# FINITE REPRESENTABILITY OF HOMOGENEOUS HILBERTIAN OPERATOR SPACES IN SPACES WITH FEW COMPLETELY BOUNDED MAPS

# T. OIKHBERG

#### Communicated by William Arveson

ABSTRACT. For every homogeneous Hilbertian operator space H, we construct a Hilbertian operator space X such that every infinite dimensional subquotient Y of X is completely indecomposable, and fails the Operator Approximation Property, yet H is completely finitely representable in Y. If H satisfies certain conditions, we also prove that every completely bounded map on such Y is a compact perturbation of a scalar.

**KEYWORDS:** *Operator spaces, homogeneous Hilbertian spaces, finite representability, Operator Approximation Property.* 

MSC (2000): 46L07, 47L25, 46B20.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN RESULT

In [3], T. Gowers and B. Maurey gave the first example of a hereditarily indecomposable Banach space *Z* (recall that an infinite dimensional space *Z* is called *hereditarily indecomposable* if it is not isomorphic to a direct sum of two infinite dimensional Banach spaces). Since then, a variety of hereditarily indecomposable Banach spaces were constructed. An overview of the current state of affairs is given in [5].

A non-commutative counterpart of this space was obtained by E. Ricard and the author in [8]. There, we gave an example of an operator space X, isometric to  $\ell_2$  (as a Banach space), such that an operator  $T : Y \to X$  (Y being a subspace of X) is completely bounded if and only if  $T = \lambda J_Y + S$ , where  $J_Y$  is the natural embedding,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , and S is a Hilbert-Schmidt map. In particular, X is *completely hereditarily indecomposable* — that is, no infinite dimensional subspace  $Y \hookrightarrow X$  is completely isomorphic to an  $\ell_{\infty}$  sum of two infinite dimensional operator spaces. Moreover, X fails the Operator Approximation Property (see below for the definition). For any *n*-dimensional subspace  $Y \hookrightarrow X$ , there exists a unitary  $U : Y \to Y$ such that  $||U||_{cb} \ge \sqrt{n}/16$ . Our present goal is to construct completely hereditarily indecomposable operator spaces with "some structure" — that is, spaces which are saturated with "nice" finite dimensional subspaces. More precisely, for any homogeneous Hilbertian operator space H, we construct a Hilbertian operator space X such that:

(i) For any infinite dimensional subspace *Y* of a quotient of *X*,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a subspace  $F \hookrightarrow Y$  which is  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -completely isomorphic to an *n*-dimensional subspace of *H*.

(ii) Any *Y* as above is completely hereditarily indecomposable, and fails the Operator Approximation Property.

If *H* satisfies certain conditions, then, in addition, any c.b. map on *Y* is a compact perturbation of a scalar.

Below we recall some facts and definitions concerning operator spaces. For more information, the reader is referred to [2], [9], or [10].

We say that an operator space is *c*-*Hilbertian* if its underlying Banach space is *c*-isomorphic to a Hilbert space. *X* is *c*-homogeneous if  $||T||_{cb} \leq c||T||$  for any  $T \in B(X)$ . An infinite dimensional operator space *X* is called *completely indecomposable* if it is not completely isomorphic to an  $\ell_{\infty}$  direct sum of two infinite dimensional operator spaces (equivalently, any c.b. projection on *X* has finite dimensional kernel, or finite dimensional range).

We use the term *subquotient* to mean a subspace of a quotient.

An operator space *X* is said to have the *Operator Approximation Property* (*OAP*, for short) if, for any  $x \in \mathcal{K} \otimes X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite rank map  $T : X \to X$  such that  $||(I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes T)x - x|| < \varepsilon$  (here  $\mathcal{K}$  is the space of compact operators on  $\ell_2$ , and  $\otimes$  denotes the minimal (injective) tensor product). *X* has the *Compact Operator Approximation Property* (*COAP*) if, for any  $x \in \mathcal{K} \otimes X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact map  $T : X \to X$  such that  $||(I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes T)x - x|| < \varepsilon$ . More details about the OAP, as well as several equivalent reformulations of this property, can be found in Chapter 11 of [2].

The *complete Banach-Mazur distance* between the operator spaces *X* and *Y* is defined as

$$d_{cb}(X,Y) = \inf\{\|T\|_{cb}\|T^{-1}\|_{cb} \mid T \in CB(X,Y)\}.$$

We say that an operator space *Y* is *c*-completely finitely representable in *X* if for any finite dimensional subspace  $Z \hookrightarrow Y$  there exists  $W \hookrightarrow X$  such that  $d_{cb}(W, Z) \leq c$ . *Y* is called *c*-completely complementably finitely representable in *X* if for any finite dimensional subspace  $Z \hookrightarrow Y$  there exists a projection  $P \in CB(X)$  such that  $||P||_{cb} \leq c$ , and  $d_{cb}(P(X), Z) \leq c$ .

If *H* is a 1-homogeneous 1-Hilbertian operator space, we denote by  $H_n$  the *n*-dimensional operator space, completely isometric to (any) *n*-dimensional subspace of *H*. We say that *H* has property ( $\mathcal{P}$ ) if there exists a sequence (m(n))  $\subset \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\|\mathrm{id}:\mathrm{MIN}_{m(n)}(R_n+C_n)\to H_n\|_{\mathrm{cb}}=0.$$

Here, *id* is the formal identity map between *n*-dimensional Hilbert spaces, and the space  $MIN_k(X)$  (*X* being an operator space) is such that

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathrm{MIN}_k(X)} = \sup\{\|I_{\mathcal{K}}\otimes u(x)\|_{\mathcal{K}\otimes M_k} \mid u\in CB(X,M_k), \|u\|_{\mathrm{cb}}\leqslant 1\},\$$

where, as usual,  $M_k$  stands for the pace of  $k \times k$  matrices. The reader is referred to [8] for more information about MIN<sub>k</sub>. For future reference, we need to consider a special case of the functor MIN<sub>k</sub> — namely, MIN<sub>1</sub> (denoted by MIN for the sake of brevity). If *X* is a Banach or operator space, and  $x \in \mathcal{K} \otimes X$ , then

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathrm{MIN}(X)} = \sup\{\|I_{\mathcal{K}}\otimes f(x)\|_{\mathcal{K}} \mid f\in X^*, \|f\|_{\mathrm{cb}}\leqslant 1\}.$$

In other words, if  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{K}$ , and  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ , then

$$\left\|\sum a_i \otimes x_i\right\|_{\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathrm{MIN}(X)} = \sup \left\{\left\|\sum f(x_i)a_i\right\|_{\mathcal{K}} \mid f \in X^*, \, \|f\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq 1\right\}.$$

