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#### Abstract

For each trace $\tau$ on a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ generated by mutually commuting $C^{*}$-subalgebras $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ and every convex function $f$ of $n$ variables we show that the function $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \tau\left(f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)$ is convex on the space $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left(A_{k}\right)_{\mathrm{sa}}\right)$. Keywords: $C^{*}$-algebra, trace function, Fréchet derivative, operator function. MSC (2000): Primary 46L05; Secondary 46L10, 47A60, 46C15.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

If $X$ is a self-adjoint element in a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ and $\operatorname{sp}(x) \subset I$ for some interval $I$ (we say that $x \in A_{\mathrm{sa}}^{I}$ ), then for each $f$ in $C(I)$ we can define $f(x)$ in $A$ by spectral theory. The behaviour of the operator-valued function $x \rightarrow f(x)$ on $A_{\mathrm{sa}}^{I}$ so obtained has always received much attention; partly because such functions show up in nearly all operator problems, and partly because the behaviour is highly non-trivial.

In 1934 K . Löwner showed that the function $x \rightarrow f(x)$ is operator monotone (increasing), i.e. $x \leqslant y$ implies $f(x) \leqslant f(y)$ when considered in the partially ordered real Banach space $A_{\text {sa }}$, if and only if $f$ has an analytic extension $\widetilde{f}$ to the upper half-plane $\mathbb{C}_{+}$such that $\tilde{f}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}_{+}$. In 1955 J. Bendat and S. Sherman showed that the function is operator convex, i.e. $f(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y) \leqslant \lambda f(x)+$ $(1-\lambda) f(y)$ in $A_{\mathrm{sa}}$, if and only if $t \rightarrow f(t) t^{-1}$ is an operator monotone function (assuming that $0 \in I$ and $f(0)=0$ ). A concise account of these results can be found in [11]. The main point of the story is that it is highly unusual for a function to be operator monotone or operator convex.

It is natural to try to generalize Löwner's theory to functions of several variables. A few experiments show that a satisfying spectral theory for functions
of several variables can be developed only if the ingoing elements are mutually commuting. We shall therefore assume this situation. Since $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ really has no good order structure, monotonicity is probably not so interesting a concept. (However, see Corollary 3.3.) But convexity is still very much to the fore, and one may ask for conditions on a function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ that will guarantee joint convexity of the operator function

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mapsto f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

of the commuting variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. At the moment there is no completely satisfying characterization of such functions, but recent work by F. Hansen has shed much light on the problem (see [2], [8], [9]).

If we follow the operator function $x \rightarrow f(x)$ by a trace $\tau$, to obtain the scalar function $x \rightarrow \tau(f(x))$, then general philosophy predicts that the noncommutativity of the problem disappears, since the trace is unable to distinguish $x y$ from $y x$. (General philosopy does not provide any proofs, though.) Thus $x \rightarrow \tau(f(x))$ becomes an increasing function (on $A_{\mathrm{sa}}^{I}$ ) whenever $f$ is increasing (cf. [12], Theorems 2.3 and 2.4) and $x \rightarrow \tau(f(x))$ is convex as long as $f$ itself is convex (cf. [20], Theorem 4).

In a recent paper ([10]) Hansen verified the general principle mentioned above by showing that for each convex function $f$ of $n$ variables the function

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}\left(f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

is convex on $\bigoplus \mathcal{M}_{m_{k}}$. Here $f(\underline{x})$ is regarded as an element in $\otimes \mathcal{M}_{m_{k}}=\mathcal{M}_{m}$, where $m=m_{1} m_{2} \cdots m_{n}$, and $\operatorname{Tr}$ is the trace on $\mathcal{M}_{m}$. The proof is quite short, but builds on the general (and highly interesting) theory for operator convex functions developed in [8]. The aim of the present note is to give a self-contained proof of Hansen's result, but now extended to the infinite dimensional case and for general traces. The strategy of our proof is not original, though, but uses the applications of the Fréchet differential which Hansen and the author have developed over the years (see [2], [8], [9], [11], [12], [19]).

## 2. NOTATION

We consider mutually commuting $C^{*}$-algebras $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ of operators on a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ and denote by $A$ the $C^{*}$-algebra they generate. For simplicity we assume that each $A_{k}$ contains the unit 1 of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$. Evidently this means that $A$ is a quotient of the maximal tensor product $\otimes A_{k}$, but, remarkably enough, tensor product arguments will not play any rôle in the sequel.

