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Abstract. For $p>0$ and $\alpha \geqslant 0$, let $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ be the weighted Bergman space of the unit ball $B_{n}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, and denote the Hardy space by $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$. Suppose that $\varphi: B_{n} \rightarrow B_{n}$ is holomorphic. We show that if the composition operator $C_{\varphi}$ defined by $C_{\varphi}(f)=f \circ \varphi$ is bounded on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and satisfies

$$
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}\right)^{\alpha+2}\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|^{2}=0
$$

then $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on $A_{\beta}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for all $\beta \geqslant \alpha$. Along the way we prove some comparison results on boundedness and compactness of composition operators on $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$, as well as a Carleson measure-type theorem involving these spaces and more general weighted holomorphic Sobolev spaces.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a set $\Omega$, a linear space of functions $X$ defined on $\Omega$, and a map $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, we define the linear operator $C_{\varphi}$ on $X$ by $C_{\varphi}(f)=f \circ \varphi$ for all $f \in X$. $C_{\varphi}$ is called the composition operator induced by the symbol $\varphi$.

The purpose of this paper is to give sufficient conditions for compactness of composition operators on weighted Bergman spaces of the unit ball in several complex variables. Much effort in the study of composition operators on analytic function spaces such as these has been devoted to relating boundedness, compactness, and other properties of $C_{\varphi}$ to function-theoretic properties of $\varphi$. For example, it has been known for some time now [11] that if $\alpha>-1, p>0$, and
$\varphi: D \rightarrow D$ is holomorphic on the unit disk $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, then $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on the weighted Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^{p}(D)$ iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the Julia-Caratheodory Theorem ([16], p. 57) that the above equation can be restated as non-existence of a finite angular derivative for $\varphi$ on the boundary of $D$ ([20], Chapter 10). The proof of the necessity of equation (1.1) for compactness is essentially due to J. Shapiro and P. Taylor [17], and analogous necessary conditions hold on a large class of function spaces and domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ (cf. [7], p. 171-172) and [6]). The sufficiency of equation (1.1) for compactness in the one-variable case was originally proven by B. MacCluer and J. Shapiro in [11]. In the same paper, MacCluer and Shapiro constructed counterexamples essentially showing that equation (1.1) is not sufficient for compactness of $C_{\varphi}$ on the Hardy space $H^{p}(D)$. These authors also gave explicit holomorphic maps $\varphi: B_{n} \rightarrow B_{n}$ that induce bounded but non-compact operators on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for each $\alpha>-1$ and $n>1$, with no finite angular derivative at any point of the boundary of $B_{n}$. However, as is the case for $D$, the Julia-Caratheodory Theorem for $B_{n}$ ([7], p. 105) can be used to show that non-existence of a finite angular derivative for $\varphi$ at all points on the surface of $B_{n}$ is equivalent to equation (1.1) above.

If the image of $\varphi$ has compact closure in $B_{n}$, it is not difficult to show that $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on a wide variety of spaces, including $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$. We are therefore primarily concerned with self-maps $\varphi$ that have unit supremum norm. In the case of the unit disk $D$ in $\mathbb{C}$, Shapiro and Taylor in [17] proved that if $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on the Hardy space $H^{p}(D)$, then $\varphi$ has no finite angular derivative at any point of the boundary of the unit disk; that is, equation (1.1) above holds. MacCluer and Shapiro extended this result to the unit ball in [11]. They also showed that equation (1.1) does not imply compactness of $C_{\varphi}$ on $H^{p}(D)$, unlike the situation for $A_{\alpha}^{p}(D)$.

It turns out that equation (1.1) does imply compactness of $C_{\varphi}$ on $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for $n \geqslant 1$ if one places additional hypotheses on $\varphi$, such as univalence of $\varphi$ along with boundedness of the so-called dilation ratio $\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|^{2} /\left|J_{\varphi}(z)\right|^{2}$, where $\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|$ is the operator norm of the Frechét derivative $\varphi^{\prime}(z)$ and $J_{\varphi}$ is the Jacobian determinant of $\varphi^{\prime}$ ([7], p. 171).

MacCluer in [10] gave measure-theoretic characterizations of the holomorphic maps $\varphi$ that induce compact (and bounded) composition operators on $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$, and analogous results involving Carleson-measure conditions also hold on the spaces $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right), \alpha>-1$ (cf. [7], p. 161-164). In this paper, however, we give a purely function-theoretic condition on $\varphi$ (Theorem 1.1) so that $C_{\varphi}$ will be compact on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for $\alpha \geqslant 0$. The condition that we give is a generalization of one given previously by K. Madigan and A. Matheson in [12], wherein it is shown that such a condition is equivalent to compactness of $C_{\varphi}$ on $\mathcal{B}_{0}(D)$, the little Bloch space of $D$.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 uses a Carleson measure-type result, Theorem 2.11, and the comparison result of Theorem 3.3. These results are perhaps of independent interest. Theorem 2.11 states that if $\mu$ is an $\alpha$-Carleson measure ([7], Chapter 2) then functions in given weighted holomorphic Sobolev spaces satisfy a
certain integral inequality with respect to $\mu$. This result is a variation of the multivariable Carleson measure theorem of J. Cima and W. Wogen, [4]. Theorem 3.3 is a comparison result for boundedness and compactness of composition operators. The theorem states that the bounded composition operators on $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$, the bounded composition operators on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$, and the compact composition operators on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ are increasing sets in the parameter $\alpha \in[-1, \infty)$, where we associate $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ with $\alpha=-1$.

Fixing a positive integer $n$, we denote the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ by $B_{n}$, which inherits the norm $|\cdot|$ induced by the standard inner product on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ([14], Chapter 1$)$. Let $\mathcal{O}\left(B_{n}\right)$ denote the space of complex-valued, holomorphic functions on $B_{n}$. In this paper $\varphi$ will always be a holomorphic map ([14], Chapter 1) from $B_{n}$ to itself. Let $\mathrm{d} v(z)$ denote Lebesgue volume measure on $B_{n}$. Let $p>0$ and $\alpha>-1 . f \in \mathcal{O}\left(B_{n}\right)$ is said to be in the weighted Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ iff

$$
\|f\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)}:=\left\{\int_{B^{n}}|f(z)|^{p}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} v(z)\right\}^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

Let $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ represent normalized surface area measure on $B_{n}$ ([14], Chapter 1). $f \in$ $\mathcal{O}\left(B_{n}\right)$ is said to be a member of the Hardy space $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ iff

$$
\|f\|_{H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)}:=\left(\sup _{0<r<1} \int_{\partial B_{n}}|f(r \xi)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(\xi)\right)^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

It is a well-known fact that $\|\cdot\|_{H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)}$ are norms only for $p \geqslant 1$ (cf. [15], Chapter 7). It is also known that the spaces $A^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ are Banach spaces with the above norms, and that these spaces are complete, nonlocally convex topological vector spaces for $0<p<1$ ([7], Chapter 2). $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and $H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)$ are Hilbert spaces (see [7], Chapter 2) with inner products respectively given by

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)}=\int_{B_{n}} f(z) \overline{g(z)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} v(z)
$$

and

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \int_{B_{n}} f(r \xi) \overline{g(r \xi)} \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)
$$

For $\gamma \in(0,1]$ we define the analytic Lipschitz space ([7], Chapter 4) $\operatorname{Liph}_{\gamma}(D)$ to be the Banach space of functions $f \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ satisfying

$$
\sup _{z, w \in \partial D} \frac{|f(z)-f(w)|}{|z-w|^{\gamma}}<\infty
$$

$C$ will be used to represent positive constants whose values may change from line to line.