Note that, for any 1-homogeneous 1-Hilbertian space H,  $\|\text{id} : \text{MIN}(\ell_2^n) \rightarrow H_n\|_{cb} \ge \|\text{id} : \text{MIN}_{m(n)}(R_n + C_n) \rightarrow H_n\|_{cb}$ , hence H has property  $(\mathcal{P})$  whenever  $\lim_n \sup \|\text{id} : \text{MIN}(\ell_2^n) \rightarrow H_n\|_{cb}/n = 0$ . In particular (by Chapter 10 of [10]), the spaces OH, R + C, and  $R \cap C$  have  $(\mathcal{P})$ . To describe another large class of spaces possessing  $(\mathcal{P})$ , recall that an operator space X is *exact* if there exists C > 0 such that for any finite dimensional subspace  $E \hookrightarrow X$  there exists  $F \hookrightarrow M_N$  such that  $d_{cb}(E,F) \le C$ . The infimum of all such constants C is called *the exactness constant* of X, and denoted by ex (X). Observe that H has property  $(\mathcal{P})$  if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \exp(H_n)/\sqrt{n} = 0$ . Indeed, by Smith's Lemma (Proposition 8.11 of [9]), there exists a sequence of positive integers  $r(1) < r(2) < \cdots$  such that , for every operator space X, and every  $v \in CB(X, H_n)$ ,

$$\|v: X \to H_n\|_{cb} \leq 2ex (H_n) \|I_{M_{r(n)}} \otimes v: M_{r(n)} \otimes X \to M_{r(n)} \otimes H_n\|$$

(we could have used  $1 + \varepsilon$  instead of 2). Then, by [8],

$$(2\mathrm{ex} (H_n))^{-1} \| \mathrm{id} : \mathrm{MIN}_{r(n)}(R_n + C_n) \to H_n \|_{\mathrm{cb}}$$
  
$$\leq \| I_{M_{r(n)}} \otimes \mathrm{id} : M_{r(n)} \otimes \mathrm{MIN}_{r(n)}(R_n + C_n) \to M_{r(n)} \otimes H_n \|$$
  
$$= \| I_{M_{r(n)}} \otimes \mathrm{id} : M_{r(n)} \otimes (R_n + C_n) \to M_{r(n)} \otimes H_n \| \leq \| \mathrm{id} : R_n + C_n \to H_n \|_{\mathrm{cb}}.$$

However, by Theorem 10.6 of [10],

 $\|\mathrm{id}:R_n+C_n\to H_n\|_{\mathrm{cb}}\leqslant \|\mathrm{id}:R_n+C_n\to \mathrm{MAX}(\ell_2^n)\|_{\mathrm{cb}}=\sqrt{n}.$ 

This establishes property  $(\mathcal{P})$ .

The main result of this paper is

THEOREM 1.1. Suppose H is a separable 1-homogeneous 1-Hilbertian operator space. Then there exists a separable 1-Hilbertian operator space X such that for every infinite dimensional subquotient Y of X we have:

(i) For any ε > 0, H is (1+ε)-completely complementably finitely representable in Y.
(ii) Y is completely indecomposable.

(iii) Y fails the Compact Operator Approximation Property.

(iv) If H has property  $(\mathcal{P})$ , then every completely bounded map on Y is a compact perturbation of a scalar.

Clearly, the COAP implies the OAP. By Chapter 11 of [2], the OAP passes from an operator space to its predual. Therefore, dualizing the space *X* constructed in Theorem 1.1, we conclude:

COROLLARY 1.2. Suppose H is a separable 1-homogeneous 1-Hilbertian operator space, whose dual H<sup>\*</sup> has property ( $\mathcal{P}$ ). Then there exists a separable 1-Hilbertian operator space X such that for every infinite dimensional subquotient Y of X we have:

(i) For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , *H* is  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -completely complementably finitely representable in Y.

(ii) *Y* is completely indecomposable.

(iii) Y fails the Operator Approximation Property.

(iv) Every completely bounded map on Y is a compact perturbation of a scalar.

In Section 2, we present a modification of the construction of asymptotic sets on the unit sphere of  $\ell_2$  (initially due to E. Odell and T. Schlumprecht [6]). In Section 3, we use these asymptotic sets to construct the space *X* from Theorem 1.1. Furthermore, we establish that all infinite dimensional subquotients of *X* are completely indecomposable, and *H* is completely complementably finitely representable in all such subquotients. In Section 4 we prove that all infinite-dimensional subquotients of *X* fail the OAP. Finally, in Section 5 we show that any c.b. map on an infinite dimensional subquotient of *X* is a compact perturbation of a scalar multiple of the identity, provided *H* has property ( $\mathcal{P}$ ).

#### 2. ASYMPTOTIC SETS IN $\ell_2$

First we recall some Banach space notions, to be used in this and subsequent sections. All spaces are presumed to be infinite dimensional, unless stated otherwise. For a space X,  $\mathbf{B}_X = \{x \in X \mid ||x|| \le 1\}$  and  $\mathbf{S}_X = \{x \in X \mid ||x|| = 1\}$  stand for the unit ball and the unit sphere of X, respectively.

We say that a sequence  $(\delta_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a *basis* in a Banach space X if for every  $x \in X$  there exists a unique sequence of scalars  $(a_i)$  such that  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \delta_i$ . Equivalently (see e.g. Proposition 1.a.3 of [4]), the projections  $P_n \in B(X)$ , defined via  $P_n\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \delta_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \delta_i$ , are well defined, and  $\sup_n ||P_n|| < \infty$ . If *E* is a finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ , we write  $E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \delta_i\right) = \sum_{i \in E} a_i \delta_i$ . The *support* of  $a = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \delta_i$  (denoted by supp *a*) is the set of  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $a_i \neq 0$ .

If *E* and *F* are finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , we write E < F if max  $E < \min F$ . If a Banach space *X* has a basis  $(\delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we write a < b  $(a, b \in X)$  if supp a < supp b.

The basis  $(\delta_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is called 1-*subsymmetric* if  $\left\|\sum_i a_i \delta_i\right\| = \left\|\sum_i \omega_i a_i \delta_{n_i}\right\|$  for any finite sequence  $(a_i)$ , any  $(\omega_i)$  with  $|\omega_i| = 1$ , and any increasing sequence  $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots$  (sometimes, the term "1-unconditional 1-subsymmetric" is used to describe bases with this property).

For  $S_1, S_2 \subset X$ , we set dist $(S_1, S_2) = \inf\{\|x_1 - x_2\| \mid x_1 \in S_1, x_2 \in S_2\}$ .

A set  $A \subset X$  is called *asymptotic* if, for every infinite dimensional  $Y \subset X$ , dist(A, Y) = 0. If  $(\delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a 1-subsymmetric basis for X, we say that  $A \subset X$ is *spreading* (*unconditional*) if, for any  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \delta_i \in A$ , we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \delta_{n_i} \in A$  for any  $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots$  (respectively  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega_i a_i \delta_i \in A$  for any  $|\omega_i| = 1$ ).

