphi_{v'', v'''} \cdot \chi_1) - (v'' w - w v''')\|_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \text{card } V - 1}$$

Hence, for $\varphi = w - \sum_{(v'', v''')} \varphi_{v'', v'''}$ one has the desired conclusion. \blacksquare

LEMMA 3. Let $g \in \ell^2(F_N)$ be such that $g \cdot \chi_1 = \chi_1 \cdot g$.

- a) If $x, y \in H$ and $|x|_H = |y|_H$, then $g(x) = g(y)$.
- b) If $x \notin H$, then $g(x) = 0$.

Proof. a) Use Lemma 1 above and the arguments of Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 in [10].

b) By the uniqueness of the reduced form, there exists $h \in H$, $w \in F_N$ such that $x = hw$ is reduced mod $\{h\}$, and $|h|_H$ is maximal. If $h \neq 1$, write $h = \bar{v}_1 \cdots \bar{v}_k$ in reduced form, $\bar{v}_i \in V^\pm$, and choose $\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2, \dots, \bar{w}_k \in V^\pm$ such that $w\bar{w}_1, w\bar{w}_1\bar{w}_2, \dots, w\bar{w}_1\bar{w}_2 \cdots \bar{w}_k$ are reduced. (If $u \in F_N \setminus \{1\}$, there is an element $v \in V^\pm$ such that uv is reduced: indeed, condition (2) implies that the map $l: V^\pm \rightarrow \{a_i^{\pm 1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ is one-to-one, and $\text{card } V^\pm \geq 4$.) Now, from Lemma 1 and the argument of Proposition 4.2 in [10] it follows that:

$$g(x) = g(\bar{v}_2 \cdots \bar{v}_k w \bar{w}_1) = \cdots = g(w \bar{w}_1 \cdots \bar{w}_k).$$

So, we can assume that $h = 1$.

The following cases appear:

I: vx is reduced for every $v \in V^\pm$;

II: there exists $v_0 \in V^\pm$ such that $v_0 x$ is not reduced (and then this v_0 is unique, due to (2)).

Case I: There exist $\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2 \in V^\pm$ such that $\bar{v}_1 x, x \bar{v}_2$ are reduced and $\bar{v}_1 \neq \bar{v}_2^{-1}$.

Then

$$g(x) = g(\bar{v}_1 x \bar{v}_2) = g(x \bar{v}_1 \bar{v}_2),$$

where we have used Lemma 2 (with $w = x \bar{v}_2$, $v = \bar{v}_1$, $v' = \bar{v}_2^{-1}$) for the first equality, Lemma 1 (with $w = x \bar{v}_2$, $v = \bar{v}_1$, $v' = \bar{v}_1$) for the second equality and the argument of Proposition 4.2 from [10] for both.

Since $|x| < |x \bar{v}_2 \bar{v}_1|$, and $x \bar{v}_2 \bar{v}_1$ is also in case I, we can iterate this trick to find an infinite set of distinct elements y from F_N such that $g(y) = g(x)$. But $g \in \ell^2(F_N)$, therefore $g(x) = 0$.

Case II: There exist $\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2 \in V^\pm$ such that $x\bar{v}_1\bar{v}_2$ is reduced and $r(v_0x\bar{v}_1) = r(\bar{v}_1)$.

Then

$$g(x) = g(v_0x\bar{v}_1) = g(x\bar{v}_1\bar{v}_2),$$

where we have used Lemma 2 with $w = x\bar{v}_1$, $v = v_0$, $v' = \bar{v}_1^{-1}$ for the first equality and with $w = v_0x\bar{v}_1\bar{v}_2$, $v = v_0^{-1}$, $v' = \bar{v}_2^{-1}$ for the second equality (here Lemma 2 can be applied because $l(v_0x\bar{v}_1\bar{v}_2) = l(v_0)$ and $r(v_0x\bar{v}_1\bar{v}_2) = r(\bar{v}_2)$), and the argument of Proposition 4.2 from [10].

Since $|x| < |x\bar{v}_1\bar{v}_2|$ and $x\bar{v}_1\bar{v}_2$ is also in case II, we can again iterate this trick and obtain $g(x) = 0$ too. \blacksquare

THEOREM 4. *A is a M.A.S.A. in $L(F_N)$.*

Proof. It is an easy consequence of Lemma 3 (see Theorem 4.3 in [10]). \blacksquare

2. SINGULAR SUBALGEBRAS

In this paragraph we take V to be:

$$V = \{a_1^{r_1}, a_2^{r_2}, \dots, a_N^{r_N}\}, \text{ where } r_i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}.$$

It is clear that V satisfies (2).

For \surd_1 and A as above, let $\mathcal{A} = A \vee JAJ$. We shall prove that \mathcal{A}' is of homogeneous type I_∞ on $I - p_1$.

DEFINITION. We say that $w \in F_N$ is a *core* if $w = 1$ or if the reduced form of w neither begins nor ends with a word from H (i.e., if $w = h_1vh_2$ is reduced mod $\{h_1, v, h_2\}$ with $h_1, h_2 \in H$, then $h_1 = h_2 = 1$). We put the cores in families of related cores. These families are of the following three type:

$$(3) \quad C_0 = \{1\}$$

$$(4) \quad C(i, j, \alpha, \beta, w) = \{a_i^\alpha wa_j^\beta, a_i^{\alpha-r_i} wa_j^\beta, a_i^\alpha wa_j^{\beta-r_j}, a_i^{\alpha-r_i} wa_j^{\beta-r_j}\}$$

where $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ are such that $r_i \geq 2$, $r_j \geq 2$, $1 \leq \alpha \leq r_i - 1$, $1 \leq \beta \leq r_j - 1$ and $w \in F_N$ is such that $l(w) \neq a_i^{\pm 1}$, $r(w) \neq a_j^{\pm 1}$ and $w \neq 1$ if $i = j$.

$$(5) \quad C(i, \alpha) = \{a_i^\alpha, a_i^{\alpha-r_i}\}$$

where $1 \leq i \leq N$ is such that $r_i \geq 2$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq r_i - 1$.

