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Abstract. In this paper rational operator functions of type

$$
L(\lambda):=I-\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda^{k} A_{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\lambda-a_{k}} H_{k}
$$

are considered. With the aid of a linearization of $L$ results on the completeness of the eigenvectors and associated vectors, and spectral asymptotics are given. The results are extended to certain meromorphic operator functions.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the first part of this paper we consider rational operator functions of type

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\lambda):=I-\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda^{k} A_{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\lambda-a_{k}} H_{k}, \quad \lambda \in \Omega, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Omega:=\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$, where the coefficients $A_{k}$ and $H_{k}$ act on a Banach space $X$ and satisfy some further conditions. In Section 2 we linearize the operator function in the sense of [10] by using a linearization of [14] for the polynomial part of (1.1), and by using a refinement of a linearization of the rational part of (1.1)
given in [19]. This means that there exist operator functions $\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{F}: \Omega \rightarrow B\left(X^{K}\right)$ with invertible values such that

$$
L(\lambda) \oplus \mathfrak{I}_{X^{K-1}}=\mathfrak{E}(\lambda)\left(\mathfrak{I}_{X^{K}}-\mathfrak{T}-\lambda \mathfrak{H}\right) \mathfrak{F}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \Omega .
$$

From this representation basic spectral properties of $L$ in $\Omega$ are derived. Furthermore with the aid of a further linearization of the same type for an operator function connected with $L$ we prove that the eigenvalues $\lambda \in\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ of $L$ and $\mathfrak{L}(\lambda):=\mathfrak{I}_{X^{K}}-\mathfrak{T}-\lambda \mathfrak{H}$, their geometric multiplicities, their partial null multiplicities, and their null multiplicities coincide; the connections between the eigenvectors and Jordan chains of $L$ and $\mathfrak{L}$ (which are used in the subsequent section) are described in detail. In Section 3 we use the results of Section 2 to prove in the Hilbert space case that the eigenvectors and associated vectors corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ of $L$ are complete, and we give some asymptotics of the eigenvalues. In Section 4 we consider meromorphic operator functions of type

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\infty}(\lambda):=I-\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda^{k} A_{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda-a_{k}} H_{k}, \quad \lambda \in \Omega^{\infty} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Omega^{\infty}:=\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, where the coefficients $A_{k}$ and $H_{k}$ again act on a Banach space $X$ and additionally satisfy some further conditions. We extend the linearization of the rational operator function $L$ of Section 2 to the meromorphic operator function $L_{\infty}$ under certain convergence conditions, and we establish analogous results for $L_{\infty}$ which correspond to the results for $L$ in Section 2. In Section 5 by using the results of Section 4 also the completeness of the eigenvectors and associated vectors corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ of $L_{\infty}$ is shown under certain convergence conditions. Furthermore we give some asymptotics of the eigenvalues.

Starting with the paper of Keldysh ([13]) there are many contributions to the completeness of eigenvectors and associated vectors and spectral asymptotics of operator polynomials (especially Keldysh pencils) and other operator functions (cf. [18]). Also rational and meromorphic operator functions are considered (cf. [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [17], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23]). But our results differ from the others by our method of linearization and the consideration of the eigenvalues $a_{j}$ in the sense of [12], [8], [9] under the poles of the rational and meromorphic operator functions. We also extend a result in [19] on rational operator functions of type (1.1).

## 2. LINEARIZATION (THE RATIONAL CASE)

Let $X$ be a (complex) Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. We denote by $B(X)$ the Banach space of all linear and bounded operators on $X$. The identity operator on $X$ is denoted by $I$. Furthermore we denote by $B_{\infty}(X)$ the Banach space of all linear and compact operators on $X$. For $A \in B(X)$ let $N(A)$ denote the null space, and let $R(A)$ denote the range of $A$. The resolvent set of $A$ is defined by $\rho(A):=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: A-\lambda I$ has an inverse in $B(X)\}$, and the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ is defined by $\sigma(A):=\mathbb{C} \backslash \rho(A) . \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is called an eigenvalue of $A$, if there is $f \neq 0$ such that $A f=\lambda f$. For an operator function $\widehat{L}: \widehat{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow B(X)$ we denote by $\rho(\widehat{L})$ the resolvent set of $\widehat{L}$ defined by $\rho(\widehat{L}):=\{\lambda \in \widehat{\Omega} \mid \widehat{L}(\lambda)$ has an inverse in $B(X)\}$, and we denote by $\sigma(\widehat{L})$ the spectrum of $\widehat{L}$ defined by $\sigma(\widehat{L}):=\widehat{\Omega} \backslash \rho(\widehat{L})$. Furthermore the notions eigenvalue, null multiplicity, pole multiplicity and multiplicity of an eigenvalue of $\widehat{L}$ are used as in [9], [12], [8].

Let integers $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, m \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Let $A_{k} \in B(X), k=0,1, \ldots, n$, $0 \neq H_{k} \in B(X), k=1,2, \ldots, m$, and let $0 \neq a_{k} \in \mathbb{C}, a_{k} \neq a_{j}$ for $k \neq j, k, j=$ $1,2, \ldots, m$, be given. Then the operator function $L: \Omega \rightarrow B(X)$ is considered, defined by (1.1). We assume that with $T_{0}:=A_{0}, T_{n}:=I$, there exist operators $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n} \in B(X)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}=T_{k} B_{k} \cdots B_{1}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such decompositions exist always by taking $B_{k}:=I, T_{k}:=A_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n-1$, and $B_{n}:=A_{n}$. Under the assumption that the polynomial part of $L$ is a Keldysh pencil, such decompositions are assumed, where all $B_{k}$ are equal (cf. [18]).

Furthermore we assume that for $k=1, \ldots, m$ there exist closed subspaces $N_{k}$ and $Z_{k}$ of $X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=N_{k} \oplus Z_{k}, \quad N_{k} \subset N\left(H_{k}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exist always such decompositions under the assumption that the operators $H_{k}$ are of finite rank, where in addition the subspaces $Z_{k}$ are finite dimensional, and $N_{k}$ can be chosen equal to $N\left(H_{k}\right)$. Let $P_{k}$ be the continuous projection from $X$ onto $Z_{k}$ along $N_{k}$. Then we have $H_{k} P_{k}=H_{k}$, and the operator $I-\alpha P_{k}$ is bijective for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{1\}$.

Let $K:=n+m$ for $n \geqslant 1$, and let $K:=1+m$ for $n=0$. Let

$$
X^{K}:=X \oplus \cdots \oplus X \oplus Z_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{m}
$$

the Banach space of the direct sum of $n$ copies of $X$ and of $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{m}$ endowed with the norm

$$
\left\|\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{K}\right)^{T}\right\|:=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K}\left\|f_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

We define linear operators $\mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{H}: X^{K} \rightarrow X^{K}$ by the following operator matrices

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathfrak{T}:\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
T_{0} & T_{1} & \cdots & T_{n-1} & H_{1} & \cdots & H_{m} \\
0 & & & & & & \\
\vdots & & & & & & \\
0 & & & & & & \\
\frac{1}{a_{1}} P_{1} \\
\vdots & & & & & \\
\frac{1}{a_{m}} P_{m} \\
& \mathfrak{T}:=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
T_{0} & H_{1} & \cdots & H_{m} \\
\frac{1}{a_{1}} P_{1} & & \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{a_{m}} P_{m}
\end{array}\right. & n \geqslant 2, \quad n=0,1
\end{array}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{H}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & B_{n} & & & & \\
B_{1} & 0 & & 0 & 0 & & & & \\
& B_{2} & & & & & & & \\
& & \ddots & & \vdots & & & & \\
& & & B_{n-1} & 0 & & & & \\
& & & & 0 & \frac{1}{a_{1}} P_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
& & & & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & & & & & & & 0 \\
& & & & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{a_{m}} P_{m}
\end{array}\right), \quad n \geqslant 2,  \tag{2.4}\\
& \mathfrak{H}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(B_{1}, \frac{1}{a_{1}} P_{1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{a_{m}} P_{m}\right), \quad n=0,1,
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{1}=0$ if $n=0$, respectively (cf. [14] for this type of linearization of the polynomial part of $L$, and also [18] for the case that all $B_{k}$ are equal, and cf. [19] for a similar type of linearization of the rational part of $L$ ).

Proposition 2.1. Let $\mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{H}$ be given by (2.3), (2.4), respectively. Then we have:
(i) $\mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{H} \in B\left(X^{K}\right)$.
(ii) Let $T_{k} \in B_{\infty}(X), 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1, B_{k} \in B_{\infty}(X), 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, and let the operators $H_{k}$ be of finite rank, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant m$. Then $\mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{H} \in B_{\infty}\left(X^{K}\right)$.