The goal of this paper is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. (Main Result) Let $p>0$ and $\alpha \geqslant 0$. Suppose that $\varphi: B_{n} \rightarrow B_{n}$ is a holomorphic map such that $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}\right)^{\alpha+2}\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|^{2}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on $A_{\beta}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for all $\beta \geqslant \alpha$.
The organization of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we list some notation and preliminary facts, culminating in the statement and proof of our Carleson measure-type theorem relating $\alpha$-Carleson measures and weighted holomorphic Sobolev spaces. In Section 3 we prove the comparison theorems on boundedness and compactness of composition operators. Our main result for compactness of composition operators, Theorem 1.1, is proved in Section 4. We conclude with a discussion of examples and open problems in Section 5.

## 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY FACTS

We will call two positive variable quantities $x$ and $y$ comparable (and write $x \sim y$ ) iff their ratio is bounded above and below by positive constants. We define a finite, positive, Borel regular measure $v_{\varphi}$ on $B_{n}$ by

$$
v_{\varphi}(E)=v\left[\varphi^{-1}(E)\right],
$$

where dv is Lebesgue volume measure on $B_{n}\left([7]\right.$, p. 164). We use the notation $\varphi^{*}$ for the radial limit of the mapping $\varphi$ ([7], p. 161). We define another finite, positive, and Borel regular measure $\mu_{\varphi}$ on $\bar{B}_{n}$ by $\mu_{\varphi}(E)=\sigma\left[\left\{\varphi^{*}\right\}^{-1}(E) \cap \partial B_{n}\right]$. We denote the Lebesgue measure of a set $E$ by $|E|$, and for $\alpha>-1$ we define the weighted measure $\mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(z)=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} v(z)$ on $B_{n}$, as in [4]. d $\nu_{\alpha}$ induces on $B_{n}$ another finite, positive, Borel regular measure, $\mathrm{d} \mu_{\varphi}^{\alpha}$, defined by $\mu_{\varphi}^{\alpha}(E)=\nu_{\alpha}\left[\varphi^{-1}(E)\right]$ ( $[7]$, p. 164). Now suppose that $0<p<\infty$ and that $\alpha>-1$. A finite, positive, Borel regular measure $\mu$ on $B_{n}$ is called an $\alpha$-Carleson measure if and only if there exists a constant $K \in(0, \infty)$ such that for all $\xi \in \partial B_{n}, h \in(0,1)$,

$$
\mu[S(\xi, h)] \leqslant K h^{n+\alpha+1}
$$

For $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, q>0$, and $0<p \leqslant \infty$, we define the weighted spaces $A_{q, s}^{p}$ and the weighted holomorphic Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{W}_{q, s}^{p}$ as in [2]. We define the partial differential operators $D_{j}=\partial / \partial z_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, as in Chapter 1 of [14].

Let $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{n},|\xi|=1$, and $h>0$. We define the Carleson sets $S(\xi, h)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\xi, h)([7]$, p. 42), by
$S(\xi, h)=\left\{z \in B_{n}:|1-\langle z, \xi\rangle|<h\right\} \quad$ and $\quad \mathcal{S}(\xi, h)=\left\{z \in \bar{B}_{n}:|1-\langle z, \xi\rangle|<h\right\}$. Note that for $h \geqslant 2$ and $\xi \in \partial B_{n}, S(\xi, h)=B_{n}$. Combining this statement with the fact that if $\alpha>-1$ and $h \in(0,2]$, then $\nu_{\alpha}[S(\xi, h)] \sim h^{n+\alpha+1}$ as $h$ and $\xi$ vary [11], it is obvious that for any fixed $M \geqslant 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{\alpha}[S(\xi, h)] \sim h^{n+\alpha+1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $h \in[0, M]$ and $\xi \in \partial B_{n}$ vary. Define $d: \bar{B}_{n} \times \bar{B}_{n}: \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $d(z, w)=\mid 1-$ $\left.\langle z, w\rangle\right|^{1 / 2}$.

Following [14], pages 11, 23, 25ff, for each $a \in B_{n}, a \neq 0$, define $\varphi_{a}$ to be the involutive automorphism (called a Moebius transformation) of $B_{n}$ that takes 0 to $a$, with explicit form given in page 2 of [14]. Let $\rho$ denote the pseudohyperbolic metric on $B_{n}$, and for $0<r<1$ and $a \in B_{n}$, define the pseudohyperbolic ball $E(a, r)$ and the set $S(a)$ as in [9]. We define the Carleson maximal operator $M$ by

$$
(M f)(z)=\sup _{h>1-|z|} \frac{1}{\nu_{\alpha}[S(\pi(z), h)]} \int_{S(\pi(z), h)}|f(w)| \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(w)
$$

for all locally $\nu_{\alpha}$-integrable functions $f: B_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $\pi(z)=z /|z| \forall z \neq 0$ in $B_{n}$. For any $f: B_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, M f$ is called the Carleson maximal function of $f$. We then define an uncentered Carleson maximal operator $\widetilde{M}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{M} f(z) & =\sup _{\left\{(\xi, h) \in \partial B_{n} \times(0, \infty): z \in S(\xi, h)\right\}} \frac{1}{\nu_{\alpha}[S(\xi, h)]} \int_{S(\xi, h)}|f(w)| \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(w) \\
& =\sup _{\left\{(\xi, h) \in \partial B_{n} \times(0,2): z \in S(\xi, h)\right\}} \frac{1}{\nu_{\alpha}[S(\xi, h)]} \int_{S(\xi, h)}|f(w)| \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(w),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f: B_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is locally $\nu_{\alpha}$-integrable. In this case we call $\widetilde{M} f$ the uncentered Carleson maximal function of $f$.

The following proposition will be referred to frequently:
Proposition 2.1. If $\alpha>-1$, then the norms on $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha+3,1}^{2}$ are equivalent, and the spaces are the same. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)=\mathcal{W}_{\alpha+3,1}^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This result can be proven by combining the relations $A_{\alpha+1,0}^{2}=A_{\alpha+3,1}^{2}$ ([2], p. 35) and $A_{\alpha+3,1}^{2}=\mathcal{W}_{\alpha+3,1}^{2}([2]$, p. 44).

The results that we have used here from [2] also hold more generally on smoothly bounded, strictly pseudoconvex domains in Stein manifolds [1], thus giving substantial support for our belief that analogues of the results in the present paper can be proven for those domains. The question of whether or not these results hold for bounded symmetric domains is also natural and interesting.

The following well-known result, which characterizes the compact composition operators on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$, is well-known. The interested reader is referred to [11], where it is stated that this result holds on the so-called Dirichlet spaces, and therefore for the weighted Bergman spaces as well (by Example 3.5.9 of [7]). For many function spaces other than $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$, in one and several variables, the result can be proven by modifying the proof given for $D$ in page 128 of [7] (also, see [6]).

TheOrem 2.2. Let $\varphi: B_{n} \rightarrow B_{n}$ be holomorphic, and suppose that $0<p<$ $\infty$ and $\alpha>-1$. Then $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ iff for each bounded sequence $\left\{f_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ converging to 0 uniformly on compacta in $B_{n}$, it follows that $C_{\varphi}\left(f_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$-metric.

An application of the triangle inequality and the reverse triangle inequality shows that if $h>0, z \in \mathbb{C},|1-z|<h$, and $1 \leqslant r \leqslant 1 /|z|$, then $|1-r z|<2 h$. Using this fact, we now prove the following lemma:

Lemma 2.3. If $\xi \in \partial B_{n}, z \in B_{n}, 0<h<1$, and $|1-\langle z, \xi\rangle|<h$, then

$$
\left|1-\left\langle\frac{z}{|z|}, \xi\right\rangle\right|<2 h
$$

Proof. If $\xi=e_{1}:=(1,0,0, \ldots, 0)$ then the remark preceding the statement of the lemma with $r=1 /|z|$ clearly implies that $\left.|1-\langle z /| z|, e_{1}\right\rangle\left|=\left\|1-z_{1} / \mid z\right\|<2 h\right.$. To extend this result to arbitrary $\xi \in \partial B_{n}$, one can clearly choose a unitary map $U: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ that sends $\xi$ to $e_{1}$. It follows that

$$
|1-\langle z, \xi\rangle|=|1-\langle U z, U \xi\rangle|=\left|1-\left\langle U z, e_{1}\right\rangle\right|<h
$$

since $U$ preserves inner products. Hence,

$$
\left|1-\left\langle\frac{z}{|z|}, \xi\right\rangle\right|=\left|1-\left\langle\frac{U z}{|U z|}, e_{1}\right\rangle\right|
$$

Since $\left|1-\left\langle U z, e_{1}\right\rangle\right|<h$ we must have that the quantity above is less than $2 h$, by the preliminary result that we proved for $\xi=e_{1}$.