The idea of constructing a sequence of asymptotic sets, satisfying certain conditions, was used by E. Odell and T. Schlumprecht in [6] in order to prove that  $\ell_p$  is distortable for 1 . Below we prove a sharper version of one of their results.

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose  $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2 > \cdots$  is a sequence of positive numbers, and  $(K_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of positive integers. Then there exists a sequence of asymptotic spreading unconditional sets  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$ , consisting of unit vectors in  $\ell_2$  with finite support, such that

(2.1) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{K_n} |\langle a, b_k \rangle|^2 < \varepsilon_m^2$$

whenever m < n,  $a \in A_m$ ,  $b_1$ , ...,  $b_{K_n} \in A_n$ , and  $b_1 < \cdots < b_{K_n}$ .

The Schlumprecht space *S* is essential for proving this theorem. Recall (see [3], [6], [7], [11]) that *S* has a 1-subsymmetric basis  $(\delta_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , and

(2.2) 
$$\left\|\sum_{i}a_{i}\delta_{i}\right\| = \sup\left\{\sup_{i}|a_{i}|,\sup_{n\geq 2, E_{1}<\cdots< E_{n}}\frac{1}{\phi(n)}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|\sum_{i\in E_{j}}a_{i}\delta_{i}\right\|\right\}$$

(here  $\phi(t) = \log(t+1)$ ). Using the ideas of [6], we first present "nice" sets in *S* and its dual.

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose  $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \cdots$  is a sequence of positive numbers, and  $(K_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of positive integers. Then there exist spreading unconditional sets  $B_1, B_2, \ldots \subset \mathbf{S}_S$  and  $B_1^*, B_2^*, \ldots \subset \mathbf{B}_{S^*}$ , consisting of vectors with finite support, such that:

(i)  $B_n$  is asymptotic for every n.

(ii)  $|\langle a, Eb \rangle| < \sigma_{\min\{m,n\}}$  if  $a \in B_n$ ,  $b \in B_m^*$ , and  $E \subset \mathbb{N}$ .

(iii) For every  $a \in B_m$  there exists  $b \in B_m^*$  satisfying  $|\langle a, b \rangle| > 1 - \sigma_m$ .

(iv) Suppose  $m < n, a \in B_m, b_1, ..., b_{K_n} \in B_n^*, b_1 < \cdots < b_{K_n}$ , and  $E_1 < \cdots < E_{K_n}$ . Then  $\sum_{k=1}^{K_n} |\langle a, E_k b_k \rangle| < 2\sigma_m$ .

#### T. OIKHBERG

Sketch of the proof. We rely on the construction from Section 2 of [3] (summarized in [6] as Lemma 3.3). There, T. Gowers and B. Maurey show the existence of a rapidly increasing sequence  $p_k \nearrow \infty$ , and a rapidly decreasing sequence  $\sigma'_k \searrow 0$ , with the following property: for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$B_n^* = \left\{ rac{1}{\phi(p_n)} \sum_{j=1}^{p_n} b_j \, | \, b_j \in S^*, \, \|b_j\| = 1, \, b_1 < \cdots < b_{p_n} 
ight\} \subset \mathbf{B}_{S^*},$$

and let  $B_n$  be the set of all  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p_n} x_i\right) / \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{p_n} x_i\right\| \in \mathbf{S}_S$ , where  $(x_i)_{i=1}^{p_n}$  is a RIS sequence of length  $p_n$ , with constant  $1 + \sigma'_n$  (we do not reproduce the definition of RIS, as it is quite cumbersome, and is not really necessary here; suffices to say that above,  $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{p_n}$ ). Then the sets  $B_n$  and  $B_n^*$  are unconditional and spreading, and the statements (i), (ii), and (iii) of the lemma hold. It remains to prove (iv).

By passing to a subsequence, we can assume that  $\phi(K_n p_n) < 2\phi(p_n)$  for every *n* (recall that  $\phi(t) = \log(t+1)$ ). Suppose *m*, *n*, *a*, and  $(b_k)_{k=1}^{K_n}$  are as in (2.2). The sets  $B_m$  and  $B_n^*$  are unconditional, hence it suffices to prove (2.2) when all the entries of *a* and  $(b_k)$  are non-negative, and  $E_k = \text{supp } b_k$  for each *k*. In this situation, we have to show that  $\langle a, \sum_{k=1}^{K_n} b_k \rangle < 2\sigma_m$ . By construction,

$$b_k = \frac{1}{\phi(p_n)} \sum_{j=1}^{p_n} b_{jk},$$

where  $b_{jk} \in \mathbf{B}_{S^*}$   $(1 \le j \le p_n)$  are such that  $b_{1k} < \cdots < b_{p_nk}$ . By passing from  $b_{jk}$  to  $E_k b_{jk}$  if necessary, we can assume that supp  $b_{jk} \subset$  supp  $b_k$  for each j, hence

$$b_{11} < b_{21} < \cdots < b_{p_n 1} < b_{12} < \cdots < b_{p_n K_n}$$

Let

$$\widetilde{b} = \frac{1}{\phi(p_n K_n)} \sum_{k=1}^{K_n} \sum_{j=1}^{p_n} b_{jk} = \frac{\phi(p_n)}{\phi(p_n K_n)} \sum_{k=1}^{K_n} b_k.$$

By (2.2),  $\|\tilde{b}\| \leq 1$ , hence  $\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{K_n} b_k\right\| \leq \phi(p_n K_n)/\phi(p_n) < 2$ . Moreover,  $a = \alpha \sum_{s=1}^{p_m} a_s$ , where  $\|a_s\| = 1$  for each s,  $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{p_m}$ , and  $\alpha = \left\|\sum_{s=1}^{p_m} a_s\right\|$ . By (2.2),  $\alpha \leq \phi(p_m)/p_m$ . By Lemma 5 of [3] (and by the choice of sequences  $(p_n)$  and  $(\sigma'_n)$ ),  $\langle a, \tilde{b} \rangle \leq 2\alpha < \sigma_m$ . Thus,  $\left\langle a, \sum_{k=1}^{K_n} b_k \right\rangle < 2\sigma_m$ , as desired.

*Proof of Theorem* 2.1. Below we view elements of *S*, *S*<sup>\*</sup>, and  $\ell_2$  as sequences (via the expansions with respect to the canonical bases of these spaces). Operations of multiplication etc. are defined pointwise.