Assume now that $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{n}$ are intervals in $\mathbb{R}$ (bounded or not) and put $\underline{I}=I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. If we let $\left(A_{k}\right)_{\mathrm{sa}}^{I_{k}}$ denote the set of self-adjoint elements in $A_{k}$ with spectra contained in $I$ then for each function $f$ in $C(\underline{I})$ and $\underline{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ in $\bigoplus\left(\left(A_{k}\right)_{\mathrm{s} a}^{I_{k}}\right)$ we can define an element $f(\underline{x})$ in $A$. If $f=f_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}$ this element is simply given by $f(\underline{x})=f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)$. In the general case $f$ is a limit, uniformly on compact subsets of $\underline{I}$, of linear combinations of such pure tensor product functions, e.g. polynomials in $n$ variables, and $f(\underline{x})$ can be defined by a limit argument. Alternatively we may assume that each $A_{k}$ is a von Neumann algebra (replacing if necessary $A_{k}$ by $A_{k}^{\prime \prime}$ ), so that $x_{k}=\int \lambda_{k} \mathrm{~d} E_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)$. Since the
spectral measures $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}$ are mutually commuting we can define the spectral measure $E$ on $\underline{I}$ by $E\left(S_{1} \times \cdots \times S_{n}\right)=E_{1}\left(S_{1}\right) \cdots E_{n}\left(S_{n}\right)$, and then write

$$
f(\underline{x})=\int f\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} E\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)
$$

In this paper we shall quickly reduce our considerations to functions $f$ that can be represented in the form $f(\underline{u})=\int \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t \cdot} \cdot \underline{f}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t}$, and in that case we can simply define $f(\underline{x})=\int \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t-\underline{x}} \widehat{f}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t}$ as an operator integral in $A$ over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

For fixed $\underline{x}$ the map $f \rightarrow f(\underline{x})$ is a $*$-homomorphism from $C(\underline{I})$ into $A$. The support of this homomorphism is a compact subset of $\underline{I}$ which we may call the joint spectrum of the $n$-tuple $\underline{x}$. The map can therefore be regarded as the proper generalization of the one-variable spectral theory (for self-adjoint operators), cf. [13] for an early reference. We shall here be interested in the behaviour of the continuous map $\underline{x} \rightarrow \tau(f(\underline{x}))$ from $\bigoplus\left(\left(A_{k}\right)_{\mathrm{sa}}^{I_{k}}\right)$ to $\mathbb{R}$ for a fixed function $f$ and a trace $\tau$ on $A$.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. If $\tau$ is a bounded trace on a unital $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ generated by mutually commuting unital $C^{*}$-subalgebras $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ and $f$ is a continuous, real and convex function on $\underline{I}$, then we obtain a convex operator function $\varphi$ defined by

$$
\varphi(\underline{x})=\tau(f(\underline{x})) \quad \text { on the convex set } \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left(A_{k}\right)_{\mathrm{sa}}^{I_{k}}\right) .
$$

Proof. In order to avoid unnecessary considerations about non-standard Borel spaces we notice that it suffices to prove the theorem for arbitrary separable $C^{*}$-subalgebras of the $A_{k}$ 's, so that we may assume that $A$ is separable.

The set $T(A)$ of finite traces on $A$ is a lattice cone (cf. [23] or [17], Theorem 3.1). The predual was described in Proposition 2.7 of [5]. Since $A$ is separable the set $T_{1}(A)$ of tracial states of $A$ is metrizable Choquet simplex, so there is a (unique) extreme probability measure $\mu$ on $T_{1}(A)$ such that $\tau=\int \sigma \mathrm{d} \mu(\sigma)$, cf. [4] or Theorem 9 of [21]. The extremality of $\mu$ implies that if $\partial T_{1}(A)$ denotes the $G_{\delta}$-set of extreme points of $T_{1}(A)$, then $\mu$ is concentrated on $\partial T_{1}(A)$. In particular,

$$
\tau(x)=\int_{\partial T_{1}(A)} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(\sigma)
$$

for every $x$ in $A$.
Since the integral of convex functions is convex it follows that it suffices to prove the theorem for a tracial state $\tau$ in $\partial T_{1}(A)$. In that case, if $\left(\pi_{\tau}, \mathfrak{H}_{\tau}\right)$ denotes the GNS representation of $\tau$, the von Neumann algebra $\pi_{\tau}(A)^{\prime \prime}$ is a finite factor (since every non-scalar central element $z$ in the positive part of the unit ball of $\pi_{\tau}(A)^{\prime \prime}$ will produce a non-trivial trace $\tau(z \cdot)$ majorized by $\left.\tau\right)$. The von Neumann subalgebras $\pi_{\tau}\left(A_{k}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ are mutually commuting and generate $\pi_{\tau}(A)^{\prime \prime}$, so each of these algebras is also a finite factor. If $x_{k} \in \pi_{\tau}\left(A_{k}\right)_{+}^{\prime \prime}$, the function
$x_{1} \rightarrow \tau\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}\right)$ is a trace on $\pi_{\tau}\left(A_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime}$, hence proportional to the restriction $\tau \mid \pi_{\tau}\left(A_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime}$. Consequently $\tau\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}\right)=\tau\left(x_{1}\right) \tau\left(x_{2} \cdots x_{n}\right)$. By iteration we find that $\tau\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}\right)=\tau\left(x_{1}\right) \tau\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots \tau\left(x_{n}\right)$ for any $n$-tuple $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ in $A$ such that $x_{k} \in \pi_{\tau}\left(A_{k}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ for every $k$.