We will now show that the sets $S(\xi, h)$ are "homogeneous" by modifying arguments in pages 8 and 37 of [18].

Lemma 2.4. Let $\alpha>-1$. Then there exist constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that for all $h>0$ and $\xi, \eta \in \partial B_{n}$ the following properties:
(i) if $S(\xi, h) \cap S(\eta, h) \neq \emptyset$, then $S(\xi, h) \subset S\left(\eta, c_{1} h\right)$;
(ii) $\nu_{\alpha}\left[S\left(\xi, c_{1} h\right)\right] \leqslant c_{2} \nu_{\alpha}[S(\xi, h)]$.

The conditions (i) and (ii) above are called the engulfing and the doubling properties, respectively. Families of subsets $B(x, r)$ of Euclidean space satisfying properties such as these are called spaces of homogeneous type ([18], Chapter 1).

Proof. (ii) is an immediate consequence of relation (2.1). To prove (i), we will show that $c_{1}=9$. Suppose that $z \in S(\xi, h)$. By hypothesis, $\exists w \in S(\xi, h) \cap S(\eta, h)$. We claim that $|1-\langle z, \eta\rangle|<9 h$. It is known that $d$ satisfies the triangle inequality in $\bar{B}_{n}\left([14]\right.$, p. 66). We have that $d(z, \xi)<h^{1 / 2}$, so that $|1-\langle z, \eta\rangle|^{1 / 2}=d(z, \eta) \leqslant$ $d(z, \xi)+d(\xi, \eta) \leqslant d(z, \xi)+d(\xi, w)+d(w, \eta)<h^{1 / 2}+h^{1 / 2}+h^{1 / 2}=3 h^{1 / 2}$. Our claim and the desired set inclusion follow.

We will also need the following consequence of Lemmas 2.4 and 2.3:
Lemma 2.5. If $\xi \in \partial B_{n}, h>0$, and $z \in S(\xi, h)$, then

$$
S(\xi, h) \subset S(\pi(z), 18 h)
$$

Proof. First, if $h \geqslant 1 / 9$, then the proof is trivial. Therefore, assume that $0<h<1 / 9$. We first show that under the above hypotheses,

$$
S(\pi(z), 2 h) \cap S(\xi, 2 h) \neq \emptyset
$$

By assumption, $d(z, \xi)<h^{1 / 2}$, and it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $d(\pi(z), \xi)<$ $(2 h)^{1 / 2}$. Therefore, there exists an $\varepsilon \in\left(0,[2 h]^{1 / 2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\frac{z}{|z|}, \xi\right)=(2 h)^{1 / 2}-\varepsilon \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $\varepsilon$ and its square must be less than 1 , so that $\frac{1-\varepsilon^{2}}{|z|}<\frac{1}{|z|}$. We now choose $r \in\left(\left[1-\varepsilon^{2}\right] /|z|, 1 /|z|\right)$. It is easy to see that $0<1-r|z|<\varepsilon^{2}$. Putting $w=r z$, we obtain that

$$
d(w, \pi(z))=|1-\langle w, \pi(z)\rangle|^{1 / 2}=\left|1-\left\langle r z, \frac{z}{|z|}\right\rangle\right|^{1 / 2}=\left.|1-r| z\right|^{1 / 2}<\varepsilon<(2 h)^{1 / 2}
$$

Squaring, one obtains $w \in S(\pi(z), 2 h)$. In particular from the line above,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(w, \pi(z))<\varepsilon \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will now show that $w \in S(\xi, 2 h)$. By the triangle inequality for $d$ ([14], p. 66), we have that $d(w, \xi) \leqslant d(w, \pi(z))+d(\pi(z), \xi)$, which by relations (2.4) and (2.3) is less than $\varepsilon+(2 h)^{1 / 2}-\varepsilon=(2 h)^{1 / 2}$. Therefore, $w \in S(\xi, 2 h)$, as we claimed. Since $w \in S(\pi(z), 2 h)$ we have that $S(\xi, 2 h) \cap S(\pi(z), 2 h) \neq \emptyset$. By the engulfing property,

$$
S(\xi, 2 h) \subset S(\pi(z), 18 h)
$$

and the statement of the lemma immediately follows.
The proof of the following lemma involves modifications of the argument given for an analogous result in page 13 of [18]. This lemma justifies the final step in the proof of Theorem 2.11.

Lemma 2.6. We have that

$$
\widetilde{M} f(z) \sim M f(z)
$$

as $z \in B_{n}$ and the locally integrable functions $f: B_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ vary.
Proof. It is clear from the definitions that $M f(z) \leqslant \widetilde{M} f(z)$ for all locally integrable functions $f$ on $B_{n}$ and $z \in B_{n}$. Therefore, it suffices to find a $C>0$ such that

$$
\widetilde{M} f(z) \leqslant C M f(z)
$$

for all such $z$ and $f$. From relation (2.1), it is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{M} f(z) & \leqslant C \sup _{\left\{(\xi, h) \in \partial B_{n} \times(0,2): z \in S(\xi, h)\right\}} \frac{1}{\nu_{\alpha}[S(\pi(z), 18 h)]} \int_{S(\xi, h)}|f(w)| \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(w) \\
& \leqslant C \sup _{\left\{(\xi, h) \in \partial B_{n} \times(0, \infty): z \in S(\xi, h)\right\}} \frac{1}{\nu_{\alpha}[S(\pi(z), 18 h)]} \int_{S(\xi, h)}|f(w)| \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(w) \\
& \leqslant C \sup _{\left\{(\xi, h) \in \partial B_{n} \times(0, \infty): z \in S(\xi, h)\right\}} \frac{1}{\nu_{\alpha}[S(\pi(z), 18 h)]} \int_{S(\pi(z), 18 h)}|f(w)| \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(w),
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2.5. Now $z \in S(\xi, h)$ implies that $1-|z|<h$. By reducing restrictions on the supremum we obtain that the above quantity is surely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant C \sup _{h>1-|z|} \frac{1}{\nu_{\alpha}[S(\pi(z), 18 h)]} \int_{S(\pi(z), 18 h)}|f(w)| \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(w) \\
& \leqslant C \sup _{18 h>1-|z|} \frac{1}{\nu_{\alpha}[S(\pi(z), 18 h)]} \int_{S(\pi(z), 18 h)}|f(w)| \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(w)=C M f(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the engulfing property and duplicating the proof of an analogous Vitalitype covering lemma proven in Chapter 1 of [18] (with the sets $S(\xi, h)$ playing the role of the balls $B(x, r)$ there), it is not difficult to verify the following Vitalitype covering lemma, which will be used to show in Lemma 2.8 that the Carleson maximal operator $M$ is type $(2,2)$ from $L^{2}\left(B_{n}, \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(B_{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$ when $\mu$ is an $\alpha$-Carleson measure. See page 179 of [19] for the definition of weak-type and type (i.e., strong type).

Lemma 2.7. There is a positive constant $c_{1}$ such that if $E \subset B_{n}$ is a measurable set that is the union of a finite collection of Carleson sets $\left\{S\left(\xi_{j}, h_{j}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{k}$, then one can choose a disjoint subcollection $\left\{S\left(\xi_{j}^{\prime}, h_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$ such that

$$
E \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} S\left(\xi_{j}^{\prime}, c_{1} h_{j}^{\prime}\right)
$$

We are now ready to prove that when $\mu$ is an $\alpha$-Carleson measure, the Carleson maximal operator $M$ behaves nicely as an operator from $L^{2}(d v)$ to $L^{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} \mu_{\alpha}\right)$. We have modified similar ideas used in pages $13-14$ of [18] and [4].