Now we define operator functions $\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{F}: \Omega \rightarrow B\left(X^{K}\right)$ by

$$
\mathfrak{F}(\lambda):=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
I & & & & & &  \tag{2.5}\\
\lambda B_{1} & I & & & & & \\
\lambda^{2} B_{2} B_{1} & \lambda B_{2} & I & & & & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\
\lambda^{n-1} B_{n-1} \cdots B_{1} & & \cdots & \lambda B_{n-1} & I & & \\
\frac{-1}{\lambda-a_{1}} P_{1} & & & & & \frac{-a_{1}}{\lambda-a_{1}} P_{1} & \\
\vdots & & & & & & \ddots \\
\hline \frac{-1}{\lambda-a_{m}} P_{m} & & & & & & \frac{-a_{m}}{\lambda-a_{m}} P_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$



Let $X^{K-1}$ denote the space

$$
X^{K-1}:=X \oplus \cdots \oplus X \oplus Z_{1} \cdots \oplus Z_{m}
$$

with $n-1$ copies of $X$, and let $\mathfrak{I}_{X^{K-1}}, \mathfrak{I}_{X^{K}}$ denote the identity operators in $X^{K-1}, X^{K}$ respectively.

Theorem 2.2. Let L, $\mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{F}$ be given by (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) respectively. Then for each $\lambda \in \Omega$ the operators $\mathfrak{E}(\lambda), \mathfrak{F}(\lambda)$ are invertible, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\lambda) \oplus \mathfrak{I}_{X^{K-1}}=\mathfrak{E}(\lambda)\left(\mathfrak{I}_{X^{K}}-\mathfrak{T}-\lambda \mathfrak{H}\right) \mathfrak{F}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \Omega . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathfrak{L}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow B\left(X^{K}\right)$ be the operator function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{L}(\lambda):=\mathfrak{I}_{X^{K}}-\mathfrak{T}-\lambda \mathfrak{H}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from Theorem 2.2 it follows:

Corollary 2.3. $\rho(L)=\rho(\mathfrak{L}) \cap \Omega, \sigma(L)=\sigma(\mathfrak{L}) \cap \Omega$.
We denote by $\pi: X^{K} \rightarrow X$ the canonical projection of $X^{K}$ onto its first coordinate space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{K}\right)^{T}=f_{1} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we denote by $\bar{\pi}$ the canonical projection of $X^{K}$ onto $X^{K-1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\pi}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{K}\right)^{T}=\left(f_{2}, \ldots, f_{K}\right)^{T} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.4. (i) Let $\lambda_{0} \in \Omega$ be an eigenvalue of $L$, and let $0 \neq f \in X$ be a corresponding eigenvector. Then $\lambda_{0}$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathfrak{L}$, and $\mathfrak{F}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)(f, 0, \ldots, 0)^{T}$ $\neq 0$ is a corresponding eigenvector.
(ii) Let $\lambda_{0} \in \Omega$ be an eigenvalue of $\mathfrak{L}$, and let $0 \neq \mathfrak{f}=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{K}\right)^{T}$ be a corresponding eigenvector. Then $f_{1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{0}$ is an eigenvalue of L. Furthermore $\pi \mathfrak{F}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{f}=f_{1} \neq 0$ is a corresponding eigenvector.
(iii) The (geometric) multiplicities of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{0} \in \Omega$ of $L$ and $\mathfrak{L}$ coincide.
(iv) Let $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r} \in X, f_{0} \neq 0$, be a Jordan chain of $L$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{0} \in \Omega$ of $L$. Then the vectors $\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{g}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}_{r} \in X^{K}$,

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{j} \frac{1}{k!} \mathfrak{F}^{(k)}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{j-k} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad j=0, \ldots, r
$$

are a Jordan chain of $\mathfrak{L}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}$ of $\mathfrak{L}$.
(v) Let $\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{g}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}_{r} \in X^{K}, \mathfrak{g}_{0} \neq 0$, be a Jordan chain of $\mathfrak{L}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{0} \in \Omega$ of $\mathfrak{L}$, and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{f}_{0} & :=\mathfrak{F}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{g}_{0}, \\
\mathfrak{f}_{j} & :=\mathfrak{F}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[\mathfrak{g}_{j}-\sum_{\nu=0}^{j-1} \frac{1}{(j-\nu)!} \mathfrak{F}^{(j-\nu)}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) \mathfrak{f}_{\nu}\right], \quad j=1, \ldots, r
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\bar{\pi} \mathfrak{f}_{j}=0, \quad j=0, \ldots, r
$$

Furthermore the vectors

$$
\pi \mathfrak{f}_{0}=g_{1,0}, \pi \mathfrak{f}_{1}=g_{1,1}, \ldots, \pi \mathfrak{f}_{r}=g_{1, r}
$$

are a Jordan chain of $L$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}$ of $L$.
(vi) The partial null multiplicities and the null multiplicities of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{0} \in \Omega$ of $L$ and $\mathfrak{L}$ coincide.

Proof. Using formula (2.7) the proof is analogous to a corresponding proof in [19] and therefore is omitted.

Now we prove that the results of the Proposition 2.4 (iii), (vi) are also true for the eigenvalues $\lambda \in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ of $L$ and $\mathfrak{L}$. We proceed in a similar way as in [16], [15].

For $j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ we define operator functions $L_{j}: \Omega \cup\left\{a_{j}\right\} \rightarrow B(X)$ and $G_{j}: \Omega \cup\left\{a_{j}\right\} \rightarrow B\left(X \oplus Z_{j}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{j}(\lambda):=I-\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda^{k} A_{k}+\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda-a_{k}} H_{k}, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
G_{j}(\lambda):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{j}(\lambda) & -H_{j}  \tag{2.12}\\
-\frac{1}{a_{j}} P_{j} & \frac{a_{j}-\lambda}{a_{j}} P_{j}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let

$$
X_{j}^{K}:=X \oplus Z_{j} \oplus X \oplus \cdots \oplus X \oplus Z_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{j-1} \oplus X \oplus Z_{j+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{m}
$$

We denote by $\pi_{j}: X_{j}^{K} \rightarrow X \oplus Z_{j}$ the canonical projection from $X_{j}^{K}$ onto the first two coordinate spaces

$$
\pi_{j}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{K}\right)^{T}:=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)^{T}
$$

and we denote by $\bar{\pi}_{j}$ the canonical projection of $X_{j}^{K}$ onto

$$
X_{j}^{K-2}:=X \oplus \cdots \oplus X \oplus Z_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{j-1} \oplus X \oplus Z_{j+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{m}
$$

Theorem 2.5. Let $j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ be given. There exist operator functions $\widehat{\mathfrak{E}}_{j}: \Omega \cup\left\{a_{j}\right\} \rightarrow B\left(X^{K}, X_{j}^{K}\right), \widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{j}: \Omega \cup\left\{a_{j}\right\} \rightarrow B\left(X_{j}^{K}, X^{K}\right)$ such that for each $\lambda \in \Omega \cup\left\{a_{j}\right\}$ the operators $\widehat{\mathfrak{E}}_{j}(\lambda), \widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{j}(\lambda)$ are invertible, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{j}(\lambda) \oplus \mathfrak{I}_{X_{j}^{K-2}}=\widehat{\mathfrak{E}}_{j}(\lambda)\left(\mathfrak{I}_{X^{K}}-\mathfrak{T}-\lambda \mathfrak{H}\right) \widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{j}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \Omega \cup\left\{a_{j}\right\} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{F}_{j}: \Omega \cup\left\{a_{j}\right\} \rightarrow B\left(X^{K}\right)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathfrak{F}_{j}(\lambda)\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{K}\right)^{T}\right)_{k} \\
& \quad:= \begin{cases}\sum_{\kappa=1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-\kappa} B_{k-1} \cdots B_{\kappa} f_{\kappa}+f_{k}, & k=1, \ldots, n \\
\frac{-1}{\lambda-a_{k-n}} P_{k-n} f_{1}+\frac{-a_{k-n}}{\lambda-a_{k-n}} P_{k-n} f_{k}, & k=n+1, \ldots, n+m, k \neq n+j, \\
P_{k-n} f_{k}, & k=n+j,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and let $\mathfrak{E}_{j}(\lambda): \Omega \cup\left\{a_{j}\right\} \rightarrow B\left(X^{K}\right)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathfrak{E}_{j}(\lambda)\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{K}\right)^{T}\right)_{k} \\
& \quad:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{1}+\sum_{\kappa=2}^{n} \sum_{\nu=\kappa-1}^{n} \lambda^{\nu-\kappa+1} T_{\nu} B_{\nu} \cdots B_{\kappa} f_{\kappa}+\sum_{\substack{\kappa=n+1 \\
\kappa \neq n+j}}^{n+m} \frac{-a_{\kappa-n}}{\lambda-a_{\kappa-n}} H_{\kappa-n} f_{\kappa}, \quad k=1, \\
f_{k}, \quad k=2, \ldots, n \\
P_{k-n} f_{k}, \quad k=n+1, \ldots, n+m .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{E}_{j}(\lambda)\left(\mathfrak{I}_{X^{K}}-\mathfrak{T}-\lambda \mathfrak{H}\right) \mathfrak{F}_{j}(\lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathfrak{P}_{1} \in B\left(X^{K}, X_{j}^{K}\right)$ be defined by
$\mathfrak{P}_{1}$ originates from the identity operator in $X^{K}$ by changing the second row with the $(n+j)$-th row. We set $\widehat{\mathfrak{E}}_{j}(\lambda):=\mathfrak{P}_{1} \mathfrak{E}_{j}(\lambda)$. Furthermore let $\mathfrak{P}_{2} \in B\left(X_{j}^{K}, X^{K}\right)$ be
defined by $\mathfrak{P}_{2}:=\mathfrak{P}_{1}^{T} \cdot \mathfrak{P}_{2}$ originates from the identity operator in $X^{K}$ by changing the second column with the $(n+j)$-th column. We set $\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{j}(\lambda):=\mathfrak{F}_{j}(\lambda) \mathfrak{P}_{2}$. Then the assertion follows from the formula for $\mathfrak{E}_{j}(\lambda)\left(\mathfrak{I}_{X^{K}}-\mathfrak{T}-\lambda \mathfrak{H}\right) \mathfrak{F}_{j}(\lambda)$ just proved.

