TENSOR PRODUCTS OF THE OPERATOR SYSTEM GENERATED BY THE CUNTZ ISOMETRIES

VERN I. PAULSEN and DA ZHENG

Communicated by Marius Dădârlat

ABSTRACT. We study tensor products and nuclearity-related properties of the operator system S_n generated by the Cuntz isometries. By using the nuclearity of the Cuntz algebra, we can show that S_n is C^* -nuclear, and this implies a dual row contraction version of Ando's theorem characterizing operators of numerical radius 1. On the other hand, without using the nuclearity of the Cuntz algebra, we are still able to show directly this Ando type property of dual row contractions and conclude that S_n is C^* -nuclear, which yields a new proof of the nuclearity of the Cuntz algebras. We prove that the dual operator system of S_n is completely order isomorphic to an operator subsystem of M_{n+1} . Finally, a lifting result concerning Popescu's joint numerical radius is proved via operator system techniques.

KEYWORDS: Cuntz isometries, operator system tensor product, C*-nuclearity, operator system quotient, dual row contraction, shorted operator, joint numerical radius.

MSC (2010): Primary 46L06, 46L07; Secondary 46L05, 47L25.

1. INTRODUCTION

The operator system generated by Cuntz isometries is studied in [14], where we let S_1, \ldots, S_n be $n \ (2 \le n < +\infty)$ generators of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n and I be the identity operator, and let \mathcal{S}_n denote the operator system generated by S_1, \ldots, S_n , that is, $\mathcal{S}_n = \text{span}\{I, S_i, S_i^* : 1 \le i \le n\}$. Similarly, we let S_1, \ldots, S_n, \ldots be the generators of \mathcal{O}_∞ and set $\mathcal{S}_\infty = \text{span}\{I, S_i, S_i^* : i = 1, \ldots, n, \ldots\}$.

In this paper, we turn our attention to tensor products and nuclearity-related properties of S_n , which is motivated by the well-known fact that \mathcal{O}_n ($2 \le n \le \infty$) is nuclear in the sense that for every unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} ,

$$\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}.$$

Since S_n contains all the generators of \mathcal{O}_n and its C^* -envelope coincides with \mathcal{O}_n , it is natural to study tensor properties of S_n ($2 \leq n \leq \infty$) in the operator system category.

Of course, we hope that S_n is nuclear in the operator system category. Unfortunately, according to Definition 1.3, we can show that S_n is not (min, max)nuclear by constructing a counter-example. However, (min, max)-nuclearity is quite a strong condition for an operator system, as it has been shown that a finite dimensional operator system is (min, max)-nuclear if and only if it is completely order isomorphic to a C^* -algebra if and only if it is injective ([12], Theorem 6.11). So we make a concession and ask whether S_n is C^* -nuclear (see Definition 1.4). Fortunately, the answer is affirmative for this case. This fact follows from a refined version of Bunce's dilation theorem for row-contractions ([3], Proposition 1) and the fact that O_n is nuclear. Thus, the operator system S_n enjoys many nice properties such as WEP, OSLLP, DCEP, exactness, etc. (see [12]).

On the other hand, it is tempting to show directly that S_n is C^* -nuclear, that is, without using the nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_n . This is motivated by our result that \mathcal{O}_n is nuclear if and only if S_n is C^* -nuclear. We are able to show the latter directly by using operator system techniques together with the theory of shorted operators. This provides us with a new proof of the nuclearity of the Cuntz algebras. Moreover, it motivates us to approach some important properties of the Cuntz algebra via operator system techniques. This direct proof of the C^* -nuclearity of S_n also yields a dual row contraction version of Ando's theorem characterizing operators of numerical radius 1.

Kavruk recently showed that for a finite dimensional operator system, C^* nuclearity passes to its dual operator system, and vice versa ([10], Theorem 4.1). This motivates us to study the dual operator system of S_n , which we denote by S_n^d . We show that S_n^d is completely order isomorphic to an operator subsystem of M_{n+1} . By Kavruk's result, we know that this operator system is also C^* -nuclear. However, we were unable to give a direct proof that this operator subsystem is C^* -nuclear, although an operator system in the matrix algebras seems easier to deal with. From the general theory of operator system tensor products, we know that C^* -nuclearity is stronger than a lifting property, the OSLLP. Since S_n^d is C^* -nuclear, it has the OSLLP and we use this fact to prove a lifting property for Popescu's joint numerical radius for *n*-tuples of operators.

In Section 2, we will first show that S_n is not (min, max)-nuclear by giving a counter-example. Next, we prove that $O_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ for a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} if and only if $S_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = S_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$. Because \mathcal{O}_n is nuclear, it follows that S_n is C^* -nuclear.

In Section 3, we will show that the operator system \mathcal{E}_n defined in [14] is C^* -nuclear. We then use this fact together with some operator system methods to prove that for a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , the equality $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to a lifting property that must be met by \mathcal{A} . Thus, using the fact that \mathcal{O}_n is nuclear, we have that every C^* -algebra enjoys this lifting property. A direct corollary of this result shows a contraction version of Ando's theorem.

In Section 4, we will give a direct proof of $S_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = S_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ for a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , without using the nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_n , by showing that every

unital C*-algebra does enjoy the lifting property mentioned in Section 3. So we obtain a new proof of the nuclearity of the Cuntz algebra.

In Section 5, we will study the dual operator system of S_n , say S_n^d . We will give characterizations for positive elements in $M_p(S_n^d)$ and a necessary and sufficient condition for unital completely positive maps from S_n^d into a C^* -algebras. We will also show that S_n^d is not (min, max)-nuclear. By a result of Kavruk (Theorem 5.8), , S_n^d is C^* -nuclear. Moreover, it is interesting to know that S_n^d is completely order isomorphic to an operator subsystem in M_{n+1} , in contrast to the fact that S_n is completely order isomorphic to the quotient of an operator subsystem in M_{n+1} ([14], Theorem 5.1).