Lemma 2.8. Suppose that $\mu$ is an $\alpha$-Carleson measure, where $\alpha>-1$. Then the Carleson maximal operator $M$ is "type $(2,2)$ "; that is, $M$ is a bounded sublinear operator from $L^{2}\left(B_{n}, \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(B_{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$.

Proof. Lemma 2.7 shows that the subadditive map $M$ is a weak type $(1,1)$ operator from $L^{1}\left(B_{n}, \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ to $L^{1}\left(B_{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$. It is obvious that $M$ is type $(\infty, \infty)$ from $L^{\infty}\left(B_{n}, \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ to $L^{\infty}\left(B_{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$, and that $M$ is subadditive. We then appeal to the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem ([19], p. 184) which shows that $M$ is bounded from $L^{2}\left(B_{n}, \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(B_{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$. Therefore, if we show that the operator $M$ is weak type $(1,1)$ from $L^{2}\left(B_{n}, \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(B_{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$, then the proof of the lemma will be complete.

For each $\gamma \geqslant 0$, let

$$
E_{\gamma}=\left\{z \in B_{n}: \widetilde{M} f(z)>\gamma\right\}
$$

and let $E$ be any compact subset of $E_{\gamma}$. By definition of $E_{\gamma}$, for each $z \in E$, there are $\xi \in \partial B_{n}$ and $h \geqslant 1-|z|$ such that $z \in S(\xi, h)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{\alpha}[S(\xi, h)]<\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{S(\xi, h)}|f(w)| \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(w) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the sets $S(\xi, h)$ are obviously open by continuity of the function $|1-\langle\xi, \cdot\rangle|$ for each $\xi \in \partial B_{n}$. Since each $z$ is in some $S(\xi, h)$, then by compactness of $E$ we can select a finite collection of sets $S(\xi, h)$ covering $E$. By Lemma 2.7, there is a disjoint subcollection $S\left(\xi_{1}, h_{1}\right), \ldots, S\left(\xi_{m}, h_{m}\right)$ of this cover such that

$$
E \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} S\left(\xi_{j}, c_{1} h_{j}\right)
$$

We then obtain by properties of the measure $\mu$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu E & \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu\left[S\left(\xi_{j}, c_{1} h_{j}\right)\right] \leqslant C \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(c_{1} h_{j}\right)^{n+1+\alpha} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(h_{j}\right)^{n+1+\alpha} \leqslant C \sum_{j=1}^{m} \nu_{\alpha}\left[S\left(\xi_{j}, h_{j}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The second inequality above is due to the assumption that $\mu$ is an $\alpha$-Carleson measure, and the final inequality follows from relation (2.1). Since the $S\left(\xi_{j}, h_{j}\right)$ 's are disjoint and satisfy inequality (2.5), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu E & \leqslant C \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{S\left(\xi_{j}, h_{j}\right)}|f(w)| \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(w) \\
& \leqslant \frac{C}{\gamma} \int \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} S\left(\xi_{j}, h_{j}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

We can write $E_{\gamma}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{k}$, where $\left(E_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an increasing sequence of compact sets. Using this decomposition and inequality (2.6), along with the fact that $\mu$ is a Borel regular measure, we obtain that

$$
\mu E_{\gamma} \leqslant \frac{C}{\gamma} \int_{B_{n}}|f(w)| \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(w)
$$

It follows that

$$
\mu\left\{z \in B_{n}: \widetilde{M} f(z)>\gamma\right\} \leqslant \frac{C}{\gamma} \int_{B_{n}}|f(w)| \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(w)
$$

By Lemma 2.6, one obtains

$$
\mu\left\{z \in B_{n}: M f(z)>\gamma\right\} \leqslant \frac{C}{\gamma} \int_{B_{n}}|f(w)| \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(w)
$$

Next, we outline a proof of the fact that the sets $S(\xi, h)$ can be used to approximate the sets $E(a, r)$, so that one obtains a submean value property over Carleson sets in the proof of Theorem 2.11 from a submean value property over pseudohyperbolic balls (Proposition 2.10 below).

Our outline is as follows: following pages 321-322 of [9], let $r \in(0,1)$ and $\alpha>-1$ be fixed. Then $\nu_{\alpha}[S(b)] \sim(1-|b|)^{n+1+\alpha}$ as $b$ varies through $B_{n}$, and $\nu_{\alpha}[E(z, r)] \sim \nu_{\alpha}[S(z)]$ as $z$ varies in $B_{n}$. Combining these two facts, it is not difficult to see that $\nu_{\alpha}[E(a, r)] \sim\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{n+1+\alpha}$ as $a \in B_{n}$ varies. For each $z \in B_{n}$ there is a $b(z)=: b \in B_{n}$ such that $1-|b| \sim 1-|z|$ and $E(z, r) \subset S(b)$, and if $\xi \in \partial B_{n}, h \in(0,1)$, and $b=(1-h) \xi$, then $S(\xi, h) \subset S(b) \subset S(\xi, 2 h)$. All of these facts, together with relation (2.1) can be combined to show that the following proposition holds:

Proposition 2.9. Let $\alpha>-1$ and $r \in(0,1)$ be fixed. For each $z \in B_{n}$, there is a Carleson set $S(\xi, 2 h)$ with

$$
E(z, r) \subset S(\xi, 2 h)
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\nu_{\alpha}[E(z, r)] \sim \nu_{\alpha}[S(\xi, 2 h)]
$$

as $z$ varies in $B_{n}$.
For $r>0$ fixed, it is known that $1-|w|^{2} \sim 1-|z|^{2}$ as $w \in E(z, r)$ and $z \in B_{n}$ vary ([9], p. 232). Using this fact, the submean value property for squares of moduli of holomorphic functions, the fact that $\varphi_{a}[E(a, r)]=B(0, r)([14]$, p. 26 and 29), a change of variables via the map $\varphi_{a}$, the relation $|1-\langle w, z\rangle| \sim 1-|z|^{2}$ for $z \in B_{n}$ and $w \in E(z, r)$ ([9], p. 324), and a well-known formula for the Jacobian determinant of $\varphi_{a}^{\prime}(z)$ in $B_{n}([14]$, p. 28), one can obtain the following result, which appears in a more general weighted form in [9] (however, the statement $r>1$ there should be changed to $r \in(0,1))$ :

Lemma 2.10. Let $\alpha>-1$. For each fixed $0<r<1$ there is a constant $C_{r}>0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{O}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and $a \in B_{n}$,

$$
|\nabla f(a)|^{2} \leqslant \frac{C_{r}}{\nu_{\alpha}[E(a, r)]} \int_{E(a, r)}|\nabla f(z)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}(z)
$$

The fact that we are not assuming univalence of $\varphi$ in Theorem 1.1 makes it necessary for us to use Carleson measure conditions to estimate integrals with respect to $v_{\varphi}$. Therefore, the following Carleson-measure type theorem is crucial in the proof of Theorem 1.1. This theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.11. For $\alpha>-1$, suppose that $\mu$ is an $\alpha$-Carleson measure. Then given $\beta>0$ there is a $C>0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\alpha+\beta+1,1}^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{n}}|\nabla f(z)|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta} \mathrm{d} \mu(z) \leqslant C \int_{B_{n}}|\nabla f(z)|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta} \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(z) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first show that there is a constant $C>0$ such that for all $f \in$ $W_{\alpha+\beta+1,1}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla f(z)|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2} \leqslant C M\left[|\nabla f|(\cdot)\left(1-|\cdot|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2}\right](z) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed as follows. By Lemma 2.10 with $r$ any fixed positive number smaller than say, $1 / 2$, there is a positive constant $C$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{O}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and $z \in B_{n}$,

$$
|\nabla f(z)| \leqslant \frac{C}{\nu_{\alpha}[E(z, r)]} \int_{E(z, r)}|\nabla f(w)| \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(w)
$$