We remark that if we denote by \mathcal{C} the collection of the families of cores described above, then:

(6) \mathcal{C} is a partition of the set of cores;

(7) $\text{span}\{H \cdot C \cdot H\}$ is orthogonal to $\text{span}\{H \cdot C' \cdot H\}$ for every $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}$, $C \neq C'$;

(8)
$$\bigoplus_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \overline{\text{span}}\{H \cdot C \cdot H\} = l^2(F_N);$$

(9) $\overline{\text{span}}\{H \cdot C \cdot H\}$ is an invariant subspace for $\lambda(\chi_1)$ and $J\lambda(\chi_1)J$ for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$.

The core of type (3) was studied by Rădulescu, [11]. One has:

THEOREM 5. (see [11]). *The space $\overline{\text{span}}H = \overline{\text{span}}H \cdot C_0 \cdot H$ is a sum of orthogonal cyclic projections $p_1 + \sum_{\xi \in I_0} p_\xi$, where $I_0 \subset l^2(F_N)$ is an infinite family of norm one vectors and p_ξ is equivalent to p_η for any $\xi, \eta \in I_0$.*

In order to prove that the homogeneity type of \mathcal{A}' on $I - p_1$ is I_∞ , it is sufficient to show that the central support of p_ξ , $\xi \in I_0$, is $I - p_1$. Indeed:

LEMMA 6. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra of type I, let $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be an infinite family of mutually equivalent and orthogonal projections and let $q = z(e_1)$ be their central support. Then the reduced algebra M_q is of homogeneous type I_∞ .*

Proof. By contradiction, let $0 \neq p \leq q$ be a central projection such that M_p is of homogeneous type I_k , with $k < \infty$. Then $\{p e_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset M_p$ is an infinite family of nonzero (because $p \leq z(e_n)$) mutually orthogonal and equivalent projections. This is a contradiction with M_p being of homogeneous type I_k , with $k < \infty$ (see [12], Section 4). ■

In fact, we show that for each family of cores $C \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{C_0\}$, there exists a family of norm one vectors $I(C) \subset l^2(F_N)$ such that:

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{H \cdot C \cdot H\} = \bigvee_{\xi \in I(C)} p_\xi,$$

and p_ξ is equivalent to p_ω for any $\xi \in I(C)$. Here ω is a fixed vector from I_0 , which will be described below. By (7), (8) and the result quoted in Theorem 5, this implies that the central support of p_ω is $I - p_1$.

If $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{K}$ are Hilbert spaces, we shall denote the orthogonal projection on \mathcal{H} by $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathcal{K}}$.

Let us fix some $C \in \mathcal{C}$. All the notations we introduce from now on depend on C , even if it does not appear explicitly. The family C we are referring to will always be clear.

For any integers $k, k', k'' \geq 0$ define:

$$V_{k',k''} := \text{span}\{\bar{w} = w_1 \cdot w \cdot w_2 \mid \bar{w} \text{ is reduced, } w \in C, w_1, w_2 \in H, \\ |w_1|_H = k', |w_2|_H = k''\};$$

$$V_k := \text{span}\{V_{k',k''} \mid k' + k'' = k\};$$

q_k is the orthogonal projection on $V_k \subset l^2(F_N)$;

$$S_k := \text{span}\{q_k(\chi_1 \cdot w), q_k(w \cdot \chi_1) \mid w \in V_{k-1}\};$$

$$L_k := q_k \cdot \lambda(\chi_1) \cdot q_k, \quad R_k := q_k \cdot J\lambda(\chi_1)J \cdot q_k.$$

We introduce the convolutors

$$\chi_n := \begin{cases} \sum_{w \in H, |w|_H=n} w, & \text{if } n \geq 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } n < 0. \end{cases}$$

For $\gamma \in V_k$ ($k \geq 0$) and $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\gamma_{n,m} := \begin{cases} q_{n+m+k}(\chi_n \cdot \gamma \cdot \chi_m) & \text{if } n, m \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n < 0 \text{ or } m > 0. \end{cases}$$

The following formulae are easy to check (see [2] or [11]):

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_1 \cdot \chi_1 = \chi_2 + 2N; \\ \chi_1 \cdot \chi_n = \chi_n \cdot \chi_1 = \chi_{n+1} + (2N-1)\chi_{n-1}, & \text{for } n \geq 2; \end{cases}$$

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_1 \cdot \gamma_{n,m} = \gamma_{n+1,m} + (2N-1)\gamma_{n-1,m}, & \text{for } n \geq 1, m \geq 0; \\ \gamma_{n,m} \cdot \chi_1 = \gamma_{n,m+1} + (2N-1)\gamma_{n,m-1}, & \text{for } n \geq 0, m \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

We can now describe the vector $\omega \in I_0$. It is chosen such that for any $n, m, p, q \geq 0$ the following formulae hold:

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_n \cdot \omega \cdot \chi_m = \omega_{n,m} - \omega_{n-2,m} - \omega_{n,m-2} + \omega_{n-2,m-2}; \\ \omega_{n,m} = \sum_{s,t \geq 0} \chi_{n-2s} \cdot \omega \cdot \chi_{m-2t}; \end{cases}$$

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} \text{for } (n, m) \neq (p, q), \omega_{n,m} \text{ is orthogonal to } \omega_{p,q}; \\ \|\omega_{n,m}\|^2 = (2N-1)^{n+m}. \end{cases}$$

(See [11], Lemma 2 (a), Lemma 3 (a) and the proof of Theorem 7; almost all the vectors from I_0 satisfy these formulae.)

