

AUTOMORPHISMS OF TENSOR PRODUCTS OF IRRATIONAL ROTATION C^* -ALGEBRAS AND THE C^* -ALGEBRA OF COMPACT OPERATORS II

KAZUNORI KODAKA

1. PRELIMINARIES

First we will give a lemma about projections and semifinite, semicontinuous traces.

Let A be a (nonunital) C^* -algebra, tr a semifinite, semicontinuous trace on A and I the ideal of definition of tr . Let A^+ be the unitized C^* -algebra and I^+ the algebra obtained from I by adjoining the unit of A^+ . In the same way as in Connes [4, Appendix 4] let tr^+ be a trace on I^+ which coincides with tr on I and takes the value 0 on $\mathbb{C} \subset I^+$.

LEMMA 1. *With the above notations for any projection $f \in A$, $f \in I$.*

Proof. Since tr is a semifinite, semicontinuous trace on A , by Connes [4, Appendix 3] there is a projection $\tilde{f} \in I^+$ such that $\|f - \tilde{f}\| < 1$. Thus there is a partial isometry $z \in A^+$ such that $zz^* = f$, $z^*z = \tilde{f}$. Hence $\tilde{f} = z^*fz$. Since $f \in A$ and $z \in A^+$, $\tilde{f} = z^*fz \in A$. Thus $\tilde{f} \in I$. Therefore we can see that

$$\text{tr}(f) = \text{tr}(zz^*) = \text{tr}(z^*z) = \text{tr}(\tilde{f}) < \infty.$$

Hence $f \in I$. ■

Let θ be an irrational number in $[0, 1]$ and A_θ be the corresponding irrational rotation C^* -algebra. Let τ be the unique tracial state on A_θ and p be a Rieffel projection in A_θ with $\tau(p) = \theta$. Let \mathbf{K} be the C^* -algebra of all compact operators on a countably infinite dimensional Hilbert space H and Tr be the canonical trace on \mathbf{K}

and let $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}}$ be matrix units of \mathbf{K} . Let $(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})^+$ be the unitized C^* -algebra of $A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$. Furthermore for any Hilbert space K let $\mathbf{B}(K)$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on K and for any C^* -algebra A let $M(A)$ be the double centralizer algebra of A .

In the previous paper [6] we obtained that if the topological stable rank of A_θ is equal to 1, for any automorphism α of $A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$ with $\alpha_* = \text{id}$ on $K_0(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$ there are an automorphism β of A_θ and a unitary element $w \in M(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$ such that $\alpha = \text{Ad}(w) \circ \beta \otimes \text{id}$. Furthermore in [10] Putnam showed that for any irrational number θ , A_θ has the topological stable rank 1. In the present paper we will show that if θ is not quadratic, for any automorphism α of $A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$, $\alpha_* = \text{id}$ on $K_0(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$ and that if θ is quadratic, there is an automorphism α of $A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$ with $\alpha_* \neq \text{id}$ on $K_0(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$.

2. AUTOMORPHISMS OF $A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$ BY NON-QUADRATIC IRRATIONAL NUMBERS θ

We suppose that θ is an arbitrary irrational number in $[0, 1]$. Let α be an automorphism of $A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$ and $q = \alpha(1 \otimes e_{00})$. Let $\tilde{\varphi}$ be the monomorphism of $(1 \otimes \otimes e_{00})(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})(1 \otimes e_{00})$ to $q(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})q$ defined by

$$\tilde{\varphi}((1 \otimes e_{00})x(1 \otimes e_{00})) = \alpha((1 \otimes e_{00})x(1 \otimes e_{00}))$$

for any $x \in A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$. Then by easy computation $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism of $(1 \otimes \otimes e_{00})(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})(1 \otimes e_{00})$ onto $q(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})q$. Since $(1 \otimes e_{00})(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})(1 \otimes e_{00})$ is isomorphic to A_θ , so is $q(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})q$. We denote by φ the isomorphism of A_θ onto $q(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})q$ induced by $\tilde{\varphi}$.