Therefore, there is a positive constant $C$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{O}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and $z \in B_{n}$,

$$
|\nabla f(z)|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2} \leqslant \frac{C}{\nu_{\alpha}[E(z, r)]} \int_{E(z, r)}|\nabla f(w)|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}(w)
$$

Since $1-|z|^{2} \sim 1-|w|^{2}$ as $z \in B_{n}$ and $w \in E(z, r)$ vary ([9], p. 322) it follows that there is a positive constant $C$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{O}\left(B_{n}\right), z \in B_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla f(z)|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2} \leqslant \frac{C}{\nu_{\alpha}[E(z, r)]} \int_{E(z, r)}|\nabla f(w)|\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}(w) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Proposition 2.9 that the right-hand side of inequality (2.9) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant \frac{C^{\prime}}{\nu_{\alpha}[S(\xi, 2 h)]} \int_{E(z, r)}|\nabla f(w)|\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}(w) \\
& \leqslant \frac{C}{\nu_{\alpha}[S(\xi, 2 h)]} \int_{S(\xi, 2 h)}|\nabla f(w)|\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}(w) \\
& \leqslant C \sup _{\left\{(\xi, 2 h) \in \partial B_{n} \times(0, \infty): z \in S(\xi, 2 h)\right\}} \frac{1}{\nu_{\alpha}[S(\xi, 2 h)]} \int_{S(\xi, 2 h)}|\nabla f(w)|\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}(w) \\
& =C \widetilde{M}\left[|\nabla f|(\cdot)\left(1-|\cdot|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2}\right](z) \leqslant C^{\prime} M\left[|\nabla f|(\cdot)\left(1-|\cdot|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2}\right](z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality above follows from Lemma 2.6. Therefore, the pointwise estimate (2.8) holds. It follows from inequality (2.8) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{n}}|\nabla f(z)|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta} \mathrm{d} \mu(z) & \leqslant C^{\prime} \int_{B_{n}}\left(M\left[|\nabla f|(\cdot)\left(1-|\cdot|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2}\right](z)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu(z) \\
& \leqslant C \int_{B_{n}}|\nabla f(z)|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta} \mathrm{d} \nu_{\alpha}(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The final inequality above follows from Lemma 2.8.

## 3. A COMPARISON THEOREM FOR $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ AND $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$

In this section we show that for holomorphic maps $\varphi: B_{n} \rightarrow B_{n}$, boundedness of $C_{\varphi}$ on $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ implies boundedness of $C_{\varphi}$ on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for all $\alpha>-1$ and that boundedness of $C_{\varphi}$ on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for some $\alpha>-1$ implies boundedness of $C_{\varphi}$ on $A_{\beta}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for all $\beta \geqslant \alpha$. Both of these facts hold with "boundedness" replaced by "compactness". We begin with a few preliminary facts for the Hardy spaces.

Lemma 3.1. For each $a \in B_{n}, C_{\varphi_{a}}$ is bounded on $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for $p \geqslant 1$.
Proof. Recall that $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ is a Moebius-invariant function space ([14], p. 84-85). It is easy to show (in fact, a more general result holds - see [7], Chapter 1, Example 1.1.1) that $C_{\varphi_{a}}$ defines a closed linear operator on the set of all $f \in H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)$ such that $f \circ \varphi \in H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)$. An application of the Closed Graph theorem completes the proof.

We also provide the details of the proof of the following lemma, whose proof closely follows the line of reasoning used in pages 161ff of [7] to prove the same result for a single composition operator.

Lemma 3.2. Let $p>0$. Suppose $\left\{\varphi_{\beta}: \beta \in I\right\}$ is an indexed family of holomorphic self-maps of $B_{n}$ such that:
(i) $C_{\varphi_{\beta}}$ is bounded on $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for each $\beta \in I$; and
(ii) the operator norms $\left\|C_{\varphi_{\beta}}\right\|$ are bounded uniformly in $\beta$.

Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for all $\beta \in I, \xi \in \partial B_{n}, h \in(0,1)$,

$$
\mu_{\varphi_{\beta}}[\mathcal{S}(\xi, h)] \leqslant C h^{n}
$$

Proof. Boundedness of $C_{\varphi_{\beta}}$ on $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for $p>0$ is equivalent to boundedness on $H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)$ ([7], p. 161-162). Fix $\xi \in \partial B_{n}$ and $h \in(0,1)$. Consider the family of test functions $f_{w}$ defined by

$$
f_{w}(z)=(1-\langle z, w\rangle)^{-2 n}
$$

where $w=(1-h) \xi([7]$, p. 162). It can be shown ([7], p. 162) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{w} \circ \varphi_{\beta}\right\|^{2}=\int_{\partial B_{n}}\left|\left(f_{w} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{*}\right)(\eta)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(\eta)=\int_{\bar{B}_{n}}\left|f_{w}(z)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi_{\beta}}(z) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the fact that $\left(f_{w} \circ \varphi_{\beta}\right)^{*}(\xi)=\left(f_{w}^{*} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{*}\right)(\xi)$ for almost every $\xi \in \partial B_{n}$ (since $f_{w}$ clearly extends continuously to $\bar{B}_{n}$ and the $C_{\varphi_{\beta}}$ 's are bounded (see [7], Chapter 3). By assumption, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for all $\beta \in I, h \in(0,1)$, and $\xi \in \partial B_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial B_{n}}\left|\left(f_{w}^{*} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{*}\right)(\eta)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(\eta) \leqslant C \int_{\partial B_{n}}\left|f_{w}^{*}(\eta)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(\eta) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From relation (3.1) and inequality (3.2), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathcal{S}(\xi, h)}\left|f_{w}(z)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi_{\beta}}(z) & \leqslant \int_{\bar{B}_{n}}\left|f_{w}(z)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi_{\beta}}(z)  \tag{3.3}\\
& =\int_{\partial B_{n}}\left|\left(f_{w} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{*}\right)(\eta)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(\eta) \\
& \leqslant C \int_{\partial B_{n}}\left|f_{w}^{*}(\eta)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(\eta) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be shown ([7], Example 3.5.2, p. 172) that for all $z \in \mathcal{S}(\xi, h), \quad \xi \in \partial B_{n}$, and $h \in(0,1)$ satisfying $w=(1-h) \xi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{w}(z)\right|^{2} \geqslant(2 h)^{-4 n} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also not difficult to show ([14], p. 18) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{w}\right\|_{H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)}^{2} \sim h^{-3 n} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collapsing inequalities (3.3) and (3.4) gives rise to the inequality

$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}(\xi, h)}\left|f_{w}(z)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi_{\beta}}(z) \leqslant C\left\|f_{w}\right\|_{H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)}^{2} .
$$

Applying inequality (3.5) to the left side of this inequality and equation (3.6) to the right side, one obtains

$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}(\xi, h)}(2 h)^{-4 n} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi_{\beta}}(z) \leqslant C^{\prime} h^{-3 n}
$$

The above inequality can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\varphi_{\beta}}[\mathcal{S}(\xi, h)] \leqslant C 2^{n} h^{n} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The author is grateful to B. MacCluer for pointing out that the proof of the boundedness portion of part (i) of the following theorem can be obtained by an identical argument that she and Carl Cowen previously used in [8] to prove that for the special case of linear fractional maps $\varphi$ of $B_{n}$, boundedness of $C_{\varphi}$ on $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ implies boundedness on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for each $\alpha>-1$. Part (ii) of Theorem 3.3 will be needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $p>0$, and let $\varphi: B_{n} \rightarrow B_{n}$ be holomorphic.
(i) If $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded (respectively, compact) on $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$, then it is also bounded (respectively, compact) on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for all $\alpha>-1$.
(ii) If $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded (compact) on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for some $\alpha>-1$, then it is bounded (compact) on $A_{\beta}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for all $\beta \geqslant \alpha$.