Our aim is to show that each V_k , $k \geq 0$, can be covered with cyclic projections p_{ξ} , which are equivalent to p_{ω} (i.e. $q_k \leq \bigvee_{\alpha} p_{\xi_{\alpha}}$, with $p_{\xi_{\alpha}}$ equivalent to p_{ω}). The case $k = 0$ is made by ad-hoc methods and will be developed later, in Lemmas 13-17. For $k \geq 1$, we shall use an induction argument, which relies on Lemmas 7-12 and is described in the Remark following Lemma 12.

LEMMA 7. *Let $k \geq 1$. If $V_{k'}$, $0 \leq k' \leq k - 1$, can be covered with projections which are equivalent to p_{ω} , then these projections cover S_k too.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that for any $w \in V_{k-1}$,

$$q_k(\chi_1 \cdot w) = \chi_1 \cdot w - \bar{w}$$

where $\bar{w} \in \text{span}\{V_{k-2}, V_{k-1}\}$.

Therefore, in proving the induction step for $k \geq 1$, we have to deal only with the space $V_k \ominus S_k$. ■

LEMMA 8. *Let $k \geq 1$.*

(a) *If $\gamma \in V_k \ominus S_k$ and $s \geq 0$, then*

$$\chi_1 \cdot \gamma_{0,s} = \gamma_{1,s} + (L_k \gamma)_{0,s};$$

$$\gamma_{s,0} \cdot \chi_1 = \gamma_{s,1} + (R_k \gamma)_{s,0}.$$

(b) $R_k \cdot L_k = L_k \cdot R_k = 0$, hence L_k and R_k commute.

(c) $V_k \ominus S_k$ is a reducing subspace for L_k and R_k .

(d) L_k^2 is the orthogonal projection on $V_k \ominus \text{span}\{V_{s,t} \mid s+t = k, s \geq 1\}$. In particular, the spectra of $L_k, R_k, L_k|_{V_k \ominus S_k}$ and $R_k|_{V_k \ominus S_k}$ are contained in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ (and all these values can appear).

Proof. (a) Let $\eta \in V_{k'}$, with $k' \geq 0$ and let $s \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad \langle \chi_1 \cdot \gamma_{0,s} \mid \eta \rangle &= \langle \gamma_{0,s} \mid \chi_1 \cdot \eta \rangle = \langle q_{k+s}(\gamma \chi_s) \mid \chi_1 \cdot \eta \rangle = \\ &= \langle \gamma \cdot \chi_s \mid q_{k+s}(\chi_1 \cdot \eta) \rangle = \langle \gamma \mid q_{k+s}(\chi_1 \cdot \eta) \cdot \chi_s \rangle = \\ &= \langle \gamma \mid q_k(q_{k+s}(\chi_1 \cdot \eta) \cdot \chi_s) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For $k' < k + s - 1$, $q_{k+s}(\chi_1 \cdot \eta) = 0$, hence the last member of (14) is zero.

For $k' = k + s - 1$, $q_k(q_{k+s}(\chi_1 \cdot \eta) \chi_s) = q_k(\chi_1 \cdot q_{k-1}(\eta \cdot \chi_s))$, as one can see comparing the length of the words which appear. Hence the last member of (14) is zero again.

For $k' = k + s$, $q_k(q_{k+s}(\chi_1 \cdot \eta) \cdot \chi_s) = q_k(\chi_1 \cdot q_k(\eta \cdot \chi_s))$, hence the last member of (14) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \gamma \mid q_k(\chi_1 \cdot q_k(\eta \cdot \chi_s)) \rangle = \langle q_k(\chi_1 \cdot \gamma) \mid \eta \cdot \chi_s \rangle = \\ &= \langle q_k(\chi_1 \cdot \gamma) \cdot \chi_s \mid \eta \rangle = \langle q_{k+s}(q_k(\chi_1 \cdot \gamma) \chi_s) \mid \eta \rangle = \\ &= \langle [q_k(\chi_1 \cdot \gamma)]_{0,s} \mid \eta \rangle = \langle (L_k \gamma)_{0,s} \mid \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So $\chi_1 \cdot \gamma_{0,s} = \gamma_{1,s} + (L_k \gamma)_{0,s}$.

The second formula can be proved similarly.

(b), (d) It is enough to verify the formulae for $\gamma \in V_k \cap F_N$, for each type of family of cores (because $V_k \cap F_N$ is an orthogonal basis of V_k). For example, $L_k|_{V_{s,t}} = 0$ whenever, $s \geq 1$ and $s+t = k$.

(c) The inclusion $L_k(S_k) \subset S_k$ follows from:

$$L_k q_k(\chi_1 \cdot v) = 0, \text{ for } v \in V_{k-1},$$

$$L_k q_k(v \cdot \chi_1) = q_k(q_{k-1}(\chi_1 \cdot v) \cdot \chi_1), \text{ for } v \in V_{k-1}.$$

Since L_k is a selfadjoint operator, $V_k \ominus S_k$, is a reducing subspace for it. R_k can be dealt with analogously. ■

From (b) and (c) of the previous Lemma each $V_k \ominus S_k$, $k \geq 1$, has a basis made from eigenvectors for both L_k and R_k . If $\gamma \in V_k \ominus S_k$ is an eigenvector such that $L_k \gamma = \lambda_1 \cdot \gamma$, $R_k \gamma = \lambda_2 \cdot \gamma$, then from (b) and (d) of Lemma 8:

$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \{(\varepsilon, 0), (0, 0), (0, \varepsilon) \mid \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}\}.$$

LEMMA 9. Let $k \geq 0$, and let $\gamma \in V_k \ominus S_k$ be an eigenvector for both L_k and R_k , of norm one, with eigenvalues (λ_1, λ_2) .