Theorem 2.5 implies that $a_{j} \in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ is an eigenvalue of $G_{j}$ if and only if $a_{j}$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathfrak{L}$. Moreover the geometric multiplicities, partial null multiplicities, and null multiplicities coincide. In detail we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6. (i) Let $a_{j} \in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ be an eigenvalue of $G_{j}$, and let $0 \neq\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)^{T} \in X \oplus Z_{j}$ be a corresponding eigenvector. Then $a_{j}$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{j}\left(a_{j}\right)\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)^{T} \neq 0$ is a corresponding eigenvector.
(ii) Let $a_{j} \in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ be an eigenvalue of $\mathfrak{L}$, and let $0 \neq \mathfrak{f}=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{K}\right)^{T}$ be a corresponding eigenvector. Then $a_{j}$ is an eigenvalue of $G_{j}$, and $\pi_{1} \widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{j}\left(a_{j}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{f}=$ $\left(f_{1}, P_{j} f_{j}\right)^{T} \neq 0$ is a corresponding eigenvector.
(iii) The (geometric) multiplicities of the eigenvalues $a_{j}$ of $G_{j}$ and $\mathfrak{L}$ coincide.
(iv) Let $\left(f_{1,0}, f_{2,0}\right),\left(f_{1,1}, f_{2,1}\right), \ldots,\left(f_{1, r}, f_{2, r}\right) \in X \oplus Z_{j},\left(f_{1,0}, f_{2,0}\right) \neq 0$, be a Jordan chain of $G_{j}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of $G_{j}$. Then the vectors $\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{g}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}_{r} \in X^{K}$,

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{k}:=\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{j}\left(a_{j}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{1, k} \\
f_{2, k} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{1!} \widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{j}^{\prime}\left(a_{j}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{1, k-1} \\
f_{2, k-1} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right)+\cdots+\frac{1}{k!} \widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{j}^{(k)}\left(a_{j}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{1,0} \\
f_{2,0} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$k=0,1, \ldots, r$, are a Jordan chain of $\mathfrak{L}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of $\mathfrak{L}$.
(v) Let $\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{g}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}_{r} \in X^{K}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0} \neq 0\right)$ be a Jordan chain of $\mathfrak{L}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of $\mathfrak{L}$, and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{f}_{0} & :=\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{j}\left(a_{j}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{g}_{0}, \\
\mathfrak{f}_{k} & :=\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{j}\left(a_{j}\right)^{-1}\left[\mathfrak{g}_{k}-\sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(k-\nu!)} \widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{j}^{(k-\nu)}\left(a_{j}\right) \mathfrak{f}_{\nu}\right], \quad k=1, \ldots, r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\bar{\pi}_{j} \mathfrak{f}_{k}=0, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, r .
$$

Furthermore

$$
\pi_{j} \mathfrak{f}_{0}, \pi_{j} \mathfrak{f}_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{j} \mathfrak{f}_{r}
$$

is a Jordan chain of $G_{j}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of $G_{j}$.
(vi) The partial null multiplicities and the null multiplicities of the eigenvalues $a_{j}$ of $G_{j}$ and $\mathfrak{L}$ coincide.

Proof. Using formula (2.13) the proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 2.4 and therefore is omitted.

In the following proposition we characterize eigenvalues $a_{j}$ and corresponding Jordan chains of $L$. These conditions are used in the proof of the theorem which then follows.

Proposition 2.7. (i) $a_{j} \in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ is an eigenvalue of $L$ and $f_{0} \neq 0 a$ corresponding eigenvector if and only if there exists a vector $f_{1} \in Z_{j}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{j} f_{0}=0 \\
\left(I-\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{j}^{k} A_{k}+\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\
k \neq j}}^{m} \frac{1}{a_{j}-a_{k}} H_{k}\right) f_{0}=H_{j} f_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

(ii) Let $a_{j} \in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ be an eigenvalue of $L$. Then the vectors $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots$, $f_{r} \in X, f_{0} \neq 0$, are a Jordan chain of $L$ of length $r+1$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of $L$ if and only if there exists a vector $f_{r+1} \in Z_{j}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{j} f_{0}=0 \\
\sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{1}{(k-l)!} L_{j}^{(k-l)}\left(a_{j}\right) f_{l}=H_{j}\left(-f_{k+1}\right), \quad k=0,1, \ldots, r .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 2 in [16], and therefore is omitted.

The proof of the next proposition is similar to the proof of Theorem 3 in [16]. We use the characterization of Proposition 2.7. First we prove that the geometric multiplicities of the eigenvalues $a_{j}$ of $L$ and $G_{j}$ coincide, then we prove that the null multiplicities of the eigenvalues $a_{j}$ of $L$ and $G_{j}$ coincide.

Proposition 2.8. Let $a_{j} \in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$. Assume that $N_{j}=N\left(H_{j}\right)$.
(i) Let $a_{j}$ be an eigenvalue of $L$, and let $f_{0} \neq 0$ be a corresponding eigenvector. Then $a_{j}$ is an eigenvalue of $G_{j}$ and $0 \neq\left(f_{0}, f_{1}\right)^{T} \in X \oplus Z_{j}$ is a corresponding eigenvector, where $f_{1} \in Z_{j}$ is the vector which exists according to Proposition 2.7 (i) corresponding to $f_{0}$.
(ii) Let $a_{j}$ be an eigenvalue of $G_{j}$, and let $0 \neq\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right)^{T} \in X \oplus Z_{j}$ be a corresponding eigenvector. Then $f_{0} \neq 0$, and $a_{j}$ is an eigenvalue of $L$ and $f_{0}$ is a corresponding eigenvector.
(iii) The geometric multiplicities of the eigenvalues $a_{j}$ of $G_{j}$ and $L$ coincide.
(iv) Let $0 \neq f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ be a Jordan chain of $L$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of $L$. Then there exist vectors $g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r} \in Z_{j}$ such that

$$
0 \neq\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right)^{T},\left(f_{1}, g_{1}\right)^{T}, \ldots,\left(f_{r}, g_{r}\right)^{T}
$$

is a Jordan chain of $G_{j}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of $G_{j}$.
(v) Let $0 \neq\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right)^{T},\left(f_{1}, g_{1}\right)^{T}, \ldots,\left(f_{r}, g_{r}\right)^{T} \in X \oplus Z_{j}$ be a Jordan chain of $G_{j}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of $G_{j}$. Then $0 \neq f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ is a Jordan chain of $L$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of $L$.
(vi) The partial null multiplicities and the null multiplicities of the eigenvalues $a_{j}$ of $L$ and $G_{j}$ coincide.

Proof. (i) Let $a_{j}$ be an eigenvalue of $L$ and $f_{0} \neq 0$ a corresponding eigenvector. Proposition 2.7 (i) implies that there exists a vector $f_{1} \in Z_{j}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{j} f_{0} & =0 \\
L_{j}\left(a_{j}\right) f_{0} & =H_{j} f_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

this implies

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{j} f_{0}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad-\frac{1}{a_{j}} P_{j} f_{0}=0, \\
L_{j}\left(a_{j}\right) f_{0}-H_{j} f_{1}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

or

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{j}\left(a_{j}\right) & -H_{j} \\
-\frac{1}{a_{j}} P_{j} & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{f_{0}}{f_{1}}=0
$$

That is, the vector $0 \neq\left(f_{0}, f_{1}\right)^{T} \in X \oplus Z_{j}$ is an eigenvector of $G_{j}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of $G_{j}$.
(ii) Let $a_{j}$ be an eigenvalue of $G_{j}$ and $0 \neq\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right)^{T} \in X \oplus Z_{j}$ a corresponding eigenvector:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{j}\left(a_{j}\right) & -H_{j} \\
-\frac{1}{a_{j}} P_{j} & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{f_{0}}{g_{0}}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{j}\left(a_{j}\right) f_{0}-H_{j} g_{0}=0 \\
-\frac{1}{a_{j}} P_{j} f_{0}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

The assumption $f_{0}=0$ implies $H_{j} g_{0}=0$ or $g_{0} \in N\left(H_{j}\right)$. Since $g_{0} \in Z_{j}$ this implies $g_{0}=0$. Thus we have a contradiction to $0 \neq\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right)^{T}$. Therefore $f_{0} \neq 0$ and

$$
H_{j} f_{0}=0 \quad\left(\text { since } P_{j} f_{0}=0\right)
$$

$$
L_{j}\left(a_{j}\right) f_{0}=H_{j} g_{0}
$$

From Proposition 2.7 (i) then it follows that $a_{j}$ is an eigenvalue of $G_{j}$, and $f_{0} \neq 0$ is a corresponding eigenvector.
(iii) This follows from (i) and (ii).
(v) The assumption is equivalent to

$$
\sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{1}{(k-l)!} G_{j}^{(k-l)}\left(a_{j}\right)\binom{f_{l}}{g_{l}}=0, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, r
$$