Proof. Part (i): For this part, we prove the boundedness portion only. Since $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for some $p \in(0, \infty)$ if and only if $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for all $p \in(0, \infty)([7]$, p. 162), we can let $p=2$. Secondly, suppose for the moment that we have proved the result for maps $\varphi$ that fix the origin. If $\varphi(0)=$ $a \in B_{n}$, then $\psi:=\varphi_{a} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(B_{n}\right)$ maps $a$ to 0 . Clearly, $C_{\varphi}=C_{\psi} C_{\varphi \psi^{-1}}$. The first factor is bounded on $H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)$ by Lemma 3.1. Since $\varphi \psi^{-1}(0)=\varphi(a)=0$, the second factor $C_{\varphi \psi^{-1}}=C_{\psi^{-1}} C_{\varphi}$ is also bounded by Lemma 3.1 and the preliminary result for maps fixing the origin. The statement of the theorem follows. Therefore, it remains only to consider maps $\varphi$ sending 0 to 0 .

Next, we claim that for $r \in(0,1)$, the operators $C_{\varphi_{r}}$ are bounded on $H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and satisfy the uniform bound $\left\|C_{\varphi_{r}}\right\| \leqslant\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|$. First, note that $C_{\varphi_{r}}=C_{\psi_{r}} C_{\varphi}$, where $\psi_{r}$ is the map sending $z \in B_{n}$ to $r z$. Therefore, the claimed statement will follow if we can prove that the composition operators $C_{\psi_{r}}$ are bounded with operator norm less than or equal to 1 . (Actually, these operators will then have norm equal to 1 since the constant functions are in the Hardy space.) Letting $r \in(0,1)$ and $f \in H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|C_{\psi_{r}} f\right\|_{H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)}^{2} & =\sup _{s \in(0,1)} \int_{\partial B_{n}}|f(r s \xi)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(\xi)=\sup _{t \in(0, r)} \int_{\partial B_{n}}|f(t \xi)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(\xi) \\
& \leqslant \sup _{t \in(0,1)} \int_{\partial B_{n}}|f(t \xi)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(\xi)=\|f\|_{H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $C_{\psi_{r}}$ is bounded and $\left\|\psi_{r}\right\| \leqslant 1$ (hence, $=1$ ), as claimed.
Letting $I=(0,1)$ and writing $\beta=r$ in Lemma 3.2, we obtain that there is a constant $C>0$ such that for all $\xi \in \partial B_{n}, h \in(0,1)$, and $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\varphi_{r}}[\mathcal{S}(\xi, h)] \leqslant C h^{n} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varphi(0)=0$, it follows from Schwarz' Lemma in $B_{n}([7]$, p. 96) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-|z| \leqslant 1-|\varphi(z)| \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let $z \in \varphi^{-1}[S(\xi, h)]$, then it is easy to see that $1-|\varphi(z)|<h$. Therefore, if $|z|=r$, inequality (3.9) implies that $r>1-h$. We use this inequality to calculate $\mu_{\varphi}^{\alpha}[S(\xi, h)]$ by transformation to polar coordinates ([14], p. 13). We write

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{\varphi}^{\alpha}[S(\xi, h)] & \leqslant \mu_{\varphi}^{\alpha}[\mathcal{S}(\xi, h)]=\int_{\varphi^{-1}[\mathcal{S}(\xi, h)]}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} v(z)  \tag{3.10}\\
& =2 n \int_{1-h}^{1} \int_{\partial B_{n} \cap \varphi^{-1}[\mathcal{S}(\xi, h)]}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\alpha} r^{2 n-1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(\eta) \mathrm{d} r \\
& =2 n \int_{1-h}^{1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\alpha} r^{2 n-1} \int_{\partial B_{n}} \chi_{\varphi^{-1}[\mathcal{S}(\xi, h)]}(r \eta) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\eta) \mathrm{d} r
\end{align*}
$$

$\varphi_{r}^{*}$, the radial limit function associated to $\varphi$, satisfies $\varphi_{r}^{*}(\eta)=\varphi_{r}(\eta)$ for $\eta \in \partial B_{n}$. Combining this fact with the fact that $\chi_{\varphi^{-1}[\mathcal{S}(\xi, h)]}(r \eta)=1$ iff $\varphi(r \eta)=\varphi_{r}(\eta)=$ $\varphi_{r}^{*}(\eta) \in \mathcal{S}(\xi, h)$, it is not difficult to see that the inner integral in quantity (3.11) can be written as

$$
\int_{\left(\varphi_{r}^{*}\right)^{-1}[\mathcal{S}(\xi, h)]} \mathrm{d} \sigma(\eta) \leqslant \sigma\left\{\left(\varphi_{r}^{*}\right)^{-1}[\mathcal{S}(\xi, h)]\right\}=\mu_{\varphi_{r}}[\mathcal{S}(\xi, h)] \leqslant C h^{n}
$$

by Lemma 3.2. Therefore, quantity (3.11) is

$$
=2 n C h^{n} \int_{1-h}^{1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\alpha} r^{2 n-1} \mathrm{~d} r \leqslant C h^{n} \int_{1-h}^{1}(1-r)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} r=C h^{\alpha+n+1}
$$

Therefore, $\mu_{\varphi}^{\alpha}[S(\xi, h)] \leqslant C h^{\alpha+n+1}$, and the constant $C$ is independent of $\xi$ and $h$. Since $\mu_{\varphi}^{\alpha}$ is an $\alpha$-Carleson measure, we conclude that $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on all of the spaces $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)([7]$, p. 164).

Part (ii): By Lemma 3.1, the automorphisms $\varphi_{a}$ induce bounded composition operators on any $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$. Therefore, as in part (i), we can assume that $\varphi(0)=0$. It is well-known ([7], p. 164) that boundedness of $C_{\varphi}$ on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ is equivalent to the condition that there exists a positive constant $C$ such that for all $\xi \in \partial B_{n}$ and $h>0$,

$$
\mu_{\varphi}^{\alpha}[S(\xi, h)] \leqslant C h^{\alpha+N+1}
$$

Therefore, by the converse direction of this result, it suffices to show that the condition above implies that there is a positive constant $C^{\prime}$ such that for all $\xi \in$ $\partial B_{n}$ and $h>0$,

$$
\mu_{\varphi}^{\beta}[S(\xi, h)] \leqslant C^{\prime} h^{\alpha+N+1}
$$

The simple argument that we will use appears in [11], where an analogous result for the Dirichlet space of $D$ is proven. By definition, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\varphi}^{\beta}[S(\xi, h)]=\int_{\varphi^{-1}[S(\xi, h)]}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta} \mathrm{d} v(z) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if $z \in \varphi^{-1}[S(\xi, h)]$, then $|1-\langle\varphi(z), \xi\rangle|<h$. It follows that $1-|\varphi(z)|<h$, and, since $\varphi(0)=0$, Schwarz' Lemma in $B_{n}$ ([7], p. 96) implies that $1-|z|<h$. We then rewrite the right side of equation (3.12) as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\varphi^{-1}[S(\xi, h)]}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta-\alpha} \mathrm{d} v(z) \leqslant 2^{\beta-\alpha} h^{\beta-\alpha} \int_{\varphi^{-1}[S(\xi, h)]}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} v(z) \\
\leqslant 2^{\beta-\alpha} \int_{\varphi^{-1}[S(\xi, h)]}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} v(z)=2^{\beta-\alpha} \mu_{\varphi}^{\alpha}[S(\xi, h)] \leqslant 2^{\beta-\alpha} C h^{\alpha+n+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note in particular that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\varphi}^{\beta}[S(\xi, h)] \leqslant 2^{\beta-\alpha} \mu_{\varphi}^{\alpha}[S(\xi, h)] \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $C^{\prime}=2^{\beta-\alpha} C$ completes the proof of the boundedness portion of part (ii).
We now prove the compactness portion. Since $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$, it follows that $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$. Since $\beta \geqslant \alpha$, we have that inequality (3.13) holds. It follows from compactness of $C_{\varphi}$ that the right side of inequality (3.13) tends to 0 uniformly in $\xi$ as $h$ tends to 0 ([7], p. 164), so that the left side must tend to 0 similarly.