(a) If $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (0, 0)$, then:

$$\chi_n \cdot \gamma \cdot \chi_m = \gamma_{n,m} - (\gamma_{n,m-2} + \gamma_{n-2,m}) + \gamma_{n-2,m-2},$$

$$\gamma_{n,m} = \sum_{s,t \geq 0} \chi_{n-2s} \cdot \gamma \cdot \chi_{m-2t}, \text{ for } n, m \geq 0.$$

(b) If $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (\varepsilon, 0)$, $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, then:

$$\chi_n \cdot \gamma \cdot \chi_m = \gamma_{n,m} + \varepsilon \cdot \gamma_{n-1,m} - \gamma_{n,m-2} - \varepsilon \cdot \gamma_{n-1,m-2},$$

$$\gamma_{n,m} = \sum_{s,t \geq 0} (-\varepsilon)^s \cdot \chi_{n-s} \cdot \gamma \cdot \chi_{m-2t}, \text{ for } n, m \geq 0.$$

(c) If $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (0, \varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, then:

$$\chi_n \cdot \gamma \cdot \chi_m = \gamma_{n,m} + \varepsilon \cdot \gamma_{n,m-1} - \gamma_{n-2,m} - \varepsilon \cdot \gamma_{n-2,m-1},$$

$$\gamma_{n,m} = \sum_{s,t \geq 0} (-\varepsilon)^t \cdot \chi_{n-2s} \cdot \gamma \cdot \chi_{m-t}, \text{ } n, m \geq 0.$$

Proof. In each of the cases (a), (b), (c), the second formula is an easy consequence of the first.

For the first formula we use (a) from Lemma 8 and induction based on formulae (10) and (11). For example, in case (a):

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_1 \cdot \gamma \cdot \chi_1 &= \chi_1 \cdot \gamma_{0,1} = \gamma_{1,1}, \\ \chi_2 \cdot \gamma \cdot \chi_1 &\stackrel{(10)}{=} \chi_1(\chi_1 \cdot \gamma \cdot \chi_1) - 2N\gamma \cdot \chi_1 \stackrel{(11)}{=} \\ &= \gamma_{2,1} + (2N-1)\gamma_{0,1} - 2N \cdot \gamma_{0,1} = \gamma_{2,1} - \gamma_{0,1}.\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 10. Let $k \geq 1$ and let γ be as in Lemma 9. Then $\gamma_{n,m}$ is orthogonal to $\gamma_{p,q}$ for $(n, m) \neq (p, q)$.

Proof. Since $\gamma_{n,m} \in V_{k+n+m}$, the assertion is true for $n+m \neq p+q$. If $n+m = p+q$, then:

$$\langle \gamma_{n,m} | \gamma_{p,q} \rangle = \langle \gamma_{n,m} | q_{k+n+m}(\chi_p \cdot \gamma \cdot \chi_q) \rangle = \langle \chi_p \cdot \gamma_{n,m} \cdot \chi_q | \gamma \rangle.$$

But for every $m, n, p, q \geq 0$

$$\chi_p \cdot \gamma_{n,m} \cdot \chi_q \in \text{span}\{\gamma_{s,t} \mid |n-s| \leq p, |m-t| \leq q\},$$

as one can see by induction using (10) and the fact that

$$\chi_1 \cdot \gamma_{n,m} \in \text{span}\{\gamma_{n-1,m}; \gamma_{n,m}; \gamma_{n+1,m}\},$$

which is clear from (11) and Lemma 8 (a). Hence, if $p < n$, then:

$$\chi_p \cdot \gamma_{n,m} \cdot \chi_q \in \text{span}\{\gamma_{s,t} \mid s \geq n-p > 0\} \subset V_k^\perp$$

and similarly for $p > n$, when $q < m$. ■

REMARK. The last two lemmas show that for γ as in Lemma 9, the family $\{\gamma_{n,m}\}_{n,m \geq 0}$ is an orthogonal basis for $\overline{\mathcal{A}\gamma}$.

LEMMA 11. Let $k, m, n \geq 0$, $\gamma \in V_k$. Then:

$$\|\gamma_{n,m}\|_2^2 = (2N-1)^{n+m} \cdot \|\gamma\|_2^2.$$

Proof. Let $\gamma = \sum_{g \in V_k \cap F_N} \lambda_g \cdot g$. For $g, g' \in V_k \cap F_N$, $g \neq g'$, $\text{supp } g_{n,m} \cap \text{supp } g'_{n,m} = \emptyset$, hence $g_{n,m}$ is orthogonal to $g'_{n,m}$. Therefore it is enough to prove the formula for $\gamma \in V_k \cap F_N$. In this case, $\gamma_{n,m}$ is the characteristic function of its support, and the cardinal of the support is $(2N-1)^{n+m}$. ■

LEMMA 12. Let γ be as in Lemma 9 and let $T_0 : \mathcal{A}\gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\omega$ be the linear mapping defined by $T_0(\chi_n \cdot \gamma \cdot \chi_m) = \chi_n \cdot \omega \cdot \chi_m$. Then T_0 is well defined and it extends to an

invertible bounded linear operator $T : \overline{\mathcal{A}\gamma} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}\omega}$. Since $T \in \mathcal{A}'$, one obtains that p_γ and p_ω are equivalent in \mathcal{A}' .