In Section 6, we observe that unital completely positive maps from S_n^d to C^* -algebras are closely related to the joint numerical radius of *n*-tuples. Then, a lifting property of the joint numerical radius is proved by using the fact that S_n^d has the lifting property.

We shall assume that the reader is familiar with the basics of operator system tensor products (see [11] or [9], e.g.). Also, we refer the reader to [12] for the basics of operator system quotients.

We shall use the following universal property of S_n constantly in this paper:

THEOREM 1.1 ([14]). The operator system S_n has the following universal property:

Let (A_1, \ldots, A_n) be a row contraction on some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and denote

$$\mathcal{T}_n = \operatorname{span}\{I_{\mathcal{H}}, A_i, A_i^* : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

so that \mathcal{T}_n is an operator system, then there exists a unital completely positive map ϕ : $S_n \to \mathcal{T}_n$ such that $\phi(S_i) = A_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For the rest of this introductory section, we briefly introduce some terminologies and results from the theory of operator system tensor products which will be used throughout this paper.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let S and T be operators systems. A map $\phi : S \to T$ is called a *complete order isomorphism* if ϕ is a unital linear isomorphism and both ϕ and ϕ^{-1} are completely positive, and we say that S is completely order isomorphic to T if such ϕ exists. A map ϕ is called a *complete order injection or embedding* if it is a complete order isomorphism onto its range with $\phi(1_S)$ being an Archimedean order unit. We shall denote this by $S \subseteq_{c.o.i} T$.

Given operator systems S and T and two possibly different operator system structures $S \otimes_{\alpha} T$ and $S \otimes_{\beta} T$ on their tensor product, we shall write $S \otimes_{\alpha} T = S \otimes_{\beta} T$ to mean that the identity map is a complete order isomorphism.

The tensor products of operator systems we will use in this paper are: min, max, *c* (see [11] for their definitions). The relationship between these tensor products is min $\leq c \leq \max$, that is, the identity maps id : $S \otimes_{\max} T \to S \otimes_c T$, id : $S \otimes_c T \to S \otimes_{\min} T$ are completely positive.

DEFINITION 1.3 ([11]). An operator system S is called (*min*, *max*)-*nuclear* if $S \otimes_{\min} T = S \otimes_{\max} T$, for every operator system T.

DEFINITION 1.4 ([11]). An operator system S is called C^* -nuclear if $S \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = S \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ for every unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} .

PROPOSITION 1.5 ([9]). An operator system S is C^* -nuclear if and only if $S \otimes_{\min} T = S \otimes_c T$ for every operator system T.

PROPOSITION 1.6 ([11]). Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be unital C^* -algebras, then $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{B} \subseteq_{c.o.i} \mathcal{A} \otimes_{C^*-\min} \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{B} \subseteq_{c.o.i} \mathcal{A} \otimes_{C^*-\max} \mathcal{B}$, where $\otimes_{C^*-\min}, \otimes_{C^*-\max} \mathcal{A}$ denote the tensor products in the C^* -algebra category.

PROPOSITION 1.7 ([11]). Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and S be an operator system, then $S \otimes_c A = S \otimes_{\max} A$.

PROPOSITION 1.8 (Injectivity of the min tensor product [11]). The min tensor product is injective in the sense that for every choices of four operator systems S and T, S_1 , T_1 with inclusions $S \subseteq_{c.o.i} S_1$ and $T \subseteq_{c.o.i} T_1$, we have that

 $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{T} \subseteq_{c.o.i} \mathcal{S}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{T}_1.$

PROPOSITION 1.9 (Projectivity of the max tensor product [8]). The max tensor product is projective in the following sense: Let S, T, \mathcal{R} be operator systems and suppose $\psi : S \to \mathcal{R}$ is a complete quotient map, then the map $\psi \otimes id_T : S \otimes_{max} T \to \mathcal{R} \otimes_{max} T$ is also a complete quotient map.

Henceforth, unless specified, we always assume $2 \le n < \infty$.

2. TENSOR PRODUCTS AND THE C*-NUCLEARITY OF \mathcal{S}_n

We begin this section with the following proposition which shows that S_n ($2 \le n \le \infty$) is not (min, max)-nuclear.

PROPOSITION 2.1. The operator system S_n is not (min, max)-nuclear, for $2 \leq n \leq \infty$.

Proof. It is known that the operator system \mathfrak{S}_1 generated by a universal unitary is not (min, max)-nuclear because of the following ([7], Theorem 3.7):

$$\mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{S}_1 \neq \mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes_{\max} \mathfrak{S}_1.$$

On the other hand, we have that $S_1 = \mathfrak{S}_1$ ([14], Corollary 3.3), so we know that

$$S_1 \otimes_{\min} S_1 \neq S_1 \otimes_{\max} S_1.$$

Now, for $n \ge 2$, if $S_n \otimes_{\min} S_n = S_n \otimes_{\max} S_n$, then ([14], Corollaries 3.4, 3.5) together imply that

$$\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_1 \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{S}_1$$
,

which is a contradiction. Thus, S_n is not (min, max)-nuclear.