By the definitions of τ and Tr , $\tau \otimes \text{Tr}$ is a semifinite, semicontinuous trace on $A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$. Let J be the ideal of definition of $\tau \otimes \text{Tr}$ and J^+ be the algebra obtained from J by adjoining the unit of $(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})^+$. In the same way as in Connes [4, Appendix 4] let $(\tau \otimes \text{Tr})^+$ be a trace on J^+ which coincides with $\tau \otimes \text{Tr}$ on J and takes the value 0 on $\mathbf{C} \subset J^+$. Let $(\tau \otimes \text{Tr})_*$ be the additive map of $K_0(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$ to \mathbf{R} induced by $(\tau \otimes \text{Tr})^+$. We note that $q \in J$ by Lemma 1.

THEOREM 2. *With the above notations if θ is not quadratic, then for any automorphism α of $A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$ we have $\alpha_* = \text{id}$ on $K_0(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$.*

Proof. By Pimsner and Voiculescu [9] and Rieffel [11] we know that

$$K_0(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}) = \mathbf{Z}[1 \otimes e_{00}] \oplus \mathbf{Z}[p \otimes e_{00}].$$

Since α_* is an automorphism of $K_0(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$, we can suppose that

$$\alpha_*([1 \otimes e_{00}]) = [q] = a[1 \otimes e_{00}] + b[p \otimes e_{00}],$$

$$\alpha_*([p \otimes e_{00}]) = c[1 \otimes e_{00}] + d[p \otimes e_{00}],$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $ad - bc = 1$ or -1 . Let $\tau_1 = \tau \otimes \text{Tr} \circ \varphi$. Then τ_1 is a finite trace on A_θ since $q \in J$. Hence there is a positive number t such that $\tau_1 = t\tau$. Since $\tau_1(1) = t\tau(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} t &= (\tau \otimes \text{Tr})(\varphi(1)) = (\tau \otimes \text{Tr})(q) = (\tau \otimes \text{Tr})_*([q]) = \\ &= (\tau \otimes \text{Tr})_*(a[1 \otimes e_{00}]) + (\tau \otimes \text{Tr})_*(b[p \otimes e_{00}]) = a + b\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tau_1 = (a + b\theta)\tau$. By the definition of φ we can see that $\varphi(p) = \alpha(p \otimes e_{00})$. Then since $\tau_1(p) = (a + b\theta)\tau(p)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b\theta)\theta &= (\tau \otimes \text{Tr})(\varphi(p)) = (\tau \otimes \text{Tr})(\alpha(p \otimes e_{00})) = (\tau \otimes \text{Tr})_*(\alpha_*([p \otimes e_{00}])) = \\ &= (\tau \otimes \text{Tr})_*(c[1 \otimes e_{00}] + d[p \otimes e_{00}]) = c + d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain that

$$b\theta^2 + (a - d)\theta - c = 0.$$

Since θ is not quadratic and is irrational, $a = d$ and $b = c = 0$. Moreover since $ad - bc = 1$ or -1 and $(\tau \otimes \text{Tr})(q) = a + b\theta > 0$, $a = d = 1$. ■

Let $\text{Aut}(A_\theta)$ (resp. $\text{Aut}(A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K})$) be the group of all automorphisms of A_θ (resp. $A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K}$) and $\text{Int}(A_\theta)$ be the normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(A_\theta)$ of inner automorphisms of A_θ . For any unitary element $w \in M(A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K})$ let $\text{Ad}(w)$ be the automorphism of $A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K}$ defined by $\text{Ad}(w)(x) = wxw^*$ where $x \in A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K}$. We call $\text{Ad}(w)$ a generalized inner automorphism of $A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K}$ and let $\text{Int}(A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K})$ be the group of generalized inner automorphisms of $A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K}$. Clearly it is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K})$. Let $\text{Out}(A_\theta) = \text{Aut}(A_\theta)/\text{Int}(A_\theta)$ and $\text{Out}(A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K}) = \text{Aut}(A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K})/\text{Int}(A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K})$. For any $\beta \in \text{Aut}(A_\theta)$ (resp. $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K})$) we denote by $[\beta]$ (resp. $[\alpha]$) the class of β (resp. α) in $\text{Out}(A_\theta)$ (resp. $\text{Out}(A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K})$). Furthermore let Φ be the homomorphism of $\text{Out}(A_\theta)$ to $\text{Out}(A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K})$ defined for any $\beta \in \text{Aut}(A_\theta)$ by $\Phi([\beta]) = [\beta \otimes \text{id}]$.