Replacing $A_{k}$ by $\pi_{\tau}\left(A_{k}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and $A$ with the $C^{*}$-algebra generated by the von Neumann algebras $\pi_{\tau}\left(A_{k}\right)^{\prime \prime}$, but replacing also $\tau$ with its extension to $\pi_{\tau}(A)^{\prime \prime}$, we have an extension of the old function $\varphi$, even though we now compute the elements $f(\underline{x})$ in a new algebra. This means that it suffices to prove the theorem assuming that each $A_{k}$ is a von Neumann algebra, and furthermore we may assume that

$$
\tau\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}\right)=\tau\left(x_{1}\right) \tau\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots \tau\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

whenever $x_{k} \in A_{k}$ for each $k$.
Clearly it suffices to prove convexity of $\varphi$ on bounded subcubes of $\underline{I}$. We may therefore assume that $f$ is bounded on $\underline{I}$ and extend it to a continuous function $\tilde{f}$ with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If now $e$ is a positive $C^{\infty}$-function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with small support $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]^{n}$, then the function

$$
g(\underline{u})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \tilde{f}(\underline{u}-\underline{v}) e(\underline{v}) \mathrm{d} \underline{v}
$$

is a Schwartz function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and convex on a slightly smaller cube $\underline{I}_{\varepsilon}$. It follows by approximation that it suffices to prove the theorem for a Schwartz function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ which is convex on the bounded cube $\underline{I}$. Thus we have a representation

$$
f(\underline{u})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \underline{-} \cdot \underline{u}} \widehat{f}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t}, \quad \underline{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

For later use we note that this means that

$$
f_{k}^{\prime}(\underline{u})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t \cdot \underline{i} \mathrm{i} t_{k} \widehat{f}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t},, \text {, }, \text {. }}
$$

and similarly for the higher derivatives. These combined reductions mean that we now consider a function $\varphi$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\underline{x})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \tau\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t_{1} x_{1}}\right) \cdots \tau\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t_{n} x_{n}}\right) \widehat{f}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t} . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known (see e.g. [6], Exercises 3.1.8 and 3.6.4), that a Fréchet differentiable real function $\varphi$ on (an open subset of) a real Banach space is convex if and only if

$$
\mathrm{d} \varphi_{x}(h) \leqslant \varphi(x+h)-\varphi(x)
$$

which again (when $\varphi$ is twice differentiable) is equivalent to its second Fréchet differential being a positive (semi-)definite quadratic form. Thus we must show that

$$
\mathrm{d}^{2} \varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h}, \underline{h}) \geqslant 0
$$

for each $\underline{x}$ in $\bigoplus\left(\left(A_{k}\right)_{\mathrm{sa}}^{I_{k}}\right)$ and every $\underline{h}$ in $\bigoplus\left(\left(A_{k}\right)_{\mathrm{sa}}\right)$. To do this we have the information that $\mathrm{d}^{2} f$ is positive definite, i.e. the Hesse matrix $\left(f_{k l}^{\prime \prime}(\underline{u})\right)$ is positive definite for each $\underline{u}$ in $\underline{I}$.

Using the Dyson expansion we find that the exponential function is Fréchet differentiable on any Banach algebra with

$$
\mathrm{d}(\exp )_{x}(h)=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{s x} h \mathrm{e}^{(1-s) x} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

(cf. [1] or [12], Proposition 1.3). It follows that the operator function $\underline{x} \rightarrow f(\underline{x})$ has the differential

$$
\mathrm{d} f_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h})=\sum_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t_{1} x_{1}} \cdots\left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{s \mathrm{i} t_{k} x_{k}} \mathrm{i} t_{k} h_{k} \mathrm{e}^{(1-s) \mathrm{i} t_{k} x_{k}} \mathrm{~d} s\right) \cdots \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t_{n} x_{n}} \widehat{f}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t} .
$$