Suppose  $B_1, B_1^*, B_2, B_2^*, ...$  are as in the previous lemma, with  $2\sigma_k/(1-\sigma_k) < \varepsilon_k$ . Define  $A_k$  as the set of vectors  $x \in \ell_2$  for which  $|x|^2 = ab/\langle a, b \rangle$ , with  $a \in B_k$ ,

 $b \in B_k^*$ ,  $a, b \ge 0$ , and  $\langle a, b \rangle > 1 - \sigma_k$ . It follows from [6] that the sets  $A_k$  are asymptotic, spreading, and unconditional. To show (2.1), suppose m < n, and consider non-negative  $x, y_1, \ldots, y_{K_n} \in \ell_2$  such that  $x^2 = ab$  and  $y_k^2 = a_k b_k$  with  $a \in B_m$ ,  $b \in B_m^*$ ,  $a_k \in B_n$ ,  $b_k \in B_n^*$  (for  $1 \le k \le K_n$ ), and  $y_1 < y_2 < \cdots < y_{K_n}$ . Let  $E_k =$  supp  $y_k$ . By Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality,

$$\sum_{k} \langle x, y_k \rangle^2 = \sum_{k} \langle \sqrt{a}\sqrt{b}, \sqrt{E_k a_k}\sqrt{E_k b_k} \rangle^2 \leqslant \sum_{k} \langle a, E_k b_k \rangle \langle a_k, E_k b \rangle.$$

By the previous lemma,  $\sum_{k} \langle a, E_k b_k \rangle < 2\sigma_m$ , and  $\langle a_k, E_k b \rangle < \sigma_m$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{k} \left\langle \frac{x}{\|x\|}, \frac{y_k}{\|y_k\|} \right\rangle^2 \leq \frac{2\sigma_m^2}{(1-\sigma_m)^2}$$

This establishes (2.1).

#### 3. CONSTRUCTION AND BASIC PROPERTIES OF X

Construct a sequence of sets  $A_n$  as in Theorem 2.1, with  $\varepsilon_n = 239^{-n}$  and  $K_n = 10^n$ . Let  $(\delta_i)_{i=1}^N$  and  $(\delta_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  be the canonical bases in  $\ell_2^N$  and  $\ell_2$ , respectively. Denote by  $\mathcal{U}$  the set of operators  $U : \ell_2 \to \ell_2^{K_n}$  (*n* even) of the form

$$U\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{K_n} \langle \xi, f_j \rangle \delta_j$$
 with  $f_1, \ldots, f_{K_n} \in A_n, f_1 < \cdots < f_{K_n}$ 

or

$$U\xi = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{j=1}^{K_n} \langle \xi, f_{j+K_n} + \varepsilon f_j 
angle \delta_j \quad ext{with } f_1 < \cdots < f_{2K_n}, \ arepsilon = \pm 1,$$

and either  $f_1, ..., f_{2K_n} \in A_n$ , or  $f_1, ..., f_{K_n} \in A_n$ ,  $f_{K_n+1}, ..., f_{2K_n} \in A_{n+2}$ 

(in both cases,  $\xi \in \ell_2$ ). Let  $(U_i)$  be a countable dense subset in  $\mathcal{U}$  (that is, for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the range spaces of U and  $U_i$  coincide, and  $||U - U_i||_1 < \varepsilon$ ).

Denote by  $\mathcal{W}$  the set of operators  $W \in B(\ell_2)$  such that  $W\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{K_n} \langle \xi, f_j \rangle \delta_j$  for

 $\xi \in \ell_2$ , where *n* is odd, and  $f_1 < \cdots < f_{K_n}$  belong to  $A_n$ .

Following [8], fix a sequence  $s_0 < s_1 < \cdots$  (increasing "sufficiently fast"), and define spaces  $E_i = \text{MIN}_{s_i}(\text{MAX}_{s_{i-1}}(R_{n_i} \cap C_{n_i}))$ , for which:

(i)  $n_i = 100^j$  for some  $j = j(i) \in \mathbb{N}$ , and moreover, for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  the number  $100^j$  occurs infinitely many times in the sequence  $(n_i)$ .

(ii) For any operator  $u : E_i^* \to E_j$ , we have  $||u||_1 / 5 \le ||u||_{cb} \le ||u||_1$  if i = j,  $||u||_{cb} = ||u||_2$  if  $i \ne j$ .

(iii) If, in addition, *H* has property ( $\mathcal{P}$ ), then  $\lim_{i \to \infty} \gamma_j / 100^j = 0$ , where

$$\gamma_j = \| \text{id} : \text{MIN}_{s_{i-1}}(R_{100^j} + C_{100^j}) \to H_{100^j} \|_{\text{cb}}$$

and *i* is the smallest integer satisfying  $n_i = 100^j$  (or in other words,  $i = \min\{k : j = j(k)\}$ ). Consequently,  $\|\text{id} : E_i^* \to H_{100^{j(i)}}\|_{cb} \leq \gamma_j$  for any *i*.

Define the operator space *X* by setting, for  $x \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \ell_2$ ,

(3.1) 
$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{K}\otimes X} = \max\left\{ \|x\|_{\mathcal{K}\otimes \operatorname{MIN}(\ell_{2})}, \sup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \|(I_{\mathcal{K}}\otimes U_{i})x\|_{\mathcal{K}\otimes E_{i}}, \sup_{W\in\mathcal{W}} \|(I_{\mathcal{K}}\otimes W)x\|_{\mathcal{K}\otimes H} \right\}$$

(recall that, for  $x = \sum_{i} a_i \otimes \delta_i \in \mathcal{K} \otimes MIN(\ell_2)$ ,

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathrm{MIN}(\ell_2)} = \sup\left\{\left\|\sum_i \alpha_i a_i\right\|_{\mathcal{K}} \mid \sum_i |\alpha_i|^2 \leqslant 1\right\}\right).$$

It is easy to check that *X* satisfies Ruan's axioms, hence it is an operator space. Also, *X* is isometric to  $\ell_2$ . We shall show that it has all the desired properties. Start by showing that elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  "ignore" each other.

LEMMA 3.1. If  $U \in U$  and  $W \in W$ , then  $||UW^*||_1 \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that  $||UV||_1 \leq 1/2$  when  $U \in B(\ell_2, \ell_2^{K_n})$  and  $V \in B(\ell_2, \ell_2)$  are given by

(3.2) 
$$U\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{K_m} \langle \xi, g_j \rangle \delta_j, \text{ and } V\delta_i = \begin{cases} f_i & i \leq K_n, \\ 0 & i > K_n, \end{cases}$$

where  $f_1 < \cdots < f_{K_n}$  belong to  $A_n$ , and  $g_1 < \cdots < g_{K_m}$  belong to  $A_\ell$ , for  $\ell \ge m$ , and  $n \notin \{m, \ell\}$ . Indeed, the adjoint of any element of W equals V as above, while any element of U either equals to a U of the above form, or can be represented as  $(U_1 + U_2)/\sqrt{2}$ , with  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  resembling U in (3.2). Note that, for U and V as in (3.2),

$$UV\delta_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{K_m} \langle f_i, g_j \rangle \delta_j & i \leq K_n, \\ 0 & i > K_n, \end{cases}$$

and therefore,

(3.3) 
$$\|UV\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{K_n} \sum_{j=1}^{K_n} |\langle f_i, g_j \rangle|^2$$

To estimate  $||UV||_1$ , suppose first that  $n < \ell$ . By construction of  $A_n$  and  $A_\ell$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{K_m} |\langle f_i, g_j \rangle|^2 < \varepsilon_n^2$  for  $1 \le i \le K_n$ . Therefore, by (3.3)  $||UV||_2^2 \le K_n \varepsilon_n^2$ . Moreover, rank  $UV \leq \operatorname{rank} U = K_n$ , hence

$$\|UV\|_1 \leqslant \sqrt{\operatorname{rank} UV} \|UV\|_2 = K_n \varepsilon_n < \frac{1}{2},$$

by our choice of  $K_n$  and  $\varepsilon_n$ . If  $n > \ell$ , we similarly obtain  $||UV||_1 < K_m \varepsilon_\ell \leq K_\ell \varepsilon_\ell < 1/2$  (we use the fact that  $m \leq \ell$ ).