Since $g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r} \in Z_{j}$ this is equivalent to

$$
\begin{cases}\sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{1}{(k-l)!} L_{j}^{(k-l)}\left(a_{j}\right) f_{l}=H_{j} g_{k}, & k=0,1, \ldots, r  \tag{2.14}\\ 0 \neq f_{0} \in N\left(H_{j}\right) ; & k=1,2, \ldots, r \\ f_{k}+g_{k-1} \in N\left(H_{j}\right), & \\ \end{cases}
$$

We have considered the case $r=0$ in (i)-(iii). Thus we can assume that $r \geqslant 1$. Then from (2.14) it follows for $k=0,1, \ldots r-1$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{j} g_{k}=H_{j}\left(-f_{k+1}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore we define a vector $f_{r+1} \in Z_{j}$ by

$$
-f_{r+1}=g_{r}
$$

Thus

$$
H_{j} g_{r}=H_{j}\left(-f_{r+1}\right)
$$

and equation (2.15) is valid for $k=0,1, \ldots, r$. From Proposition 2.7 (ii) now it follows that $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ is a Jordan chain of $L$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of $L$.
(iv) Let $f_{r+1} \in Z_{j}$ be the vector described in Proposition 2.7 (ii). Then we can determine successively vectors $g_{0}, g_{1} \ldots, g_{r} \in Z_{j}$ such that

$$
H_{j} g_{k}=H_{j}\left(-f_{k+1}\right)
$$

for $k=0,1, \ldots, r$. For this we set

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
h_{0} & :=-f_{1}  \tag{2.16}\\
h_{k} & :=-\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} h_{l}-\sum_{l=0}^{k} f_{l+1}, \quad k=1, \ldots, r
\end{align*}\right.
$$

and then

$$
g_{k}:=P_{j} h_{k} \in Z_{j}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, r .
$$

Now we show that we have $f_{k}+g_{k-1} \in N\left(H_{j}\right), k=1, \ldots, r$. For this it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}+h_{k-1} \in N\left(H_{j}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1, \ldots, r$. For $k=1$ we have $f_{1}+h_{0}=0 \in N\left(H_{j}\right)$, and for any $1<k \leqslant r$ thus we have successively from (2.16) that

$$
f_{k}+h_{k-1}=0 \in N\left(H_{j}\right)
$$

for $k=1, \ldots, r$ and therefore (2.17) is satisfied. In conclusion the vectors $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{r}$, $g_{0}, \ldots, g_{r}$ satisfy (2.14), and $a_{j}$ is an eigenvalue of $G_{j}$ with $\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right)^{T},\left(f_{1}, g_{1}\right)^{T}, \ldots$, $\left(f_{r}, g_{r}\right)^{T}$ as corresponding Jordan chain.
(vi) This follows from (iv) and (v).

Combining Proposition 2.6 (i)-(iii) and Proposition 2.8 (i)-(iii), and combining Proposition 2.6 (iv)-(vi) and Proposition 2.8 (iv)-(vi) we now can prove that the (geometric) multiplicities of the eigenvalues $a_{j}$ of $L$ and $\mathfrak{L}$ coincide.

Theorem 2.9. Let $a_{j} \in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$. Assume that $N_{j}=N\left(H_{j}\right)$.
(i) Let $a_{j}$ be an eigenvalue of $L$, and let $f_{0} \neq 0$ be a corresponding eigenvector. Then $a_{j}$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathfrak{L}$ and there exists a vector $f_{1} \in Z_{j}$ (according to Proposition 2.7 (i)) such that $\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{j}\left(a_{j}\right)\left(f_{0}, f_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)^{T} \neq 0$ is a corresponding eigenvector.
(ii) Let $a_{j}$ be an eigenvalue of $\mathfrak{L}$, and let $0 \neq \mathfrak{f}=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{K}\right)^{T}$ be a corresponding eigenvector. Then $a_{j}$ is an eigenvalue of $L$ and $f_{1} \neq 0$ is a corresponding eigenvector.
(iii) The geometric multiplicities of the eigenvalues $a_{j}$ of $L$ and $\mathfrak{L}$ coincide.
(iv) Let $0 \neq f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ be a Jordan chain of $L$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of $L$. Then there exist vectors $g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r} \in Z_{j}$ such that the vectors $\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{g}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}_{r} \in X^{K}$ defined by

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{k}=\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{j}\left(a_{j}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{k} \\
g_{k} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right)+\cdots+\frac{1}{k!} \widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{j}^{(k)}\left(a_{j}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{0} \\
g_{0} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad k=0, \ldots, r,
$$

are a Jordan chain of $\mathfrak{L}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of $\mathfrak{L}$.
(v) Let $\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{g}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}_{r} \in X^{K}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0} \neq 0\right)$ be a Jordan chain of $\mathfrak{L}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of $\mathfrak{L}$. Then $g_{1,0}, g_{1,1}, \ldots, g_{1, r} \in X\left(g_{1,0} \neq 0\right)$ is a Jordan chain of $L$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of $L$.
(vi) The partial null multiplicities and the null multiplicities of the eigenvalues $a_{j}$ of $L$ and $\mathfrak{L}$ coincide.

Now we describe the spectrum of $L$ under the conditions of Proposition 2.1 (ii).
Theorem 2.10. Let $T_{k} \in B_{\infty}(X), 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1, B_{k} \in B_{\infty}(X), 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, and let the operators $H_{k}$ be of finite rank, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant m$. Let $\rho(L) \neq \emptyset$. Then the spectrum $\sigma(L)$ of $L$ consists of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity with infinity as their only possible limit point. Furthermore the eigenvalues $a_{j} \in\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ of L have (finite null multiplicity and finite pole multiplicity and consequently) finite multiplicity.

Proof. From Corollary 2.3 we have $\sigma(L)=\sigma(\mathfrak{L}) \cap \Omega$ and $\rho(L)=\rho(\mathfrak{L}) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$. Thus the assertion follows from Theorem 12.9 in [18] (since $\mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{H} \in B_{\infty}\left(X^{K}\right)$ ) and Corollary 2.3, Proposition 2.4, Theorem 2.9, since the pole multiplicities of the eigenvalues $a_{j} \in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ are equal to $\operatorname{dim} R\left(H_{j}\right)$.

## 3. COMPLETENESS AND SPECTRAL ASYMPTOTICS (THE RATIONAL CASE)

In this section we use the results from Section 2 to prove in the case of a Hilbert space some asymptotic results for the eigenvalues and the completeness of the eigenvectors and associated vectors of the rational operator function $L$.

Throughout this section let $X$ be a (complex, separable) Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$. The inner product in $X^{K}$ then is defined by

$$
(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}):=\sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(f_{k}, g_{k}\right)
$$

In this case we have

$$
X=N\left(H_{k}\right) \oplus N\left(H_{k}\right)^{\perp}
$$

for each $k \in 1, \ldots, m$, and we choose $P_{k}$ to be the orthogonal projection onto $N\left(H_{k}\right)^{\perp}$. We denote by $B_{p}(X), 0<p<\infty$, the von Neumann-Schatten classes. We suppose throughout this section that $n \geqslant 1$.

Proposition 3.1. (i) Assume $\operatorname{ker} B_{k}=\{0\}, k=1, \ldots, n$. Then $\operatorname{ker} \mathfrak{H}=\{0\}$.
(ii) Let for $n=1$ the operator $B_{1}$ be normal, and let for $n \geqslant 2$

$$
B_{1} B_{1}^{*}=B_{2}^{*} B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n-1} B_{n-1}^{*}=B_{n}^{*} B_{n}, B_{n} B_{n}^{*}=B_{1}^{*} B_{1}
$$

Then $\mathfrak{H}$ is normal.
(iii) Let for $n=1$ the operator $B_{1}=A_{1}$ be selfadjoint, and let for $n \geqslant 2$ the operator $A_{n}$ and the operators

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{1} B_{n} B_{n-1} & \cdots & B_{2} \\
B_{2} B_{1} B_{n} B_{n-1} & \cdots & B_{3} \\
& & \cdots \\
B_{n-1} B_{n-2} & \cdots & B_{1} B_{n}
\end{array}
$$

be selfadjoint. Furthermore let $a_{j}^{n} \in \mathbb{R}, j=1, \ldots, m$. Then $\mathfrak{H}^{n}$ is selfadjoint.
(iv) Let $B_{k} \in B_{p}(X)$ for some $\left.p \in\right] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ for $k=1, \ldots, n$, and let $H_{k}$, $k=1, \ldots, m$, be of finite rank. Then $\mathfrak{H} \in B_{p}\left(X^{K}\right)$.