But then, using the multiplicative character of the trace $\tau$,

$$
\mathrm{d} \varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h})=\tau\left(\mathrm{d} f_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h})\right)=\sum_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \tau\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \underline{-} \cdot \underline{x}} h_{k}\right) \mathrm{i} t_{k} \widehat{f}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t}=\sum_{k} \tau\left(f_{k}^{\prime}(\underline{x}) h_{k}\right)
$$

In other words, the operator functions $\mathrm{d} f_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h})$ and $\sum f_{k}^{\prime}(\underline{x}) h_{k}$ (for fixed $\underline{h}$ ) have the same trace. So, therefore, have their differentials. But for the second function we can compute the Fréchet differential using the same method as above, and taking the trace afterwards we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}^{2} \varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h}, \underline{h}) & =\tau\left(\mathrm{d}^{2} f_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h}, \underline{h})\right)=\tau\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~d} f_{(\underline{)}}(\underline{h})\right)_{\underline{x}}(h)\right)=\tau\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\sum_{k} f_{k}^{\prime}(\cdot) h_{k}\right)_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h})\right) \\
& =\sum_{k, l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \tau\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t_{1} x_{1}} \cdots\left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{s i t_{l} x_{l}} \mathrm{i}_{l} h_{l} \mathrm{e}^{(1-s) \mathrm{i} t_{l} x_{l}} \mathrm{~d} s\right) \cdots \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t_{n} x_{n}} h_{k}\right) \widehat{f}_{k}^{\prime}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t} \\
& =\sum_{k, l} D_{k l} . \quad \text { (To give a name for the different summands.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

For $k \neq l$ the multiplicative nature of $\tau$ implies that

$$
D_{k l}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \tau\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t \cdot \underline{x}} h_{l} h_{k}\right) \mathrm{i} t_{l} \widehat{f}_{k}^{\prime}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t}=\tau\left(f_{k l}^{\prime \prime}(\underline{x}) h_{l} h_{k}\right)
$$

For $k=l$ we get the more complicated expression

$$
D_{k k}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \tau\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t_{1} x_{1}} \cdots\left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{s \mathrm{i} t_{k} x_{k}} h_{k} \mathrm{e}^{(1-s) \mathrm{i} t_{k} x_{k}} h_{k} \mathrm{~d} s\right) \cdots \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t_{n} x_{n}}\right) \mathrm{i} t_{k} \widehat{f_{k}^{\prime}}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t}
$$

To compute $D_{k k}$ in more detail we need more rigid spectral decompositions. But, since each $A_{k}$ is a von Neumann algebra it suffices by approximation to prove that $\mathrm{d}^{2} \varphi_{\underline{x}}$ is positive definite, assuming that each $x_{k}$ has the form

$$
x_{k}=\sum \lambda_{n_{k}} p_{n_{k}}
$$

where $\lambda_{n_{k}} \in I_{k}$ and $\left\{p_{n_{k}}\right\}$ is a finite family of pairwise orthogonal projections in $A_{k}$ with sum 1. Using the concept of multi-index $\alpha=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{n}\right)$ we let $\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}=\left(\lambda_{n_{1}}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{n}}\right)$ and $p_{\alpha}=p_{n_{1}} \cdots p_{n_{n}}$. This means that we can write

$$
f(\underline{x})=\sum_{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \underline{t} \cdot \underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}} p_{\alpha} \widehat{f}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t}=\sum_{\alpha} f\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) p_{\alpha}
$$

Returning to our previous formula $\mathrm{d}^{2} \varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h}, \underline{h})=\sum D_{k l}$ we find for $k \neq l$ that

$$
D_{k l}=\tau\left(f_{k l}^{\prime \prime}(\underline{x}) h_{k} h_{l}\right)=\sum_{\alpha} f_{k l}^{\prime \prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} h_{l}\right)
$$

Note now that if $x=\sum \lambda_{n} p_{n}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} \tau\left(\mathrm{e}^{s i t x} \mathrm{i} t h \mathrm{e}^{(1-s) \mathrm{i} t x} \mathrm{i} t h\right) \mathrm{d} s=\sum_{n, m} \int_{0}^{1} \tau\left(\mathrm{e}^{s i t \lambda_{n}} p_{n} \mathrm{i} t h \mathrm{e}^{(1-s) \mathrm{i} t \lambda_{m}} p_{m} \mathrm{i} t h\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \quad=\sum_{n \neq m}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t \lambda_{n}}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t \lambda_{m}}\right)\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{m}\right)^{-1} \tau\left(p_{n} h p_{m} h\right) \mathrm{i} t+\sum_{n} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t \lambda_{n}} \tau\left(p_{n} h p_{n} h\right)(\mathrm{i} t)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting this in the expression for $D_{k k}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{k k}=\sum_{\Delta} & \left(f_{k}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right)-f_{k}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\beta}\right)\right)\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha(k)}-\underline{\lambda}_{\beta(k)}\right)^{-1} \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} p_{\beta} h_{k}\right) \\
& +\sum_{\alpha} f_{k k}^{\prime \prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} p_{\alpha} h_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\Delta$ is the set of pairs of multi-indices $(\alpha, \beta)$, so that $\alpha(l)=\beta(l)$ for all $l \neq k$, but $\alpha(k) \neq \beta(k)$.

