

## ON AUTOMORPHISMS OF $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH SUBSHIFTS

KENGO MATSUMOTO

*Communicated by Norberto Salinas*

ABSTRACT. We prove that, for a given one-sided subshift  $X_\Lambda$ , any non-trivial automorphism of the subshift always yields an outer automorphism of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  associated with the subshift. In particular, any non-trivial automorphism of the one-sided topological Markov shift  $X_A$  for a  $\{0, 1\}$ -matrix  $A$  yields an outer automorphism of the Cuntz-Krieger algebra  $\mathcal{O}_A$ . We also determine the form of the automorphisms of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  arising from automorphisms of the subshift  $X_\Lambda$ .

KEYWORDS:  $C^*$ -algebras, automorphisms, subshifts, Cuntz-Krieger algebras.

MSC (2000): Primary 46L40; Secondary 58F03.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [22], the author has introduced and studied a class of  $C^*$ -algebras associated with subshifts in the theory of symbolic dynamics. Each of the  $C^*$ -algebras associated with subshifts has canonical generators of partial isometries with mutually orthogonal ranges. It also has universal properties subject to some operator relations among the generators ([22], [25]) so that it becomes purely infinite and simple in many cases including Cuntz-Krieger algebras. For a subshift  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$ , we denote by  $X_\Lambda$  the set of all right-infinite sequences that appear in  $\Lambda$ . The dynamical system  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$ , simply written as  $X_\Lambda$ , is called the one-sided subshift for  $\Lambda$ . The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  associated with subshift  $\Lambda$  is essentially constructed by the dynamics  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$ . Many dynamical property for  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$  reflects on algebraic structure on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  as in [22], [24].

We will in this paper study relationships between automorphisms of the dynamics  $X_\Lambda$  and automorphisms of the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ . A homeomorphism  $h$  of  $X_\Lambda$  satisfying  $h = \sigma \circ h \circ \sigma^{-1}$  is called an automorphism of  $X_\Lambda$ . We denote by  $\text{Aut}(X_\Lambda)$  the set of all automorphisms of  $X_\Lambda$ . There have been many studies on

automorphisms of subshifts especially of topological Markov shifts (cf. [3], [19], ...). Their studies are closely related to classification of subshifts (cf. [19], [29]).

Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a subshift over a finite set  $\Sigma = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with shift transformation  $\sigma$ . Then the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  associated with the subshift is generated by  $n$  canonical partial isometries  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ . One typical example of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  is defined by a mapping for  $t \in \mathbb{R} : S_j \rightarrow e^{\sqrt{-1}t} S_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . These automorphisms are called the gauge automorphisms. The fixed point algebra of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  under the gauge automorphisms is an AF-algebra which is written as  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  ([22]). We denote by  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  the  $C^*$ -algebra of all diagonal elements of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ , which is commutative. The commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(X_\Lambda)$ , denoted by  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ , of all continuous functions on  $X_\Lambda$  is naturally embedded into the algebra  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ . Hence each automorphism  $h$  of  $X_\Lambda$  yields an automorphism  $h^*$  of the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ . The induced endomorphism of  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  from the shift  $\sigma$  of  $X_\Lambda$  is uniquely extended to an endomorphism  $\varphi_\Lambda$  of  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  that is defined by  $\varphi_\Lambda(X) = \sum_{j=1}^n S_j X S_j^*$  for  $X \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ . They satisfy the relation  $h^* \circ \varphi_\Lambda = \varphi_\Lambda \circ h^*$  on  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ . We first see the following:

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** (Proposition 4.2) *For an automorphism  $h$  of  $X_\Lambda$ , there exists an automorphism  $\alpha_h$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  such that  $\alpha_h(x) = h^*(x), x \in \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  and the correspondence  $h \in \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda) \rightarrow \alpha_h \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda)$  gives rise to a homomorphism.*

Let  $\text{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$  be the set of all automorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  whose restrictions to the algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  give rise to automorphisms of  $X_\Lambda$ . Namely that is the group of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  coming from  $\text{Aut}(X_\Lambda)$ . The extension of  $h \in \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda)$  to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  is not necessarily unique. By proving the result:

$$\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda' \cap \mathcal{O}_\Lambda = \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$$

as in Proposition 3.3, we see that any automorphism of  $X_\Lambda$  may be uniquely extended to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  modulo unitaries in  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ . That is, for an automorphism  $h$  of  $X_\Lambda$ , if two automorphisms  $\alpha^h, \beta^h$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  coincide with  $h^*$  on  $X_\Lambda$ , then  $\alpha^h = \beta^h \circ \lambda(U)$  for some unitary  $U$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  where  $\lambda(U) \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda)$  is defined to be  $\lambda(U)(S_i) = U S_i$  (Corollary 4.10). We denote by  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$  the group of all unitaries in  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ . Let  $Z_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)) (\cong \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda))$  be the set of all unitary one-cocycles for  $\varphi_\Lambda$  of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$  that are defined to be  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$ -valued functions  $U$  from  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $U(k+l) = U(k)\varphi_\Lambda^k(U(l)), k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will in fact prove

**THEOREM 1.2.** (Theorem 4.9) *There exists a natural short exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow Z_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda) \rightarrow 0$$

*that splits.*

We will next study outerness for the automorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  coming from automorphisms of  $X_\Lambda$ . We introduce a condition for an automorphism of  $X_\Lambda$  called condition (I). The condition is considered as a relative version to the condition (I) for the original dynamics  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$ . We will show that if a non-trivial automorphism of  $X_\Lambda$  satisfies the condition (I), its extension to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  is outer (Theorem 5.2). We will also prove that any extension as an automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  of a non-trivial automorphism of  $X_\Lambda$  is always outer if  $X_\Lambda$  satisfies a certain aperiodicity condition called (D) (Theorem 5.12). In particular, any extension

of a non-trivial automorphism of a topological Markov shift  $X_A$  for an aperiodic matrix  $A$  to an automorphism of the Cuntz-Krieger algebra  $\mathcal{O}_A$  is outer. We see that the automorphism  $\lambda(u)$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  for a unitary  $u$  in  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  is inner if and only if  $u$  gives rise to a coboundary for  $\varphi_\Lambda$  in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$ . Let  $B_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))$  be the subgroup of all coboundaries in  $Z_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))$ . Set  $H_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)) = Z_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))/B_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))$  the one-cohomology group for  $\varphi_\Lambda$  of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$ .

**THEOREM 1.3.** (Theorem 5.16) *There exists a natural short exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow H_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)) \rightarrow \text{Out}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda) \rightarrow 0$$

that splits, where  $\text{Out}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$  means the group of all outer automorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  in  $\text{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$ .

We will, in the final section, present certain examples of automorphisms of the  $C^*$ -algebra coming from some subshifts. We will further see that if a subshift  $X_\Lambda$  has a fixed point, then the non-trivial gauge automorphisms are outer (Corollary 6.5).

Slightly similar exact sequences to the above two exact sequences have appeared in a discussion of classification of von Neumann algebras arising from non-singular ergodic transformation (cf. [20]). The classification has exactly corresponds to orbit equivalences of such ergodic transformations (cf. [5], [10], [20]).  $C^*$ -algebraic analogies have also been discussed in [4], [15], [27], etc. If a subshift  $\Lambda$  is a topological Markov shift and, in particular, a full shift, the associated  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  becomes a Cuntz-Krieger algebra and a Cuntz algebra respectively. Hence our study, in this paper, includes studies of automorphisms of these algebras from a view point of symbolic dynamical systems. Studies of automorphisms of Cuntz-Krieger algebras and Cuntz algebras are seen in many papers as in [1], [8], [12], [13], [18], [26], [28], ... The author has recently received a preprint [18] by Katayama-Takehana in which outer-ness of automorphisms of Cuntz-Krieger algebras are discussed by using a technique of Hilbert  $C^*$ -bimodules (cf. [17]).

## 2. BASIC NOTATION AND THE $C^*$ -ALGEBRA $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for  $n > 1$ . Let  $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $\Sigma^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the infinite product spaces  $\prod_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \Sigma_i$ ,  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_i$  where  $\Sigma_i = \Sigma$ , endowed with the product topology respectively. The transformation  $\sigma$  on  $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $\Sigma^{\mathbb{N}}$  given by  $(\sigma(x))_i = x_{i+1}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$  for  $x = (x_i)$  is called the (full) shift. Let  $\Lambda$  be a shift invariant closed subset of  $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  i.e.  $\sigma(\Lambda) = \Lambda$ . The topological dynamical system  $(\Lambda, \sigma|_\Lambda)$  is called a subshift. We denote  $\sigma|_\Lambda$  by  $\sigma$  for simplicity. This class of the subshifts includes the class of the topological Markov shifts (cf. [19], [21]).

A finite sequence  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$  of elements  $\mu_j \in \Sigma$  is called a block or a word. We denote by  $|\mu|$  the length  $k$  of  $\mu$ . A block  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$  is said to occur in  $x = (x_i) \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  if  $x_m = \mu_1, \dots, x_{m+k-1} = \mu_k$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $x = (x_i) \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  or  $\Sigma^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $i \leq j$ , we write

$$x_{[i,j]} = (x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_j), \quad x_{[i,\infty)} = (x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

For a subshift  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$ , let  $\Lambda^k$  be the set of all words with length  $k$  in  $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  occurring in some  $x \in \Lambda$ . Put  $\Lambda_l = \bigcup_{k=0}^l \Lambda^k$  for  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Lambda^* = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^k$  where  $\Lambda^0$  denotes the empty word  $\emptyset$ . Let  $X_\Lambda$  be the set of all right-infinite sequences that appear in  $\Lambda$ . The dynamical system  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$  is called the one-sided subshift for  $\Lambda$ .

