

## ON CRISS-CROSS COMMUTATIVITY

ROBIN HARTE

*Communicated by Norberto Salinas*

ABSTRACT. Equality of the non zero spectrum of products  $ab$  and  $ba$  of Banach algebra elements extends to many different kinds of joint spectrum for “criss-cross commuting” pairs of tuples.

KEYWORDS: *Criss-cross commutativity, spectrum, exactness.*

AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 47A10.

Recall that if  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $S : Y \rightarrow X$  are linear operators then

$$(0.1) \quad (I - TS)^{-1}(0) \subseteq T(I - ST)^{-1}(0)$$

and hence there is implication

$$(0.2) \quad I - ST \text{ one-one} \implies I - TS \text{ one-one:}$$

for if  $(I - TS)y = 0$  then  $y = T(Sy)$  with  $(I - ST)Sy = S(I - TS)y = 0$ . Dually there is inclusion

$$(0.3) \quad S^{-1}(I - ST)(X) \subseteq (I - TS)(Y)$$

and hence implication

$$(0.4) \quad I - ST \text{ onto} \implies I - TS \text{ onto:}$$

for if  $Sy = (I - ST)x$  then  $y = (I - TS)y + TSy = (I - TS)y + T(I - ST)x = (I - TS)(y + Tx)$ . For bounded linear operators between normed spaces we have also implication

$$(0.5) \quad \forall x \in X : \|x\| \leq k\|(I - ST)x\| \implies \forall y \in Y : \|y\| \leq h\|(I - TS)y\| :$$

argue

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\| &\leq \|(I - TS)y\| + \|T\| \|Sy\| \leq \|(I - TS)y\| + \|T\|k\|(I - ST)Sy\| \\ &= \|(I - TS)y\| + \|T\|k\|S(I - TS)y\| \leq (1 + \|T\|k\|S\|)\|(I - TS)y\|. \end{aligned}$$

If more generally  $a, b \in A$  are in an additive category then also

$$(0.6) \quad c(1 - ba) = 1 \implies (1 + acb)(1 - ab) = 1,$$

so that there is implication

$$(0.7) \quad 1 - ba \in A_{\text{left}}^{-1} \implies 1 - ab \in A_{\text{left}}^{-1}.$$

It is familiar that these elementary observations have consequences in spectral theory: for various kinds of “spectrum”  $\omega$  on linear algebras  $A$  there is equality, for arbitrary pairs of elements  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$(0.8) \quad \omega(ab) \setminus \{0\} = \omega(ba) \setminus \{0\}.$$

These equalities have extensions to “criss-cross commuting” systems of operators or ring elements:

DEFINITION 1.  $n$ -tuples  $a \in A^n$  and  $b \in A^n$  of elements in an additive category  $A$  are said to *criss-cross commute* if there is equality, for each  $i, j, k$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad a_i b_k a_j = a_j b_k a_i \quad \text{and} \quad b_i a_k b_j = b_j a_k b_i.$$

An immediate consequence is that each of the  $n$ -tuples

$$(1.2) \quad ba = (b_1 a_1, b_2 a_2, \dots, b_n a_n), \quad ab = (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2, \dots, a_n b_n)$$

is commutative. By Grimus and Ecker ([1]) there is implication  $(1.2) \implies (1.1)$  when  $A = \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and  $b = a^*$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $(a, c) \in A^n \times A^m$  and  $(b, d) \in A^n \times A^m$  criss-cross commute there is implication*

$$(2.1) \quad e_1(1 - b_1 a_1) + \sum_{j=2}^n e_j(\lambda_j - b_j a_j) + \sum_{j=1}^m (\mu_j - d_j c_j) f_j = 1$$

*implies*

$$(2.2) \quad (1 + a_1 c_1 b_1)(1 - a_1 b_1) + \sum_{j=2}^n (a_1 e_j b_1)(\lambda_j - a_j b_j) + \sum_{j=1}^m (\mu_j - c_j d_j) a_1 f_j b_1 = 1.$$

*Proof.* Compute

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + a_1 e_1 b_1)(1 - a_1 b_1) &= 1 - a_1 b_1 + a_1 e_1(1 - b_1 a_1) b_1 \\ &= 1 - a_1 b_1 + a_1 \left( 1 - \sum_{j=2}^n e_j(\lambda_j - b_j a_j) + \sum_{j=1}^m (\mu_j - d_j c_j) f_j \right) b_1 \\ &= 1 - \sum_{j=2}^n a_1 e_j(\lambda_j - b_j a_j) b_1 - \sum_{j=1}^m a_1(\mu_j - d_j c_j) b_1, \end{aligned}$$

which by part of the criss-cross condition equals

$$1 - \sum_{j=2}^n a_1 e_j b_1(\lambda_j - a_j b_j) - \sum_{j=1}^m (\mu_j - c_j d_j) a_1 f_j b_1. \quad \blacksquare$$