We shall identify subquotients of *X* with subspaces of *X* (as linear spaces). More precisely, suppose  $X'' \hookrightarrow X' \hookrightarrow X$ . Then Y = X/X'' and Y' = X'/X'' are identified with  $X \ominus X''$  and  $X' \ominus X''$ , respectively.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *H is*  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ *-completely complementably finitely representable in any infinite dimensional subquotient of X.* 

*Proof.* Fix an odd n, and consider  $f_1, \ldots, f_{K_n} \in A_n$  such that  $f_1 < \cdots < f_{K_n}$ . Denote by  $X_f$  the span of  $f_1, \ldots, f_{K_n}$  in X. We shall show that  $X_f$  is completely contractively complemented in X, and completely isometric to  $H_{K_n}$ . Indeed, there wint  $M_{K_n} \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $M_{K_n} \in \mathbb{N}$ .

exists 
$$W_0 \in \mathcal{W}$$
 such that  $W_0\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle \xi, f_j \rangle \delta_j$  for  $\xi \in X$ . By (3.1),  $\|W_0\|_{cb} = 1$ .

Consider  $W_0^*$  as an operator  $V : H \to X$ . Then

$$\|V\|_{cb} = \max\Big\{\|V\|_{CB(H,MIN(\ell_2))}, \sup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\|U_iV\|_{CB(H,E_i)}, \sup_{W\in\mathcal{W}}\|WV\|_{CB(H)}\Big\}.$$

But  $||V||_{CB(H,MIN(\ell_2))} = ||V|| = 1$ ,  $||WV||_{CB(H)} = ||WV|| \le 1$ , and  $||U_iV||_{CB(H,E_i)} \le ||U_iV||_1 \le 1$  by Lemma 3.1. Thus, both  $W_0$  and V are complete contractions, hence  $X_f$  is completely isometric to  $H_{K_n}$ . Moreover,  $P = VW_0$  is a completely contractive projection onto  $X_f$ .

Now consider Y' = X'/X'' (with  $X'' \hookrightarrow X' \hookrightarrow X$ ). By perturbing X' and X'' slightly, and identifying Y' with a subspace of X (as explained above), we can assume that  $Y' \cap A_n$  contains  $f_1 < \cdots < f_{K_n}$ . Denote by Z the span of  $f_1, \ldots, f_{K_n}$  in Y'. We claim that Z is completely isometric to  $H_{K_n}$ , and completely contractively complemented in Y'. Indeed, consider the orthogonal projection P from X onto Z. Above we have established that P is completely contractive as an operator on X. Therefore, for any  $z \in \mathcal{K} \otimes Z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|_{\mathcal{K}\otimes X'} \ge \|z\|_{\mathcal{K}\otimes Y'} &= \inf\{\|z+x\|_{\mathcal{K}\otimes X'} \mid x \in \mathcal{K}\otimes X''\}\\ \ge \inf\{\|(I_{\mathcal{K}}\otimes P)(z+x)\|_{\mathcal{K}\otimes X'} \mid x \in \mathcal{K}\otimes X''\} = \|z\|_{\mathcal{K}\otimes X'},\end{aligned}$$

since  $X'' \subset \ker P$ . Thus, *Z* is completely isometric to the span of  $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{K_n}$  in *X'*, which, by the above, is completely isometric to  $H_{K_n}$ . Moreover, *P* (viewed as an operator on *Y*) is completely contractive.

The following result yields a useful lower estimate for c.b. norms of operators on X and its subquotients.

PROPOSITION 3.3. Suppose  $X'' \hookrightarrow X' \hookrightarrow X$ , and let Y and Y' are the quotient spaces X/X'' and X'/X'', respectively.

(i) Consider the operators  $T: Y' \to Y$ ,  $U: Y \to \ell_2^{100^n}$ , and  $V: \ell_2^{100^n} \to Y'$ , such that  $U, V^* \in U$ . Then

$$||T||_{cb} \ge \frac{||UTV||_1}{5\max\{10^n, ||UV||_1\}}.$$

Consequently,  $||T||_{cb} \ge ||UTV||_1 / (5 \cdot 10^n)$  whenever U and V as above satisfy UV = 0. (ii) Suppose H has property  $(\mathcal{P})$ , and consider the operators  $T : Y' \to Y, U : Y \to \ell_1^{100^n}$ , and  $V : \ell_1^{100^n} \to Y'$ , such that  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then

$$|T||_{cb} \ge \frac{\|UTV\|_1}{5\max\{10^n \|V\|, \gamma_n \|V\|, \|UV\|_1\}}.$$

For the proof, we need the following two lemmas. Below, X'', X', X'', Y', and Y are as in the statement of Proposition 3.3.

LEMMA 3.4. Suppose *P* is the orthogonal projection from *X* onto *Y'*, and  $U_i$ :  $X \to E_i$  is as in the definition of *X*. Then  $||U_i|_{Y'}||_{CB(Y',E_i)} \leq 1 + 2||U_i - U_iP||_1$ .

*Proof.* Observe first that

$$||U_iP||_{CB(X,E_i)} \leq 1 + ||U_i - U_iP||_{CB(X,E_i)} \leq 1 + ||U_i - U_iP||_1.$$

Moreover,  $||U_iP||_{CB(X,E_i)} \ge ||U_iP|_{Y'}||_{CB(Y',E_i)}$ . Indeed, suppose  $y \in M_n \otimes Y'$  satisfies  $||y||_{M_n \otimes Y'} < 1$ . Then there exists  $x \in M_n \otimes X$  such that  $||x||_{M_n \otimes X} < 1$ , and  $I_{M_n} \otimes P(x) = y$ . We conclude that

$$||I_{M_n} \otimes U_i P(y)||_{M_n \otimes E_i} = ||I_{M_n} \otimes U_i P(x)||_{M_n \otimes E_i} < ||U_i P||_{CB(X,E_i)}$$

To finish the proof, note that  $||U_i|_{Y'}||_{CB(Y',E_i)} \leq ||U_iP|_{Y'}||_{CB(Y',E_i)} + ||U_i-U_iP||_1$ .