LEMMA 2.2. Fix $n, 2 \leq n \leq \infty$, let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra and let \widehat{S} be an operator system such that $S_n \subseteq \widehat{S} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$. If we have that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \widehat{\mathcal{S}} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A},$$

then

$$\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. We first represent $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ on some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . By the definition of the max tensor product of operator systems, the canonical embedding map from $\widehat{\mathcal{S}} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ is completely positive. Thus we have a completely positive map $\rho : \widehat{\mathcal{S}} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \to B(\mathcal{H})$, such that $\rho(a \otimes b) = a \otimes b$ for each $a \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}$.

The injectivity of the min tensor product of operator systems implies that $\widehat{S} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \subseteq_{\text{c.o.i}} \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$, and we can extend ρ to a completely positive map $\widetilde{\rho} : \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ by the Arveson's extension theorem.

Next, we use the Stinespring's dilation theorem and obtain a unital *-homomorphism $\gamma : \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \to B(\mathcal{K})$ and $V : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{K} such that

$$\widetilde{\rho}(a) = V^* \gamma(a) V$$
, for each $a \in \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$.

The map ρ being unital implies that $\tilde{\rho}$ is unital and hence $V^*V = I_{\mathcal{H}}$, i.e. V is an isometry. By identifying \mathcal{H} with $V\mathcal{H}$, we can assume that $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$.

Now, if we decompose $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H}^{\perp}$, then $\tilde{\rho}$ is the 1,1 corner of γ . Further, we have that

$$\gamma(S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}) = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\rho}(S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}) & C_i \\ B_i & D_i \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for every } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Here, $B_i \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^{\perp})$, $C_i \in B(\mathcal{H}^{\perp}, \mathcal{H})$, $D_i \in B(\mathcal{H}^{\perp}, \mathcal{H}^{\perp})$. Since $S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ is an isometry, it follows that $\gamma(S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $\tilde{\rho}(S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}})$ are isometries, and we immediately have that $B_i = 0$.

Moreover, the condition that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i S_i^* = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ (*n* finite) or $\sum_{i=1}^{k} S_i S_i^* \leq I_{\mathcal{H}}$ for every $1 \leq k < \infty$ (*n* infinite) implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma(S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}) \gamma(S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}})^* = \gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i S_i^* \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}\right) = 1_{\mathcal{K}}, \text{ or}$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma(S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}) \gamma(S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}})^* = \gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} S_i S_i^* \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \leqslant 1_{\mathcal{K}}, \text{ for every } 1 \leqslant k < \infty,$$

which means that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{\rho}(S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}) \widetilde{\rho}(S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + C_i C_i^* & \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i D_i^* \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i C_i^* & \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i D_i^* \end{pmatrix} = 1_{\mathcal{K}}, \text{ or} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \widetilde{\rho}(S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}) \widetilde{\rho}(S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + C_i C_i^* & \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_i D_i^* \\ \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_i C_i^* & \sum_{i=1}^{k} D_i D_i^* \end{pmatrix} \leqslant 1_{\mathcal{K}}, \text{ for every } 1 \leqslant k < \infty.$$

Thus, we have that $C_i = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ and hence,

$$\gamma(S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}) = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\rho}(S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}) & 0\\ 0 & D_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, for each unitary $u \in A$, similarly, we have that

$$\gamma(I_{\mathcal{H}}\otimes u) = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\rho}(I_{\mathcal{H}}\otimes u) & 0\\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix},$$

where *v* is a unitary in $B(\mathcal{H}^{\perp})$.

Because \mathcal{A} is spanned by its unitaries, and every $X \otimes z \in \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ can be written as $X \otimes z = (X \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}})(1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes z)$, we see that γ is diagonal on all elementary tensors. Then by continuity of γ , we know it is diagonal on $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$.

We now have that the compression of γ onto the 1,1 corner is a *-homomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and this compression is exactly $\tilde{\rho}$. Moreover, $\tilde{\rho}(\hat{\mathcal{S}} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$. Then, $\tilde{\rho}$ being a *-homomorphism implies that $\tilde{\rho}(\mathcal{O}_n \odot_{\min} \mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$, where \odot_{\min} denotes the algebraic tensor product of \mathcal{O}_n with \mathcal{A} endowed with the minimal tensor norm. The continuity of $\tilde{\rho}$ implies further that $\tilde{\rho}(\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$. Form this, we can conclude that $\tilde{\rho}(\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$, because by the way $\tilde{\rho}$ is defined, $\operatorname{Ran} \tilde{\rho}$ is dense in $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$.

Finally, $\tilde{\rho}(X \otimes z) = X \otimes z$ for every $X \otimes z \in \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ forces that the identity map from $\mathcal{O}_n \odot_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ extends to a *-homomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ onto $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$. Thus, $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$.

Let T_1, \ldots, T_n be the generators of the Toeplitz–Cuntz algebra \mathcal{TO}_n and \mathcal{T}_n be the operator system generated by T_i 's. By Corollary 3.3 in [14], we know that $\mathcal{T}_n = S_n$ via the natural isomorphism.

THEOREM 2.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra and let \mathcal{TO}_n be the Toeplitz–Cuntz algebra, then we have that $S_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A} \subseteq_{\text{c.o.i}} \mathcal{TO}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$.

Before proving this theorem, we need the following refined version of Bunce's result ([3], Proposition 1).

LEMMA 2.4. Let $(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a row contraction, then there exist isometric dilations $W_1, \ldots, W_n \in B(\mathcal{K})$ of A_1, \ldots, A_n such that $W_i^* W_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$, where $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus (\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{(n)})$. Moreover, W_i can be chosen of the following form,

$$W_i = \begin{pmatrix} A_i & 0 \\ X_i & YZ_i \end{pmatrix},$$

where the entries of X_i , Y and Z_i are all from $C^*(I, A_1, ..., A_n)$.