Proof. This follows from the definition of $\mathfrak{H}$.
Assume that $B_{1}=\cdots=B_{n}=: B$, and that $B$ is normal. Then the assumptions of Proposition 3.1 (ii) are satisfied, and thus $\mathfrak{H}$ is normal. Assume that all $B_{k}$ commute, that $A_{n}$ is selfadjoint, and that $a_{j}^{n} \in \mathbb{R}, j=1, \ldots, m$. Then the assumptions of Proposition 3.1 (iii) are satisfied, and thus $\mathfrak{H}^{n}$ is selfadjoint. Therefore it follows that under the assumption that the polynomial part of $L$ is a Keldysh pencil all conditions of Proposition 3.1 (i), (ii), (iii) are satisfied if additionally $a_{j}^{n} \in \mathbb{R}, j=1, \ldots, m$.

Now we have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.2. Let $T_{0}, T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n} \in B_{\infty}(X)$, and let the operators $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{m}$ be of finite rank.
(i) Let the operators $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}$ satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 3.1 (i), (ii) with $B_{1}=A_{1}$ selfadjoint (for $n=1$ ), and $A_{n}$ and the operators

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{1} B_{n} B_{n-1} & \cdots & B_{2} \\
B_{2} B_{1} B_{n} B_{n-1} & \cdots & B_{3} \\
& & \cdots \\
B_{n-1} B_{n-2} & \cdots & B_{1} B_{n}
\end{array}
$$

selfadjoint (for $n \geqslant 2$ ), respectively. Then for any $\delta>0$ there are only finitely many eigenvalues of $L$ outside the angles

$$
\left\{\lambda:\left|\arg \lambda-\pi \frac{k}{n}\right|<\delta\right\}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, 2 n-1
$$

(ii) Let the assumptions of (i) be satisfied, and let $B_{k} \in B_{p}(X)$ for some $p \in] 0, \infty[$ for $k=1, \ldots, n$. Then the system of eigenvectors and associated vectors corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ of $L$ is complete in $X$.

Proof. We consider only the cases $n \geqslant 2$, since a similar reasoning is valid for the case $n=1$. (i) For the operator function $\mathfrak{L}(\lambda):=\mathfrak{I}_{X^{K}}-\mathfrak{T}-\lambda \mathfrak{H}$ the operators $\mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathfrak{H}$ are compact with $\operatorname{ker} \mathfrak{H}=\{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{H}$ normal. Let $\mathfrak{G}:=\mathfrak{H}^{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{B}:=\mathfrak{T} \mathfrak{H}^{-1}$. Then the operator $\mathfrak{G}$ is normal with compact resolvent, while $\mathfrak{B}$ is compact relative to $\mathfrak{G}$. Furthermore the eigenvalues of $L, \mathfrak{L}$, and $\mathfrak{G}-\mathfrak{B}$ coincide and have the same null multiplicities. This follows from Proposition 2.4, Theorem 2.9, and Lemmma 15.2 in [18]. For the spectrum $\sigma(\mathfrak{H})$ we have

$$
\sigma(\mathfrak{H})=\sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \cdots & 0 & B_{n} \\
B_{1} & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & B_{n-1} & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\left\{\frac{1}{a_{k}}\right\}
$$

Since $\left(\begin{array}{cccc}0 & \cdots & 0 & B_{n} \\ B_{1} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & B_{n-1} & 0\end{array}\right)^{n}$ is selfadjoint in the Hilbert space $X^{n}, \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$ lies
on the rays

$$
\begin{aligned}
\arg \lambda & =\pi \frac{k}{n}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, 2 n-1 \\
\arg \lambda & =\arg \frac{1}{a_{k}}, \quad k=1, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it follows from Lemma 15.3 in [18], Proposition 2.4, and Theorem 2.9 that for any $\delta>0$ there are only finitely many eigenvalues of $L$ outside the angles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\lambda:\left|\arg \lambda-\pi \frac{k}{n}\right|<\delta\right\}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, 2 n-1 \\
& \left\{\lambda:\left|\arg \lambda-\arg a_{k}\right|<\delta\right\}, \quad k=1, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

If all the values $a_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m$, lie on the rays $\arg \lambda=k \pi / n, k=0, \ldots, 2 n-1$, the assertion is obviously true. Thus let $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{l} \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \arg a_{k_{1}}=\cdots=\arg a_{k_{l}} \\
& \arg a_{j} \neq \arg a_{k_{1}}, \quad j \neq k_{1}, \ldots, k_{l}, j \in\{1, \ldots, m\} \\
& \arg a_{k_{1}} \neq \pi \frac{k}{n}, \quad k=0, \ldots, 2 n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\delta>0$ denote by $\Omega(a, \delta)$ the angle $\Omega(a, \delta):=\{\lambda:|\arg \lambda-\arg a|<$ $\delta\}$. Let $\delta_{k_{1}}>0$ be such that $a_{j} \notin \Omega\left(a_{k_{1}}, \delta_{k_{1}}\right), j \neq k_{1}, \ldots, k_{l}, j=1, \ldots, m$, and that

$$
\Omega\left(a_{k_{1}}, \delta_{k_{1}}\right) \cap\left\{\lambda: \arg \lambda=k \frac{\pi}{n}\right\}=\emptyset, \quad k=0, \ldots, 2 n-1 .
$$

Let $0<\delta_{2}<\delta_{k_{1}}$. Then the spectrum of $L$ in $\Omega\left(a_{k_{1}}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is finite. This follows from Theorem 8.2 and Remarks 8.6 and 8.7 in [18], since $a_{k_{1}}, \ldots, a_{k_{l}}$ are eigenvalues of finite multiplicity of the operator $\mathfrak{G}=\mathfrak{H}^{-1}$. This argument can be applied to all $a_{k}$. From this the assertion follows.
(ii) We use the notations of the proof of (i). The operator $\mathfrak{T}$ is compact and the operator $\mathfrak{H} \in B_{p}\left(X^{K}\right)$ with $\operatorname{ker} \mathfrak{H}=\{0\}$ is normal. In view of Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 2.9 it suffices to establish that the eigenvectors and associated vectors of $\mathfrak{L}$ are complete in $X^{K}$. The operator $\mathfrak{G}$ is normal and $\mathfrak{G}^{-1}=\mathfrak{H} \in B_{p}\left(X^{K}\right)$, while $\mathfrak{B}$ is compact relative to $\mathfrak{G}$. Since the spectrum $\sigma(\mathfrak{H})$ of $\mathfrak{H}$ lies on the rays

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \arg \lambda=\pi \frac{k}{n}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, 2 n-1, \\
& \arg \lambda=\arg \frac{1}{a_{k}}, \quad k=1, \ldots, m,
\end{aligned}
$$

the spectrum of $\mathfrak{G}$ lies on the rays

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \arg \lambda=\pi \frac{k}{n}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, 2 n-1, \\
& \arg \lambda=\arg a_{k}, \quad k=1, \ldots, m,
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by Theorem 4.3 in [18], the system of root vectors of $\mathfrak{G}-\mathfrak{B}$ is complete in $X^{K}$. Thus, the set $\mathfrak{N}$ of all vectors of the form $\mathfrak{H g}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a root vector of $\mathfrak{G}-\mathfrak{B}$, is complete in $R(\mathfrak{H})$. Since ker $\mathfrak{H}^{*}=\{0\}$ (since $\mathfrak{H}$ is normal and ker $\mathfrak{H}=\{0\}$ ), $R(\mathfrak{H})$ is dense in $X^{K}$ and thus $\mathfrak{N}$ is complete in $X^{K}$. According to Lemma 15.1 in [18], $\mathfrak{N}$ coincides with the set of all eigenvectors and associated vectors of $\mathfrak{L}$.

Denote by $N_{k}(r, L)$ for $r>0$ and $k=0, \ldots, 2 n-1$ the sum of the null multiplicities of the eigenvalues of $L$ in the sector

$$
\Delta_{k}(r):=\left\{\lambda:\left|\arg \lambda-\pi \frac{k}{n}\right|<\pi / 2 n,|\lambda|<r\right\}
$$

denote by $N^{+}(r)$ (respectively, $\left.N^{-}(r)\right)$ the sum of the null multiplicities of the eigenvalues of $A_{n}$ in $] r^{-n}, \infty[$ (respectively, $]-\infty,-r^{-n}\left[\right.$ ), denote by $N_{k}\left(r,\left(a_{k}\right)\right)$ the sum of $\operatorname{dim} R\left(H_{k}\right)$ for which $a_{k}$ is in $\{\lambda: \arg \lambda=\pi k / n,|\lambda|<r\}, k=0, \ldots, 2 n-1$, and let $N_{k}^{+}(r):=N^{+}(r)+N_{k}\left(r,\left(a_{k}\right)\right), k=0, \ldots, 2 n-1$. In the next theorem we compare the functions $N_{2 k}(r, L)$ with $N_{2 k}^{+}(r)$, and $N_{2 k+1}(r, L)$ with $N_{2 k-1}^{-}(r)$. The results are formulated only for $N_{2 k}(r, L)$, since the formulations are analogous for $N_{2 k+1}(r, L)$. For functions $\left.\varphi, \psi:\right] 0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we write $\varphi(r) \sim \psi(r)$ if $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(r) / \psi(r)=1$.