Put

$$\Lambda^l(x) = \{\mu \in \Lambda^l \mid \mu x \in X_\Lambda\} \quad \text{for } x \in X_\Lambda, l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We define equivalence relations in the space  $X_\Lambda$ . For  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , two points  $x, y \in X_\Lambda$  are said to be *l-past equivalent* if  $\Lambda^l(x) = \Lambda^l(y)$ . We write this equivalence as  $x \sim_l y$  (cf. [24]).

DEFINITION. ([24]) (i) A subshift  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$  satisfies *condition (I)* if for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X_\Lambda$ , there exists  $y \in X_\Lambda$  such that  $y \neq x$  and  $y \sim_l x$ .

(ii) A subshift  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$  is *irreducible in past equivalence* if for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $y \in X_\Lambda$  and a sequence  $(x^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $X_\Lambda$  with  $x^k \sim_k x^{k+1}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist a number  $N$  and a word  $\mu \in \Lambda^N$  such that  $y \sim_l \mu x^{l+N}$ .

(iii) A subshift  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$  is *aperiodic in past equivalence* if for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a number  $N$  such that for any pair  $x, y \in X_\Lambda$ , there exists a word  $\mu \in \Lambda^N$  such that  $y \sim_l \mu x$ .

If a subshift  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$  is aperiodic in past equivalence or irreducible in past equivalence with an aperiodic point, then it satisfies condition (I) ([24]). If a subshift  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$  is a topological Markov shift  $(X_A, \sigma)$  determined by a square matrix  $A$  with entries in  $\{0, 1\}$ , the above aperiodicity, irreducibility and condition (I) as a subshift coincide with the aperiodicity, irreducibility and condition (I) let it stand as it is in [9] for the matrix  $A$  respectively.

Now we will review the construction of the  $C^*$ -algebras associated with subshifts along [22]. We henceforth fix an arbitrary subshift  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$ .

Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We put

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Lambda^0 &= \mathbb{C}e_0 \quad (e_0: \text{vacuum vector}); \\ F_\Lambda^k &= \text{the Hilbert space spanned by the vectors } e_\mu = e_{\mu_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\mu_k}, \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) \in \Lambda^k; \\ F_\Lambda &= \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} F_\Lambda^k \quad (\text{Hilbert space direct sum}). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $T_\nu$ , ( $\nu \in \Lambda^*$ ) the creation operator on  $F_\Lambda$  of  $e_\nu$ ,  $\nu \in \Lambda^*$  ( $\nu \neq \emptyset$ ) defined by

$$T_\nu e_0 = e_\nu \quad \text{and} \quad T_\nu e_\mu = \begin{cases} e_\nu \otimes e_\mu & (\nu\mu \in \Lambda^*), \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

which is a partial isometry. We put  $T_\nu = 1$  for  $\nu = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}_0$  be the rank one projection onto the vacuum vector  $e_0$ . It immediately follows that  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^* + \mathbb{P}_0 = 1$ . We then easily see that for  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^*$ , the operator  $T_\mu \mathbb{P}_0 T_\nu^*$  is the rank one partial isometry from the vector  $e_\nu$  to  $e_\mu$ . Hence, the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by elements of the form  $T_\mu \mathbb{P}_0 T_\nu^*$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^*$  is nothing but the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{K}(F_\Lambda)$  of all compact operators on  $F_\Lambda$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_\Lambda$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra on  $F_\Lambda$  generated by the elements  $T_\nu$ ,  $\nu \in \Lambda^*$ .

DEFINITION. ([22]) The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  associated with subshift  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  is defined as the quotient  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{T}_\Lambda/\mathcal{K}(F_\Lambda)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_\Lambda$  by  $\mathcal{K}(F_\Lambda)$ .

We denote by  $S_i, S_\mu$  the quotient images of the operators  $T_i, i \in \Sigma, T_\mu, \mu \in \Lambda^*$  respectively. Hence  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  is generated by partial isometries  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  with relation  $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = 1$ .

If  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  is a topological Markov shift, the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  is nothing but the Cuntz-Krieger algebra associated with the topological Markov shift (cf. [9], [11], [13]).

We will present notation and basic facts for studying the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ .

Put  $a_\mu = S_\mu^* S_\mu, \mu \in \Lambda^*$ . Since  $T_\nu T_\nu^*$  commutes with  $T_\mu^* T_\mu, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda^*$ , the following identities hold

$$(*) \quad a_\mu S_\nu = S_\nu a_{\mu\nu}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \Lambda^*.$$

We notice that for  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^*$  with  $|\mu| = |\nu|$ ,

$$S_\mu^* S_\nu \neq 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \mu = \nu.$$

We will use the following notation. Let  $k, l$  be natural numbers with  $k \leq l$ .

$\mathcal{A}_l$  = The  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  generated by  $a_\mu, \mu \in \Lambda_l$ .

$\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$  = The  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  generated by  $a_\mu, \mu \in \Lambda^*$ .

$\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  = The  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  generated by  $S_\mu S_\mu^*, \mu \in \Lambda^*$ .

$\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  = The  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  generated by  $S_\mu a S_\mu^*, \mu \in \Lambda^*, a \in \mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ .

$\mathcal{F}_k^l$  = The  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  generated by  $S_\mu a S_\nu^*, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda^k, a \in \mathcal{A}_l$ .

$\mathcal{F}_k^\infty$  = The  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  generated by  $S_\mu a S_\nu^*, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda^k, a \in \mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ .

$\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  = The  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  generated by  $S_\mu a S_\nu^*, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda^*, |\mu| = |\nu|, a \in \mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ .

The projections  $\{T_\mu^* T_\mu; \mu \in \Lambda^*\}$  are mutually commutative so that the  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}_l, l \in \mathbb{N}$  are commutative. Thus we easily see the following lemma (cf. [22], Section 3).

LEMMA 2.1. (i)  $\mathcal{A}_l$  is finite dimensional and commutative.

(ii)  $\mathcal{A}_l$  is naturally embedded into  $\mathcal{A}_{l+1}$  so that  $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda = \varinjlim \mathcal{A}_l$  is a commutative AF-algebra.

(iii) Each element of  $\mathcal{F}_k^l$  is a finite linear combination of elements of the form  $S_\mu a S_\nu^*, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda^k, a \in \mathcal{A}_l$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F}_k^l$  is finite dimensional.

(iv) There are two embeddings in  $\{\mathcal{F}_k^l\}_{k \leq l}$ :

(a)  $\iota_l : \mathcal{F}_k^l \subset \mathcal{F}_k^{l+1}$  through the embedding  $\mathcal{A}_l \subset \mathcal{A}_{l+1}$  and,

(b)  $\eta_k : \mathcal{F}_k^l \subset \mathcal{F}_{k+1}^{l+1}$  through the identity

$$S_\mu a S_\nu^* = \sum_{j=1}^n S_{\mu j} S_j^* a S_j S_{\nu j}^*, \quad \mu, \nu \in \Lambda^k, a \in \mathcal{A}_l.$$

(v) Both  $\mathcal{F}_k^\infty = \varinjlim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_k^l$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda = \varinjlim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_k^\infty$  are AF-algebras.

In the preceding Hilbert space  $F_\Lambda$ , the transformation  $e_\mu \rightarrow z^k e_\mu$ ,  $\mu \in \Lambda^k$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$  on each base  $e_\mu$  yields a unitary representation which leaves  $\mathcal{K}(F_\Lambda)$  invariant. Thus it gives rise to an action  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ . It is called the gauge action and satisfies  $\alpha_z(S_i) = zS_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Each element  $X$  of the  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  algebraically generated by  $S_\mu, S_\nu^*$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^*$  is written as a finite sum

$$(2.1) \quad X = \sum_{|\nu| \geq 1} X_{-\nu} S_\nu^* + X_0 + \sum_{|\mu| \geq 1} S_\mu X_\mu \quad \text{for some } X_{-\nu}, X_0, X_\mu \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$$

because of the relation (\*). The map  $E(X) = \int_{z \in \mathbb{T}} \alpha_z(X) dz$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  defines a projection of norm one onto the fixed point algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda^\alpha$  under  $\alpha$ . We then have (cf. [22], Proposition 3.11)

LEMMA 2.2.  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda = \mathcal{O}_\Lambda^\alpha$ .

Note that the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  is isomorphic to the commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(X_\Lambda)$  of all complex valued continuous functions on the one-sided subshift  $X_\Lambda$  for  $\Lambda$ . Put

$$\varphi_\Lambda(X) = \sum_{j=1}^n S_j X S_j^*, \quad X \in \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda \text{ (or } X \in \mathcal{O}_\Lambda)$$

which corresponds to the shift  $\sigma$  of  $X_\Lambda$ .

Consider the following condition called  $(I_\Lambda)$  for the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  (cf. [22]).

$(I_\Lambda)$ : For any  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $l \geq k$ , there exists a projection  $q_k^l$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  such that

- (i)  $q_k^l a \neq 0$  for any nonzero  $a \in \mathcal{A}_l$ ;
- (ii)  $q_k^l \varphi_\Lambda^m(q_k^l) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq k$ .

As in [24], the subshift  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$  satisfies condition (I) if and only if the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  satisfies condition  $(I_\Lambda)$ . Hence we may describe structure theorems for the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  proved in [22].