Since $f$ is convex, each of its partial derivatives is monotone increasing. Consequently

$$
\left(f_{k}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right)-f_{k}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\beta}\right)\right)\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha(k)}-\underline{\lambda}_{\beta(k)}\right)^{-1} \geqslant 0
$$

for all $\alpha, \beta$ in $\Delta$. Moreover, since $h_{k}=h_{k}^{*}$,

$$
\tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} p_{\beta} h_{k}\right)=\tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} p_{\beta} h_{k} p_{\alpha}\right) \geqslant 0
$$

Deleting the positive $\Delta$-terms from $D_{k k}$ we therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{2} \varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h}, \underline{h}) \geqslant \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{k \neq l} f_{k l}^{\prime \prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} h_{l}\right)+\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{k} f_{k k}^{\prime \prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} p_{\alpha} h_{k}\right) \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a fixed $\alpha=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{n}\right)$ we put $p_{k}=p_{n_{k}}, p_{l}=p_{n_{l}}$ and $q=\prod p_{n_{j}}$, $j \neq k, l$. Then with $\gamma_{k}=\tau\left(p_{k} h_{k}\right) \tau\left(p_{k}\right)^{-1}$ we can write

$$
\tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} h_{l}\right)=\tau(q) \tau\left(p_{k} h_{k}\right) \tau\left(p_{l} h_{l}\right)=\tau\left(p_{\alpha}\right) \gamma_{k} \gamma_{l}
$$

If $r=\prod p_{n_{j}}, j \neq k$, then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(p_{\alpha}\right) \gamma_{k}^{2} & =\tau\left(p_{\alpha}\right) \tau\left(p_{k}\right)^{-2} \tau\left(p_{k} h_{k}\right)^{2}=\tau\left(p_{\alpha}\right)^{-1} \tau(r)^{2} \tau\left(p_{k} h_{k}\right)^{2} \\
& =\tau\left(p_{\alpha}\right)^{-1} \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k}\right)^{2}=\tau\left(p_{\alpha}\right)^{-1} \tau\left(p_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} h_{k} p_{\alpha}\right)^{2} \\
& \leqslant \tau\left(p_{\alpha}\right)^{-1}\left(\tau\left(p_{\alpha}\right) \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} p_{\alpha} h_{k} p_{\alpha}\right)\right)=\tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} p_{\alpha} h_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting these estimates in $(* *)$ we see that for each $\alpha$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \neq l} f_{k l}^{\prime \prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} h_{l}\right)+\sum_{k} f_{k k}^{\prime \prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} p_{\alpha} h_{k}\right) \\
& \quad \geqslant \sum_{k \neq l} f_{k l}^{\prime \prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) \tau\left(p_{\alpha}\right) \gamma_{k} \gamma_{l}+\sum_{k} f_{k k}^{\prime \prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) \tau\left(p_{\alpha}\right) \gamma_{k}^{2}=\tau\left(p_{\alpha}\right) \sum_{k, l} f_{k l}^{\prime \prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) \gamma_{k} \gamma_{l} \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