Theorem 3.3. Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.2. (i) be satisfied.
(i) Assume that the positive spectrum of $A_{n}$ is finite. Then the spectrum of $L$ in the angles

$$
\Omega_{2 k}:=\left\{\lambda:\left|\arg \lambda-2 \pi \frac{k}{n}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2 n}\right\}, \quad k=0, \ldots, n-1
$$

is also finite.
(ii) Assume that the positive spectrum of $A_{n}$ is infinite and

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N_{2 k}^{+}(r)}{\log r}<\infty
$$

Then

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{N_{2 k}(r, L)}{N_{2 k}^{+}(r)}-1\right|=0, \quad k=0, \ldots, n-1
$$

(iii) Assume that the positive spectrum of $A_{n}$ is infinite and

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{N_{2 k}^{+}(r(1+\varepsilon))}{N_{2 k}^{+}(r)}=1
$$

Then

$$
N_{2 k}(r, L) \sim N_{2 k}^{+}(r), \quad k=0, \ldots, n-1
$$

(iv) Assume that $N_{2 k}^{+}(r) \sim a r^{\gamma}$ for $a, \gamma>0$. Then $N_{2 k}(r, L) \sim a r^{\gamma}, k=$ $0, \ldots, n-1$.

Proof. We use the notations of the proof of Theorem 3.2 (i). The eigenvalues of $L_{0}(\lambda):=I-\lambda^{n} A_{n}$ are eigenvalues of $\mathfrak{G}$ with the same null multiplicities. The remaining eigenvalues of $\mathfrak{G}$ are the values $a_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m$, with finite null multiplicity (equal to $\operatorname{dim} R\left(H_{k}\right)$ ). Thus (i) follows from Theorem 8.2 (and Remarks 8.6 and 8.7) in [18], (ii) follows from Theorem 8.3 (and Remarks 8.6 and 8.7) in [18], (iii) follows from Theorem 8.4 (and Remarks 8.6 and 8.7) in [18]. (iv) is a corollary to (iii).

Thus we have for $L$ similar results as for a Keldysh pencil (cf. [18], Section 15.3).

## 4. LINEARIZATION (THE MEROMORPHIC CASE)

In this section first let $X$ be a (complex) Banach space. Let $A_{k} \in B(X), k=$ $0,1, \ldots, n, 0 \neq H_{k} \in B(X), k=1,2, \ldots$, and $0 \neq a_{k} \in \mathbb{C}, k=1,2, \ldots, a_{k} \neq a_{j}$ for $k \neq j$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|a_{k}\right|=\infty \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be given. Then the operator function $L: \Omega^{\infty} \rightarrow B(X)$ is considered, defined by

$$
L_{\infty}(\lambda):=I-\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda^{k} A_{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda-a_{k}} H_{k}, \quad \lambda \in \Omega^{\infty},
$$

where

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda-a_{k}} H_{k}
$$

is compact convergent in $\mathbb{C}$. In this section we extend the results of Section 2 on rational operator functions to this type of meromorphic operator functions. But we only sketch the procedure. We assume that (2.1) and (2.2) (for $k=1,2, \ldots$ ) are satisfied. Let
$X^{\infty}:=\left\{\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots\right)^{T} \mid f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in X, f_{n+1} \in Z_{1}, f_{n+2} \in Z_{2}, \ldots, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|f_{k}\right\|^{2}<\infty\right\}$.
Then $X^{\infty}$ endowed with the norm

$$
\|\mathfrak{f}\|_{\infty}:=\left\|\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots\right)^{T}\right\|_{\infty}:=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|f_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

is a Banach space. We consider for $n \geqslant 2$ the infinite operator matrices

$$
\mathfrak{T}_{\infty}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
T_{0} & T_{1} & \cdots & T_{n-1} & H_{1} & H_{2} & \cdots  \tag{4.2}\\
0 & & & & & & \\
\vdots & & & & & & \\
0 & & & & & & \\
\frac{1}{a_{1}} P_{1} & & & & & & \\
\frac{1}{a_{2}} P_{2} & & & & & & \\
\vdots & & & & & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\mathfrak{H}_{\infty}:=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & B_{n} & & &  \tag{4.3}\\
B_{1} & 0 & & 0 & 0 & & & \\
& B_{2} & & & & & & \\
& & \ddots & & \vdots & & & \\
& & & B_{n-1} & 0 & & & \\
& & & & & \frac{1}{a_{1}} P_{1} & & \\
& & & & & & \frac{1}{a_{2}} P_{2} & \\
& & & & & & & \ddots .
\end{array}\right)
$$

and with the corresponding modifications for the cases $n=0,1$ as in Section 2.
Proposition 4.1. Assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}}\left\|P_{k}\right\|^{2}<\infty  \tag{4.4}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}<\infty
\end{gather*}
$$

(i) Then for $\mathfrak{T}_{\infty}, \mathfrak{H}_{\infty}$ given by (4.2), (4.3), respectively, we have $\mathfrak{T}_{\infty}, \mathfrak{H}_{\infty} \in$ $B\left(X^{\infty}\right)$.
(ii) Let $T_{k} \in B_{\infty}(X), 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1, B_{k} \in B_{\infty}(X), 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, and let the operators $H_{k}$ be of finite rank, $k=1,2, \ldots$ Then $\mathfrak{T}_{\infty}, \mathfrak{H}_{\infty} \in B_{\infty}\left(X^{\infty}\right)$.

Proof. (i) We have for $\mathfrak{f} \in X^{\infty}$

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T_{k} f_{k+1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} H_{k} f_{n+k}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left\|T_{k}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)\|\mathfrak{f}\|_{\infty}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|\frac{1}{a_{k}} P_{k} f_{1}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}}\left\|P_{k}\right\|^{2}\|\mathfrak{f}\|_{\infty}^{2}
$$

From this it follows that $\mathfrak{T}_{\infty} \in B\left(X^{\infty}\right)$.
We have for $\mathfrak{f} \in X^{\infty}$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|B_{k} f_{k}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|\frac{1}{a_{k}} P_{k} f_{n+k}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left(\max _{k=1, \ldots, n}\left\|B_{k}\right\|^{2}+\sup _{k=1,2, \ldots} \frac{1}{\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}}\left\|P_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)\|\mathfrak{f}\|^{2}
$$

From this it follows that $\mathfrak{H}_{\infty} \in B\left(X^{\infty}\right)$.
(ii) We approximate $\mathfrak{T}_{\infty}, \mathfrak{H}_{\infty}$ by finite sections in the following way: Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be given, then let
(4.6) $\quad \mathfrak{T}_{\infty, m}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}T_{0} & T_{1} & \cdots & T_{n-1} & H_{1} & \cdots & H_{m} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & & & & \\ \frac{1}{a_{1}} P_{1} & & & & & & \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{a_{m}} P_{m} & & & & & & \\ 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ \end{array}\right)$,
(4.7) $\quad \mathfrak{H}_{\infty, m}:=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & B_{n} & & & & & \\ B_{1} & 0 & & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\ & B_{2} & & & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots & & & & & \\ & & & B_{n-1} & 0 & & & & & \\ & & & & & \frac{1}{a_{1}} P_{1} & & & & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & & & \frac{1}{a_{m}} P_{m} & & \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & \\ & & & & & & & & & \ddots\end{array}\right)$.

Thus we have for $\mathfrak{f} \in X^{\infty}$

$$
\left\|\mathfrak{T}_{\infty} \mathfrak{f}-\mathfrak{T}_{\infty, m} \mathfrak{f}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}}\left\|P_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)\|\mathfrak{f}\|_{\infty}^{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

for $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\mathfrak{T}_{\infty, m} \in B_{\infty}\left(X^{\infty}\right)$, this implies $\mathfrak{T}_{\infty} \in B_{\infty}\left(X^{\infty}\right)$. Furthermore we have for $\mathfrak{f} \in X^{\infty}$

$$
\left\|\mathfrak{H}_{\infty} \mathfrak{f}-\mathfrak{H}_{\infty, m} \mathfrak{f}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sup _{k=m+1, \ldots .} \frac{1}{\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}}\left\|P_{k}\right\|^{2}\|\mathfrak{f}\|_{\infty}^{2} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } m \rightarrow \infty
$$

Since $\mathfrak{H}_{\infty, m} \in B_{\infty}\left(X^{\infty}\right)$, this implies $\mathfrak{H}_{\infty} \in B_{\infty}\left(X^{\infty}\right)$.