LEMMA 2.3. ([22], Theorems 4.9 and 5.2) *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Suppose that there is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi$  from  $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$  to  $\mathfrak{A}$  and there are  $n$  partial isometries  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathfrak{A}$  satisfying the following relations*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j s_j^* &= 1, & s_\mu^* s_\mu s_\nu &= s_\nu s_{\mu\nu}^* s_{\mu\nu}, & \mu, \nu &\in \Lambda^*, \\ s_\mu^* s_\mu &= \pi(S_\mu^* S_\mu), & & & \mu &\in \Lambda^* \end{aligned}$$

where  $s_\mu = s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_k}$ ,  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ . Then there exists a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\tilde{\pi}$  from  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  to  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\tilde{\pi}(S_i) = s_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and its restriction to  $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$  coincides with  $\pi$ . In addition, if the subshift  $X_\Lambda$  satisfies condition (I), this extended homomorphism  $\tilde{\pi}$  becomes injective whenever  $\pi$  is injective.

LEMMA 2.4. ([22], Theorem 6.3 and Theorem 7.5 and [24], Theorem 5.8) *If a subshift  $X_\Lambda$  is irreducible in past equivalence and has an aperiodic point, then  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  is simple. In addition, if a subshift  $X_\Lambda$  is aperiodic in past equivalence, the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  is simple and purely infinite.*

We notice the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.5. ([22], Proposition 5.8 and [24], Lemma 4.5, cf. [9], 2.17 Proposition) *Suppose that both subshifts  $(X_{\Lambda_1}, \sigma)$  and  $(X_{\Lambda_2}, \sigma)$  satisfy condition (I). If they are topologically conjugate, then there exists an isomorphism  $\Phi$  from  $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_1}$  onto  $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_2}$  such that  $\Phi \circ \alpha_z^1 = \alpha_z^2 \circ \Phi$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{T}$  where  $\alpha^i$  is the gauge action on  $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  respectively.*

### 3. THE COMMUTANT OF $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ IN $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$

We henceforth fix an arbitrary subshift  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$  which satisfies condition (I). We denote by  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  consisting of all diagonal elements of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  as in the previous section. In this section, we will show that the commutant of the commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  in  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  is exactly the algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ .

LEMMA 3.1.

$$\mathfrak{D}'_\Lambda \cap \mathcal{O}_\Lambda \subset \mathcal{F}_\Lambda.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $X \in \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  commutes with each element of  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ . For a non empty word  $\mu \in \Lambda^*$ , put  $X_\mu = E(S_\mu^* X)$ ,  $X_{-\mu} = E(X S_\mu)$ . We will show that  $X_\mu = X_{-\mu} = 0$ . For  $f \in \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ , we see  $E(S_\mu^* X f) = E(S_\mu^* f S_\mu S_\mu^* X)$  so that

$$X_\mu f = S_\mu^* f S_\mu X_\mu.$$

We in particular have

$$X_\mu = X_\mu S_\mu S_\mu^*, \quad X_\mu S_\mu f S_\mu^* = f X_\mu.$$

Let  $i$  be the length of  $\mu$ . It then follows that

$$X_\mu \varphi_\Lambda^i(f) = X_\mu S_\mu S_\mu^* \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda^i} S_\nu f S_\nu^* = X_\mu S_\mu f S_\mu^*.$$

Thus we obtain

$$X_\mu \varphi_\Lambda^i(f) = f X_\mu, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda.$$

Now suppose that  $X_\mu \neq 0$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $X_\mu(m) \in \mathcal{F}_{m_k}^{m_l}$  such that  $\|X_\mu - X_\mu(m)\| < \varepsilon$  for some  $m_l \geq m_k \geq i$  and assume that  $\|X_\mu\| = \|X_\mu(m)\| = 1$ . We then have

$$\|f X_\mu(m) - X_\mu(m) \varphi_\Lambda^i(f)\| \leq 2\|f\|\varepsilon.$$

Since  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  satisfies condition (I $_\Lambda$ ), for  $m_l \geq m_k$ , there exists a projection  $q_{m_k}^{m_l} \in \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  satisfying the condition (i), (ii) in condition (I $_\Lambda$ ). Put  $Q(m) = \varphi_\Lambda^{m_k}(q_{m_k}^{m_l}) \in \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ . It is easy to see that  $Q(m)$  commutes with  $X_\mu(m)$ . Hence we get

$$\|X_\mu(m)Q(m) - X_\mu(m)\varphi_\Lambda^i(Q(m))\| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

As  $Q(m)$  is orthogonal to  $\varphi_\Lambda^i(Q(m))$  because of condition (I $_\Lambda$ ), the correspondence  $Y \in \mathcal{F}_{m_k}^{m_l} \rightarrow Q(m)YQ(m) \in Q(m)\mathcal{F}_{m_k}^{m_l}Q(m)$  yields an isomorphism and hence isometric by [22], Corollary 5.4. Hence we have  $\|X_\mu(m)Q(m)\| = \|X_\mu(m)\| = 1$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_\mu(m)Q(m) - X_\mu(m)\varphi_\Lambda^i(Q(m))\| &= \text{Max}\{\|X_\mu(m)Q(m)\|, \|X_\mu(m)\varphi_\Lambda^i(Q(m))\|\} \\ &\geq \|X_\mu(m)Q(m)\| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction for a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ . Thus we conclude  $X_\mu = 0$ . We similarly have  $X_{-\mu} = 0$ . This mean that  $X = E(X) \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ . ■

LEMMA 3.2.

$$\mathfrak{D}'_{\Lambda} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda}.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show the inclusion relation  $\mathfrak{D}'_{\Lambda} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda}$ . Set the algebras:

$\mathcal{D}_k^l =$  The  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda}$  generated by  $S_{\mu}aS_{\mu}^*$ ,  $\mu \in \Lambda^k$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}_l$ .

$\mathcal{D}_k^{\infty} =$  The  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda}$  generated by  $S_{\mu}aS_{\mu}^*$ ,  $\mu \in \Lambda^k$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda}$ .

$\mathfrak{D}_k =$  The  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{D}_{\Lambda}$  generated by  $S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^*$ ,  $\mu \in \Lambda^k$ .

Put  $P_{\mu} = S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^*$  for  $\mu \in \Lambda^*$ . The map  $\mathcal{E}_k^l$  defined by  $\mathcal{E}_k^l(X) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda^k} P_{\mu}XP_{\mu}$  for

$X \in \mathcal{F}_k^l$  yields an expectation from  $\mathcal{F}_k^l$  to  $\mathcal{D}_k^l$ . Since the restriction of  $\mathcal{E}_k^{l+1}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_k^l$  coincides with  $\mathcal{E}_k^l$ , the sequence of the expectations  $\{\mathcal{E}_k^l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  gives rise to an expectation  $\mathcal{E}_k$  from  $\mathcal{F}_k^{\infty}$  onto  $\mathcal{D}_k^{\infty}$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_k|_{\mathcal{F}_k^l} = \mathcal{E}_k^l$ . Similarly the sequence of the expectations  $\{\mathcal{E}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  gives rise to an expectation  $\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda}$  from  $\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}$  onto  $\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda}$  such that  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{F}_k^{\infty}} = \mathcal{E}_k$ . Now let  $X$  be an element of  $\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}$  which commutes with  $\mathfrak{D}_{\Lambda}$ . Since we have  $\mathcal{E}_k(X) = X$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we see  $\mathcal{E}(X) = X$  so that  $X$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda}$ . ■

Therefore we obtain

PROPOSITION 3.3.

$$\mathfrak{D}'_{\Lambda} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda}.$$

We also see

PROPOSITION 3.4. (i)  $\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda}$  is a maximal abelian  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$ .

(ii) There exists a faithful conditional expectation  $\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda}$  from  $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$  onto  $\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda}$ .

#### 4. AUTOMORPHISMS OF $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$ COMING FROM $X_{\Lambda}$

Put

$$U_{\mu} = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in X_{\Lambda} \mid x_1 = \mu_1, x_2 = \mu_2, \dots, x_k = \mu_k\}$$

the cylinder set for  $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in \Lambda^k$ . We denote by  $\chi_{U_{\mu}}$  the characteristic function of  $U_{\mu}$  on  $X_{\Lambda}$ . The correspondence  $S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^* \rightarrow \chi_{U_{\mu}}$  yields an isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{D}_{\Lambda}$  onto  $C(X_{\Lambda})$ .

LEMMA 4.1. Let  $H_{\Lambda}$  be the Hilbert space with complete orthonormal basis  $\{e_x \mid x \in X_{\Lambda}\}$ . Let  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  be the operators on  $H_{\Lambda}$  defined by

$$T_j e_x = \begin{cases} e_{jx} & \text{if } jx \in X_{\Lambda}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  are partial isometries such that the correspondence  $S_j \rightarrow T_j$  yields a faithful nondegenerate representation of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$  onto the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $T_1, \dots, T_n$ .

*Proof.* The assertion is easily shown from Lemma 2.3. ■



Now suppose that  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  is represented on the Hilbert space  $H_\Lambda$ . For words  $\mu \in \Lambda^k$ ,  $\nu \in \Lambda^l$  with  $k \leq l$ , the projection  $S_\mu a_\nu S_\mu^*$  exactly corresponds to the orthogonal projection onto the subspace spanned by the vectors:  $e_x$  for  $x \in U_\mu \cap \sigma^{-k}(\sigma^l(U_\nu))$ . In particular, for a word  $\nu = \tilde{\nu}\mu \in \Lambda^*$  with  $|\tilde{\nu}| = m$ , the projection  $S_\mu a_\nu S_\mu^*$  is represented by the orthogonal projection onto the subspace spanned by the vectors:  $e_x$  for  $x \in \sigma^m(U_\nu)$ .

For an automorphism  $h$  of  $X_\Lambda$ , we denote by  $h^*$  the induced automorphism of the algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  defined by  $h^*(f) = f \circ h^{-1}$  for  $f \in \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda = C(X_\Lambda)$ . By Lemma 2.5, we know that the automorphism  $h^*$  of  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  may be extended to an automorphism of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ . In the following proposition, we will give another proof of this fact and show that an extension can be taken in a homomorphic way.

