

## THE NUMERICAL RANGE OF A TOEPLITZ OPERATOR WITH HARMONIC SYMBOL

J.K. THUKRAL

*Communicated by Norberto Salinas*

**ABSTRACT.** A complete description of the numerical range of a Toeplitz operator acting on the Bergman space of the unit disk with harmonic symbol is given.

**KEYWORDS:** *Toeplitz operator, numerical range, spectrum, self-adjoint, normal, subnormal, convexoid, harmonic.*

**AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION:** Primary 47B35; Secondary 47A15.

Let  $T$  be a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ .  $T$  is called *self-adjoint* if  $T = T^*$ ; *normal* if  $TT^* = T^*T$ ; *subnormal* if there exists a normal operator  $N$  on a Hilbert space  $K$  containing  $H$  such that  $H$  is invariant under  $N$  and  $N|_H = T$ . The *spectrum* of  $T$  is denoted by  $\sigma(T)$ . We write  $\text{conv } M$  to denote the *convex hull* of a set  $M$ . The *numerical range* of  $T$ , denoted  $W(T)$ , is the set  $W(T) = \{(Tx, x) : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\}$ . The following facts about numerical range are frequently used in the paper:

(i)  $W(T)$  is always convex and its closure,  $\overline{W(T)}$ , contains the spectrum of  $T$ ;

(ii) if  $T$  is subnormal, then  $T$  is convexoid, that is,  $\overline{W(T)} = \text{conv } \sigma(T)$ ;

(iii) each extreme point of  $W(T)$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$  and

(iv)  $W$  is a linear function of  $T$ , that is,  $W(\alpha T + \beta) = \alpha W(T) + \beta$  for complex numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

For proofs, see [3], Chapter 17 and [6].

Let  $dA$  denote the usual area measure on the open unit disk  $\mathbf{D}$  of the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$ . The Bergman space  $L^2_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{D})$  is the subspace of  $L^2(\mathbf{D}, dA)$  consisting of those functions in  $L^2(\mathbf{D}, dA)$  which are analytic on  $\mathbf{D}$ . Let  $P$  denote the orthogonal projection of  $L^2(\mathbf{D}, dA)$  onto  $L^2_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{D})$ . For  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbf{D}, dA)$ , the Toeplitz operator with symbol  $\varphi$ , denoted  $T_\varphi$ , is the operator from  $L^2_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{D})$  to  $L^2_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{D})$  defined by  $T_\varphi f = P(\varphi f)$  for  $f$  in  $L^2_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{D})$ . We denote the space of bounded analytic functions on  $\mathbf{D}$  by  $H^\infty$ . By a harmonic function we mean a complex-valued function on  $\mathbf{D}$  whose Laplacian is identically 0.

In general, the behavior of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space may be quite different from that of the Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space  $H^2$  of the unit circle. However, it is shown in [1] and [5] that Toeplitz operators on  $L^2_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{D})$  with harmonic symbols behave quite similarly to those on  $H^2$ , and one can prove analogues for this class of many results about Toeplitz operators on  $H^2$ .

The purpose of this paper is to completely describe the numerical range of a Toeplitz operator on  $L^2_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{D})$  with harmonic symbol. The description of the numerical range of an arbitrary Toeplitz operator acting on the Hardy space  $H^2$  was given by Klein ([4]). See also Brown and Halmos ([2], p. 100).

We begin with the following:

**LEMMA 1.** *Suppose  $\varphi$  is a nonconstant real-valued bounded harmonic function on  $\mathbf{D}$ , then  $W(T_\varphi) = (\inf \varphi, \sup \varphi)$ .*

*Proof.* By a result of McDonald and Sundberg ([5], Proposition 12),  $\sigma(T_\varphi) = [\inf \varphi, \sup \varphi]$ . As  $\varphi$  is real-valued,  $T_\varphi$  is self-adjoint and hence convexoid. Thus  $W(T_\varphi) = [\inf \varphi, \sup \varphi]$ . Since  $W(T_\varphi)$  is convex, it follows that  $(\inf \varphi, \sup \varphi) \subset W(T_\varphi)$ . If either  $\inf \varphi$  or  $\sup \varphi$  belongs to  $W(T_\varphi)$ , it is an extreme point of  $W(T_\varphi)$  and thus an eigenvalue of  $T_\varphi$ . But a Bergman Toeplitz operator with real-valued harmonic symbol can not have an eigenvalue unless it is a scalar ([5], Proposition 13). Thus  $\varphi$  is constant, contradicting the hypothesis. Hence  $W(T_\varphi) = (\inf \varphi, \sup \varphi)$ . ■

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a nonconstant bounded harmonic function on  $\mathbf{D}$  and let  $T_\varphi$  be normal. Then there exist constants  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $\sigma(T_\varphi)$  is the closed line segment  $[a, b]$  and  $W(T_\varphi)$  is the corresponding open segment  $(a, b)$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $T_\varphi$  is normal, by a recent result of Axler and Čučkovič ([1], Corollary 17),  $\varphi(\mathbf{D})$  lies on some line in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Then there exist constants  $\alpha, \beta$  and a real-valued function  $\psi$  on  $\mathbf{D}$  such that  $\varphi = \alpha\psi + \beta$ . Thus  $T_\varphi = \alpha T_\psi + \beta$ . By Lemma 1,  $\sigma(T_\psi) = [m, M]$  and  $W(T_\psi) = (m, M)$ , where  $m$  is the infimum and  $M$  is the supremum of  $\psi$ . Hence  $\sigma(T_\varphi) = [\alpha m + \beta, \alpha M + \beta]$  and  $W(T_\varphi) = (\alpha m + \beta, \alpha M + \beta)$ . This completes the proof. ■