We are now prepared to prove our main result.

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Proof. Since compactness of $C_{\varphi}$ on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ is independent of $p>0$ ([7], p. 164), it suffices to prove the result for $p=2$. Let $\left\{f_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a norm-bounded sequence in $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)$ such that $f_{k} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compacta in $B_{n}$. By Theorem 2.2, it suffices to show that $\left\|C_{\varphi} f_{k}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By equation (2.2), there is a constant $C>0$ such that for all $k \geqslant 1$,

$$
\left\|C_{\varphi} f_{k}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)}^{2} \leqslant C\left\|C_{\varphi} f_{k}\right\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\alpha+3,1}^{2}}^{2}=C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{B_{n}}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\left(f_{k} \circ \varphi\right)(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha+2} \mathrm{~d} v(z)
$$

By hypothesis, we can choose $\delta \in(0,1)$ such that $\forall z$ satisfying $\delta<|z|<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}\right)^{\alpha+2}\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|^{2}<\varepsilon \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $B_{\delta}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:|z|<\delta\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{B_{n}}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\left(f_{k} \circ \varphi\right)(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha+2} \mathrm{~d} v(z) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\bar{B}_{\delta}}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\left(f_{k} \circ \varphi\right)(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha+2} \mathrm{~d} v(z) \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\delta<|z|<1}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\left(f_{k} \circ \varphi\right)(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first sum in the right side of the above equation can be made arbitrarily small by noting that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\bar{B}_{\delta}}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\left(f_{k} \circ \varphi\right)(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha+2} \mathrm{~d} v(z) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\bar{B}_{\delta}}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\left(f_{k} \circ \varphi\right)(z)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(z)
$$

The right side above tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$ because $f_{k} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of $B_{n}$, implying in turn that $f_{k} \circ \varphi$, and the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\left(f_{k} \circ \varphi\right)$ converge to 0 uniformly on compact subsets ([14], p. 5). Therefore, it remains to show that the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\delta<|z|<1}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\left(f_{k} \circ \varphi\right)(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}(z) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be made arbitrarily small.
Let $\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{2}$ denote the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the linear transformation $\varphi^{\prime}(z)$. Using the chain rule, the triangle inequality, the fact that $\left|D_{i} \varphi_{j}\right|^{2} \leqslant$ $\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{2}^{2}$ for all $i, j$, and the fact that the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of $\varphi^{\prime}(z)$ is equivalent to its operator norm, we obtain that quantity (4.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{\delta<|z|<1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|D_{i}\left(f_{k} \circ \varphi\right)(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}(z) \\
& \leqslant \int_{\delta<|z|<1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[D_{j} f_{k}\right][\varphi(z)]\left(D_{i} \varphi_{j}\right)(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}(z) \\
& \leqslant n \int_{\delta<|z|<1}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[D_{j} f_{k}\right][\varphi(z)]\right|^{2}\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{2}^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}(z) \\
& \leqslant C \int_{\delta<|z|<1}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[D_{j} f_{k}\right][\varphi(z)]\right|^{2}\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (4.1) and a measure-theoretic change of variables (proven by considering simple functions and applying an appropriate convergence theorem), it follows
that the above quantity is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant n \varepsilon \int_{\delta<|z|<1}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[D_{j} f_{k}\right][\varphi(z)]\right|^{2}\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}(z) \\
& =n \varepsilon \int_{\varphi(\delta<|z|<1)}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[D_{j} f_{k}\right][w]\right|^{2}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi}^{\alpha}(w) \\
& \leqslant n \varepsilon \int_{B_{n}}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[D_{j} f_{k}\right][w]\right|^{2}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi}^{\alpha}(w) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(B_{n}\right), \mu_{\varphi}^{\alpha}$ is an $\alpha$-Carleson measure ([7], p. 164). Therefore, Theorem 2.11 with $\mu=\mu_{\varphi}^{\alpha}$ and $\beta=2$ implies that there is a constant $C^{\prime}>0$ (which we relabel $C$ as usual) such that the above quantity is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant C \varepsilon \int_{B_{n}}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[D_{j} f_{k}\right][w]\right|^{2}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\alpha}(w) \\
& =C \varepsilon\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\alpha+3,1}^{2}} \leqslant C \varepsilon\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The final inequality above follows from Proposition 2.1. We note once again that $\left\{f_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is norm bounded, independent of $k$. Therefore, $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$. Next, let $\beta \geqslant \alpha$. By Theorem 3.3, $C_{\varphi}$ is also bounded and compact on $A_{\beta}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for all $\beta \geqslant \alpha$, so that Theorem 1.1 is completely proven.

## 5. EXAMPLES AND QUESTIONS FOR FURTHER INVESTIGATION

It is natural to consider whether or not the following extension of Theorem 1.1 holds: if $\alpha=-1$ (respectively, $-1<\alpha<0$ ), (1.2) holds, and $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ (respectively, bounded on $A_{\alpha}^{p}$ ), then $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ (respectively, compact on $\left.A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)\right)$ and also on $A_{\beta}^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for all $\beta>-1$ (respectively, $\beta>\alpha)$. Indeed it can be shown with results and techniques identical to those in this paper that this result holds; however, we now show for $n=1$ (Proposition 5.1) that any map $\varphi$ under these conditions must have image with sup norm less than one, so that in this case $\varphi$ automatically induces a compact composition operator. The proof of the proposition uses ideas from Chapter 4 of [7]:

Proposition 5.1. Let $\alpha \in[-1,0)$, and suppose that $\varphi: D \rightarrow D$ is holomorphic and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left\{\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}\right)^{\alpha+2}\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\right\}=0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}<1$.
Proof. Letting $\gamma=(\alpha+2) / 2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left\{\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}\right)^{\gamma}\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|\right\}=0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first claim that $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on $\operatorname{Liph}_{1-\gamma}(D)$. Suppose that $\left(f_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in $\operatorname{Liph}_{1-\gamma}(D)$ such that $f_{k} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compacta. Since $\operatorname{Liph}_{1-\gamma}(D)$ is a functional Banach space ([7], Chapter 4), it suffices to show that $\left\|f_{k} \circ \varphi\right\|_{\operatorname{Liph}_{1-\gamma}(D)} \rightarrow 0$. Let $\varepsilon>0$. By equation (5.2), there exists an $r \in(0,1)$ such that for $z \in D$ such that $|z|>r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}\right)^{\gamma}\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|<\varepsilon \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Liph}_{1-\gamma}(D)$ is a functional Banach space, its point evaluation functionals are continuous. Combining this fact with ([7], Theorem 4.1, p. 176) (Note: there is a typographical error here $-\partial B_{n}$ should be replaced by $\partial D$, although an analogue does hold for $\left.B_{n},[5]\right)$, we have that there is a $C>0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|f_{k} \circ \varphi\right\|_{1-\gamma} \leqslant C \sup _{z \in D}\left\{\left|\left(f_{k} \circ \varphi\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\gamma}\right\} \\
& \quad \leqslant C\left(\sup _{|z| \leqslant r}\left\{\left|f_{k}^{\prime}[\varphi(z)]\right|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\gamma}\right\}+\sup _{|z|>r}\left\{\left|f_{k}^{\prime}[\varphi(z)]\right|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\gamma}\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, the above quantity is

$$
\leqslant C\left(M \sup _{|z| \leqslant r}\left\{\left|f_{k}^{\prime}[\varphi(z)]\right|\right\}+\sup _{|z|>r}\left\{\left|f_{k}^{\prime}[\varphi(z)]\right|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}\right)^{\gamma}\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^{\gamma}\right\}\right)\right.
$$

The quantity above is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant C\left(M \sup _{|z| \leqslant r}\left\{\left|f_{k}^{\prime}[\varphi(z)]\right|\right\}+\varepsilon \sup _{|z|>r}\left\{\left|f_{k}^{\prime}[\varphi(z)]\right|\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^{\gamma}\right\}\right)\right. \\
& \leqslant C\left(M \sup _{|z| \leqslant r}\left\{\left|f_{k}^{\prime}[\varphi(z)]\right|\right\}+C^{\prime} \varepsilon\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{1-\gamma}\right) \leqslant C\left(M \sup _{|z| \leqslant r}\left\{\left|f_{k}^{\prime}[\varphi(z)]\right|\right\}+C^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left(f_{k}\right)$ is bounded by hypothesis. The left summand above can be made arbitrarily small by uniform convergence of $\left(f_{k}\right)$ on compacta. Since $\varepsilon$ was chosen arbitrarily, our claim of compactness of $C_{\varphi}$ on $\operatorname{Liph}_{1-\gamma}(D)$ holds. Since $\operatorname{Liph}_{1-\gamma}(D)$ is an automorphism-invariant, boundary regular, small space, it follows that $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}<1$ ([7], p. 177).