Now we define operator functions $\mathfrak{E}_{\infty}, \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}: \Omega^{\infty} \rightarrow B\left(X^{\infty}\right)$ by

$$
\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}(\lambda):=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
I & & & & & &  \tag{4.8}\\
\lambda B_{1} & I & & & & & \\
\lambda^{2} B_{2} B_{1} & \lambda B_{2} & I & & & & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\
\lambda^{n-1} B_{n-1} \cdots B_{1} & & \cdots & \lambda B_{n-1} & I & & \\
\frac{-1}{\lambda-a_{1}} P_{1} & & & & & \frac{-a_{1}}{\lambda-a_{1}} P_{1} & \\
\frac{-1}{\lambda-a_{2}} P_{2} & & & & & & \frac{-a_{2}}{\lambda-a_{2}} P_{2} \\
\vdots & & & & & \\
\vdots & & & & & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\mathfrak{E}_{\infty}(\lambda):=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
I & \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda^{k-1} T_{k} B_{k} \cdots B_{2} & \cdots & T_{n-1}+\lambda B_{n} & \frac{-a_{1}}{\lambda-a_{1}} H_{1} & \frac{-a_{2}}{\lambda-a_{2}} H_{2} & \cdots  \tag{4.9}\\
& I & & & & & \\
& & \ddots & & & & \\
& & & I & & & \\
& & & & P_{1} & & \\
& & & & & P_{2} & \\
& & & & & & \ddots
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We denote by $\pi_{\infty}$ the canonical projection from $X^{\infty}$ onto its first coordinate space

$$
\pi_{\infty}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots\right)^{T}=f_{1}
$$

THEOREM 4.2. Let $L_{\infty}, \mathfrak{T}_{\infty}, \mathfrak{H}_{\infty}, \mathfrak{E}_{\infty}, \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}$ as above and let (4.4), (4.5) be fulfilled. Then for each $\lambda \in \Omega^{\infty}$ we have $\mathfrak{E}_{\infty}(\lambda), \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}(\lambda) \in B\left(X^{\infty}\right)$, the operators $\mathfrak{E}_{\infty}(\lambda), \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}(\lambda)$ are invertible, and

$$
L_{\infty}(\lambda) \oplus \mathfrak{I}_{\operatorname{ker} \pi_{\infty}}=\mathfrak{E}_{\infty}(\lambda)\left(\mathfrak{I}_{X \infty}-\mathfrak{T}_{\infty}-\lambda \mathfrak{H}_{\infty}\right) \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \Omega^{\infty}
$$

Proof. For $\mathfrak{f} \in X^{\infty}$ we have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|\frac{-1}{\lambda-a_{k}} P_{k} f_{1}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda-a_{k}\right|^{2}}\left\|P_{k}\right\|^{2}\|\mathfrak{f}\|^{2}<\infty
$$

since the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda-a_{k}\right|^{2}}\left\|P_{k}\right\|^{2}$ converges. Furthermore we have $P_{k} \mid Z_{k}=I_{Z_{k}}$. Thus

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|\frac{-a_{k}}{\lambda-a_{k}} P_{k} f_{n+k}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|1-\frac{\lambda}{a_{k}}\right|^{2}}\left\|f_{k+n}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sup _{k=1,2, \ldots} \frac{1}{\left|1-\frac{\lambda}{a_{k}}\right|^{2}}\|\mathfrak{f}\|^{2}<\infty
$$

since $\left|a_{k}\right| \rightarrow \infty$. From this it follows that $\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}(\lambda) \in B\left(X^{\infty}\right)$. Furthermore $\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}(\lambda)$ is injective with

$$
\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}(\lambda)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
I & & & & & & \\
-\lambda B_{1} & I & & & & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\
& & -\lambda B_{n-1} & I & & & \\
-\frac{1}{a_{1}} P_{1} & & & & -\frac{\lambda-a_{1}}{a_{1}} P_{1} & & -\frac{\lambda-a_{2}}{a_{2}} P_{2} \\
-\frac{1}{a_{2}} P_{2} & & & & & \\
\vdots & & & & & & \ddots .
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since for $\mathfrak{f} \in X^{\infty}$ we have $\left(P_{k} \mid Z_{k}=I_{Z_{k}}\right.$ and $\left.\left|a_{k}\right| \rightarrow \infty\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|-\frac{1}{a_{k}} P_{k} f_{1}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}}\left\|P_{k}\right\|^{2}\|\mathfrak{f}\|_{\infty}^{2}<\infty \\
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|-\frac{\lambda-a_{k}}{a_{k}} P_{k} f_{k+n}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|1-\frac{\lambda}{a_{k}}\right|^{2}\left\|f_{k+n}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sup _{k=1,2, \ldots}\left|1-\frac{\lambda}{a_{k}}\right|^{2}\|\mathfrak{f}\|_{\infty}^{2}<\infty,
\end{gathered}
$$

thus it follows that $\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}(\lambda)^{-1} \in B\left(X^{\infty}\right)$.
For $\mathfrak{f} \in X^{\infty}$ we have

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{-a_{k}}{\lambda-a_{k}} H_{k} f_{n+k}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sup _{k=1,2, \ldots} \frac{1}{\left|1-\frac{\lambda}{a_{k}}\right|^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}\|\mathfrak{f}\|_{\infty}^{2}<\infty
$$

and since $P_{k} \mid Z_{k}=I_{Z_{k}}$ it follows that $\mathfrak{E}(\lambda) \in B\left(X^{\infty}\right)$. $\mathfrak{E}(\lambda)$ is injective with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{E}_{\infty}(\lambda)^{-1} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
I & -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda^{k-1} T_{k} B_{k} \cdots B_{2} & \cdots & -T_{n-1}-\lambda B_{n} & \frac{a_{1}}{\lambda-a_{1}} H_{1} & \frac{a_{2}}{\lambda-a_{2}} H_{2} & \cdots \\
& I & & & & \\
& & \ddots & & & & \\
& & I & & & \\
& & & & P_{1} & & \\
& & & & P_{2} & \\
& & & & & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore $\mathfrak{E}_{\infty}(\lambda)^{-1} \in B\left(X^{\infty}\right)$.
In the following we assume that the conditions (4.4), (4.5) are fulfilled. Let $\mathfrak{L}_{\infty}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow B\left(X^{\infty}\right)$ be the operator function defined by

$$
\mathfrak{L}_{\infty}(\lambda):=\mathfrak{I}_{X^{\infty}}-\mathfrak{T}_{\infty}-\lambda \mathfrak{H}_{\infty}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

For $L_{\infty}$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{\infty}$ there are valid the analogues of Corollary 2.3, Propositions 2.4, $2.6,2.7,2.8$, and Theorems 2.5, 2.9, 2.10, which we do not formulate explicitly.

## 5. COMPLETENESS AND SPECTRAL ASYMPTOTICS (THE MEROMORPHIC CASE)

In this section we use the results from Section 4 to prove in the case of a Hilbert space some asymptotic results for the eigenvalues and the completeness of the eigenvectors and associated vectors which extend those of Section 3 for the rational operator function $L$ to the meromorphic operator function $L_{\infty}$.

Throughout this section let $X$ be a (complex, separable) Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$. The inner product in $X^{\infty}$ is defined by

$$
(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g})_{\infty}:=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{k}, g_{k}\right)
$$

In this case again we have $X=N\left(H_{k}\right) \oplus N\left(H_{k}\right)^{\perp}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and we choose $P_{k}$ to be the orthogonal projection onto $N\left(H_{k}\right)^{\perp}$. We suppose throughout this section that $n \geqslant 1$.

Under the condition (4.4) for $\mathfrak{H}_{\infty}$ there is also valid the analogue of Proposition 3.1 (i), (ii), (iii) if $a_{j}^{n} \in \mathbb{R}, j=1,2, \ldots$ Only Proposition 3.1 (iv) must be modified.

Proposition 5.1. Let $B_{k} \in B_{p}(X)$ for some $p \in[1, \infty[$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$. Furthermore let $H_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, be of finite rank, and let

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|a_{k}\right|} \operatorname{dim} R\left(H_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty
$$

Then $\mathfrak{H}_{\infty} \in B_{p}\left(X^{\infty}\right)$.
Proof. The operator $\mathfrak{H}_{\infty, m}$ given by (4.7) is an element of $B_{p}\left(X^{\infty}\right)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore

$$
\left\|\mathfrak{H}_{\infty}-\mathfrak{H}_{\infty, m}\right\|_{\infty, p} \leqslant \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|a_{k}\right|} \operatorname{dim} R\left(H_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow 0
$$

for $m \rightarrow \infty$. From this the assertion follows.
Theorem 5.2. Let for the polynomial part of $L_{\infty}$ the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 (i) be satisfied. Furthermore let

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}}<\infty, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}<\infty
$$

Assume that (without loss of generality) the numbers $1 / a_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$, lie on the finitely many rays

$$
\arg \lambda=\arg \frac{1}{a_{1}}, \ldots, \arg \lambda=\arg \frac{1}{a_{m}}
$$

where $\arg 1 / a_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m$, are pairwise distinct.
(i) For any $\delta>0$ there are only finitely many eigenvalues of $L_{\infty}$ outside the angles

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\lambda:\left|\arg \lambda-\pi \frac{k}{n}\right|<\delta\right\}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, 2 n-1  \tag{5.1}\\
\left\{\lambda:\left|\arg \lambda-\arg a_{k}\right|<\delta\right\}, \quad k=1, \ldots, m \tag{5.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

(ii) Assume additionally that on the ray

$$
\arg \lambda=\arg a_{k_{0}}, \quad k_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, m\}
$$

there are only finitely many $a_{k}$ and $\arg a_{k_{0}} \neq \pi k / n, k=0, \ldots, 2 n-1$. Then the assertion of (i) is valid with $k \neq k_{0}$ in (5.2).
(iii) Let additionally be assumed that the positive spectrum of $A_{n}$ is finite, and that only finitely many $a_{k}$ are on the rays

$$
\arg \lambda=2 \pi \frac{k}{n}, \quad k=0, \ldots, n-1
$$

Then the assertion of (i) is valid with $k \neq 2 l, l=0, \ldots, n-1$ in (5.1). An analogous result is valid if the negative spectrum of $A_{n}$ is finite, and only finitely many $a_{k}$ are on the rays

$$
\arg \lambda=\frac{\pi(2 k+1)}{n}, \quad k=0, \ldots, n-1
$$

(iv) Let additionally $B_{k} \in B_{p}(X)$ for some $p \in[1, \infty[$ for $k=1, \ldots, n$, and let

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|a_{k}\right|} \operatorname{dim} R\left(H_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty
$$

Then the system of eigenvectors and associated vectors corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ of $L_{\infty}$ is complete in $X^{\infty}$.