because the Hessian $\left(f_{k l}^{\prime \prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right)\right)$ is positive definite. Thus $\mathrm{d}^{2} \varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h}, \underline{h})$ majorizes a sum (over all multi-indices) of positive terms, hence is positive.
3.2. Remark. In the course of the proof of Theorem 3.1 we found a complete formula for the second Fréchet differential of the function $\varphi(\underline{x})=\tau(f(\underline{x}))$ defined on $n$-tuples in $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left(A_{k}\right)_{\mathrm{sa}}^{I_{k}}\right)$, regardless of the convexity of $f$, but assuming that $\tau$ is multiplicative on products from the $A_{k}$ 's. Assuming also that each $x_{k}$ has the form $x_{k}=\sum \lambda_{n_{k}} p_{n_{k}}$, where $\lambda_{n_{k}} \in I_{k}$ and $\left\{p_{n_{k}}\right\}$ is a finite family of pairwise orthogonal projections in $A_{k}$ with sum 1, and using the concept of multi-index $\alpha=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{n}\right)$, so that $\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}=\left(\lambda_{n_{1}}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{n}}\right)$ and $p_{\alpha}=p_{n_{1}} \cdots p_{n_{n}}$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}^{2} \varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h}, \underline{h})=\sum_{k, l} & \sum_{\alpha}\left(f_{k l}^{\prime \prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} p_{\alpha} h_{l}\right)\right. \\
& +\sum_{k} \sum_{\Delta_{k}}\left(f_{k}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right)-f_{k}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\beta}\right)\right)\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha(k)}-\underline{\lambda}_{\beta(k)}\right)^{-1} \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} p_{\beta} h_{k}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Delta_{k}$ denotes the set of pairs of multi-indices $(\alpha, \beta)$, so that $\alpha(l)=\beta(l)$ for all $l \neq k$, but $\alpha(k) \neq \beta(k)$. The first part of this expression is very nearly a sum of quadratic forms (and becomes one if we replace everywhere in the diagonals $\tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} p_{\alpha} h_{k}\right)$ by the smaller number $\tau\left(p_{\alpha}\right)\left(\tau\left(p_{\alpha(k)} h_{k}\right)^{2}\left(\tau\left(p_{\alpha(k)}\right)^{-2}\right)\right.$. The second part is a sum of difference quotients, not unlike those encountered in Löwner's theory for matrix monotonicity. We see that $\mathrm{d}^{2} \varphi_{\underline{x}}$ is positive if the Hesse matrix $\mathrm{d}^{2} f\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right)$ is positive for all $\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}$, and if moreover $\left.f_{k}^{\prime} \overline{\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\beta}\right.}\right) \leqslant f_{k}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right)$ for all $\alpha, \beta$ in $\Delta_{k}$ such that $\underline{\lambda}_{\beta(k)}<\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha(k)}$.
3.3. Corollary. If $\tau$ is a bounded trace on $A$ and $f$ is a continuous real function on $\underline{I}$ which is increasing in the sense that $f(\underline{u}) \leqslant f(\underline{u}+\underline{v})$ whenever $\underline{v} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, then the function $\varphi(\underline{x})=\tau(f(\underline{x}))$ is increasing on $\bigoplus\left(\left(A_{k}\right)_{\mathrm{sa}}^{I_{k}}\right)$ in the same sense.

Proof. We may assume that $\tau$ is multiplicative on $A=A_{1} A_{2} \cdots A_{n}$ and that $f$ is a Schwartz function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and monotone increasing on $\underline{I}$. But then in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we showed that

$$
\mathrm{d} \varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h})=\sum_{k} \tau\left(f_{k}^{\prime}(\underline{x}) h_{k}\right) .
$$

By assumption $f_{k}^{\prime} \geqslant 0$ for every $k$, so if $\underline{h} \in \bigoplus\left(\left(A_{k}\right)_{+}\right)$we see that

$$
\tau\left(f_{k}^{\prime}(\underline{x}) h_{k}\right)=\tau\left(h_{k}^{1 / 2} f_{k}^{\prime}(\underline{x}) h_{k}^{1 / 2}\right) \geqslant 0
$$

whence $\mathrm{d} \varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h}) \geqslant 0$.

Thus, by Theorem 2.1 of [12] or Theorem 2.7 of [19],

$$
\varphi(\underline{x}+\underline{h})-\varphi(\underline{x})=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} \varphi_{\underline{x}+s \underline{h}}(\underline{h}) \mathrm{d} s \geqslant 0
$$

if $\underline{h} \geqslant 0$, as desired.

## 4. UNBOUNDED TRACES

We wish to consider unbounded traces on a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ generated by mutually commuting $C^{*}$-subalgebras $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$. Here the unit is just in the way, and we shall assume instead that $\tau$ is a densely defined, lower semi-continuous trace on $A$.

Let $K(A)_{+}$denote the cone in $A_{+}$hereditarily generated by sums of elements $x$ in $A_{+}$, such that $x y=x$ for some $y$ in $A_{+}$. Then $K(A)=\operatorname{span} K(A)_{+}$is the minimal dense ideal of $A$ (cf. [18], 5.6). It follows that the set $T(A)$ of densely defined, lower semi-continuous traces on $A$ can be identified with the space of positive tracial functionals on $K(A)$ (cf. [18], 5.6.7). In our situation, where $A$ is generated by products $A_{1} A_{2} \cdots A_{n}$ we note that $K\left(A_{1}\right) K\left(A_{2}\right) \cdots K\left(A_{n}\right) \subset K(A)$. In fact, $K(A)_{+}$is hereditarily generated by sums of such products. To see this, consider $x_{k}, y_{k}$ in $\left(A_{k}\right)_{+}$for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, such that $x_{k} y_{k}=x_{k}$. Then with $x=$ $x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}$ and $y=y_{1} y_{2} \cdots y_{n}$ we have $x y=x$, whence $x \in K(A)$.
4.1. THEOREM. With notations as above, if $\tau$ is a densely defined, lower semi-continuous trace on $A$ then for every positive, continuous and convex function $f$ on $\underline{I}=I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{n}$, where $0 \in I_{k}$ for each $k$ and $f(\underline{0})=0$, the operator function