Proof. The vectors $\{\chi_n \gamma \chi_m\}_{n,m \geq 0}$ are linearly independent because for any integer $k \geq 0$, $\{\chi_n \gamma \chi_m \mid n+m \leq k\}$ is a family of generators for $\text{span}\{\gamma_{n,m} \mid n+m \leq k\}$ (see Lemma 9) and has the same cardinality as the dimension of the space it generates.

If γ has eigenvalues $(0,0)$, then $T_0 \gamma_{n,m} = \omega_{n,m}$ (use Lemma 9 and (12)), hence T is unitary (due to (12), (13) and to Lemmas 10 and 11).

If γ has eigenvalues $(\varepsilon, 0)$, $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, then:

$$T_0 \cdot \gamma_{n,m} = \omega_{n,m} - \varepsilon \cdot \omega_{n-1,m}$$

(use Lemma 9 and (12)). We define the operators $U_0 : \mathcal{A}\gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\omega$ and $S_1^0 : \mathcal{A}\gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\gamma$ given by $U_0 \gamma_{n,m} = \omega_{n,m}$ and $S_1^0 \gamma_{n,m} = \gamma_{n-1,m}$. U_0 clearly extends to a unitary $U : \overline{\mathcal{A}\gamma} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}\omega}$. If we consider the unitary operator $W : \overline{\mathcal{A}\gamma} \rightarrow \ell^2(N) \otimes \ell^2(N)$ given by

$$W(\gamma_{n,m}) = (2N-1)^{-\frac{n+m}{2}} \delta_n \otimes \delta_m,$$

then

$$W S_1^0 W^* = (2N-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} S^* \otimes I,$$

where both sides are restricted to the space $W(\mathcal{A}\gamma)$ and S is the unilateral shift. Therefore S_1^0 has an extension $S_1 : \overline{\mathcal{A}\gamma} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}\omega}$ too, and $\|S_1\| = (2N-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Since $T_0 = U(I - \varepsilon S_1)|_{\mathcal{A}\gamma}$, the conclusion follows.

The cases of eigenvalues $(0, \varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ is similar. ■

REMARK. The induction step is now completely proved. For $k \geq 1$ we can choose a basis for $V_k \ominus S_k$ made from eigenvectors for both L_k and R_k (by Lemma 8), and Lemma 12 shows that the cyclic projections associated to the vectors of this basis are equivalent to p_ω . Hence, if we admit that $\text{span}\{V_{k'} \mid k' \leq k-1\}$ is covered by projections equivalent to p_ω , then Lemma 7 and the above arguments show that V_k can be covered by projections equivalent to p_ω too.

We shall study now the spaces V_0 for the families of cores $C \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{C_0\}$.

Let $V_0 = \text{span}C(i, j, \alpha, \beta, w)$ (see (4)). Consider the vectors of norm one

$$\xi^{\varepsilon, \delta} = \frac{1}{2}(a_i^\alpha + \varepsilon \cdot a_i^{\alpha-r_i})w(a_j^\beta + \delta \cdot a_j^{\beta-r_j}),$$

where $\varepsilon, \delta \in \{-1, 1\}$. It is obvious that

$$\text{span}\{\xi^{\varepsilon, \delta} \mid \varepsilon, \delta \in \{-1, 1\}\} = V_0.$$

Fix now a pair $(\varepsilon, \delta) \in \{-1, 1\}^2$ and denote $\xi^{\varepsilon, \delta}$ by ξ . We shall prove that p_ξ is equivalent to p_ω .

LEMMA 13. (a)

$$\chi_n \cdot \xi \cdot \chi_m = \xi_{n,m} + \varepsilon \cdot \xi_{n-1,m} + \delta \cdot \xi_{n,m-1} + \varepsilon \cdot \delta \cdot \xi_{n-1,m-1},$$

$$\xi_{n,m} = \sum_{s,t \geq 0} (-\varepsilon)^s \cdot (-\delta)^t \chi_{n-s} \cdot \xi \cdot \chi_{m-t}, \text{ for } n, m \geq 0.$$

(b) If $(m, n) \neq (p, q)$, where $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\xi_{n,m}$ is orthogonal to $\xi_{p,q}$, hence the family $\{\xi_{n,m}\}_{n,m \geq 0}$ is an orthogonal basis for $\overline{\mathcal{A}\xi}$.

(c) $\|\xi_{n,m}\|^2 = (2N-1)^{n+m}$, for $n, m \geq 0$.

Proof. (a) Denote $\eta = a_i^\alpha + \varepsilon \cdot a_i^{\alpha-r_i}$, $\zeta = a_j^\beta + \delta a_j^{\beta-r_j}$. Since all the words which appear in the support of $\chi_p \cdot \eta$ end with a nonzero power of a_i (and similarly for $\zeta \cdot \chi_q$), one has

$$(15) \quad (\eta \cdot w \cdot \zeta)_{p,q} = \eta_{p,0} \cdot w \cdot \zeta_{0,q}, \text{ for } p, q \text{ integers.}$$

For $1 \leq k \leq r_i - 1$ one has

$$\chi_n \cdot a_i^k = (a_i^k)_{n,0} + (a_i^{k-r_i})_{n-1,0},$$

hence:

$$(16) \quad \chi_n \cdot \eta = \eta_{n,0} + \varepsilon \cdot \eta_{n-1,0},$$

and similar formulae hold for $\zeta \cdot \chi_m$.

Now, (15) and (16) give the first formula. The second formula follows from the first.