LEMMA 3.5. Suppose V as an operator from  $E_i^*$  to Y'. Then

$$\|V\|_{CB(E_i^*,Y')} \leq \max \Big\{ \|U_iV\|_1, \|V\|_2, \sup_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \|WV\|_{cb} \Big\}.$$

Consequently:

(i) If  $V^* \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $\|V\|_{CB(E_i^*, Y')} \leq \max\{\|U_i V\|_1, \|V\|_2\}$ .

(ii) If *H* has property ( $\mathcal{P}$ ) and  $n_i = 100^k$ , then

$$||V||_{CB(E_i^*,Y')} \leq \max\{||U_iV||_1, \max\{\sqrt{n_i}, \gamma_k\}||V||\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $q : X' \to Y'$  is the complete quotient map. By (3.1),

$$\|V\|_{CB(E_{i}^{*},Y')} = \|qV\|_{CB(E_{i}^{*},Y')} \leq \|V\|_{CB(E_{i}^{*},X)}$$
  
= max  $\Big\{ \|V\|_{CB(E_{i}^{*},\operatorname{MIN}(\ell_{2}))}, \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \|U_{j}V\|_{CB(E_{i}^{*},E_{j})}, \sup_{W\in\mathcal{W}} \|WV\|_{CB(E_{i}^{*},H)} \Big\}.$ 

However,  $\|V\|_{CB(E_i^*, MIN(\ell_2))} = \|V\|$ ,  $\|U_iV\|_{cb} \leq \|U_iV\|_1$ , and  $\|U_jV\|_{cb} = \|U_jV\|_2 \leq \|V\|_2$  for  $j \neq i$ . If  $V^* \in U$ , then, by Lemma 3.1,  $\|WV\|_{cb} \leq \|WV\|_1 \leq 1$ . If H has property  $(\mathcal{P})$  and  $n_i = 100^k$ , then  $\|WV\|_{cb} \leq \gamma_k \|V\|$ .

*Proof of Proposition* 3.3. We observe that, for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\|T\|_{cb} \ge \frac{\|U_i TV\|_{CB(E_i^*, E_i)}}{\|U_i|_Y\|_{CB(Y, E_i)} \|V\|_{CB(E_i^*, Y')}} \ge \frac{\|U_i TV\|_1}{5\|U_i|_Y\|_{CB(Y, E_i)} \|V\|_{CB(E_i^*, Y')}}$$

Approximating *U* with operators  $U_i$ , and using estimates for  $||U_i||_{cb}$  and  $||V||_{cb}$  obtained in Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, we achieve the result.

COROLLARY 3.6. Any infinite dimensional subquotient of X is completely indecomposable.

*Proof.* Suppose *P* is a projection on Y' = X'/X'' (here,  $X'' \hookrightarrow X' \hookrightarrow X$ ), and both the range and the kernel of *P* are infinite dimensional. The sets  $A_n$  involved in the construction of *X* are asymptotic, and therefore, by a small perturbation argument, we can assume that for any even *n* there exist  $f_1, \ldots, f_{2K_n} \in A_n \cap Y'$  such that  $f_1 < \cdots < f_{2K_n}$ , and

$$Pf_j = \begin{cases} f_j & j \leq K_n, \\ 0 & j > K_n. \end{cases}$$

Consider the operators  $U, V \in B(X, \ell_2^{K_n})$ , defined by

$$U\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{s=1}^{K_n} \langle \eta, f_{s+K_n} - f_s \rangle \delta_s, \quad V\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{s=1}^{K_n} \langle \eta, f_{s+K_n} + f_s \rangle \delta_s \quad (\xi \in \ell_2).$$

Then  $U, V \in U$ , and  $UV^* = 0$ . Therefore, by Proposition 3.3,

$$||P||_{cb} \ge \frac{||UPV^*||_1}{5 \cdot 10^{n/2}} = \frac{10^n/2}{5 \cdot 10^{n/2}} = 10^{n/2-1}.$$

The even integer *n* can be arbitrarily large, hence *P* is not completely bounded.

### 4. SUBQUOTIENTS OF X FAIL THE OAP

As in the previous section, we assume that  $X'' \hookrightarrow X' \hookrightarrow X$ , and Y' = X'/X'' is infinite dimensional. We establish

THEOREM 4.1. Y' fails the Compact Operator Approximation Property.

Our main tool is

LEMMA 4.2. Suppose Z is an operator space with the Compact Operator Approximation Property,  $(Z_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$  a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of Z,  $(F_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  a sequence of 1-exact operator spaces, and the function  $f : \mathbb{N} \to (2, \infty)$  is such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n) = \infty$ . Then there exists a compact operator  $\psi : Z \to Z$  such that  $\psi|_{Z_0} = I_{Z_0}$ , and  $\|u_i\psi|_{Z_i}\|_{cb} \leq f(i)\|u_i\|_{cb}$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u_i : Z \to F_i$ .

We omit the proof, as it is identical to the proof of Lemma 6.1 of [8].

#### T. OIKHBERG

*Proof of Theorem* 4.1. By a small perturbation argument, we may assume that Y' contains vectors  $f_{ij}$  ( $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq K_{2j}$ ) with finite support such that  $f_{ij} \in A_{2j}$ , and  $f_{ij} < f_{k\ell}$  if  $j < \ell$ , or  $j = \ell$  and i < k. For every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq 100$ , and  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ , define operators  $A_{j,m,\varepsilon} : Y' \to \ell_2^{K_{2j}}$  and  $B_{j,m,\varepsilon} : \ell_2^{K_{2j}} \to Y'$  by setting  $m' = K_{2j}(m-1)$ ,

$$B_{j,m,\varepsilon}\delta_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(f_{ij} - \varepsilon f_{m'+i+1,j+1}) \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le 100^{j}$$

 $\left(\left(\delta_{ij}
ight)_{i=1}^{K_{2j}}
ight)$  is the canonical basis of  $\ell_2^{K_{2j}}$ ), and

$$A_{j,m,\varepsilon}\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{100'} \langle \xi, f_{ij} + \varepsilon f_{m'+i+1,j+1} \rangle \delta_i \quad \text{for } \xi \in Y'.$$

We can assume that, for every triple  $(j, m, \varepsilon)$  as above, there exists  $s = s(j, m, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  for which dim  $E_s = K_{2j}$ , and  $U_s = A_{j,m,\varepsilon}$  (here, we identify  $E_s$  with  $\ell_2^{K_{2j}}$ ).