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $(a, c) \in A^n \times A^m$  and  $(b, d) \in A^n \times A^m$  criss-cross commute then there is implication*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \|uv\| \leq k_1 \|u\| \|(1 - b_1 a_1)v\| + \sum_{j=2}^n k_j \|u\| \|(\lambda_j - b_j a_j)v\| \\ + \sum_{j=1}^m h_j \|u(\mu_j - d_j c_j)\| \|v\| \end{aligned}$$

*implies*

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u'v'\| \leq (1 + k_1 \|a_1\| \|b_1\|) \|u'\| \|(1 - a_1 b_1)v'\| \\ + \sum_{j=2}^n k_j \|a_1\| \|b_1\| \|u'\| \|(\lambda_j - a_j b_j)v'\| \\ + \sum_{j=1}^m h_j \|a_1\| \|b_1\| \|u'(\mu_j - c_j d_j)\| \|v'\|. \end{aligned}$$

There is also implication

$$(3.3) \quad (1 - b_1 a_1)v = (\lambda_j - b_j a_j)v = u(\mu_j - d_j c_j) = 0 \implies uv = 0$$

implies

$$(3.4) \quad (1 - a_1 b_1)v' = (\lambda_j - a_j b_j)v' = u'(\mu_j - c_j d_j) = 0 \implies u'v' = 0.$$

*Proof.* If (3.1) holds then compute, for arbitrary  $u', v'$  in  $A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u'v'\| &\leq \|u'a_1 b_1 v'\| + \|u'(1 - b_1 a_1)v'\| \\ &\leq k_1 \|u'a_1\| \|(1 - b_1 a_1)b_1 v'\| + \sum_{j=2}^n k_j \|u'a_1\| \|(\lambda_j - b_j a_j)b_1 v'\| \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m h_j \|u'a_1(\mu_j - d_j c_j)\| \|b_1 v'\| + \|u'(1 - b_1 a_1)v'\| \\ &= k_1 \|u'a_1\| \|b_1(1 - a_1 b_1)v'\| + \sum_{j=2}^n k_j \|u'a_1\| \|b_1(\lambda_j - a_j b_j)v'\| \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \|u'(\mu_j - c_j d_j)a_1\| \|b_1 v'\| + \|u'(1 - b_1 a_1)v'\|. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The argument of Theorem 2 extends to the situation, for a normed linear category  $A$ , in which the tuples  $e \in A^n$  and  $f \in A^m$  are replaced by bounded sequences; the reader can check that if

$$(3.5) \quad \left\| e_{1k}(1 - b_1 a_1) + \sum_{j=2}^n e_{jk}(\lambda_j - b_j a_j) + \sum_{j=1}^m (\mu_j - d_j c_j) f_{jk} - 1 \right\| \rightarrow 0$$

with

$$(3.6) \quad \sup_k \left( \|e_{1k}\| + \sum_{j=2}^n \|e_{jk}\| + \sum_{j=1}^m \|f_{jk}\| \right) < \infty$$

then also

$$(3.7) \quad \left\| (1 + a_1 e_{1k} b_1)(1 - a_1 b_1) + \sum_{j=2}^n e_{jk}(\lambda_j - a_j b_j) + \sum_{j=1}^m (\mu_j - c_j d_j) a_1 f_{jk} b_1 - 1 \right\| \rightarrow 0.$$

There is also an operator analogue of Theorem 3, based on ‘‘almost exactness’’ ([2], Definition 1.1; [3], Definition 10.3.1):

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $(T_1, T_2) \in BL(X, Y)^2$  and  $(S_1, S_2) \in BL(Y, X)^2$  criss-cross commute, and if there are  $k > 0$  and  $h > 0$  for which for arbitrary  $w \in X$  there is  $x \in X$  for which*

$$(4.1) \quad \|w - (I - S_1 T_1)x\| \leq k \|(\lambda_2 I - S_2 T_2)w\| \quad \text{with } \|x\| \leq h \|w\|$$

*then for arbitrary  $z \in Y$  there is  $y \in Y$  for which*

$$(4.2) \quad \|z - (I - T_1 S_1)y\| \leq k \|T_1\| \|S_1\| \|(\lambda_2 I - T_2 S_2)z\|$$

$$\text{with } \|y\| \leq (1 + h \|T_1\| \|S_1\|) \|z\|.$$

*Proof.* Take  $x \in X$  from (4.1) with  $z = S_1 w$  and find  $y = z + T_1 x$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - (I - T_1 S_1)(z + T_1 x)\| &= \|T_1 S_1 z - (I - T_1 S_1)T_1 x\| \\ &\leq \|T_1\| \|S_1 z - (I - S_1 T_1)x\| \\ &\leq k \|T_1\| \|(\lambda_2 I - S_2 T_2)S_1 z\| \end{aligned}$$

which by criss-cross commutativity is

$$k \|T_1\| \|S_1(\lambda_2 I - T_2 S_2)z\| \leq k \|T_1\| \|S_1\| \|(\lambda_2 I - T_2 S_2)z\|;$$

also

$$\|z + T_1 x\| \leq \|z\| + \|T_1\| \|x\| \leq (1 + h \|T_1\| \|S_1\|) \|z\|. \quad \blacksquare$$