Proof. The fact that the entries of X_i and Y are from $C^*(I, A_1, ..., A_n)$ is directly from Bunce's construction. On the other hand, by his construction, Z_i 's can

be any set of Cuntz isometries on $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ so we can choose a particular one as:

$$Z_k = (Z_{ij}) = \begin{cases} I^{(n)} & \text{if } i = (j-1)n + k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $I^{(n)}$ denotes the identity operator on $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{(n)}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. By Proposition 1.7, we can show instead that T_n satisfies that

$$\mathcal{T}_n \otimes_c \mathcal{A} \subseteq_{\text{c.o.i}} \mathcal{TO}_n \otimes_c \mathcal{A}.$$

To this end, it is enough to show that for any pair of unital completely positive maps $\varphi : \mathcal{T}_n \to B(\mathcal{H})$ and $\psi : \mathcal{A} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ with commuting ranges, there always exists an extension $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{TO}_n$ of φ such that the range of $\tilde{\varphi}$ and ψ commute.

Since φ is unitally completely positive, $(\varphi(T_1), \ldots, \varphi(T_n))$ is a row contraction and hence can be dilated to isometries W_1, \ldots, W_n with orthogonal ranges, by Lemma 2.4. Then, there is a *-homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{TO}_n \to B(\mathcal{K})$ such that $\pi(T_i) = W_i$. Meanwhile, we set $\tilde{\psi} : \mathcal{R} \to B(\mathcal{K})$ as $\tilde{\psi} = \psi \oplus (\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi^{(n)})$, where $\psi^{(n)}$ denotes the direct sum of *n* copies of ψ .

It is easy to see that $\tilde{\psi}$ and π have commuting ranges. Clearly, $\psi = P_{\mathcal{H}}\tilde{\psi}|_{\mathcal{H}}$. Now, let $\tilde{\varphi} = P_{\mathcal{H}}\pi|_{\mathcal{H}}$, then it follows that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a unital completely positive extension of φ and ψ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ has commuting ranges. Thus, we have shown that $\mathcal{T}_n \otimes_c \mathcal{A} \subseteq_{\text{c.o.i}} \mathcal{TO}_n \otimes_c \mathcal{A}$.

COROLLARY 2.5. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra. If $\mathcal{TO}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{TO}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$, then $S_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = S_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. By our assumption and the injectivity of the min-tensor product, we have the following relations:

$$\mathcal{T}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \subseteq_{ ext{c.o.i}} \mathcal{TO}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T}_n \otimes_{c=\max} \mathcal{A} \subseteq_{ ext{c.o.i}} \mathcal{TO}_n \otimes_{c=\max} \mathcal{A}$$

This implies that $\mathcal{T}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$, so, equivalently, we know that $\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$

COROLLARY 2.6. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra. If $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$, then $S_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = S_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathcal{TO}_n / \mathbb{K}$ ([5], Proposition 3.1) (\mathbb{K} denotes the algebra of compact operators), we have the following commuting diagram:

By assumption, we have that $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$. Also, we know that \mathbb{K} is nuclear, so $\mathbb{K} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{K} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$. This implies that the first and third vertical map in the above diagram are indeed isomorphisms. Hence, the second vertical map is also an isomorphism, that is, $\mathcal{TO}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{TO}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$. Now the conclusion follows from the above corollary.

Combining Corollary 2.6 with Lemma 2.2, we have

THEOREM 2.7. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra, then we have that $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ if and only if $\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$.

Since O_n is nuclear, we immediately have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.8. The operator system S_n is C^* -nuclear.

3. C*-NUCLEARITY OF S_n AND A DUAL ROW CONTRACTION VERSION OF ANDO'S THEOREM

In this section, we prove some necessary and sufficient conditions that ensure that $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$. These conditions will then be used to give a new proof that \mathcal{O}_n is nuclear. Recall that in [14], we denote $\mathcal{E}_n = \operatorname{span}\{E_{00}, \sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii}, E_{i0}, E_{0i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, where E_{ij} 's are the matrix units in M_{n+1} , and we proved that

THEOREM 3.1 ([14]). The map $\phi : \mathcal{E}_n \to \mathcal{S}_n$ defined by the following:

$$\phi(E_{i0}) = \frac{1}{2}S_i, \quad \phi(E_{0i}) = \frac{1}{2}S_i^*, \quad \phi(E_{00}) = \frac{1}{2}I, \quad \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii}\right) = \frac{1}{2}I, \quad 1 \le i \le n,$$

is a complete quotient map, that is, $\mathcal{E}_n/J \cong \mathcal{S}_n$ completely order isomorphically, where $J := \text{Ker } \phi = \text{span} \{ E_{00} - \sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii} \}.$

The next proposition shows that the operators system \mathcal{E}_n is C^* -nuclear. Before proving it, let us recall a useful result ([7], Lemma 1.7).

LEMMA 3.2. Let S and T be operator systems, then $u \in (S \otimes_{\max} T)^+$ if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $(P_{ij}^{\varepsilon}) \in M_{k_{\varepsilon}}(S)^+$, $(Q_{ij}^{\varepsilon}) \in M_{k_{\varepsilon}}(T)^+$, such that

$$\varepsilon 1_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{T}} + u = \sum_{i,j=1}^{k} P_{ij}^{\varepsilon} \otimes Q_{ij}^{\varepsilon}$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. We have that $\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ for every unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} .