REMARK. By Putnam [10] we see that for any irrational number θ , $\text{tsr}(A_\theta) = 1$ where $\text{tsr}(A_\theta)$ denotes the topological stable rank of A_θ . Hence by [6, Theorem 5] for any $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K})$ with $\alpha_* = \text{id}$ on $K_0(A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K})$, there are a unitary element $w \in M(A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K})$ and a $\beta \in \text{Aut}(A_\theta)$ such that

$$\alpha = \text{Ad}(w) \circ \beta \otimes \text{id}.$$

COROLLARY 3. *With the above notations if θ is not quadratic, then Φ is an isomorphism of $\text{Out}(A_\theta)$ onto $\text{Out}(A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K})$.*

Proof. First we will show that Φ is surjective. Since θ is not quadratic, $\alpha_* = \text{id}$ on $K_0(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$ for any $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$ by Theorem 2. Thus by the above remark there are a unitary element $w \in M(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$ and a $\beta \in \text{Aut}(A_\theta)$ such that

$$\alpha = \text{Ad}(w) \circ \beta \otimes \text{id}.$$

Hence

$$\Phi([\beta]) = [\beta \otimes \text{id}] = [\text{Ad}(w) \circ \beta \otimes \text{id}] = [\alpha].$$

Therefore Φ is surjective. Next we will show that Φ is injective. Let (π_τ, H_τ) be the cyclic representation of A_θ associated with τ . Since A_θ is simple, π_τ is faithful. Thus we can identify $A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$ with $\pi_\tau(A_\theta) \otimes \mathbf{K}$. We suppose that $\Phi([\beta]) = [\text{id}]$ where $\beta \in \text{Aut}(A_\theta)$. Then there is a unitary element $w \in M(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$ such that $\beta \otimes \text{id} = \text{Ad}(w)$. Thus for any $X \in \mathbf{K}$

$$(\beta \otimes \text{id})(1 \otimes X) = w(1 \otimes X)w^*,$$

i.e.,

$$(1 \otimes X)w = w(1 \otimes X).$$

Since \mathbf{K} is strongly dense in $\mathbf{B}(H)$, for any $X \in \mathbf{B}(H)(1 \otimes X)w = w(1 \otimes X)$. Since $(\mathbf{C}1 \otimes \mathbf{B}(H))' = \mathbf{B}(H_\tau) \otimes \mathbf{C}1$, $w \in \mathbf{B}(H_\tau) \otimes \mathbf{C}1$. Let $w = z \otimes 1$ where z is a unitary element in $\mathbf{B}(H_\tau)$. Since $\beta \otimes \text{id} = \text{Ad}(z \otimes 1)$, for any $x \in A_\theta$ and $X \in \mathbf{K}$

$$(\beta(x) \otimes X)(z \otimes 1) = (z \otimes 1)(x \otimes X),$$

i.e.,

$$\beta(x)z \otimes X = zx \otimes X.$$

Since $z \otimes 1 \in M(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$, $\beta(x)z \otimes X$ and $zx \otimes X$ are in $A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$. Thus $\beta(x)z = zx \in A_\theta$ for any $x \in A_\theta$. Therefore z is a unitary element in A_θ and $\beta(x) = zxz^*$. Hence $[\beta] = [\text{id}]$ in $\text{Out}(A_\theta)$. Thus Φ is injective. \blacksquare

3. AUTOMORPHISMS OF $A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$ BY QUADRATIC IRRATIONAL NUMBERS θ

Next we will show that for any quadratic irrational number θ there is an automorphism α of $A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$ with $\alpha_* \neq \text{id}$ on $K_0(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$. Let a and b be integers which generate \mathbf{Z} such that $a + b\theta \neq 0$. We also assume that $b \neq 0$. Let $V_\theta(a, b : k)$ be the standard module defined in Rieffel [13] where k is a positive integer. For each positive integer n , $M_n(A_\theta)$ denotes the $n \times n$ -matrix algebra over A_θ . Then we can extend the unique tracial state τ on A_θ to the unnormalized finite trace on $M_n(A_\theta)$.