Although the above proposition shows that allowing $\alpha$ to be in $[-1,0)$ even for $n=1$ in Theorem 1.1 yields $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}<1$, Proposition 5.3 below shows that $\alpha=0$ is a critical value in the sense that for $\alpha \geqslant 0$, there are self-maps $\varphi$ of $B_{2}$ with unit modulus that satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. Our example for $B_{2}$ will be constructed from the following single-variable existence result:

Proposition 5.2. For $\alpha \geqslant 0$, there exist holomorphic maps $\psi: D \rightarrow D$ with $\|\psi\|_{\infty}=1$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\psi(z)|^{2}}\right)^{\alpha+2}\left|\psi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. A region $G \subset D$ is said to have a generalized cusp ([13], p. 256) at $\xi \in \partial D$ iff

$$
d(w, \partial G)=\mathrm{o}(|\xi-w|)
$$

as $w \rightarrow \xi$ in $G$. This cusp is called nontangential iff it is contained in a nontangential approach region at $\xi$ defined by

$$
\Gamma(\xi, M)=\{z \in D:|z-\xi|<M(1-|z|)\}
$$

where $M>1$. These regions are shaped like petals, with a cusp at $\xi$ and boundary curves making an angle (at $\xi$ ) whose measure is less than $\pi$ and is related to the value of $M([7]$, p. $50-51,60)$. For example, $\Gamma(1,2)$ is a petal-shaped region in $D$ whose cusp at 1 makes an angle of $\pi / 2$, symmetric about the real axis.

Let $G$ be the region bounded by the graphs of the equations $x=0.75$, $y=0.5(x-1)^{2}$, and the real axis. It is easy to see that this region lies completely in $\Gamma(1,2)$. As $w$ tends to 1 in $G$, it is also easy to see that $d(w, G) \leqslant 0.5(\operatorname{Re} w-1)^{2} \leqslant$ $C|1-w|^{2}$. Therefore, $d(w, G) /|1-w| \rightarrow 0$ as $w$ tends to 1 , and $G$ has a nontangential, generalized cusp at 1 . Obviously, $\bar{G}$ touches the unit circle only at 1 .

By the Riemann Mapping Theorem, there is a univalent ([13], p. 4) map $\psi$ of $D$ onto $G$. By results of K. Madigan and A. Matheson ([12]), any univalent map $\psi$ of $D$ onto a region $G \subset D$ that has a non-tangential, generalized cusp at 1 and touches the unit circle at no other point must induce a compact composition operator on $\mathcal{B}_{0}$, and, for any analytic $\psi: \Delta \rightarrow, C_{\psi}$ is compact on $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\psi(z)|^{2}} \psi^{\prime}(z)=0 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that the Riemann map $\psi$ constructed above has sup norm 1 and satisfies equation (5.4) for $\alpha=0$. However, as we will now show, $\psi$ actually satisfies equation (1.2) for all $\alpha \geqslant 0$. By Theorem 1.1, $C_{\psi}$ must be compact on $A^{2}(D)$. Therefore, equation (1.1) holds (see [20], p. 218)). Squaring both sides of equation (5.5) and multiplying the fractional quantity in the resulting equation by the $\alpha$ th power of the fractional quantity in equation (1.1), we obtain equation (5.4).

We can now prove the following existence result for $n=2$ :
Proposition 5.3. For all $p>0$ and $\alpha \geqslant 0$, there exist holomorphic maps $\varphi: B_{2} \rightarrow B_{2}$ such that $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}<1, C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{2}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}\right)^{\alpha+2}\left|\nabla \varphi_{i}(z)\right|^{2}=0, \quad i=1,2 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, there are maps $\varphi$ with unit modulus in more than one variable that satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Let $\psi$ be any map as guaranteed by Proposition 5.2, and define the clearly holomorphic map $\varphi: B_{2} \rightarrow B_{2}$ by

$$
\varphi(z)=\left(\psi\left(z_{1}\right), 0\right)
$$

Clearly, $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}=1$, since $\|\psi\|_{\infty}=1$. Since the $i$ th coordinate of $\varphi$ depends only on $z_{i}$ for $i=1,2, C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $H^{2}\left(B_{2}\right)$ ([3], Proposition 1). Theorem 3.3 (i) then shows that $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(B_{2}\right)$ for all $\alpha \in(-1, \infty)$. Equation (5.6) trivially holds for $i=2$.

To complete the proof of the proposition, it remains to show that

$$
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}\right)^{\alpha+2}\left|\nabla \varphi_{1}(z)\right|^{2}=0
$$

The above equation holds iff for each point $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \partial B_{2}$ and all sequences $\left(z^{(j)}, w^{(j)}\right) \in B_{2}$ that converge to $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1-\left|\left(z^{(j)}, w^{(j)}\right)\right|^{2}}{1-\left|\varphi\left(z^{(j)}, w^{(j)}\right)\right|^{2}}\right)^{\alpha+2}\left|\nabla \varphi_{1}\left(z^{(j)}, w^{(j)}\right)\right|^{2}=0 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(z^{(j)}, w^{(j)}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $B_{2}$ and $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \partial B_{2}$ be as described above. The left side of equation (5.7) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leqslant \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1-\left|z^{(j)}\right|^{2}}{1-\left|\psi\left(z^{(j)}\right)\right|^{2}}\right)^{\alpha+2}\left|\psi^{\prime}\left(z^{(j)}\right)\right|^{2} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, suppose that $\left|\xi_{1}\right|=1$. We then have that $\left|z^{(j)}\right| \rightarrow 1^{-}$as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and equation (5.4) therefore applies. It follows that quantity (5.8) is zero. If $\left|\xi_{1}\right|<1$, then $1-\left|\psi\left(z^{(j)}\right)\right|$ converges to a positive quantity. In addition, $\left|\psi^{\prime}\left(z^{(j)}\right)\right| \rightarrow M$ for some non-negative real number $M$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, since $\psi^{\prime}$ is continuous in $D$. Therefore, quantity (5.8) is 0 .

There are compact composition operators on $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)$ that do not satisfy the hypotheses given in Theorem 1.1. Consider the map $\varphi(z)=1-([1-z] / 2)^{1 / 2}$, on $D$. The image of $\varphi$ is contained in a suitable non-tangential approach region (a lens) at 1 and touching the boundary at no other point but 1 , so it has no finite angular derivative at any point of $\partial D$. Therefore, $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on $A_{\alpha}^{2}(D)$ for $\alpha>-1$ (see [16], p. 27). However, using the sequence $\{k /(k+1)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, it is easy to show that the limit in Theorem 1.1 is non-zero.

Can the results of this paper be extended to other domains? Are there relationships between the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 and the condition that $\varphi\left(B_{n}\right)$ is contained in a Koranyi approach region ([10])? Is it possible to remove the hypothesis that $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded from Theorem 1.1?
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