Proof. What we need to show is that $M_p(\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A})^+ \subseteq M_p(\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A})^+$, for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$. By the symmetry and associativity of the min and max tensor

products of operator systems, and the nuclearity of M_p , we have that

$$M_p(\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} M_p(\mathcal{A}),$$
$$M_p(\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} M_p(\mathcal{A}).$$

Notice that $M_p(\mathcal{A})$ is also a C^* -algebra, so it suffices to show that for each $A \in (\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A})^+$, we have that $A \in (\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A})^+$.

We have that $\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \subseteq M_{n+1} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = M_{n+1}(\mathcal{A})$ by the injectivity of the min tensor product, so for $A \in (\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A})^+$, we know that it has the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_n \\ a_1^* & b & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ a_n^* & & & b \end{pmatrix}$$

Without loss of generality, by considering $\varepsilon I_{n+1} \otimes 1_A + A$, we can assume that a_0 and b are invertible. According to Cholesky's lemma, that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_n \\ a_1^* & b & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ a_n^* & & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b^{-1} a_i^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b^{-1} a_i^* & a_1 & \cdots & a_n \\ a_1^* & b & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ a_n^* & & b \end{pmatrix}$$

The first matrix on the right side is positive in $M_{n+1}(\mathcal{A})$ and is easily seen to be in $(\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A})^+$. What we need is to show that the second matrix also lies in $(\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A})^+$.

To this end, we use Proposition 3.2 and construct the following two matrices,

$$P = (P_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q = (Q_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} b & a_1^* & \cdots & a_n^* \\ a_1 & & & \\ \vdots & & B & \\ a_n & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

where $B = (B_{ij}) = (a_i b^{-1} a_j^*)$.

Then it is not hard to check that $P \in M_{n+1}(\mathcal{E}_n)^+$ and $Q \in M_{n+1}(\mathcal{A})^+$. Also, we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b^{-1} a_i^* & a_1 & \cdots & a_n \\ a_1^* & b & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ a_n^* & & & b \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} P_{ij} \otimes Q_{ij}.$$

This shows that the matrix on the left side is in $(\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A})^+$, and the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra, then we have that $\mathrm{id} : S_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \to S_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ is completely positive if and only if $\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \to S_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ is a complete quotient map,

Proof. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} & \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A} \\ & & & & & \downarrow \phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} & \stackrel{\mathrm{id}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}. \end{array}$$

By Proposition 1.9, we have that $\phi \otimes \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ is a complete quotient map, and hence if $\phi \otimes \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ is a complete quotient map, then every positive element A in $M_p(\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A})$ has a positive preimage in $M_p(\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A})$, and therefore A is in $M_p(\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A})$. So id : $\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ is completely positive. Conversely, if id : $\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ is completely positive, then $\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$. Also, we have $\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$. Thus, $\phi \otimes \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ is a complete quotient map means that $\phi \otimes \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ is a complete quotient map.

The next theorem is now immediate:

THEOREM 3.5. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra. Then $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ if and only if $\phi \otimes \operatorname{id} : \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ is a complete quotient map.

We now prove a concrete condition on a unital C^* -algebra that is equivalent to the above condition. Given an operator system S we will write $p \gg 0$ provided that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $p - \varepsilon 1 \in S^+$, and we set $S^+_{-1} = \{p \in S : p \gg 0\}$.

The reason for this notation is that if \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra and $\psi : S \to \mathcal{A}$ is a unital completely positive map, then $p \in S^+_{-1}$ implies $\psi(p)$ is positive and invertible in \mathcal{A} . Moreover, S^+_{-1} is exactly the set of elements of S^+ for which this is true for every unital completely positive map into a C^* -algebra. Moreover, if $\psi : \mathcal{T} \to S$ is a quotient map, then $p \in S^+_{-1}$ if and only if it has a pre-image, i.e., $\psi(r) = p$ with $r \in \mathcal{T}^+_{-1}$ (see Proposition 3.2 of [6]).

THEOREM 3.6. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra, then $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ if and only if for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, whenever $I \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \otimes a_j + \sum_{j=1}^n S_j^* \otimes a_j^* \gg 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} M_p(\mathcal{A})$, there exists $a, b \in M_p(\mathcal{A})_{-1}^+$ with a + b = 1, such that

$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix}$	a_1^* b		a_n^*
$\begin{vmatrix} \vdots \\ a_n \end{vmatrix}$		·	b

is in $M_{n+1}(M_p(\mathcal{A}))^+_{-1}$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ then $q \otimes \operatorname{id} : \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} M_p(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} M_p(\mathcal{A})$ is a quotient map. Hence, $I \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \otimes a_j + \sum_{j=1}^n S_j^* \otimes a_j^* \in q \otimes \operatorname{id}((\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} M_p(\mathcal{A}))^+)$. Choosing any strictly positive element in the pre-image yields the conclusion.

Conversely, the lifting formula shows that every element of the form $I \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_j \otimes a_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_j^* \otimes a_j^* \gg 0$ has a positive pre-image in $\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} M_p(\mathcal{A})$. Let $R = I \otimes r + \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_j \otimes a_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_j^* \otimes a_j^*$ be an arbitrary element in $\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} M_p(\mathcal{A})$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$T = I \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{j} \otimes (r+\varepsilon 1)^{-1/2} a_{j}(r+\varepsilon)^{-1/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{j}^{*} \otimes (r+\varepsilon 1)^{-1/2} a_{j}^{*}(r+\varepsilon 1)^{-1/2} \gg 0,$$

and so by the hypothesis it has a lifting. Pre- and post-multiplying the entries of that lifting by $(r + \varepsilon)^{1/2}$ gives a lifting of $R + \varepsilon(I \otimes 1)$. This proves that the mapping $q \otimes \text{id} : \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} M_p(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} M_p(\mathcal{A})$ is a quotient map, and since p was arbitrary, this map is a complete quotient map.