We also denote it by τ . Since $V_\theta(a, b : k)$ is a finitely generated projective right A_θ -module, $V_\theta(a, b : k)$ corresponds to a projection in some $M_n(A_\theta)$. We also denote it by $V_\theta(a, b : k)$.

LEMMA 4. *With the above notations let q be a projection in $M_m(A_\theta)$ where m is a positive integer. Then $\tau(V_\theta(a, b : k)) = \tau(q)$ if and only if $V_\theta(a, b : k)$ is isomorphic to qA_θ^m as a module.*

Proof. It is clear that $\tau(V_\theta(a, b : k)) = \tau(q)$ if $V_\theta(a, b : k)$ is isomorphic to qA_θ^m . We suppose that $\tau(V_\theta(a, b : k)) = \tau(q)$. Then by Rieffel [13, Corollary 2.5], $V_\theta(a, b : k)$ is isomorphic to qA_θ^m . ■

We will give definitions and well-known facts on quadratic irrational numbers (see Lang [7]).

Let \mathbb{Q} be the ring of rational numbers. If $\theta = x + y\sqrt{d}$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, then we define $\theta' = x - y\sqrt{d}$ and we call θ' the conjugate of θ . Let θ be a quadratic irrational number. We say that it is reduced if $\theta > 1$ and $-1 < \theta' < 0$ where θ' is the conjugate of θ .

By Lang [7, Chap. I, Section 1, Theorems 1, 2, Corollary 1 and Chap. IV, Section 1, Theorems 2, 3] for any quadratic irrational number θ there are a fractional transformation $g = \begin{bmatrix} k & l \\ m & n \end{bmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and a reduced quadratic irrational number θ_1 such that

$$\theta = g\theta_1 = \frac{k\theta_1 + l}{m\theta_1 + n}.$$

Furthermore using Lang [7, Chap. I, Section 1, Theorems 1, 2, Corollary 1 and Chap. IV, Section 1, Theorem 3] again, we can see that for any reduced quadratic irrational number θ_1 there is a fractional transformation $h \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with $h \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ such that $\theta_1 = h\theta_1$. Hence since $\theta_1 = g^{-1}\theta$, we obtain that

$$\theta = g\theta_1 = gh\theta_1 = ghg^{-1}\theta.$$

Since $h \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$, neither is ghg^{-1} . By the above arguments for any quadratic irrational number θ there is a fractional transformation $g \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with $g \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ such that $\theta = g\theta$.

THEOREM 5. *Let θ be a quadratic irrational number in $[0, 1]$. Then there is an automorphism α of $A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K}$ such that $\alpha_* \neq \text{id}$ on $K_0(A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K})$.*

Proof. Since θ is quadratic, there is a fractional transformation $g = \begin{bmatrix} d & c \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \in$

$GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ with $g \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ such that