(b) See the proof of Lemma 10.

(c) See Lemma 11. ■

LEMMA 14. Consider the linear mapping $T_0 : \mathcal{A}\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\omega$ defined by $T_0(\chi_n \cdot \gamma \cdot \chi_m) = \chi_n \cdot \omega \cdot \chi_m$. Then T_0 is well defined and it extends to an invertible bounded linear operator $T : \overline{\mathcal{A}\xi} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}\omega}$. Since $T \in \mathcal{A}'$, p_γ and p_ω are equivalent in \mathcal{A}' .

Proof. That T_0 is well defined follows as in Lemma 12.

The formula of T_0 with respect to the basis $\{\xi_{n,m}\}_{n,m \geq 0}$ is

$$T_0 \cdot \xi_{n,m} = \omega_{n,m} - \varepsilon \cdot \omega_{n-1,m} - \delta \cdot \omega_{n,m-1} + \varepsilon \cdot \delta \cdot \omega_{n-1,m-1}.$$

Consider the operators $S_1, S_2 : \overline{\mathcal{A}\xi} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}\xi}$, $U : \overline{\mathcal{A}\xi} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}\omega}$ given by

$$S_1 \xi_{n,m} = \xi_{n-1,m}, \quad S_2 \xi_{n,m} = \xi_{n,m-1}, \quad U \xi_{n,m} = \omega_{n,m}, \text{ for } n, m \geq 0.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 12, U is unitary and $\|S_1\| = \|S_2\| = (2N - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Since

$$T_0 = U(I - \varepsilon S_1)(I - \delta S_2) \Big|_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon},$$

the lemma holds. ■

Take now $V_0 = \text{span } C(i, \alpha)$ (see (5)). We introduce the vectors of norm one

$$\eta^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_i^\alpha + \varepsilon \cdot a_i^{\alpha - r_i}), \text{ where } \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}.$$

It is obvious that

$$V_0 = \text{span}\{\eta^\varepsilon \mid \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}\}.$$

Fix $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ and denote η^ε by η . We shall prove that p_η is equivalent to p_ω , but in this case, instead of an invertible operator $T : \overline{\mathcal{A}\eta} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}\omega}$ from \mathcal{A}' , we have only a closed, densely defined injective operator with dense range, which is affiliated to \mathcal{A}' .

LEMMA 15. Let $\tau = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_i^{\alpha + r_i} + \varepsilon \cdot a_i^{\alpha - 2r_i}) \in V_1$ and introduce the notations:

$$\bar{\eta}_{n,m} = \eta_{n,m} - \varepsilon \cdot \tau_{n-1,m-1};$$

$$\bar{\bar{\eta}}_{n,m} = \sum_{s \geq 0} \bar{\eta}_{n-s,m-s}.$$

Then:

$$(a) \chi_n \cdot \eta \cdot \chi_m = \bar{\bar{\eta}}_{n,m} + \varepsilon(\bar{\eta}_{n-1,m} + \bar{\eta}_{n,m-1}) + \bar{\eta}_{n-1,m-1},$$

$$\bar{\eta}_{n,m} = \chi_n \cdot \eta \cdot \chi_m + \sum_{s \geq 1} (-\varepsilon)^s \cdot (\chi_{n-s} \cdot \eta \cdot \chi_m + \chi_n \cdot \eta \cdot \chi_{m-s}).$$

$$(b) \langle \eta_{n,m} \mid \eta_{p,q} \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n + m \neq p + q, \\ 2(2N - 1)^{n+m-|n-p|}, & \text{if } n + m = p + q. \end{cases}$$

(c) $\{\bar{\eta}_{n,m}\}_{n,m \geq 0}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{A}\eta$.

(d) If $|(n + m) - (p + q)| \geq 2$, then $\bar{\eta}_{n,m}$ is orthogonal to $\bar{\eta}_{p,q}$.

Proof. (a) We prove successively the following formulae (each of them has one similar for the right action of χ_1 , which we do not write):

$$(i) \chi_1 \cdot \eta_{0,0} = \eta_{1,0} + \varepsilon \cdot \eta_{0,0};$$

$$(ii) \chi_1 \cdot \eta_{0,1} = \eta_{1,1} + \varepsilon \cdot \eta_{0,1} + \eta_{0,0} - \varepsilon \cdot \tau_{0,0};$$

$$(iii) \chi_1 \cdot \tau_{0,0} = \tau_{1,0} + \eta_{0,0};$$

$$(iv) \chi_1 \cdot \tau_{0,1} = \tau_{1,1} + \eta_{0,1};$$

$$(v) \chi_1 \cdot \tau_{0,n} = \tau_{1,n} + \eta_{0,n}, \text{ for } n \geq 0;$$

(by induction, using (iv) and (11))

(vi) $\chi_1 \cdot \eta_{0,n} = \eta_{1,n} + \varepsilon \cdot \eta_{0,n} + \eta_{0,n-1} - \varepsilon \cdot \tau_{0,n-1}$, for $n \geq 0$;

(by induction, using (i), (ii), (iii) and (11)).

Using (v) and (vi) we can proceed as in Lemma 9, and we obtain the first formula.

The second formula is a consequence of the first.