**PROPOSITION 4.2.** *For an automorphism  $h$  of  $X_\Lambda$ , there exists an automorphism  $\alpha_h$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  such that  $\alpha_h(x) = h^*(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  and the correspondence  $h \in \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda) \rightarrow \alpha_h \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda)$  gives rise to a homomorphism.*

*Proof.* We assume that  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  is represented on the Hilbert space  $H_\Lambda$ . For an automorphism  $h$  of  $X_\Lambda$ , put a unitary  $V_h$  on  $H_\Lambda$ :

$$V_h e_x = e_{h(x)}, \quad x \in X_\Lambda.$$

We will show that  $\text{Ad}(V_h)(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda) = \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ . Put  $S'_i = \text{Ad}(V_h)(S_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  so that we see for  $x \in X_\Lambda$

$$S'_i e_x = \begin{cases} e_{h(ih^{-1}(x))} & \text{if } ih^{-1}(x) \in X_\Lambda; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Set

$$Y_i = \{x \in X_\Lambda \mid ih^{-1}(x) \in X_\Lambda\}$$

so that  $Y_i = h(\sigma(U_i))$ . As  $h$  is a sliding block code (cf. [21]),  $h(U_i)$  is a finite disjoint union of cylinder sets ([16]). Hence  $Y_i$  is of the form:  $Y_i = \bigcup_{m=1}^p \sigma(U_{\nu_i(m)})$

for some  $\nu_i(m) \in \Lambda^*$ . Let  $P_i$  be the orthogonal projection on  $H_\Lambda$  onto the subspace corresponding to the set  $Y_i$ . Since the projection for the subset  $\sigma(U_{\nu_i(m)})$  is written as  $S_{\mu_i(m)} a_{\nu_i(m)} S_{\mu_i(m)}^*$  where  $\nu_i(m) = \tilde{\nu}_i(m) \mu_i(m)$  with  $|\tilde{\nu}_i(m)| = 1$ , the projection  $P_i$  belongs to the algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ . For  $y \in Y_i$ , we denote by  $h(ih^{-1}(y))_1$  the first coordinate of  $h(ih^{-1}(y))$ . Set

$$Y_i(j) = \{y \in Y_i \mid h(ih^{-1}(y))_1 = j\} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

We see that

$$h^{-1}(Y_i(j)) = \{x \in X_\Lambda \mid ix \in h^{-1}(U_{\{j\}})\} \cap h^{-1}(Y_i).$$

The set  $Y_i(j)$  is the intersection between  $Y_i$  and a finite union of cylinder sets. Hence the orthogonal projection corresponding to the set  $Y_i(j)$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ , that we denote by  $P_i(j)$ . For an element  $x \in X_\Lambda$ ,  $x$  belongs to  $Y_i(j)$  if and only if  $e_{h(ih^{-1}(x))} = e_{jx}$  as vectors in  $H_\Lambda$ . Hence we have  $S'_i P_i(j) = S_j P_i(j)$ . Since we have  $P_i = \sum_{j=1}^n P_i(j)$  and  $P_i = S_i'^* S'_i$ , it follows that  $S'_i = \sum_{j=1}^n S_j P_i(j)$  so that

$\text{Ad}(V_h)(S_i)$  belongs to the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ . We then write  $\alpha_h = \text{Ad}(V_h)$ . It defines an automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ . This correspondence  $h \in \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda) \rightarrow \alpha_h \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda)$  gives rise to a homomorphism. ■

We set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) &= \{\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda) \mid \alpha(\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) = \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda\}, \\ \mathrm{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) &= \{\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) \mid \alpha \circ \sigma^* = \sigma^* \circ \alpha \text{ on } \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda\}\end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma^*$  denotes the endomorphism  $\varphi_\Lambda \left( = \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \cdot S_j^* \right)$  of  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  induced by the shift  $\sigma$ .

As an extension on  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  of an automorphism  $h$  of  $X_\Lambda$  commutes with shift on  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ , we will study the group  $\mathrm{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$ . We first see a difference between  $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$  and  $\mathrm{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$  as follows:

LEMMA 4.3. *An automorphism  $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$  belongs to  $\mathrm{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$  if and only if  $\alpha(S_\mu^*)S_\nu$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  for all words  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^*$  with  $|\mu| = |\nu|$ .*

*Proof.* We see that  $\alpha$  commutes with  $\varphi_\Lambda$  if and only if the following equalities hold:

$$\alpha \left( \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda^k} S_\mu S_\gamma S_\gamma^* S_\mu^* \right) = \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda^k} S_\nu \alpha(S_\gamma S_\gamma^*) S_\nu^*$$

for any word  $\gamma \in \Lambda^*$ . The above equality is equivalent to the equality:

$$\alpha(S_\mu^* S_\mu S_\gamma S_\gamma^* S_\mu^*) S_\nu = \alpha(S_\mu)^* S_\nu \alpha(S_\gamma S_\gamma^*) S_\nu^* S_\nu$$

that is equivalent to the condition that  $\alpha(S_\mu)^* S_\nu$  commutes with  $\alpha(S_\gamma S_\gamma^*)$ . This means that  $\alpha(S_\mu)^* S_\nu$  belongs to the algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  by Proposition 3.3. ■

Thus we see

PROPOSITION 4.4. *For an automorphism  $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$ , we have*

- (i)  $\alpha \circ \alpha_t = \alpha_t \circ \alpha$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\alpha_t$  is the gauge automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ .
- (ii)  $\alpha(\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) = \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ .
- (iii)  $\alpha \circ \lambda_\Lambda = \lambda_\Lambda \circ \alpha$  on  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  where  $\lambda_\Lambda$  is defined by  $\lambda_\Lambda(X) = \sum_{j=1}^n S_j^* X S_j$  for  $X \in \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ .

*Proof.* (i) For  $j, k = 1, \dots, n$ , put  $f_{j,k} = \alpha(S_j)^* S_k$  that belongs to  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  by the previous lemma. Since  $\alpha(S_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n S_k f_{j,k}^*$ , it follows that

$$\alpha_t(\alpha(S_j)) = \sum_{k=1}^n e^{\sqrt{-1}t} S_k f_{j,k}^* = e^{\sqrt{-1}t} \alpha(S_j) = \alpha(\alpha_t(S_j)).$$

(ii) For  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^k$  and  $\gamma \in \Lambda^*$ , we put  $f_{\mu,\nu} = \alpha(S_\mu)^* S_\nu$ ,  $g_\gamma = \alpha(S_\gamma^* S_\gamma) \in \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ . As the algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  is invariant under  $\alpha$ , it commutes with  $\alpha(\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$ . Hence we have for  $\nu \neq \xi$

$$f_{\mu,\nu}^* g_\gamma f_{\mu,\xi} = S_\nu^* S_\nu S_\nu^* \alpha(S_\mu S_\gamma^* S_\gamma S_\mu^*) S_\xi S_\xi^* S_\xi = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\alpha(S_\mu a_\gamma S_\mu^*) = \sum_{\nu, \xi \in \Lambda^k} S_\nu f_{\mu,\nu}^* g_\gamma f_{\mu,\xi} S_\xi^* = \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda^k} S_\nu f_{\mu,\nu}^* g_\gamma f_{\mu,\nu} S_\nu^*.$$

This shows that  $\alpha(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda) = \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ .

(iii) For  $\mu, \gamma \in \Lambda^*$  with  $\mu = \mu_1 \mu'$ ,  $\mu_1 = 1, \dots, n$ , it follows that

$$\alpha \circ \lambda_\Lambda(S_\mu a_\gamma S_\mu^*) = \alpha(S_{\mu'} a_\gamma S_{\mu'}^*) \alpha(S_{\mu_1}^* S_{\mu_1}).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\Lambda \circ \alpha(S_\mu a_\gamma S_\mu^*) &= \sum_{j=1}^n S_j^* \alpha(S_{\mu_1}) \alpha(S_{\mu'} a_\gamma S_{\mu'}^*) \alpha(S_{\mu_1}^*) S_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha(S_{\mu'} a_\gamma S_{\mu'}^*) \alpha(S_{\mu_1}^*) S_j S_j^* \alpha(S_{\mu_1}) \end{aligned}$$

because both the elements  $S_j^* \alpha(S_{\mu_1}), \alpha(S_{\mu_1}^*) S_j$  belong to  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  by the previous lemma. Hence we have the assertion.  $\blacksquare$

LEMMA 4.5. *If  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$  is the identity on  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ , it is also the identity on  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ . Hence an extension of an automorphism of  $X_\Lambda$  to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  is unique.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha$  is the identity on  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ . As  $\alpha$  commutes with  $\lambda_\Lambda$ , we see for  $\mu \in \Lambda^l$ ,

$$\alpha(S_\mu^* S_\mu) = \alpha \circ \lambda_\Lambda^l(S_\mu S_\mu^*) = \lambda_\Lambda^l \circ \alpha(S_\mu S_\mu^*) = S_\mu^* S_\mu.$$

For  $\nu \in \Lambda^k$  with  $k \leq l$ , it follows that by Lemma 4.3

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(S_\nu a_\mu S_\nu^*) &= \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda^k} S_\xi S_\xi^* \alpha(S_\nu) a_\mu \alpha(S_\nu^*) \\ &= \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda^k} S_\xi a_\mu \alpha(S_\nu^*) \alpha(S_\nu) S_\xi^* \alpha(S_\nu) \alpha(S_\nu^*) = S_\nu a_\mu S_\nu^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain that  $\alpha$  is the identity on  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ .  $\blacksquare$