COROLLARY 3.7. The C*-algebra \mathcal{O}_n is nuclear if and only if whenever \mathcal{A} is a unital C*-algebra and $I \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \otimes a_j + \sum_{j=1}^n S_j^* \otimes a_j^* \gg 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ there exists

 $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_{-1}^+$ with a + b = 1 such that

(3.1)
$$\begin{bmatrix} a & a_1^* & \cdots & a_n^* \\ a_1 & b & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ a_n & & b \end{bmatrix}$$

is in $M_{n+1}(\mathcal{A})^+_{-1}$.

DEFINITION 3.8. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, then an *n*-tuple (a_1, \ldots, a_n) in A is called a *dual row contraction* if

$$I\otimes 1+\sum_{j=1}^n S_j\otimes a_j^*+\sum_{j=1}^n S_j^*\otimes a_j\geq 0,$$

where the S_i 's are Cuntz isometries. Moreover, it is called a *strict dual row contraction* if

$$I\otimes 1+\sum_{j=1}^n S_j\otimes a_j^*+\sum_{j=1}^n S_j^*\otimes a_j\gg 0.$$

REMARK 3.9. Note that a dual row contraction is a row contraction, since

$$I \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_j \otimes a_j^* + \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_j^* \otimes a_j \ge 0$$

implies that

$$I \otimes 1 + z \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_j \otimes a_j^* + \overline{z} \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_j^* \otimes a_j \ge 0$$
, for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$,

which is equivalent to

$$w\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n S_j \otimes a_j^*\Big) \leqslant \frac{1}{2},$$

where w means the numerical radius. So, we have that

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}a_{i}^{*}\right\| = \left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}S_{i}\otimes_{\min}a_{i}^{*}\right)^{*}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}S_{i}\otimes_{\min}a_{i}^{*}\right)\right\| \leqslant \left(2w\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}S_{j}\otimes a_{j}^{*}\right)\right)^{2} \leqslant 1.$$

But not every row contraction is a dual row contraction. A counter-example can be easily constructed. In particular, n ($2 \le n < \infty$) Cuntz isometries form a row contraction but not dual row contraction, since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i \otimes S_i^*$ is a unitary whose spectrum is the whole unit circle.

Again, since \mathcal{O}_n is nuclear, Corollary 3.7 is indeed a (strict) dual row contraction version of Ando's theorem (see [2] for the original version). Moreover, when \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra, we can replace "strict dual row contraction" by "dual row contraction" and "strictly positive" by "positive" by taking weak*-limits. We summarize these statements below. This result is a dual row contraction version of Ando's theorem on numerical radius.

THEOREM 3.10. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A$ be a strict dual row contraction, then there exist $a, b \in A^+_{-1}$ with a + b = 1 such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & a_1 & \cdots & a_n \\ a_1^* & b & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ a_n^* & & & b \end{bmatrix}$$

is in $M_{n+1}(\mathcal{A})^+_{-1}$. Moreover, if \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra and $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathcal{M}$ is a dual row contraction, then there exist $a, b \in \mathcal{M}^+$ with a + b = 1 such that

Га	a_1	• • •	a_n
a_1^*	b		
		•.	
			.
$\lfloor a_n^* \rfloor$			b]

is in $M_{n+1}(\mathcal{M})^+$.

4. AN ALTERNATIVE PROOF OF THE NUCLEARITY OF \mathcal{O}_n

We now give a new proof of the nuclearity of O_n , by showing directly the existence of operators *a*, *b* mentioned in Corollary 3.7, which will prove that O_n is nuclear.

To this end, we shall need the notion of "shorted operators", which was introduced in [1]. Here, we briefly quote some results we will need in our proof.

DEFINITION 4.1 ([1]). Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Assume $S \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is a closed subspace, then the *shorted operator of* A with respect to S, denoted as S(A) is defined as the maximum of the following set:

 ${T \in B(\mathcal{H}) : 0 \leq T \leq A, \operatorname{Ran} T \subseteq S}.$

Also, we denote $S_0(A) = S(A)|_S$.

The shorted operator always exists ([1], Theorem 1). Moreover, we have that

PROPOSITION 4.2 ([1]). For each $x \in S$,

$$\langle S_0(A)x,x\rangle = \inf\left\{\left\langle A\begin{pmatrix}x\\y\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}x\\y\end{pmatrix}\right\rangle : y\in S^{\perp}\right\}.$$

We now prove that the condition of Corollary 3.7 is met for n = 2 without using the nuclearity of O_2 .

A proof of the nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_2 . Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital C^* -algebra and let $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}$ be a strict dual row contraction, that is,

$$A = I \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2} S_{j} \otimes a_{j}^{*} + \sum_{j=1}^{2} S_{j}^{*} \otimes a_{j} \gg 0, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}_{2} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_{j}$$

By Corollary 3.3 in [14] the operator system spanned by the Toeplitz–Cuntz isometries is completely order isomorphic to the operator system spanned by the Cuntz isometries. Thus, we can take the S_i 's to be Toeplitz–Cuntz isometries. Moreover, it suffices to consider the following specific choice of Toeplitz–Cuntz isometries:

$$S_i \in B(l^2)$$
, $S_i(e_k) = e_{kn+i}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $i = 1, 2$,

where $\{e_i : i = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ is the orthonormal basis of l^2 .