$$\theta = g\theta = \frac{c + d\theta}{a + b\theta}.$$

The conditions $g \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $a + b\theta \neq 0$ imply $b \neq 0$. And we may assume that $a + b\theta > 0$. By Rieffel [11] we can take a projection $q \in M_m(A_\theta)$ such that $\tau(q) = a + b\theta$ where m is a positive integer. Then $qM_m(A_\theta)q$ is strongly Morita equivalent to A_θ and qA_θ^m is the $qM_m(A_\theta)q - A_\theta$ -equivalence bimodule. By Rieffel [13, Theorem 1.4], $\tau(V_\theta(a, b : 1)) = a + b\theta$. Hence by Lemma 4 we obtain that qA_θ^m is isomorphic to $V_\theta(a, b : 1)$. Thus by Rieffel [13, Theorem 1 and Corollary 2.6], $qM_m(A_\theta)q$ is isomorphic to A_η where $\eta = \frac{c + d\theta}{a + b\theta}$. However since $\theta = \frac{c + d\theta}{a + b\theta}$, $qM_m(A_\theta)q$ is isomorphic to A_θ . Let φ be an isomorphism of A_θ onto $qM_m(A_\theta)q$. Then $\varphi(1) = q$. We consider the isomorphism $\varphi \otimes \text{id}$ of $A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$ onto $(q \otimes 1)(M_m(A_\theta) \otimes \mathbf{K})(q \otimes 1)$. Since $M_m(A_\theta)$ is simple, q is a full projection. Thus by Brown [2, Lemma 2.5] there is a partial isometry $w \in M(M_m(A_\theta) \otimes \mathbf{K})$ with $w^*w = I_m \otimes 1$ and $ww^* = q \otimes 1$ where I_m is the unit element in $M_m(A_\theta)$. Then $\text{Ad}(w^*)$ is an isomorphism of $(q \otimes 1)(M_m(A_\theta) \otimes \mathbf{K})(q \otimes 1)$ onto $M_m(A_\theta) \otimes \mathbf{K}$. Let ψ be an isomorphism of $M_m(A_\theta) \otimes \mathbf{K}$ onto $A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$ with $\psi_* = \text{id}$ of $K_0(M_m(A_\theta) \otimes \mathbf{K})$ onto $K_0(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$ and let α be the automorphism of $A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K}$ defined by

$$\alpha = \psi \circ \text{Ad}(w^*) \circ (\varphi \otimes \text{id}).$$

Then $(\text{Ad}(w^*) \circ (\varphi \otimes \text{id}))(1 \otimes e_{00}) = w^*(q \otimes e_{00})w$ and in $K_0(M_m(A_\theta) \otimes \mathbf{K})$

$$\begin{aligned} [(\text{Ad}(w^*) \circ (\varphi \otimes \text{id}))(1 \otimes e_{00})] &= [w^*(q \otimes e_{00})w] = [w^*(q \otimes e_{00})(q \otimes e_{00})w] = \\ &= [(q \otimes e_{00})ww^*(q \otimes e_{00})] = [(q \otimes e_{00})(q \otimes 1)(q \otimes e_{00})] = [q \otimes e_{00}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tau(q) = a + b\theta$,

$$[q \otimes e_{00}] = a[1 \otimes f_{11} \otimes e_{00}] + b[p \otimes f_{11} \otimes e_{00}]$$

in $K_0(M_m(A_\theta) \otimes \mathbf{K})$ where $\{f_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^m$ are matrix units of $M_m(\mathbf{C})$ and we identify $M_m(A_\theta)$ with $A_\theta \otimes M_m(\mathbf{C})$. Hence $\alpha_* \neq \text{id}$ on $K_0(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$ since $\psi_* = \text{id}$ of $K_0(M_m(A_\theta) \otimes \mathbf{K})$ onto $K_0(A_\theta \otimes \mathbf{K})$ and $b \neq 0$. \blacksquare

REMARK. Let \mathbf{T}^2 be the two-torus and $C(\mathbf{T}^2)$ the C^* -algebra of all continuous functions on \mathbf{T}^2 . We identify $C(\mathbf{T}^2)$ with all countinuous functions f on $[0, 1] \times$

$\times [0, 1]$ with $f(s_1, 0) = f(s_1, 1)$ and $f(0, s_2) = f(1, s_2)$ for $s_1, s_2 \in [0, 1]$. Let \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} be the unitary elements in $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ defined by

$$\tilde{u}(s_1, s_2) = e^{2\pi i s_1}, \quad \tilde{v}(s_1, s_2) = e^{2\pi i s_2}.$$