We offer the following hybridizations of the spectrum, approximate point and point spectrum for systems of algebra elements:

**DEFINITION 5.** If  $a \in A^n$  and  $c \in A^m$  for a complex (normed) linear algebra  $A$  (with identity 1), then

$$(5.1) \quad \sigma_A^{\text{left, right}}(a, c) = \left\{ (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m : 1 \notin \sum_{j=1}^n A(\lambda_j - a_j) + \sum_{j=1}^m (\mu_j - c_j)A \right\};$$

$$(5.2) \quad \tau_A^{\text{left, right}}(a, c) = \left\{ (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m : \inf_{\|uv\| \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^n \|u\| \|(\lambda_j - a_j)v\| + \sum_{j=1}^m \|u(\mu_j - c_j)\| \|v\| = 0 \right\};$$

$$(5.3) \quad \pi_A^{\text{left, right}}(a, c) = \left\{ (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m : \exists uv \neq 0 \in A \text{ such } ((\lambda - a)v, u(\mu - c)) = (0, 0) \in A^n \times A^m \right\}.$$

With this notation, we can state a theorem about joint spectra:

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $(a, c) \in A^n \times A^m$  and  $(b, d) \in A^n \times A^m$  criss-cross commute there is equality*

$$(6.1) \quad \omega_A^{\text{left, right}}(ab, cd) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} = \omega_A^{\text{left, right}}(ba, dc) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$$

for each  $\omega$  of  $\{\sigma, \tau, \pi\}$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality suppose that among all the  $\lambda_j$  and  $\mu_j$  of a point  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \omega^{\text{left, right}}$  it is  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$  and then normalise by scalar multiplication to  $\lambda_1 = 1$ ; now Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 give the argument. ■

These hybrid results can be used in the argument of Li Shaukuan ([4]) in establishing the analogue of Theorem 5 for the Taylor spectrum. We offer only a fragment:

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $(T_1, T_2) \in BL(X, Y)^2$  and  $(S_1, S_2) \in BL(Y, X)^2$  criss-cross commute then*

$$(7.1) \quad (I - S_1T_1)x_2 = (\lambda_2I - S_2T_2)x_1 \implies \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I - S_1T_1 \\ \lambda_2I - S_2T_2 \end{pmatrix} x_0$$

implies

$$(7.2) \quad (I - T_1S_1)y_2 = (\lambda_2I - T_2S_2)y_1 \implies \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I - T_1S_1 \\ \lambda_2I - T_2S_2 \end{pmatrix} y_0,$$

and also

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} I - S_1T_1 \\ \lambda_2I - S_2T_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R'_1 & R'_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -R''_2 \\ R'_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_2I + S_2T_2 & I - S_1T_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

implies

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} I - T_1S_1 \\ \lambda_2I - T_2S_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I + T_1R'_1S_1 & T_1R'_2S_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -T_1R''_2S_1 \\ I + T_1R'_1S_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_2I + T_2S_2 & I - T_1S_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* The left hand side of (7.2) and criss-cross commutativity gives  $(I - S_1T_1)S_1y_2 = (\lambda_2I - S_2T_2)S_1y_1$  and hence by (7.1) there is  $y_0$  for which

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I - T_1S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I - T_1S_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I - S_1T_1 \\ \lambda_2I - S_2T_2 \end{pmatrix} y_0$$

which by more criss-cross commutativity is  $\begin{pmatrix} I - T_1 S_1 \\ \lambda_2 I - T_2 S_2 \end{pmatrix} (y_1 + T_1 y_0)$ . If (7.3) holds then the left hand side of (7.4) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} I & (I - T_1 S_1) T_1 R'_2 S_1 - T_1 R''_2 S_1 (I - T_1 S_1) \\ (\lambda_2 I - S_2 T_2) R'_1 - R''_1 (\lambda_2 I - S_2 T_2) S_1 & I \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} I & T_1 (I - T_1 S_1) R'_2 - R''_2 (I - S_1 T_1) S_1 \\ T_1 (\lambda_2 I - S_2 T_2) R'_1 - R''_1 (\lambda_2 I - S_2 T_2) S_1 & I \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

In general we are unable to settle whether the analogue of (1.2) is sufficient for Theorem 2, Theorem 3, Theorem 4 or Theorem 7.

#### REFERENCES

1. W. GRIMUS, G. ECKER, On the simultaneous diagonalizability of matrices, *J. Phys. A* **9**(1986), 3917-3919.
2. R.E. HARTE, Almost exactness in normed spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **100**(1987), 257-265.
3. R.E. HARTE, *Invertibility and Singularity*, Dekker, 1988.
4. LI SHAIKUAN, On the commuting properties of Taylor's spectrum, *Chinese Sci. Bull.* **37**(1992), 1849-1852.
5. LI SHAIKUAN, Taylor spectral invariance for criss-cross commuting pairs on Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124**(1996), 2069-2071.

ROBIN HARTE  
Department of Mathematics  
Trinity College  
Dublin 2  
IRELAND

Received January 7, 1996.