Proof. We consider only the cases $n \geqslant 2$, since a similar reasoning is valid for the case $n=1$. (i) For the operator function $\mathfrak{L}_{\infty}(\lambda)=\mathfrak{I}_{X^{\infty}}-\mathfrak{T}_{\infty}-\lambda \mathfrak{H}_{\infty}$ the operator $\mathfrak{T}_{\infty}$ is compact, and $\mathfrak{H}_{\infty}$ is compact and normal with ker $\mathfrak{H}_{\infty}=\{0\}$. Let $\mathfrak{G}_{\infty}:=\mathfrak{H}_{\infty}^{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{\infty}:=\mathfrak{T}_{\infty} \mathfrak{H}_{\infty}^{-1}$. Then the operator $\mathfrak{G}_{\infty}$ is normal with compact
resolvent, while $\mathfrak{B}_{\infty}$ is compact relative to $\mathfrak{G}_{\infty}$. Furthermore the eigenvalues of $L_{\infty}, \mathfrak{L}_{\infty}$, and $\mathfrak{G}_{\infty}-\mathfrak{B}_{\infty}$ coincide and have the same null multiplicities. This follows from the analogues of Proposition 2.4, Theorem 2.9 for $L_{\infty}$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{\infty}$, and Lemma 15.2 in [18]. For the spectrum $\sigma\left(\mathfrak{H}_{\infty}\right)$ we have

$$
\sigma\left(\mathfrak{H}_{\infty}\right)=\sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \cdots & 0 & B_{n} \\
B_{1} & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & B_{n-1} & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{a_{k}}\right\} .
$$

Therefore from our assumptions it follows that the spectrum of $\mathfrak{H}_{\infty}$ lies on the finitely many rays

$$
\begin{aligned}
\arg \lambda=\arg \pi \frac{k}{n}, \quad k=0, \ldots 2 n-1 \\
\arg \lambda=\arg \frac{1}{a_{k}}, \quad k=1, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the assertion of (i) follows from Lemma 15.3 in [18].
To prove (ii) and (iii) the same procedure as in the second part of the proof of Theorem 3.2 (i) give the assertions.
(iv) The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 3.2 (iii).

Now we consider the asymptotics of the eigenvalues of $L_{\infty}$ in one of the angles given by (5.2) in more detail.

Theorem 5.3. Let the assumptions of Theorem 5.2 (i) be satisfied. Let $k_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ be given. Assume that on the ray $\arg \lambda=\arg a_{k_{0}}$ there are infinitely many numbers $a_{k}$, where $\arg a_{k_{0}} \neq \pi k / n, k=0, \ldots, 2 n-1$. Let $\delta_{k_{0}}>0$ be such that $a_{k} \notin \Omega\left(a_{k_{0}}, \delta_{k_{0}}\right), k=1, \ldots, m, k \neq k_{0}$, and that

$$
\Omega\left(a_{k_{0}}, \delta_{k_{0}}\right) \cap\left\{\lambda: \arg \lambda=\pi \frac{k}{n}\right\}=\emptyset, \quad k=0, \ldots, 2 n-1
$$

Let $0<\delta_{1}<\delta_{k_{0}}$. Denote by $N\left(r, \delta_{1}, L_{\infty}\right)$ the sum of the null multiplicities of the eigenvalues of $L_{\infty}$ lying in the sector

$$
\Delta\left(a_{k_{0}}, \delta_{1}, r\right):=\left\{\lambda:\left|\arg \lambda-\arg a_{k_{0}}\right|<\delta_{1},|\lambda|<r\right\}
$$

and denote by $N\left(r, a_{k_{0}}\right)$ the sum of $\operatorname{dim} R\left(H_{k}\right)$ for which $a_{k} \in\{\lambda: \arg \lambda=$ $\left.\arg a_{k_{0}},|\lambda|<r\right\}$.
(i) Assume that

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N\left(r, a_{k_{0}}\right)}{\log r}<\infty
$$

Then

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{N\left(r, \delta_{1}, L_{\infty}\right)}{N\left(r, a_{k_{0}}\right)}-1\right|=0
$$

(ii) Assume that

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{N\left(r(1+\varepsilon), a_{k_{0}}\right)}{N\left(r, a_{k_{0}}\right)}=1
$$

Then

$$
N\left(r, \delta_{1}, L_{\infty}\right) \sim N\left(r, a_{k_{0}}\right)
$$

(iii) Assume that $N\left(r, a_{k_{0}}\right) \sim \operatorname{ar}^{\gamma}(a, \gamma>0)$. Then $N\left(r, \delta_{1}, L_{\infty}\right) \sim a r^{\gamma}$.

Proof. We use the notations of Theorem 5.2 (i). The eigenvalues of $L_{0}(\lambda):=$ $I-\lambda^{n} A_{n}$ are eigenvalues of $\mathfrak{G}_{\infty}$ with the same null multiplicities. The remaining eigenvalues of $\mathfrak{G}_{\infty}$ are the values $a_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$, with finite null multiplicity (equal to $\operatorname{dim} R\left(H_{k}\right)$ ). Thus (i) follows from Theorem 8.3 (and Remarks 8.6 and 8.7) in [18], (ii) follows from Theorem 8.4 (and Remarks 8.6 and 8.7) in [18]. (iii) is a corollary to (ii).

Note that $N\left(r, a_{k_{0}}\right)$ is equal to the sum of the pole multiplicities of the poles $a_{k}$ of $L_{\infty}$ in the sector $\Delta\left(a_{k_{0}}, \delta_{1}, r\right)$. Thus Theorem 5.3 shows that a certain asymptotic behavior of the sums of the pole multiplicities of the poles of $L_{\infty}$ on the ray $\arg \lambda=\arg a_{k_{0}}$ implies a certain asymptotic behavior of the sums of the null multiplicities of the eigenvalues of $L_{\infty}$ in the angle $\Omega\left(a_{k_{0}}, \delta_{1}\right)$.

In the next theorem, which can be proved analogous to Theorem 5.3, we consider the asymptotics of the eigenvalues of $L_{\infty}$ in one of the angles given by (5.1) in more detail.

Theorem 5.4. Let the assumptions of Theorem 5.2 (i) be satisfied. Let $k_{0} \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ be given. Assume that for the ray $\arg \lambda=2 \pi k_{0} / n$, one of the following three conditions holds:
(i) The positive spectrum of $A_{n}$ is finite, and the number of $a_{k}$ lying on this ray is infinite.
(ii) The positive spectrum of $A_{n}$ is infinite, and the number of $a_{k}$ lying on this ray is finite.
(iii) The positive spectrum of $A_{n}$ is infinite, and the number of $a_{k}$ lying on this ray is infinite.

Denote by $N^{+}(r)$ the sum of the null multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the eigenvalues of $A_{n}$ in $] r^{-n}, \infty\left[\right.$, denote by $N\left(r, 2 k_{0},\left(a_{k}\right)\right)$ the sum of the $\operatorname{dim} R\left(H_{k}\right)$ for which $a_{k}$ is in

$$
\left\{\lambda: \arg \lambda=2 \pi \frac{k_{0}}{n},|\lambda|<r\right\}
$$

and let $N^{+}\left(r, 2 k_{0}\right):=N^{+}(r)+N\left(r, 2 k_{0},\left(a_{k}\right)\right)$. Let $0<\delta_{k_{0}}<\pi / 2 n$ be such that for at most one $l_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ we have $\arg a_{l_{0}}=2 \pi k_{0} / n$, and no other ray $\arg \lambda=\arg a_{k}, k \neq l_{0}, k=1, \ldots, m$, lies in the angle $\left\{\lambda:\left|\arg \lambda-2 \pi k_{0} / n\right|<\delta_{k_{0}}\right\}$. Let $0<\delta_{1}<\delta_{k_{0}}$. Denote by $N\left(r, \delta_{1}, L_{\infty}\right)$ the sum of the null multiplicities of $L_{\infty}$ lying in the sector

$$
\Delta\left(2 k_{0}, \delta_{1}, r\right):=\left\{\lambda:\left|\arg \lambda-2 \pi \frac{k_{0}}{n}\right|<\delta_{1},|\lambda|<r\right\}
$$

Then the assertions of Theorem 5.3 (i), (ii), (iii) are valid with $N\left(r, a_{k_{0}}\right)$, $N\left(r, \delta_{1}, L_{\infty}\right)$ replaced by $N^{+}\left(r, 2 k_{0}\right), N\left(r, \delta_{1}, L_{\infty}\right)$, respectively.

An analogous result is valid for a ray $\arg \lambda=\left(2 k_{0}+1\right) \pi / n$.
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