They generate $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and $K_1(C(\mathbb{T}^2)) = \mathbf{Z}[\tilde{u}] \oplus \mathbf{Z}[\tilde{v}]$. Let σ be the action of \mathbf{R} on \mathbb{T}^2 by translation at angle θ and we consider the action of \mathbf{R} on $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ induced by σ . We also denote it by σ . Then we can consider the crossed product $C(\mathbb{T}^2) \times_{\sigma} \mathbf{R}$ of $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ by \mathbf{R} and by Green [5] it is isomorphic to $A_{\theta} \otimes \mathbf{K}$. We identify them. In the same way as in Connes [4] for any σ -equivariant automorphism β of $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ we can define an automorphism $\hat{\beta}$ of $C(\mathbb{T}^2) \times_{\sigma} \mathbf{R}$. However if β is a σ -equivariant automorphism of $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $\beta \circ \sigma_t = \sigma_t \circ \beta$ for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Hence by the Fourier expansion on \mathbb{T}^2 we can see that there are real numbers η_1 and a η_2 such that

$$\beta(\tilde{u}) = e^{2\pi i \eta_1} \tilde{u}, \quad \beta(\tilde{v}) = e^{2\pi i \eta_2} \tilde{v}.$$

Therefore if θ is quadratic, the automorphism α of $C(\mathbb{T}^2) \times_{\sigma} \mathbf{R}$ constructed in Theorem 5 can not be induced any σ -equivariant automorphism of $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

In fact we suppose that there is a σ -equivariant automorphism β of $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ with $\alpha = \hat{\beta}$. Then $\beta_* = \text{id}$ on $K_1(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$. By Connes [4] there is the Thom isomorphism φ_{σ}^1 of $K_1(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$ onto $K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^2) \times_{\sigma} \mathbf{R})$ such that $\hat{\beta}_* \circ \varphi_{\sigma}^1 = \varphi_{\sigma}^1 \circ \hat{\beta}_*$. Hence we obtain that $\hat{\beta}_* = \text{id}$ on $K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^2) \times_{\sigma} \mathbf{R})$ since $\beta_* = \text{id}$ on $K_1(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$. However this contradicts $\alpha_* \neq \text{id}$ on $K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^2) \times_{\sigma} \mathbf{R})$.

Acknowledgement. I wish to thank Prof. A. Sheu for his informing me that Prof. I. F. Putnam showed $\text{tr}(A_{\theta}) = 1$, Prof. T. Suzuki for his helpful suggestion on quadratic irrational numbers and Prof. H. Takai for his many valuable advices and constant encouragement. I also wish to thank the referee for a number of suggestions for improvement of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. BLACKADAR, B., *K-theory for operator algebras*, M.S.R.I. Publication, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
2. BROWN, L. G., Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebras of C^* -algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, **71**(1977), 335-348.
3. BROWN, L. G.; GREEN, P.; RIEFFEL, M. A., Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of C^* -algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, **71**(1977), 349-363.
4. CONNES, A., An analogue of the Thom isomorphism for crossed products of a C^* -algebra by an action of \mathbf{R} , *Adv. in Math.*, **39**(1981), 31-55.
5. GREEN, P., The structure of imprimitivity algebras, *J. Functional Analysis*, **36**(1980), 105-113.

6. KODAKA, K., Automorphisms of tensor products of irrational rotation C^* -algebras and the C^* -algebras of compact operators, *Tokyo J. Math.*, **13**(1990), 457-468.
7. LANG, S., *Introduction to diophantine approximations*, Addison-Wesley, 1966.
8. PEDERSEN, G. K., *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, 1979.
9. PIMSNER, M. V.; VOICULESCU, D., Exact sequences for K-groups and Ext-groups of certain cross-product C^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory*, **4**(1980), 93-118.
10. PUTNAM, I. F., The invertible elements are dense in the irrational rotation C^* -algebras, *J. Reine. Angew. Math.*, **410**(1990), 160-166.
11. RIEFFEL, M. A., C^* -algebras associated with irrational rotations, *Pacific J. Math.*, **93**(1981), 415-429.
12. RIEFFEL, M. A., Dimension and stable rank in the K-Theory of C^* -algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **46**(1983), 301-333.
13. RIEFFEL, M. A., The cancellation theorem for projective modules over irrational rotation C^* -algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **47**(1983), 285-302.
14. TAKESAKI, M., *Theory of operator algebras I*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

KAZUNORI KODAKA
Department of Mathematics,
College of Science
Ryukyu University,
Nishihara-cho Okinawa 903-01,
Japan.

Received April 12, 1991, revised August 29, 1991.