(b) The case $n + m \neq p + q$ is obvious. For $n + m = p + q$, since $\eta_{s,t}$ is the characteristic function of its support, we have to compute the cardinality of the intersection $\text{supp } \eta_{n,m} \cap \text{supp } \eta_{p,q}$. But:

$$\text{supp } \eta_{s,t} = \text{supp } (a_i^\alpha)_{s,t} \cup \text{supp } (a_i^{\alpha-r_i})_{s,t},$$

the union being disjoint, and:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } (a_i^\alpha)_{n,m} \cap \text{supp } (a_i^{\alpha-r_i})_{p,q} &= \emptyset, \\ \text{card}[\text{supp } (a_i^\alpha)_{n,m} \cap \text{supp } (a_i^\alpha)_{p,q}] &= (2N - 1)^{n+m-|n-p|}, \\ \text{card}[\text{supp } (a_i^{\alpha-r_i})_{n,m} \cap \text{supp } (a_i^{\alpha-r_i})_{p,q}] &= (2N - 1)^{n+m-|n-p|}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) The family $\{\bar{\eta}_{n,m}\}_{n,m \geq 0}$ is a system of generators for $\mathcal{A}\eta$ due to the relations from (a).

Assume that:

$$\sum_{k=0}^K \sum_{s=0}^k \lambda_{s,k-s} \cdot \bar{\eta}_{s,k-s} = 0, \text{ for } K \geq 0 \text{ and } \lambda_{s,t} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We apply q_K , the projection on V_K , and we obtain:

$$\sum_{s=0}^K \lambda_{s,K-s} \cdot \eta_{s,K-s} = 0.$$

But the family $\{\eta_{s,k-s}\}_{0 \leq s \leq k}$ is linearly independent for any $k \geq 0$ (because $\det((\eta_{s,k-s} | \eta_{t,k-t}))_{0 \leq s, t \leq k}$ is nonzero by [11], Lemma 5), hence $\lambda_{s,K-s} = 0$ for any $0 \leq s \leq K$. Therefore, an induction argument shows that the given family is linearly independent.

(d) Use that $\bar{\eta}_{n,m} \in V_{m+n} + V_{n+m-1}$. ■

LEMMA 16. Consider the linear mapping $W_0 : \mathcal{A}\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\omega$ defined by $W_0 \cdot \bar{\eta}_{n,m} = \omega_{n,m}$, for $n, m \geq 0$. Then W_0 is a well defined closable operator on $\overline{\mathcal{A}\eta}$ into $\overline{\mathcal{A}\omega}$, and its closure W is densely defined, injective with dense range.

Proof. By Lemma 15, (c), and (12), (13), W_0 is bijective. We shall prove that both W_0 and W_0^{-1} are closable and then the lemma follows. (If W_0 is closable and bijective, then W is injective if and only if W_0^{-1} is closable).

W_0 and W_0^{-1} would clearly be closable if $\{\bar{\eta}_{n,m}\}_{n,m \geq 0}$ were an orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{A}\eta$. Fortunately, our situation is not too far from this.

For $n \geq 1$, denote:

$$P_n = \text{proj}_{\overline{\text{span}\{\bar{\eta}_{s,t} \mid s+t \leq n\}}}^{\overline{\mathcal{A}\eta}}$$

$$P'_n = \text{proj}_{\overline{\text{span}\{\bar{\eta}_{s,t} \mid s+t \geq n\}}}^{\overline{\mathcal{A}\eta}}$$

$$Q_n = \text{proj}_{\overline{\text{span}\{\bar{\omega}_{s,t} \mid s+t \leq n\}}}^{\overline{\mathcal{A}\omega}}$$

and put I for the identity operator both on $\overline{\mathcal{A}\eta}$ and on $\overline{\mathcal{A}\omega}$.

It is not hard to see that P_n and P'_{n+2} are orthogonal projections and $I - P_n - P'_{n+2}$ is a finite dimensional projection.

Note that $P'_n(\mathcal{A}\eta) \subset \mathcal{A}\eta$ because for all $s, t \geq 0$,

$$P'_n(\bar{\eta}_{s,t}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s+t \leq n-2, \\ \text{proj}_{\overline{\text{span}\{\bar{\eta}_{p,q} \mid 0 \leq p,q, p+q=n\}}}^{\overline{\mathcal{A}\eta}}(\bar{\eta}_{s,t}), & \text{if } s+t = n-1, \\ \bar{\eta}_{s,t}, & \text{if } s+t \geq n. \end{cases}$$

In the following we shall consider all the operators restricted to $\mathcal{A}\eta$, respectively $\mathcal{A}\omega$.

It is easy to verify that

$$W_0 \cdot P_n = Q_n \cdot W_0 \cdot P_n, \quad Q_n \cdot W_0 \cdot P'_{n+1} = 0, \quad \text{hence } Q_n \cdot W_0 \cdot P'_{n+2} = 0.$$

Then

$$(17) \quad Q_n \cdot W_0 = Q_n \cdot W_0 \cdot P_n + Q_n \cdot W_0 \cdot P'_{n+2} + Q_n \cdot W_0(I - P_n - P'_{n+2}) = W_0 \cdot P_n + X_n,$$

where $X_n = Q_n \cdot W_0(I - P_n - P'_{n+2})$ and $W_0 P_n$ are bounded operators.

Since $W_0^{-1} \cdot \omega_{p,q} = \eta_{p,q}$, one has:

$$W_0^{-1} Q_n = P_n W_0^{-1} Q_n,$$

$$P_n \cdot W_0^{-1}(I - Q_{n+1}) = 0,$$

hence

$$P_n \cdot W_0^{-1} = P_n \cdot W_0^{-1} Q_n + P_n \cdot W_0^{-1}(Q_{n+1} - Q_n) + P_n \cdot W_0^{-1}(I - Q_{n+1}) = W_0^{-1} \cdot Q_n + Y_n$$

where $Y_n = P_n \cdot W_0^{-1}(Q_{n+1} - Q_n)$ and $W_0^{-1} \cdot Q_n$ are again bounded operators.