LEMMA 4.6. *For an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ , its restriction to  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  is the identity if and only if there exists a unitary  $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  such that*

$$\alpha(S_i) = U_\alpha S_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } U_\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that the restriction of an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  to the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  is the identity. Set  $U_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(S_i) S_i^*$ . Since the extension of an automorphism of  $X_\Lambda$  to an automorphism of the algebra  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  is unique, we see  $\alpha(S_i^* S_i) = S_i^* S_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It follows that  $U_\alpha S_i = \alpha(S_i)$  and

$$U_\alpha U_\alpha^* = \sum_{i=1}^n U_\alpha S_i S_i^* U_\alpha^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(S_i S_i^*) = 1.$$

We also have

$$U_\alpha^* U_\alpha = \sum_{i,j=1}^n S_i \alpha(S_i^*) \alpha(S_j) S_j^* = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = 1.$$

For a word  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l) \in \Lambda^*$ , put  $\mu' = (\mu_2, \dots, \mu_l)$ . It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} U_\alpha S_\mu S_\mu^* U_\alpha^* &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \alpha(S_j) S_j^* S_\mu S_\mu^* S_k \alpha(S_k^*) \\ &= \alpha(S_{\mu_1}) S_{\mu_1}^* S_{\mu_1} S_{\mu'} S_{\mu'}^* S_{\mu_1}^* S_{\mu_1} \alpha(S_{\mu_1}^*) \\ &= \alpha(S_{\mu_1}) S_{\mu'} S_{\mu'}^* \alpha(S_{\mu_1}^*) = \alpha(S_{\mu_1} S_{\mu'} S_{\mu'}^* S_{\mu_1}^*) = S_\mu S_\mu^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $U_\alpha$  commutes with every element of  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  so that it belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  by Proposition 3.3. The converse implication is easy.  $\blacksquare$

For an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ , put

$$U_\alpha(k) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda^k} \alpha(S_\mu) S_\mu^* \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

**COROLLARY 4.7.** *For an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ , its restriction to  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  is the identity if and only if  $U_\alpha(k)$  is a unitary in  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . In this case, we have*

$$(4.1) \quad U_\alpha(k+l) = U_\alpha(k) \varphi_\Lambda^k(U_\alpha(l)) \quad \text{for } k, l = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \alpha(S_\mu) = U_\alpha(k) S_\mu \quad \text{for } \mu \in \Lambda^k, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha$  is the identity on  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ . As in the proof of the previous lemma, we see that  $U_\alpha(k)$  commutes with every element of the algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  so that it belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  by Proposition 3.3. The converse implication is direct. The identities (4.1) and (4.2) are straightforward.  $\blacksquare$

Let  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$  be the set of all unitaries in  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ . A unitary one-cocycle for  $\varphi_\Lambda$  is defined as a  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$ -valued function  $U$  from  $\mathbb{N}$  satisfying

$$U(k+l) = U(k) \varphi_\Lambda^k(U(l)) \quad \text{for } k, l = 1, 2, \dots$$

We denote by  $Z_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))$  the set of all unitary one-cocycles for  $\varphi_\Lambda$  in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$ . It is an abelian group in natural way. For  $U \in Z_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))$ , put

$$\lambda(U)(S_\mu) = U(k) S_\mu \quad \text{for } \mu \in \Lambda^k, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

By Lemma 2.3, we see that  $\lambda(U)$  yields an automorphism of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  that acts identically on  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ . Hence  $\lambda$  gives rise to a map from  $Z_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))$  to  $\text{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$ . We notice that  $Z_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))$  is regarded as the unitary group  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$  by corresponding to the value at 1. We sometimes identify them.

**LEMMA 4.8.** *The map  $\lambda : U \in Z_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)) \rightarrow \lambda(U) \in \text{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$  gives rise to an injective homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Since  $\lambda(U)(v) = v$  for  $v \in \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ ,  $\lambda$  gives rise to a homomorphism. Suppose that  $\lambda(U) = \text{id}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ . It follows that

$$U(1) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(U)(S_j) S_j^* = \sum_{j=1}^n S_j S_j^* = 1.$$

Hence  $U$  is the unit of  $Z_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))$ .  $\blacksquare$

Thus we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 4.9.** *Suppose that  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$  satisfies condition (I). There exists a natural short exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow Z_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda) \rightarrow 0$$

that splits. Hence we have a semidirect product:

$$\text{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) = \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda) \cdot \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda).$$

Namely we have

**COROLLARY 4.10.** *Any automorphism of  $X_\Lambda$  is uniquely extended to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  modulo unitaries in  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ . That is, for an automorphism  $h$  of  $X_\Lambda$ , if two automorphisms  $\alpha^h, \beta^h$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  coincide with  $h^*$  on  $X_\Lambda$ , then  $\alpha^h = \beta^h \circ \lambda(u)$  for some unitary  $u$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  where  $\lambda(u) \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda)$  is defined to be  $\lambda(u)(S_i) = uS_i$ .*

Now we refer a connection to the K-theory for  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ .

**COROLLARY 4.11.** *Any automorphism  $h$  of  $X_\Lambda$  induces an automorphism  $h_*$  of the K-groups  $K_*(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda)$  and  $K_0(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  such that the maps  $h \in \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda) \rightarrow h_* \in \text{Aut}(K_*(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda))$  and  $h \in \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda) \rightarrow h_* \in \text{Aut}(K_0(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda))$  give rise to homomorphisms respectively. In particular,  $h_* \in \text{Aut}(K_0(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda))$  commutes with the induced automorphism  $\lambda_{\Lambda^*}$  of  $K_0(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* For  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$ , as  $\lambda(U) = \text{id}$  on  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  and hence on  $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ , the induced homomorphism  $\lambda(U)_*$  on  $K_*(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda)$  is trivial because of [23]. Hence the assertion is clear by Theorem 4.9 with Lemma 4.5. ■

## 5. OUTER AUTOMORPHISMS

If a subshift  $\Lambda$  is the full  $n$ -shift  $\Lambda_n$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_n}$  is nothing but the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$  of order  $n$ . Outerness of some types of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_n$  have been discussed in several papers (cf. [1], [2], [8], [12], [13], [26], [28], etc.)

In this section, we will discuss on outerness of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  coming from automorphisms of  $X_\Lambda$ . Let  $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda)$  be the set of all inner automorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ . We set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Inn}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) &= \text{Inn}(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda) \cap \text{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) \\ &= \{\text{Ad}(v) \in \text{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) \mid v \in \mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \text{ unitary}\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{Out}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) = \text{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) / \text{Inn}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda).$$

**LEMMA 5.1.** *For an automorphism  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda)$ , if there exists a unitary  $v \in \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  such that  $\alpha = \text{Ad}(v)$ , then we have  $U_\alpha(k) = v\varphi_\Lambda^k(v^*)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* For a unitary  $v \in \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  with  $\alpha = \text{Ad}(v)$ , it follows that for  $\mu \in \Lambda^k$ ,

$$U_\alpha(k)S_\mu S_\mu^* = vS_\mu v^* S_\mu^*.$$

Hence we get the assertion. ■

Now we introduce the notion of condition (I) for an automorphism of  $X_\Lambda$ .

DEFINITION. An automorphism  $h \in \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda)$  satisfies *condition (I)* if it satisfies the following condition: For any  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $l \geq k$ , there exists a projection  $q_k^l$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  such that:

- (i)  $h^*(q_k^l)a \neq 0$  for any nonzero  $a \in \mathcal{A}_l$ ;
- (ii)  $h^*(q_k^l)\varphi_\Lambda^m(q_k^l) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq k$ .

Hence we see that a subshift  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$  satisfies condition (I) if and only if the trivial automorphism  $\text{id} \in \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda)$  satisfies condition (I) in the above sense.

We will first verify the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.2. *If a non-trivial automorphism  $h \in \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda)$  satisfies condition (I), then any extension of  $h$  to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  is always outer.*

We fix an automorphism  $h \in \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda)$  satisfying condition (I) and its arbitrary extension  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$  to  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ . Suppose that  $\alpha$  is inner in  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  that is implemented by a unitary  $v \in \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ .

In order to prove the above theorem, we provide some lemmas.

LEMMA 5.3. *For  $k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we put  $X = S_k^*vS_l$ . Then we have  $Xf = vf v^*X$  for all  $f \in \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.3,  $\alpha^{-1}(S_k^*)S_l$  commutes with  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ . This implies that  $v^*Xf = fv^*X$  for all  $f \in \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ . ■

LEMMA 5.4. *We have  $X \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  and hence  $v \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Although the proof given here is parallel to the proof of Lemma 3.1, we give it for the sake of completeness. Put  $X_\mu = E(S_\mu^*X)$ ,  $X_{-\mu} = E(XS_\mu)$ ,  $\mu \in \Lambda^*$ . We will show that  $X_\mu = X_{-\mu} = 0$  for any non-empty word  $\mu$ . For  $f \in \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ , as  $Xf = h^*(f)X$  by the above lemma, it follows that

$$X_\mu f = E(S_\mu^*Xf) = S_\mu^*h^*(f)S_\mu X_\mu.$$

Put  $i = |\mu|$  so that we see

$$X_\mu \varphi_\Lambda^i(f) = S_\mu^* \varphi_\Lambda^i(h^*(f)) S_\mu X_\mu = S_\mu^* S_\mu h^*(f) S_\mu^* S_\mu X_\mu = h^*(f) X_\mu.$$

Now suppose that  $X_\mu \neq 0$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $X_\mu(m) \in \mathcal{F}_{k_m}^{l_m}$  with  $l_m \geq k_m \geq i$  such that  $\|X_\mu - X_\mu(m)\| < \varepsilon$ . We may assume  $\|X_\mu\| = \|X_\mu(m)\| = 1$ . It then follows that

$$\|h^*(f)X_\mu(m) - X_\mu(m)\varphi_\Lambda^i(f)\| \leq 2\varepsilon\|f\|.$$

As  $h$  satisfies condition (I), there exists a projection  $q_m$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  such that

- (i)  $h^*(q_m)a \neq 0$  for any nonzero  $a \in \mathcal{A}_{l_m}$ ;
- (ii)  $h^*(q_m)\varphi_\Lambda^j(q_m) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k_m$ .