We write $l^2 \otimes \mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{H}_i$, where $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}$ for all *i*. Thus, *A* corresponds to the following operator in $B(l^2 \otimes \mathcal{H})$,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ a_1^* & 1 & 0 & a_1 & a_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ a_2^* & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & a_1 & a_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & a_1^* & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots \\ 0 & a_2^* & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & a_1^* & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_2^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Set $\mathcal{R}_k = \bigoplus_{i=k}^{+\infty} \mathcal{H}_i$. We then write *A* as the following block form:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_{11} \in B(\mathcal{H}_0)$, $A_{12} \in B(\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{H}_0)$, $A_{21} \in B(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{R}_1)$, $A_{22} \in B(\mathcal{R}_1)$. Now, let $B = \mathcal{H}_0(A)$, then by Proposition 4.2, we have that

$$\langle Bh_0, h_0 \rangle = \inf_{g \in \mathcal{R}_1} \left\{ \left\langle A \begin{pmatrix} h_0 \\ g \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} h_0 \\ g \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right\}$$
$$= \inf_{h_1 \in \mathcal{H}} \inf_{h_2 \in \mathcal{H}} \inf_{z \in \mathcal{R}_3} \left\{ \left\langle A \begin{pmatrix} h_0 \\ h_1 \\ h_2 \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} h_0 \\ h_1 \\ h_2 \\ z \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right\}$$

$$= \inf_{h_1 \in \mathcal{H}} \inf_{h_2 \in \mathcal{H}} \inf_{z \in \mathcal{R}_3} \left\{ \langle h_0 + a_1 h_1 + a_2 h_2, h_0 \rangle + \langle a_1^* h_0, h_1 \rangle + \langle a_2^* h_0, h_2 \rangle \right. \\ \left. + \left\langle A_{22} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ z \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

We claim that

$$\inf_{z\in\mathcal{R}_3}\left\{\left\langle A_{22}\begin{pmatrix}h_1\\h_2\\z\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}h_1\\h_2\\z\end{pmatrix}\right\rangle\right\}=\langle Bh_1,h_1\rangle+\langle Bh_2,h_2\rangle.$$

Assuming this claim for the moment, we have

$$\langle Bh_0, h_0 \rangle = \inf_{h_1 \in \mathcal{H}} \inf_{h_2 \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ \langle h_0, h_0 \rangle + \langle a_1 f, h_0 \rangle + \langle a_2 h_2, h_0 \rangle + \langle a_1^* h_0, h_1 \rangle + \langle a_2^* h_0, h_2 \rangle \right. \\ \left. + \langle Bh_1, h_1 \rangle + \langle Bh_2, h_2 \rangle \right\}$$

$$= \inf_{h_1 \in \mathcal{H}} \inf_{h_2 \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_2 \\ a_1^* & B & 0 \\ a_2^* & 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_0 \\ h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} h_0 \\ h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right\}.$$

So we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1-B & a_1 & a_2 \\ a_1^* & B & 0 \\ a_2^* & 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \ge 0.$$

To justify the claim, we write \mathbb{N} as the disjoint union of $N_1 = \{1 + 2(2^k - 1), 1 + 3(2^k - 1) : k \ge 0\}$ and $N_2 = \{2 + 3(2^k - 1), 2 + 4(2^k - 1) : k \ge 0\}$. Set $\mathcal{N}_k = \bigoplus_{i \in N_k} \mathcal{H}_i$ so that $\mathcal{R}_1 = \mathcal{N}_1 \oplus \mathcal{N}_2$. Observe that both of these subspaces are reducing

for A_{22} and that with respect to the obvious identification of $\mathcal{N}_k \sim \bigoplus_{i=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{H}_i$ we have that $A_{22} \sim A \oplus A$.

Hence,

$$\inf_{z \in \mathcal{R}_{3}} \left\langle A_{22} \begin{pmatrix} h_{1} \\ h_{2} \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} h_{1} \\ h_{2} \\ z \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$= \inf_{z_{1} \in \mathcal{N}_{1} \ominus \mathcal{H}_{1}} \left\langle A_{22} \begin{pmatrix} h_{1} \\ 0 \\ z_{1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} h_{1} \\ 0 \\ z_{1} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle + \inf_{z_{2} \in \mathcal{N}_{2} \ominus \mathcal{H}_{2}} \left\langle A_{22} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h_{2} \\ z_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h_{2} \\ z_{2} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$= \inf_{z \in \mathcal{R}_{1}} \left\langle A \begin{pmatrix} h_{1} \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} h_{1} \\ z \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle + \inf_{z \in \mathcal{R}_{1}} \left\langle A \begin{pmatrix} h_{2} \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} h_{2} \\ z \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \langle Bh_{1}, h_{1} \rangle + \langle Bh_{2}, h_{2} \rangle.$$

It remains to show that $B \in A$. Since $-S_i$'s are also Cuntz isometries, we have that

$$I\otimes 1-\sum_{j=1}^2 S_j\otimes a_j^*-\sum_{j=1}^2 S_j^*\otimes a_j\gg 0.$$

It follows that $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{2} S_j \otimes a_j^* - \sum_{j=1}^{2} S_j^* \otimes a_j\right\| < 1$, and therefore $\|1 - A_{22}\| < 1$.