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that Y' has the COAP. By Lemma 4.2, there exists a compact operator  $\psi : Y' \to Y'$  such that  $\psi f_{i,3} = f_{i,3}$  for  $1 \le i \le 100^3$ , and

$$\|A_{j,m,\varepsilon}\psi B_{j,m,\varepsilon}\|_{cb} \leqslant j \|A_{j,m,\varepsilon}\|_{cb} \|B_{j,m,\varepsilon}\|_{cb} \quad \text{for } j \ge 3, \ 1 \leqslant m \leqslant 100, \ \varepsilon = \pm 1,$$

with  $A_{j,m,\varepsilon}$  and  $B_{j,m,\varepsilon}$  viewed as elements of  $CB(Y', E_{s(j,m,\varepsilon)})$  and  $CB(E^*_{s(j,m,\varepsilon)}, Y')$ , respectively. However,  $||A_{j,m,\varepsilon}||_{cb} \leq 1$ , and  $||B_{j,m,\varepsilon}||_{cb} \leq \sqrt{K_{2j}} = 10^j$  (by Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, respectively). Thus, we have

$$\|A_{j,m,\varepsilon}\psi B_{j,m,\varepsilon}\|_{CB(E^*_{s(j,m,\varepsilon)},E_{s(j,m,\varepsilon)})} \leq j \cdot 10^{j}$$

for any appropriate triple  $(j, m, \varepsilon)$ . By the basic properties of spaces  $E_i$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}(A_{j,m,\varepsilon}\psi B_{j,m,\varepsilon})) \leqslant \|A_{j,m,\varepsilon}\psi B_{j,m,\varepsilon}\|_1 \leqslant 5j \cdot 10^j.$$

An easy computation shows that

$$\operatorname{tr}(A_{j,m,\varepsilon}\psi B_{j,m,\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{K_{2j}} \langle \psi(f_{ij} - \varepsilon f_{m'+i+1,j+1}), f_{ij} + \varepsilon f_{m'+i+1,j+1} \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}(A_{j,m,1}\psi B_{j,m,1} + A_{j,m,-1}\psi B_{j,m,-1})) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K_{2j}} (\langle \psi(f_{ij}), f_{ij} \rangle - \langle \psi(f_{m'+i+1,j+1}), f_{m'+i+1,j+1} \rangle)\right) \leq 10^{j+1}j.$$

Consequently,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K_{2j}} \langle \psi(f_{m'+i+1,j+1}), f_{m'+i+1,j+1} \rangle \right) \ge \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K_{2j}} \langle \psi(f_{ij}), f_{ij} \rangle \right) - 2 \cdot 10^{j+1} j.$$

Summing over all values of *m* ( $1 \le m \le 100$ ), we obtain

(4.1) 
$$S_{j+1} \ge 100(S_j - 2 \cdot 10^{j+1}j),$$

where  $S_j = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{i=1}^{100^{j}} \langle \psi(f_{ij}), f_{ij} \rangle$ . This allows us to show by induction that

(4.2) 
$$S_j > \frac{j+1}{2j} 100^j > \frac{100^j}{2}$$

whenever  $j \ge 3$ . Indeed,  $\psi(f_{i,3}) = f_{i,3}$  for  $1 \le i \le 100^3$ , hence  $S_3 = 100^3$ . Assuming (4.2) holds for some  $j \ge 3$ , observe that

$$rac{2 \cdot 10^{1+j} j}{S_j} < 10^{2-j} j < rac{1}{(j+1)^2},$$

hence, by (4.1),

$$S_{j+1} \ge 100S_j \left(1 - \frac{2 \cdot 10^{j+1}j}{S_j}\right) > \frac{j+1}{2j} 100^{j+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(j+1)^2}\right) = \frac{j+2}{2(j+1)} 100^{j+1}.$$

This proves (4.2) for j + 1.

On the other hand,  $\psi$  is compact, hence  $\max_{1 \le i \le K_{2j}} \|\psi(f_{ij})\| < 1/2$  when *j* is sufficiently large. For such *j*,  $S_j < 100^j/2$ . This contradicts (4.2).

As a corollary, we prove:

COROLLARY 4.3. In the above notation, the spaces Y' and  $Y'^*$  are not exact.

For the proof, we need a non-commutative analogue of the notion of a basis. We say that a sequence  $(x_i)$  in an operator space X is *C*-completely basic if it is a basis in  $Y = \text{span}[x_i | i \in \mathbb{N}]$ , and moreover, the basis projections  $P_n \in CB(Y)$  (defined by setting  $P_n x_i = x_i$  if  $i \leq n$ , and  $P_n x_i = 0$  if i > n) satisfy  $\sup ||P_n||_{cb} \leq C$ .

In this setting,  $Y = \text{span}[x_i | i \in \mathbb{N}]$  clearly has the OAP. Therefore, Corollary 4.3 is proved by combining Theorem 4.1 with

LEMMA 4.4. Suppose Z is an infinite-dimensional  $\lambda$ -exact operator space. Then Z contains a C-completely basic sequence for any  $C > \lambda$ .

*Proof.* We select a *C*-completely basic sequence  $(z_i) \subset Z$  inductively. More precisely, we select linearly independent vectors  $z_1, z_2, \ldots \in Z$ , finite codimensional subspaces  $\cdots \hookrightarrow Z_2 \hookrightarrow Z_1 \hookrightarrow Z$ , and finite rank projections  $P_n \in CB(Z_n)$  such that, for any  $n, z_1, \ldots, z_n \in Z_n$ , ran  $P_n = \text{span}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$ ,  $||P_n||_{cb} < C$ , and  $P_m z_n = 0$  whenever m < n (then the operators  $P_n|_{\text{span}[z_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}]}$  play the role of basis projections).

First pick an arbitrary non-zero  $z_1 \in Z$ . By Hahn-Banach Theorem, there exists a contractive projection  $P_1$  onto  $E_1 = \text{span}[z_1]$ . Moreover,  $P_1$  has rank 1, hence it is completely contractive. Let  $Z_1 = Z$ .

Now suppose  $z_1, \ldots, z_n, Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ , and  $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ , as above have been selected. Pick an arbitrary non-zero  $z_{n+1} \in Z_n \cap \left(\bigcap_{m=1}^n \ker P_m\right)$ . Let  $E = \operatorname{span}[z_1, \ldots, z_{n+1}]$ . Find  $F \hookrightarrow M_N$  and  $u : E \to F$  such that  $||u||_{\operatorname{cb}} = 1$ ,  $||u^{-1}||_{\operatorname{cb}} < C$ . By Arveson-Wittstock-Stinespring-Paulsen extension theorem, there exists  $\widetilde{u} : Z_n \to M_N$  such that  $\widetilde{u}|_E = u$ , and  $||\widetilde{u}||_{\operatorname{cb}} = 1$ . Let  $Z_{n+1} = \operatorname{span}[E, \ker \widetilde{u}] \hookrightarrow Z_n$ , and note that dim  $Z_n / \ker \widetilde{u} \leq \dim M_N < \infty$ , hence dim  $Z_n / Z_{n+1} < \infty$ . Furthermore,  $\widetilde{u}(Z_{n+1}) \subset F$ . It is easy to see that  $P_{n+1} = u^{-1}\widetilde{u}|_{Z_{n+1}}$  is a projection from  $Z_{n+1}$  onto  $\operatorname{span}[z_1, \ldots, z_{n+1}]$ , with  $||P_{n+1}||_{\operatorname{cb}} < C$ . Moreover,  $P_m z_{n+1} = 0$  for  $m \leq n$ .