Put  $Q_m = \varphi_\Lambda^{k_m}(q_m)$ . Both of the projections  $h^*(Q_m), \varphi_\Lambda^i(Q_m)$  belong to  $\varphi_\Lambda^{k_m}(\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$  so that  $h^*(Q_m), \varphi_\Lambda^i(Q_m)$  commute with  $\mathcal{F}_{k_m}^{l_m}$ . Since we see

$$h^*(Q_m)\varphi_\Lambda^i(Q_m) = 0,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h^*(Q_m)X_\mu(m) - X_\mu(m)\varphi_\Lambda^i(Q_m)\| &= \text{Max}\{\|h^*(Q_m)X_\mu(m)\|, \|X_\mu(m)\varphi_\Lambda^i(Q_m)\|\} \\ &\geq \|h^*(Q_m)X_\mu(m)\|. \end{aligned}$$

By using a similar manner to the proof of [22], Corollary 5.4, we see the mapping

$$X \in \mathcal{F}_{k_m}^{l_m} \rightarrow h^*(Q_m)Xh^*(Q_m) \in h^*(Q_m)\mathcal{F}_{k_m}^{l_m}h^*(Q_m)$$

is an isomorphism. Hence we have  $\|h^*(Q_m)X_\mu(m)\| = \|X_\mu(m)\| = 1$ . This is a contradiction for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ . Thus we conclude that  $X_\mu = 0$  and similarly  $X_{-\mu} = 0$  so that  $X \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ . We also see that  $v \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  because of the identity  $v = \sum_{k,l=1}^n S_k S_k^* v S_l S_l^*$ . ■

LEMMA 5.5. *For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any word  $\mu \in \Lambda^k$  we have*

$$\|vS_\mu^*S_\mu - b_\mu\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for some } b_\mu \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda.$$

*Proof.* By the above lemma, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $v_m \in \mathcal{F}_{k_m}^{l_m}$  with  $l_m \geq k_m$  such that  $\|v - v_m\| < \varepsilon$ . Put  $k = k_m$ . For any  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^k$ , we have  $S_\nu^* v_m S_\mu \in \mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ . As  $\alpha(S_\mu^*)S_\nu \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ , we see  $vS_\mu^*v^*S_\nu \cdot S_\nu^*v_m S_\mu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ . Put  $b_\mu = vS_\mu^*v^*v_m S_\mu$  that belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ . Hence we get  $\|vS_\mu^*S_\mu - b_\mu\| < \varepsilon$ . ■

*Proof of Theorem 5.2.* Keep the above notation. It suffices to show that the unitary  $v$  belongs to the algebra  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for a word  $\mu \in \Lambda^k$  there exists an element  $b_\mu \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  as above. For any  $a \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ , we have

$$\|(av - va)S_\mu^*S_\mu\| \leq \|a(vS_\mu^*S_\mu - b_\mu)\| + \|(b_\mu - vS_\mu^*S_\mu)a\| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Let  $f_1^k, \dots, f_{n(k)}^k$  be the set of all nonzero minimal projections in the commutative  $C^*$ -algebra generated by projections  $a_\mu$  for  $\mu \in \Lambda^k$ . As  $\sum_{i=1}^{n(k)} f_i^k = 1$ , we have

$$f_i^k (av - va)^* (av - va) f_j^k = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j$$

so that we see

$$\|av - va\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n(k)} (av - va) f_i^k \right\|^2 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n(k)} \|(av - va) f_i^k\|^2.$$

Since  $f_i^k$  is majorized by a projection of the form  $S_\mu^*S_\mu$  for some  $\mu \in \Lambda^k$ . We obtain that

$$\|av - va\| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Now  $a \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  is independent of  $\varepsilon$  and hence  $v \in \mathcal{D}'_\Lambda \cap \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ . This implies  $v \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  and the homeomorphism  $h$  is trivial. ■

We next introduce some condition, called (D), for subshifts that guarantee condition (I) for all non-trivial automorphism of  $X_\Lambda$ . A subshift  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$  satisfies *condition* (D) if for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $N_l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $x \in X_\Lambda$ , there exists  $y \in X_\Lambda$  such that  $y \neq x$ ,  $y \sim_l x$  and  $\sigma^{N_l}(x) = \sigma^{N_l}(y)$ .

This condition is clearly a stronger condition than condition (I) for subshifts. But the following proposition shows that it is not a so strong condition.

PROPOSITION 5.6. *Suppose that  $X_\Lambda$  is not a single point. If  $X_\Lambda$  is aperiodic in past equivalence, then it satisfies condition (D).*

To prove the above proposition, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.7. *Suppose that  $X_\Lambda$  is not a single point. If  $X_\Lambda$  is aperiodic in past equivalence, there exists  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $z \in X_\Lambda$  there are words  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^K$  satisfying*

$$\mu \neq \nu \quad \text{and} \quad \mu z, \nu z \in X_\Lambda.$$

*Proof.* If  $\Lambda$  is a full shift, the assertion is clear. Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is not a full shift. Take  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a, b \in X_\Lambda$  such that  $a$  is not  $l$ -past equivalent to  $b$ . Since  $X_\Lambda$  is aperiodic in past equivalence, we find  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $z \in X_\Lambda$ , there are words  $\mu_a, \mu_b \in \Lambda^K$  satisfying  $\mu_a z \sim_l a$ ,  $\mu_b z \sim_l b$  so that we see  $\mu_a \neq \mu_b$ . ■

*Proof of Proposition 5.6.* For any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , as  $X_\Lambda$  is aperiodic in past equivalence, take  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  as in the property of aperiodicity in past equivalence and  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  as in the above lemma. Set  $N_l = N + K$ . For any  $x \in X_\Lambda$ , put  $\gamma = x_{[1, N]}$ ,  $\xi = x_{[N+1, N+K]}$  and  $x' = x_{[N+K+1, \infty)}$ . By the above lemma, there exist distinct words  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^K$  with  $\mu x', \nu x' \in X_\Lambda$ . We may assume that  $\mu \neq \xi$  (otherwise  $\nu \neq \xi$ ). Put  $y' = \mu x' \in X_\Lambda$ . Since  $X_\Lambda$  is aperiodic in past equivalence, we may find  $\eta \in \Lambda^N$  with  $x \sim_l \eta y'$ . Set  $y = \eta y' \in X_\Lambda$ . Thus we see that

$$x \neq y, \quad x \sim_l y \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^{N_l}(x) = \sigma^{N_l}(y). \quad \blacksquare$$

We will show that every non-trivial automorphism on  $X_\Lambda$  satisfies condition (I) under the condition (D) for the subshift.

The following lemma is direct.

LEMMA 5.8. *A subshift  $X_\Lambda$  satisfies condition (D) if and only if it satisfies the following condition:*

*For any pair  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $N_{l, m} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $x \in X_\Lambda$ , there exists  $y \in X_\Lambda$  such that*

- (i)  $x_{[1, m]} = y_{[1, m]}$  and  $x_{[m+N_{l, m}+1, \infty)} = y_{[m+N_{l, m}+1, \infty)}$ ;
- (ii)  $x_{[m+1, m+N_{l, m}]} \neq y_{[m+1, m+N_{l, m}]}$ ;
- (iii)  $x \sim_l y$ .

For  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $F_1^l, \dots, F_{m(l)}^l$  be the set of all  $l$ -past equivalence classes in  $X_\Lambda$ .

Hence we have a decomposition of  $X_\Lambda$ :  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m(l)} F_i^l = X_\Lambda$ .

LEMMA 5.9. *Suppose that  $X_\Lambda$  satisfies condition (D). Then for an automorphism  $h \in \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda)$  and a natural number  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l)$ , there exists  $y \in F_i^l$  such that*

$$\sigma^m(y) \neq h(y) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq l.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $l$  and  $i = 1, \dots, m(l)$ . Take  $x \in F_i^l$  and suppose that  $\sigma(x) = h(x)$ . By condition (D), there exists  $N_l \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying the property of (D). Put  $\mu = x_{[1, N_l]}$  and take  $\mu' \in \Lambda^{N_l}$  such that  $\mu \neq \mu'$ , and  $\mu' \sigma^{N_l}(x)$  is admissible in  $X_\Lambda$  and  $\mu' \sigma^{N_l}(x) \sim_l \mu \sigma^{N_l}(x) (= x)$ . Put  $x' = \mu' \sigma^{N_l}(x) \in X_\Lambda$ . As  $\mu \neq \mu'$  and  $\sigma^{N_l}(x') = \sigma^{N_l}(x)$ , we obtain that  $\sigma(x') \neq h(x')$ . We in fact see that if



$\sigma(x') = h(x')$ ,  $\sigma^{N_i}(x') = h^{N_i}(x')$ . Hence  $h^{N_i}(x') = h^{N_i}(x)$  because  $h(x) = \sigma(x)$ . This is a contradiction for  $x \neq x'$ . Therefore we find an element  $x' \in F_i^l$  such that  $\sigma(x') \neq h(x')$ . Put  $x(1) = x'$ .