To deal with the case when  $T_\varphi$  is nonnormal, we first prove the following:

**LEMMA 3.** *Suppose  $\varphi$  is a bounded harmonic function on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $\varphi \geq 0$  if and only if  $T_\varphi \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* The inequality  $\varphi \geq 0$  clearly implies  $T_\varphi \geq 0$ . To prove the other direction, suppose now that  $T_\varphi \geq 0$ . In particular  $T_\varphi$  is self-adjoint, that is,  $T_\varphi = T_{\bar{\varphi}}$  which shows that  $\varphi$  is real-valued. Hence by [5], Proposition 12,  $\sigma(T_\varphi) = [\inf \varphi, \sup \varphi]$  which is contained in  $[0, \infty)$ . Thus  $\varphi \geq 0$ . ■

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a bounded harmonic function on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Suppose the numerical range of  $T_\varphi$  lies in the upper half-plane and contains the origin. Then the operator  $T_\varphi$  is self-adjoint.*

*Proof.* We are to prove that if  $\text{Im } T_\varphi \geq 0$  or equivalently  $\text{Im } \varphi \geq 0$  (Lemma 3) and  $0 = \langle T_\varphi f, f \rangle$  for some unit vector  $f$  in  $L^2_{\mathbb{a}}(\mathbb{D})$ , then  $\text{Im } \varphi = 0$ . Since  $0 = \langle T_\varphi f, f \rangle = \langle \varphi f, f \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \varphi |f|^2 dA$ , it follows that  $\int_{\mathbb{D}} (\text{Im } \varphi) |f|^2 dA = 0$ , and hence  $(\text{Im } \varphi) |f|^2 = 0$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Now since  $f \in L^2_{\mathbb{a}}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\|f\| = 1$ , we therefore have  $\text{Im } \varphi = 0$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . ■

By applying an appropriate linear function, we immediately have the following:

**COROLLARY 5.** *If a line of support of the numerical range of a Toeplitz operator with bounded harmonic symbol contains a point of the numerical range, then it contains the entire spectrum and hence the entire numerical range.*

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a bounded harmonic function on  $\mathbb{D}$  and let  $T_\varphi$  be nonnormal. Then  $W(T_\varphi)$  is an open convex set which is the interior of its closure.*

*Proof.* We need only show that  $W(T_\varphi)$  is open. The required result then follows from the fact that an open convex set is the interior of its closure. Suppose that  $W(T_\varphi)$  is not open. Then there exists a point  $\lambda$  in  $W(T_\varphi)$  that belongs to  $\partial W(T_\varphi)$ , the boundary of  $W(T_\varphi)$ . That means  $0 \in W(T_{\varphi-\lambda})$  and is in  $\partial W(T_{\varphi-\lambda})$ . Since  $W(T_{\varphi-\lambda})$  is convex, we may rotate  $W(T_{\varphi-\lambda})$  so that it lies in the upper half-plane. In other words, we can find a complex number  $\alpha$  of unit modulus such that  $W(T_{\alpha\varphi-\alpha\lambda})$  lies in the upper half-plane. By Lemma 4, there exists a real-valued function  $\psi$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $\alpha\varphi - \alpha\lambda = \psi$ . This implies that  $\varphi = c\psi + d$  for some constants  $c$  and  $d$ . Thus  $T_\varphi = cT_\psi + d$  is normal, which contradicts the hypothesis. ■

It is known that if  $\varphi \in H^\infty$ , then  $\sigma(T_\varphi) = \overline{\varphi(\mathbb{D})}$ .

COROLLARY 7. If  $\varphi \in H^\infty$ , then  $W(T_\varphi)$  is the convex hull of  $\varphi(\mathbf{D})$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\varphi$  is nonconstant. Then  $T_\varphi$  is not normal by [1], Theorem 1. By Theorem 6,  $W(T_\varphi)$  is an open convex set which is the interior of its closure. As  $\varphi \in H^\infty$ ,  $T_\varphi$  is subnormal and hence convexoid. Thus  $\overline{W(T_\varphi)} = \text{conv } \overline{\varphi(\mathbf{D})}$ . Thus  $W(T_\varphi)$  is the interior of  $\text{conv } \overline{\varphi(\mathbf{D})}$ . The convex hull of a compact set is compact, the convex hull of an open set is open. From these facts, it follows that  $W(T_\varphi)$  is the convex hull of  $\varphi(\mathbf{D})$ . ■

*Acknowledgements.* I would like to thank Professor Sheldon Axler for helpful discussions on this material.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. AXLER, Z. ČUČKOVIĆ, Commuting Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbol, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 14(1991), 1-12.
2. A. BROWN, P.R. HALMOS, Algebraic properties of Toeplitz operators, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 213(1963/64), 89-102.
3. P.R. HALMOS, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J. 1967.
4. E.M. KLEIN, The numerical range of a Toeplitz operator, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 35(1972), 101-103.
5. G. McDONALD, C. SUNDBERG, Toeplitz operators on the disc, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 28(1979), 595-611.
6. J.G. STAMPFLI, Extreme points of the numerical range of a hyponormal operator, *Michigan Math. J.* 13(1966), 87-89.

J.K. THUKRAL  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Shri Ram College of Commerce  
 University of Delhi  
 Delhi - 110007  
 INDIA

Received June 17, 1991.