## 5. COMPLETELY BOUNDED MAPS ON SUBQUOTIENTS OF X

In this section, we assume that *H* has property  $(\mathcal{P})$ ,  $X'' \hookrightarrow X' \hookrightarrow X$ , Y = X/X'', and Y' = X'/X'' is infinite dimensional. We denote by  $J_{Y'}$  the natural embedding of Y' into Y. We show:

THEOREM 5.1. Any completely bounded operator  $S : Y' \to Y$  is of the form  $S = cJ_{Y'} + S'$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and S' is compact.

For the proof, we need the following proposition (it may be known to specialists).

PROPOSITION 5.2. Suppose Z' is a subspace of a Hilbert space Z, and  $T \in B(Z', Z)$ . Then either T is a compact perturbation of a scalar multiple of J (the natural embedding of Z' into Z), or there exist mutually orthogonal projections of infinite rank  $P \in B(Z')$ ,  $Q \in B(Z)$  such that  $QT|_{\operatorname{ran} P} \in B(\operatorname{ran} P, \operatorname{ran} Q)$  is invertible.

*Proof.* First denote by  $Q_0$  the orthogonal projection in B(Z) whose kernel equals Z'. If there are no infinite rank projections P and Q such that ran  $Q \subset$  ran  $Q_0$  and  $QT|_{\operatorname{ran} P}$  is invertible, then  $Q_0T$  is compact. This reduces the problem to the case of Z' = Z.

We denote by  $\mathcal{K}(H)$  the space of compact operators on H. We shall show that, if  $c = \text{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}I_Z + \mathcal{K}(Z)) > 0$ , then there exist mutually orthogonal projections P and Q of infinite rank such that  $QT|_{\text{ran }P} \in B(\text{ran }P, \text{ran }Q)$  is invertible.

Note that dist(*RTR*,  $\mathbb{C}R + \mathcal{K}(\operatorname{ran} R)$ ) = c for any orthogonal projection  $R \in B(Z)$  with finite dimensional kernel. By Theorem 9.12 of [1], for such an R there exist mutually orthogonal norm 1 vectors  $\xi(R)$ ,  $\eta(R) \in \operatorname{ran} R$  such that  $\langle T\xi(R), \eta(R) \rangle > c/3$ . This allows us to construct inductively vectors  $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\eta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in Z, such that, for any k, j,

(5.1) 
$$\langle \xi_k, \eta_j \rangle = 0, \ \langle \xi_k, \xi_j \rangle = \langle \eta_k, \eta_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & k=j, \\ 0 & k\neq j, \end{cases} \ \langle T\xi_k, \eta_j \rangle \begin{cases} >c/3 & k=j, \\ =0 & k\neq j. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, let  $R_1 = I_Z$ ,  $\xi_1 = \xi(R_1)$ , and  $\eta_1 = \eta(R_1)$ . Suppose  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n, \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n$  have already been selected in such a way that (5.1) holds whenever  $j, k \leq n$ . Let

 $R_{n+1}$  be the orthogonal projection whose kernel is spanned by  $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^n$ ,  $(\eta_i)_{i=1}^n$ ,  $(T\xi_i)_{i=1}^n$ , and  $(T^*\eta_i)_{i=1}^n$ . Let  $\xi_{n+1} = \xi(R_{n+1})$ ,  $\eta_{n+1} = \eta(R_{n+1})$ , and observe that now (5.1) holds for all  $j, k \leq n+1$ .

Denote by *Q* and *P* the orthogonal projections from *Z* onto span[ $\eta_n | n \in \mathbb{N}$ ] and span[ $\xi_n | n \in \mathbb{N}$ ], respectively. By the above,  $QT|_{\operatorname{ran} P}$  is invertible.

*Proof of Theorem* 5.1. Suppose  $T : Y' \to Y$  is not a compact perturbation of  $J_{Y'}$ . We shall show T is not completely bounded. By Proposition 5.2, there exist mutually orthogonal projections P and Q of infinite rank such that  $||QT\xi|| \ge ||\xi||/C$  for any  $\xi \in \operatorname{ran} P(C > 0)$ . By a small perturbation argument, assume the existence of  $f_1 < \cdots < f_{K_n}$  in  $\operatorname{ran} Q \cap A_n$  (n even). Consider  $U \in U$  which sends  $f_j$  into  $\delta_j$  ( $1 \le j \le K_n$ ), and annihilates  $\operatorname{span}[f_1, \ldots, f_{K_n}]^{\perp}$ . Define  $V : \ell_2^{K_n} \to \operatorname{ran} P \hookrightarrow Y'$  by setting  $V\delta_j = (QT)^{-1}f_j$  (once again,  $1 \le j \le K_n$ ). Then  $||V|| \le C$ , UV = 0, and UTV is the identity on  $\ell_2^{K_n}$ . Applying Lemma 3.3, we conclude that

$$||T||_{\rm cb} \ge \frac{100^n}{5C \max\{\gamma_n, 10^n\}}.$$

*n* can be chosen to be arbitrarily large, hence *T* is not completely bounded.

Acknowledgements. The author was partially supported by the NSF.

#### REFERENCES

- K. DAVIDSON, Nest Algebras. Triangular Forms for Operator Algebras on Hilbert Space, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow 1988.
- [2] E. EFFROS. Z.-J. RUAN, Operator Spaces, Oxford Univ. Press, New York 2000.
- [3] W.T. GOWERS, B. MAUREY, The unconditional basic sequence problem, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 6(1993), 851–874.
- [4] J. LINDENSTRAUSS, L. TZAFRIRI, Classical Banach Spaces. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1977.
- [5] B. MAUREY, Banach spaces with few operators, in *Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces, Vol.* 2, North-Holland, Amsterdam 2003, pp. 1247–1297.
- [6] E. ODELL, T. SCHLUMPRECHT, The distortion problem, Acta Math. 173(1994), 259–281.
- [7] E. ODELL, T. SCHLUMPRECHT, Distortion and asymptotic structure, in *Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces, Vol. 2*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 2003, pp. 1333–1360.
- [8] T. OIKHBERG, E. RICARD, Operator spaces with few completely bounded maps, Math. Ann. 328(2004), 229–259.
- [9] V. PAULSEN, Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 2002.
- [10] G. PISIER, An Introduction to the Theory of Operator Spaces, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 2003.

[11] T. SCHLUMPRECHT, An arbitrarily distortable Banach space, Israel J. Math. 76(1993), 81–95.

T. OIKHBERG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA - IRVINE, IRVINE CA 92697, USA

*E-mail address*: toikhber@math.uci.edu

Received July 2, 2004; revised May 6, 2006.