We will next see that there exists  $x(2) \in F_i^l$  such that

$$\sigma(x(2)) \neq h(x(2)), \quad \sigma^2(x(2)) \neq h(x(2)).$$

If  $\sigma^2(x(1)) \neq h(x(1))$ , we may take  $x(2)$  as  $x(1)$ . Suppose that  $\sigma^2(x(1)) = h(x(1))$ . As  $h$  and  $\sigma$  are uniformly continuous on  $X_\Lambda$ , there exists  $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $y \in X_\Lambda$ , if  $x(1)_{[1, m_1]} = y_{[1, m_1]}$ , then  $\sigma(y) \neq h(y)$ . By Lemma 5.8, there exists  $N_{l, m_1} \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $y \in X_\Lambda$  such that

- (i)  $x(1)_{[1, m_1]} = y_{[1, m_1]}$  and  $x(1)_{[m_1 + N_{l, m_1} + 1, \infty)} = y_{[m_1 + N_{l, m_1} + 1, \infty)}$ ;
- (ii)  $x(1)_{[m_1 + 1, m_1 + N_{l, m_1}]} \neq y_{[m_1 + 1, m_1 + N_{l, m_1}]}$ ;
- (iii)  $x(1) \sim_l y$ .

Hence we see  $y \in F_i^l$  and  $\sigma(y) \neq h(y)$ . If  $\sigma^2(y) = h(y)$ , we have, by the above condition (i) and the condition  $\sigma^2(x(1)) = h(x(1))$ ,  $h^{m_1 + N_{l, m_1}}(x(1)) = h^{m_1 + N_{l, m_1}}(y)$  a contradiction to  $x(1) \neq y$ . Therefore we obtain  $\sigma^2(y) \neq h(y)$ . Thus by putting  $x(2) = y$ , we have

$$x(2) \in F_i^l, \quad \sigma(x(2)) \neq h(x(2)) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2(x(2)) \neq h(x(2)).$$

By continuing similar arguments to the above, we may take, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , an element  $x(n) \in F_i^l$  such that  $\sigma^k(x(n)) \neq h(x(n))$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . ■

LEMMA 5.10. *Suppose that  $X_\Lambda$  satisfies condition (D). Then for an automorphism  $h \in \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda)$  and natural numbers  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $l \geq k$ , there exists  $y_i^l \in F_i^l$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l)$  such that*

$$\sigma^m(y_i^l) \neq h(y_j^l) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq m \leq k \text{ and } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m(l).$$

*Proof.* For  $i = 1$ , by the previous lemma, we may find  $y_1^l \in F_1^l$  such that  $\sigma^n(y_1^l) \neq h(y_1^l)$  for all  $1 \leq n \leq k$ . Similarly find  $x_2^l \in F_2^l$  such that

$$(5.1) \quad \sigma^n(x_2^l) \neq h(x_2^l) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq k.$$

By uniform continuity for  $h, \sigma$ , there exists  $K_{2,1} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $y \in F_2^l$  satisfies  $x_2^l_{[1, K_{2,1}]} = y_{[1, K_{2,1}]}$ , then  $\sigma^n(y) \neq h(y)$  for  $1 \leq n \leq k$ . Now the subshift  $X_\Lambda$  satisfies condition (D) so that there exists  $z_2^l \in F_2^l$  satisfying  $z_2^l_{[1, K_{2,1}]} = x_2^l_{[1, K_{2,1}]}$  and  $(z_2^l)_N \neq (x_2^l)_N$  for some  $N > K_{2,1}$ . If  $\sigma(x_2^l) = h(y_1^l)$ , we see  $\sigma(z_2^l) \neq h(y_1^l)$ . Hence we may find  $z_2^l \in F_2^l$  such that

$$(5.2) \quad \sigma^n(z_2^l) \neq h(z_2^l) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq k \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(z_2^l) \neq h(y_1^l).$$

By using (5.2) instead of (5.1), a similar argument to the above one shows that there exists an element  $w_2^l \in F_2^l$  such that

$$\sigma^n(w_2^l) \neq h(w_2^l) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq k \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(w_2^l) \neq h(y_1^l), \quad \sigma^2(w_2^l) \neq h(y_1^l).$$

By repeating these procedure, we may find  $u_2^l \in F_2^l$  such that

$$(5.3) \quad \sigma^n(u_2^l) \neq h(u_2^l), \quad \sigma^n(u_2^l) \neq h(y_1^l) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq k.$$

We next choose an element  $v_2^l \in F_2^l$  from (5.3) such that

$$\sigma^n(v_2^l) \neq h(v_2^l), \quad \sigma^n(v_2^l) \neq h(y_1^l) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq k \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(y_1^l) \neq h(v_2^l)$$

by using a similar argument to the preceding one. By repeating these procedure several times, we finally take an element  $y_2^l \in F_2^l$  such that

$$\sigma^n(y_i^l) \neq h(y_j^l) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq n \leq k, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

Consequently we may find elements  $y_i^l \in F_i^l$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m(l)$  that satisfy the required condition by similar procedures. ■

We thus have

**PROPOSITION 5.11.** *Suppose that  $X_\Lambda$  satisfies condition (D). Then any automorphism  $h \in \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda)$  satisfies condition (I).*

*Proof.* For any  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $l \geq k$ , we will first find a projection  $p_k$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $p_k a \neq 0$  for any nonzero  $a \in \mathcal{A}_l$ ;
- (ii)  $p_k \varphi_\Lambda^m(h^{*-1}p_k) = 0, 1 \leq m \leq k$ .

For any  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $l \geq k$ , take  $y_i^l \in F_i^l$  as in the previous lemma. Put  $Y = \{y_i^l \mid i = 1, \dots, m(l)\} \subset X_\Lambda$ . As we see  $\sigma^{-m}(h(Y)) \cap Y = \emptyset$  for  $1 \leq m \leq k$ , there exists a clopen set  $V$ , that includes  $Y$ , such that  $\sigma^{-m}(h(V)) \cap V = \emptyset$  for  $1 \leq m \leq k$ . Let  $p_k$  be the characteristic function of  $V$  on  $X_\Lambda$ . The projection  $p_k$  satisfies the above conditions (i), (ii). We then put  $q_k^l = h^{*-1}(p_k)$  that satisfies the required conditions for condition (I). ■

We reach the following theorem

**THEOREM 5.12.** *Suppose that  $X_\Lambda$  satisfies the condition (D). Then any extension of a non-trivial automorphism of the subshift  $X_\Lambda$  to an automorphism of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  is outer.*

Let  $X_A$  be the one-sided topological Markov shift determined by an  $n \times n$  square matrix  $A$  with entries in  $\{0, 1\}$ . If  $A$  is an aperiodic matrix, the subshift  $X_A$  is aperiodic in past equivalence and hence satisfies condition (D). Thus we have

**COROLLARY 5.13.** *For an aperiodic matrix  $A$  with entries in  $\{0, 1\}$ , any extension of a non-trivial automorphism of the topological Markov shift  $X_A$  to an automorphism of the Cuntz-Krieger algebra  $\mathcal{O}_A$  is outer.*

A coboundary  $U$  is defined as a  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$ -valued function  $U$  from  $\mathbb{N}$  such that there exists  $v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$  such that

$$U(k) = v \varphi_\Lambda^k(v^*) \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

We denote by  $B_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))$  the set of all coboundaries in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$ . It is a subgroup of  $Z_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))$ . If we identify  $Z_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))$  with  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$ , we can regard  $B_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))$  as the set of all unitaries  $U$  in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$  that is of the form

$$U = v \varphi_\Lambda(v^*) \quad \text{for some unitary } v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda).$$

We recall that for a unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$ , an automorphism  $\lambda(U)$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  is defined as  $\lambda(U)(S_i) = US_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  that gives rise to an element of  $\text{Aut}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$ .

LEMMA 5.14. *For a unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$ , the automorphism  $\lambda(U)$  is of the form  $\lambda(U) = \text{Ad}(v)$  for some unitary  $v \in \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  if and only if  $v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$  and*

$$U = v\varphi_\Lambda(v^*).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\lambda(U) = \text{Ad}(v)$  for some unitary  $v \in \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ . Since  $\lambda(U)$  is the identity on  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$ ,  $v$  commutes with every element of  $\mathfrak{D}_\Lambda$  so that  $v$  belongs to the algebra  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  by Proposition 3.3. The condition  $\lambda(U)(S_i) = \text{Ad}(v)(S_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  is equivalent to the condition  $US_i v S_i^* = v S_i S_i^*$ . That is also equivalent to the condition  $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i v S_i^* = U^* v$  that is nothing but  $U = v\varphi_\Lambda(v^*)$ . ■

We thus have

PROPOSITION 5.15. *For a unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$ , the automorphism  $\lambda(U)$  belongs to  $\text{Inn}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda)$  if and only if  $U$  belongs to  $B_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))$ .*

Now we set

$$H_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)) = Z_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))/B_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda))$$

the one-cohomology group. Therefore we conclude

THEOREM 5.16. *Suppose that a subshift  $(X_\Lambda, \sigma)$  satisfies condition (D). There exists a natural short exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow H_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)) \rightarrow \text{Out}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda) \rightarrow 0$$

*that splits. Hence we have a semidirect product:*

$$\text{Out}_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{D}_\Lambda) = \text{Aut}(X_\Lambda) \cdot \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)/B_\sigma^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)).$$

*Proof.* The above exact sequence is induced by the exact sequence in Theorem 4.9 and Proposition 5.15. ■

## 6. EXAMPLES

In this section, we will present some examples of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  coming from automorphisms of certain subshifts  $X_\Lambda$ . In [3], Boyle–Franks–Kitchens have studied automorphisms of one-sided topological Markov shifts. We will use some of their results in [3].