We now can show that W_0 and W_0^{-1} are closable operators. The proof is given only for W_0 , for W_0^{-1} the arguments being similar. Take $x_i \in \mathcal{A}\xi$ ($i \geq 0$) such that

$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = 0$ and $y_i = W_0 x_i \xrightarrow{i} y$. We have to show that $y = 0$. Fix $n \geq 1$. Then, by (17)

$$Q_n y_i = Q_n \cdot W_0 \cdot x_i = (W_0 P_n + X_n) x_i \xrightarrow{i} 0$$

because $W_0 \cdot P_n$ and X_n are bounded operators. But $y_i \xrightarrow{i} y$, hence

$$Q_n y = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} Q_n y_i = 0.$$

Since $Q_n \xrightarrow[n]{s.o.} I$, one has $y = 0$. ■

LEMMA 17. Consider the linear mapping $T_0 : \mathcal{A}\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\omega$ given by $T_0(\chi_n \cdot \eta \cdot \chi_m) = \chi_n \cdot \omega \cdot \chi_m$. Then T_0 is a well defined closable operator. Its closure $T : \mathcal{D}_T \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}\eta} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}\omega}$ is densely defined, injective, with dense range. Since T is affiliated to \mathcal{A}' , p_η and p_ω are equivalent.

Proof. That T_0 is well defined follows as in Lemma 12, using Lemma 15 (a) and (c). The formula of T_0 with respect to the basis $\{\bar{\eta}_{n,m}\}_{n,m \geq 0}$ of $\mathcal{A}\eta$ is:

$$T_0 \bar{\eta}_{n,m} = \omega_{n,m} - \varepsilon(\omega_{n-1,m} + \omega_{n,m-1}) + \varepsilon(\omega_{n-1,m-2} + \omega_{n-2,m-1}) - \omega_{n-2,m-2}.$$

Consider the operators $S_1, S_2 : \mathcal{A}\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\omega$, $S_1 \omega_{n,m} = \omega_{n-1,m}$, $S_2 \omega_{n,m} = \omega_{n,m-1}$, and the operator $W_0 : \mathcal{A}\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\omega$, $W_0 \bar{\eta}_{n,m} = \omega_{n,m}$ considered in Lemma 16. Then $\|S_1\| = \|S_2\| = (2N-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ as in Lemma 12, and:

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= [I - \varepsilon(S_1 + S_2) + \varepsilon S_1 S_2 (S_1 + S_2) - S_1^2 S_2^2] \cdot W_0 |_{\mathcal{A}\eta} = \\ &= (I - S_1 S_2)(I - \varepsilon S_1)(I - \varepsilon S_2) W_0 |_{\mathcal{A}\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|S_1\| = \|S_2\| < 1$, $(I - S_1 S_2)(I - \varepsilon S_1)(I - \varepsilon S_2)$ is invertible and then Lemma 16 gives the desired conclusion. ■

From the whole Section 2, one obtains:

THEOREM 18. The von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}' = \{\lambda(\chi_1), J\lambda(\chi_1)J\}' \subset B(\ell^2(F_N))$ is of homogeneous type I_∞ on $I - p_1$. Consequently, the algebra $A = \{\lambda(\chi_1)\}'' \subset \mathbb{C} L(F_N)$ is singular.

REFERENCES

1. BOCA, F.; RĂDULESCU, F., Singularity of radial subalgebras II_1 in factors associated with free products of groups, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **103**(1992), 138–159.
2. COHEN, J. M., Operator norms of free groups, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, A1, 1982.
3. CONNES, A.; FELDMAN, J.; WEIS, B., An amenable equivalence relation is generated by a single transformation, *Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys.*, **1**(1981), 431–450.

4. DIXMIER, J., Sous-anneaux abeliens maximaux dans les facteurs de type fini, *Ann. Math.*, **59**(1954), 279–286.
5. FIGA-TALAMANCA, A.; PICARDELLO, M., Harmonic analysis on free groups, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 87, Dekker, New York, 1983.
6. POPA, S., Orthogonal pairs of $*$ -subalgebras in finite von Neumann algebras, *J. Operator Theory*, **9**(1983), 253–268.
7. POPA, S., Notes on Cartan subalgebras in type II_1 factors, *Math. Scand.*, **57**(1985), 171–188.
8. POPA, S., Singular maximal abelian $*$ -subalgebras in continuous von Neumann algebras, *J. Funct. Analysis*, vol. 50, no. 2, 151–165.
9. PUKANSZKI, L., On maximal abelian subrings of factors of type II_1 , *Canad. J. Math.*, **12**(1960), 289–296.
10. PYTLIC, T., Radial functions of free group and a decomposition of the regular representation into irreducible components, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **326**(1981), 124–135.
11. RĂDULESCU, F., Singularity of the radial subalgebra of $L(F_N)$ and the Pukanszky invariant, *Pacific J. Math.*, **151**(1991), 297–306.
12. STRĂTILĂ, S.; ZSIDO, L., *Lectures on von Neumann algebras*, Editura Academiei, București and Abacus Press, Tunbridge Wells, 1979.
13. TAKESAKI, M., On the unitary equivalence among the components of decompositions of representations of involutive Banach algebras and the associated diagonal algebras, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **15**(1963), 365–393.
14. TAUER, R. I., Maximal abelian subalgebras in finite factors of type II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **114**(1965), 281–308.

VIOREL NIȚICĂ and ANDREI TÖRÖK
The Mathematical Institute
of the Romanian Academy,
P.O. Box 1-764, 70700 Bucharest,
Romania.

current address:
Department of Mathematics,
The Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802
U.S.A.

Received February 14, 1991.