$$
\varphi(\underline{x})=\tau(f(\underline{x})) \quad \text { on the convex set } \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left(A_{k}\right)_{\mathrm{sa}}^{I_{k}}\right)
$$

is convex, possibly with infinite values.
Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we may assume that $A$ is separable. By assumption $\tau$ belongs to the simplicial cone $T(A)$ of densely defined, lower semi-continuous traces on $A$, cf. [17], Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. By Theorem 5.8.3 and its Corollaries 5.8.4 and 5.8.5 of [18] each $\tau$ in $T(A)$ has the form $\int \tau_{t} \mathrm{~d} \mu(t)$, where $t \rightarrow \tau_{t}$ is a Borel map into the set of characters in $T(A)$, i.e. points on the extreme rays of $T(J)$ (cf. [21], Proposition 11.1).

As in the proof of Theorem 3.3 it follows that it suffices to prove the result, assuming that $\tau$ is a character in $T(A)$. In that case, if $\left(\pi_{\tau}, \mathfrak{H}_{\tau}\right)$ denotes the GNS representation of $\tau$, the von Neumann algebra $\pi_{\tau}(A)^{\prime \prime}$ is a semi-finite factor (since every non-scalar central element $z$ in the positive part of the unit ball of $\pi_{\tau}(A)^{\prime \prime}$ will produce a non-trivial trace $\tau(z \cdot)$ majorized by $\left.\tau\right)$. The von Neumann subalgebras $\pi_{\tau}\left(A_{k}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ are mutually commuting and generate $\pi_{\tau}(A)^{\prime \prime}$, so each of these algebras is also a factor. For each $(n-1)$-tuple $x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$ where $x_{k} \in$ $K\left(A_{k}\right)_{+}$the map $x_{1} \rightarrow \tau\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}\right)$ on $K\left(A_{1}\right)$ extends to a semi-finite normal trace $\tau_{1}$ on $\pi_{\tau}\left(A_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime}$. It follows by iteration that each of the factors $\pi_{\tau}\left(A_{k}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ is semi-finite with a trace $\tau_{k}$ and that the function $x \rightarrow \tau_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \tau_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots \tau_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)$, defined for any element $x=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}$ in $\pi_{\tau}(A)^{\prime \prime}$ such that $x_{k} \in K\left(A_{k}\right)$ for every $k$, extends to a semi-finite trace on $\pi_{\tau}(A)^{\prime \prime}$, hence must be proportional to
$\tau$. Consequently we may assume that $\tau\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}\right)=\tau_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \tau_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots \tau_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)$ for any such $n$-tuple. Moreover, if $J_{k}$ denotes the ideal of definition for $\tau_{k}$ we have $K\left(J_{1}\right) K\left(J_{2}\right) \cdots K\left(J_{n}\right) \subset K\left(J_{\tau}\left(\pi_{\tau}(A)^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$.

Replacing $A_{k}$ with $J_{k}$ and $A$ with the $C^{*}$-algebra generated by the ideals $J_{k}$ in the von Neumann algebras $\pi_{\tau}\left(A_{k}\right)^{\prime \prime}$, but replacing also $\tau$ with its extension to $\pi_{\tau}(A)^{\prime \prime}$, we have an extension of the old function $\varphi$, even though we now compute the elements $f(\underline{x})$ in a new algebra. This means that it suffices to prove the theorem assuming that each $A_{k}$ is a norm-closed ideal in some von Neumann algebra and has a lower semi-continuous, densely defined trace $\tau_{k}$ such that

$$
\tau\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}\right)=\tau_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \tau_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots \tau_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

whenever $x_{k} \in K\left(A_{k}\right)$ for each $k$.
From now on the proof proceeds much as in the finite case. We reduce to the case where $f$ is a Schwartz function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, convex on some bounded cube $\underline{I}=I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{n}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, so that we can define

$$
f(\underline{x})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \underline{t} \cdot \underline{x} \widehat{f}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t} . . . . . . . . .}
$$