EXAMPLE 6.1. The full 2-shift  $\Lambda_2$ .

It is known that the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(X_2)$  of the one-sided full 2-shift  $X_2$  is the group  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  (cf. [16], [3]). The non-trivial element is the flip-flop  $s_{12}$  that interchanges the symbols 1 and 2. Let  $\alpha_{12}$  be the automorphism of the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$  defined by

$$\alpha_{12}(S_1) = S_2, \quad \alpha_{12}(S_2) = S_1.$$

It is an extension of  $s_{12}$  and hence outer by Corollary 5.13. The outerness of the automorphism was first proved by Archbold in [2]. The discussion has been generalized in [12], [26] and [18].

EXAMPLE 6.2. The topological Markov shift determined by the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It was proved in [3] that the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(X_A)$  of the one-sided topological Markov shift  $X_A$  is isomorphic to the group  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  of all permutations of order 3. By the calculation formula for the  $K_0$ -group  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$  of the Cuntz-Krieger algebra  $\mathcal{O}_A$  in [7], we know that the group  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$  through the correspondences:

$$[1] = (0, 0), \quad [S_1 S_1^*] = (1, 0), \quad [S_2 S_2^*] = (0, 1), \quad [S_3 S_3^*] = (1, 1).$$

Let  $s_{(ijk)} \in \mathfrak{S}_3$  be the permutation given by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ i & j & k \end{pmatrix}$ . Put

$$\alpha_{(ijk)}(S_1) = S_i, \quad \alpha_{(ijk)}(S_2) = S_j, \quad \alpha_{(ijk)}(S_3) = S_k.$$

Then  $\alpha_{(ijk)}$  gives rise to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_A$  that is an extension of an automorphism of  $X_A$  induced by the permutation  $s_{(ijk)}$  of the symbols. It is an outer automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_A$  by Corollary 5.13 or by [18]. Such automorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_A$  yields automorphisms of  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$  so that we see a natural isomorphism between  $\text{Aut}(X_A)$  and  $\text{Aut}(K_0(\mathcal{O}_A))$  (cf. Corollary 4.11).

EXAMPLE 6.3 The full 3-shift  $\Lambda_3$ .

Boyle-Franks-Kitchens in [3] showed that, for  $n > 2$ , the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(X_n)$  of the one-sided full  $n$ -shift  $X_n$  is infinite. We now treat automorphisms of the full 3-shift  $X_3$ . For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $\tau_k$  be an automorphism of  $X_3$  defined by exchanging words:

$$\tau_k(\underbrace{32 \cdots 2}_{k \text{ times}}) = \underbrace{12 \cdots 2}_{k \text{ times}}, \quad \tau_k(\underbrace{12 \cdots 2}_{k \text{ times}}) = \underbrace{32 \cdots 2}_{k \text{ times}}$$

and  $\tau_k$  identically acts on other words in  $X_3$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\tau_k}(S_2) &= S_2, \\ \alpha_{\tau_k}(S_3) &= S_1 P_{2^k} + S_3(1 - P_{2^k}), \\ \alpha_{\tau_k}(S_1) &= S_3 P_{2^k} + S_1(1 - P_{2^k}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $P_{2^k} = \underbrace{S_2 \cdots S_2}_{k \text{ times}} \underbrace{S_2^* \cdots S_2^*}_{k \text{ times}}$ . It is easy to see that  $\alpha_{\tau_k}$  yields an automorphism of the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_3$  that is an extension of  $\tau_k$ . The automorphisms are outer by Corollary 5.13 or by [26], Theorem 1.

We finally remark on outerness of the automorphisms  $\lambda(u)$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  coming from unitaries  $u$  of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_\Lambda)$ . Suppose that a subshift  $X_\Lambda$  satisfies condition (I). We denote by  $\text{Per}_\sigma^n(X_\Lambda)$  the set of all  $n$  periodic points of  $X_\Lambda$  under the shift  $\sigma$ . The following proposition is directly seen from Lemma 5.14.

PROPOSITION 6.4. *For a unitary  $u$  in  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  if there exists a point  $x$  in  $\text{Per}_\sigma^n(X_\Lambda)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $u(x) \neq u^*(\sigma^{n-1}(x))u^*(\sigma^{n-2}(x)) \cdots u^*(\sigma(x))$ , the automorphism  $\lambda(u)$  is outer in  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ . In particular, if  $u$  is a complex number  $z$  with modulus one such that  $z^n \neq 1$  and  $\text{Per}_\sigma^n(X_\Lambda)$  is not empty, then the automorphism  $\lambda(z)$  defined by  $\lambda(z)(S_i) = zS_i$  is outer.*

COROLLARY 6.5. *If there exists a fixed point in  $X_\Lambda$  for  $\sigma$ , the gauge action  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  is an outer action of the one dimensional torus group  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

*Acknowledgements.* The author would like to thank Yasuo Watatani for his several discussions and suggestions and Yoshikazu Katayama for his useful suggestions and discussions on unitary cocycles and coboundaries.

## REFERENCES

1. H. ARAKI, A.L. CAREY, D.E. EVANS, On  $\mathcal{O}_{n+1}$ , *J. Operator Theory* **12**(1984), 247–264.
2. R.J. ARCHBOLD, On the ‘flip-flop’ automorphism of  $C^*(S_1, S_2)$ , *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **30**(1979), 129–132.
3. M. BOYLE, J. FRANKS, B. KITCHENS, Automorphisms of one-sided subshifts of finite type, *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems* **10**(1990), 421–449.
4. M. BOYLE, J. TOMIYAMA, Bounded topological orbit equivalence and  $C^*$ -algebras, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **50**(1998), 317–329.
5. A. CONNES, A classification of injective factors, Cases  $\text{II}_1$ ,  $\text{II}_\infty$ ,  $\text{III}_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \neq 1$ . *Ann. of Math. (2)* **104**(1976), 73–115.
6. J. CUNTZ, Simple  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **57**(1977), 173–185.
7. J. CUNTZ, A class of  $C^*$ -algebras and topological Markov chains. II: reducible chains and the Ext-functor for  $C^*$ -algebras, *Invent. Math.* **63**(1980), 25–40.
8. J. CUNTZ, Automorphisms of certain simple  $C^*$ -algebras, in *Quantum Fields-Algebras, Processes*, Springer Verlag, Wien–New York 1980, pp. 187–196.
9. J. CUNTZ, W. KRIEGER, A class of  $C^*$ -algebras and topological Markov chains, *Invent. Math.* **56**(1980), 251–268.
10. H. DYE, On groups of measure preserving transformations. I, *Amer. Math. J.* **81**(1959), 119–159.
11. M. ENOMOTO, M. FUJII, Y. WATATANI, Tensor algebras on the sub-Fock space associated with  $\mathcal{O}_A$ , *Math. Japon.* **26**(1981), 171–177.
12. , M. ENOMOTO, H. TAKEHANA, Y. WATATANI, Automorphisms on Cuntz algebras, *Math. Japon.* **24**(1979), 231–234.
13. D.E. EVANS, Gauge actions on  $\mathcal{O}_A$ , *J. Operator Theory* **7**(1982), 79–100.
14. D.E. EVANS, The  $C^*$ -algebras of topological Markov chains, Tokyo Metropolitan University Lecture Note, 1982.
15. T. GIORDANO, I. PUTNAM, C. SKAU, Topological orbit equivalence and  $C^*$ -crossed products, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **469**(1995), 51–111.
16. G.A. HEDLUND, Endomorphisms and automorphisms of the shift dynamical system, *Math. Systems Theory* **3**(1969), 320–375.
17. T. KAJIWARA, C. PINZARI, Y. WATATANI, Ideal structure and simplicity of the  $C^*$ -algebras generated by Hilbert modules, *J. Funct. Anal.* **159**(1998), 295–322.
18. Y. KATAYAMA, H. TAKEHANA, On automorphisms of generalized Cuntz algebras, preprint, 1997.

19. B.P. KITCHENS, *Symbolic Dynamics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1998.
20. W. KRIEGER On ergodic flows and the isomorphism of factors, *Math. Ann.* **223** (1976), 19–70.
21. D. LIND, B. MARCUS, *An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1995.
22. K. MATSUMOTO, On  $C^*$ -algebras associated with subshifts, *Internat. J. Math.* **8** (1997), 357–374.
23. K. MATSUMOTO, K-theory for  $C^*$ -algebras associated with subshifts, *Math. Scand.* **82**(1998), 237–255.
24. K. MATSUMOTO, Dimension groups for subshifts and simplicity of the associated  $C^*$ -algebras, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, to appear
25. K. MATSUMOTO, Relations among generators of  $C^*$ -algebras associated with subshifts, *Internat. J. Math.* **10**(1999), 385–405.
26. K. MATSUMOTO, J. TOMIYAMA, Outer automorphisms on Cuntz algebras, *Bull. London Math.* **25**(1993), 64–66.
27. J. TOMIYAMA, Topological full groups and structure of normalizers in transformation group  $C^*$ -algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **173**(1996), 571–583.
28. D. VOICULESCU, Symmetries of some reduced free product  $C^*$ -algebras, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1132**(1985), 556–588.
29. R.F. WILLIAMS, Classification of subshifts of finite type, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **98**(1973), 120–153; erratum, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **99**(1974), 380–381.

KENGO MATSUMOTO  
Department of Mathematics  
Joetsu University of Education  
Joetsu 943-8512  
JAPAN  
E-mail: matsu@juen.ac.jp

Current address:

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Yokohama City University  
22-2 Seto, Kanazawa-ku  
Yokohama, 236-0027  
JAPAN  
E-mail: matsu@math.yokohama-cu.ac.jp

Received May 25, 1998; revised May 14, 1999.