Since $A$ generated by the commuting algebras $A_{k}$, each of which is a closed ideal in some von Neumann algebra, we see by approximation that it suffices to prove convexity of the function $\varphi(\underline{x})=\tau(f(\underline{x}))$, assuming that $\underline{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ where for each $k$ we have $x_{k}=\sum \lambda_{n_{k}} p_{n_{k}}$. Here $\lambda_{n_{k}} \in I_{k}$ (and are pairwise distinct), and $\left\{p_{n_{k}}\right\}$ is a finite family of pairwise orthogonal projections with sum 1 such that $p_{n_{k}} \in K\left(A_{k}\right)$ unless $\lambda_{n_{k}}=0$. Thus, adopting the multi-index notation we have

$$
f(\underline{x})=\sum_{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \underline{t} \cdot \underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}} p_{\alpha} \widehat{f}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t}=\sum_{\alpha} f\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) p_{\alpha}
$$

and $p_{\alpha} \in K(A)$ unless $\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}=\underline{0}$.
To prove positivity of the second Fréchet differential of $\varphi$ we further observe that by approximation it suffices to show that

$$
\mathrm{d}^{2} \varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h}, \underline{h}) \geqslant 0
$$

when $\underline{h}=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$ and $h_{k} \in K\left(A_{k}\right)_{\text {sa }}$ for all $k$. By computation we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} f_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h}) & =\sum_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{s i \underline{t} \cdot \underline{x}} \mathrm{i} t_{k} h_{k} \mathrm{e}^{(1-s) \underline{i} \cdot \underline{x}} \mathrm{~d} s \widehat{f}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t} \\
& =\sum_{k} \sum_{\alpha, \beta_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}} \int_{0}^{1} \int^{1} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{si} \underline{t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\alpha}} p_{\alpha} h_{k} \mathrm{e}^{(1-s) \mathrm{i} \underline{t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\beta}} p_{\beta} \mathrm{d} s i t_{k} \widehat{f}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, since $p_{\alpha} p_{\beta}=0$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} \varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h}) & =\tau\left(\mathrm{d} f_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h})\right)=\sum_{k} \sum_{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \underline{\underline{t}} \cdot \underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}} \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k}\right) \mathrm{i} t_{k} \widehat{f}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t} \\
& =\sum_{k} \sum_{\alpha} f_{k}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k}\right)=\sum_{k} \tau\left(f_{k}^{\prime}(\underline{x}) h_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mathrm{d} f_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h})$ and $\sum f_{k}^{\prime}(\underline{x}) h_{k}$ have the same trace. Computing the Fréchet differential of the second function (with respect to $\underline{x}$ and the increment $\underline{h}$ ) we find the expression

$$
\sum_{k, l} \sum_{\alpha, \beta_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{s i \underline{t} \cdot \underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}} p_{\alpha} h_{l} \mathrm{e}^{(1-s) \underline{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \hat{\lambda}_{\beta}} p_{\beta} \mathrm{d} s h_{k} \mathrm{i} t_{l} \widehat{f}_{k}^{\prime}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t} .
$$

Taking the trace this means, as in the bounded case, that $\mathrm{d}^{2} \varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{h}, \underline{h})=\sum_{k l} D_{k l}$. For $k \neq l$ we get

$$
D_{k l}=\sum_{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \underline{t} \cdot \underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}} \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} h_{l}\right) \mathrm{i} t_{l} \widehat{f}_{k}^{\prime}(\underline{t}) \mathrm{d} \underline{t}=\sum_{\alpha} f_{k l}^{\prime \prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} h_{l}\right)=\tau\left(f_{k l}^{\prime \prime}(\underline{x}) h_{k} h_{l}\right)
$$

using the multiplicative form of $\tau$. For $k=l$ we find that
$D_{k k}=\sum_{\Delta}\left(f_{k}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right)-f_{k}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\beta}\right)\right)\left(\lambda_{\alpha(k)}-\lambda_{\beta(k)}\right)^{-1} \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} p_{\alpha} h_{k}\right)+\sum_{\alpha} f_{k k}^{\prime \prime}\left(\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) \tau\left(p_{\alpha} h_{k} p_{\alpha} h_{k}\right)$.
The expressions for the $D_{k l}$ are thus exactly as in the bounded case, and the proof is completed as in Theorem 3.1.
4.2. Remark. The condition above that $\tau$ be densely defined can be relaxed. All that is needed for the argument to go through is that $\tau$ restricted to its ideal of definition $J_{\tau}$ has a desintegration $\tau=\int \sigma \mathrm{d} \mu(\sigma)$, such that each $\sigma$ splits as a product of non-trivial traces on the subalgebras $A_{k}$. Clearly, the closer $A$ is to a tensor product $A=\bigotimes A_{k}$ the more likely this is to happen.
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