According to the proof of Theorem 1 in [1], the shorted operator *B* has an explicit formula: $B = A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21}$. So what is left for us to show is that all the entries of A_{22}^{-1} are in \mathcal{A} . To see this, we first use the Neumann series to write $A_{22}^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - A_{22})^n$. Since each row and column of $1 - A_{22}$ only has finitely many nonzero entries, we must have that the entries of $(1 - A_{22})^n$ are in \mathcal{A} for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the Neumann series is norm convergent, we have that each entry of A_{22}^{-1} is in \mathcal{A} and since A_{12} and A_{21} are only non-zero in finitely many entries, $B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Finally, we can repeat the above process for $A - \varepsilon 1 \otimes 1 \gg 0$ and see that we can make both *B* and 1 - B strictly positive with

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1-B & a_1 & a_2 \\ a_1^* & B & 0 \\ a_2^* & 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \gg 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

The proof that \mathcal{O}_n for $n \ge 3$ is nuclear can be done similarly and we only sketch the key points. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital C^* -algebra and $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}$ be a strict dual row contraction, that is,

$$A = I \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \otimes a_j^* + \sum_{j=1}^n S_j^* \otimes a_j \gg 0, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}.$$

Then, by Corollary 3.3 in [14], we can take S_i 's as Toeplitz–Cuntz isometries. Moreover, it suffices to consider the following specific choice of Toeplitz–Cuntz isometries:

$$S_i \in B(l^2), \quad S_i(e_k) = e_{kn+i}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where $\{e_i : i = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ is an orthonormal basis of l^2 . Thus, *A* corresponds to the following operator on $B(\mathcal{H}^{(\infty)})$,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 & \cdots & a_n & & & & \\ a_1^* & 1 & & & a_1 & \cdots & a_n & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & & & & \\ a_n^* & & 1 & & & & \\ & a_1^* & & 1 & & & \\ & \vdots & & & \ddots & & \\ & a_n^* & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Again we write *A* as the block form:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_{11} = 1 \in B(\mathcal{H}), A_{12} \in B(\mathcal{H}^{(\infty)} \ominus \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}), A_{21} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^{(\infty)} \ominus \mathcal{H}), A_{22} \in B(\mathcal{H}^{(\infty)})$.

Again we take $B = \mathcal{H}_0(A)$, the short of the operator A to the 0-th subspace. The calculation of B proceeds as before, and in this case one shows that \mathbb{N} decomposes into a disjoint union of n subsets, $\mathbb{N} = N_1 \cup \cdots \cup N_n$ such that $\mathcal{N}_j = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{H}_j$

is a reducing subspace for A_{22} with the restriction to N_j of A_{22} unitarily equivalent to A.

We leave the remaining details to the interested reader.

Having an alternative proof of the nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_n ($2 \leq n < \infty$), we can give an alternative proof of the nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_{∞} with just a little effort. Here is the proof.

THEOREM 4.3. The operator system \mathcal{O}_{∞} is nuclear.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.2, we just need to show that S_{∞} is C^* -nuclear.

It suffices to show that $(S_{\infty} \otimes_{\min} A)^+ = (S_{\infty} \otimes_{\max} A)^+$ for every unital C^* -algebra A.

To see this, we choose $A \in (\mathcal{S}_{\infty} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A})^+$, then A has the form,

$$A = I \otimes X + \sum_{i \in F} S_i \otimes X_i + \sum_{i \in F} S_i^* \otimes X_i^*, \quad X_i \in \mathcal{A},$$

where *F* is a finite subset of \mathbb{N} . So there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $F \subseteq \{1, ..., N\}$. This means, by the injectivity the min tensor product, we have that $A \in (S_N \otimes_{\min} A)^+$.

But we have just shown that S_n is C^* -nuclear for *n* finite, so we have that

$$A \in (\mathcal{S}_N \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A})^+ = (\mathcal{S}_N \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A})^+ \subseteq (\mathcal{S}_\infty \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A})^+$$

Thus, we know that $S_{\infty} \otimes_{\min} A = S_{\infty} \otimes_{\max} A$.

5. THE DUAL OPERATOR SYSTEM OF S_n

In this section, we prove some properties of the dual operator system of S_n , denoted by S_n^d , which is the operator system consisting of all (bounded) linear functionals on S_n . First, we choose a basis for S_n^d as

$$\{\delta_0, \delta_i, \delta_i^* : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \delta_0(I) &:= 1, \delta_0(S_i) := \delta_0(S_i^*) = 0, & \text{for all } i; \\ \delta_i(I) &= 0, \delta_i(S_j) = \delta_{ij}, \delta_i(S_k^*) = 0, & \text{for all } k; \\ \delta_i^*(I) &= 0, \delta_i^*(S_i^*) = \delta_{ij}, \delta_i(S_k) = 0, & \text{for all } k, \end{split}$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta notation. So we have $S_n^d = \text{span}\{\delta_0, \delta_i, \delta_i^* : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

Then, we define an order structure on S_n^d by

$$(f_{ij}) \in M_p(\mathcal{S}_n^d)^+ \Leftrightarrow (f_{ij}) : \mathcal{S}_n \to M_p$$
 is completely positive.

It is a well-known result by Choi and Effros ([4], Theorem 4.4) that with the order structure defined above, the dual space of a finite dimensional operator system is again an operator system with an Archimedean order unit, and indeed, any strictly positive linear functional is an Archimedean order unit.

We claim that δ_0 is strictly positive. To see this suppose that $p \in S_n^+$ with $\delta_0(p) = 0$. Then $p = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i S_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{a_i} S_i^*$. Using the fact that, if S_i are Cuntz isometries, then $-S_i$ are also Cuntz isometries, we see that $-p \in S_n^+$. Thus, p = 0.

Hence, S_n^d is an operator system with Archimedean order unit δ_0 .

The following characterizes positive elements in $M_p(\mathcal{S}_n^d)$ of the form $I_p \otimes \delta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^*$.

PROPOSITION 5.1. An element $I_p \otimes \delta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* \otimes \delta_i^* \in M_p(\mathcal{S}_n^d)$ is positive if and only if (A_1, \ldots, A_n) is a row contraction.

Proof. Let $M = I_p \otimes \delta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* \otimes \delta_i^*$, and view M as a completely positive map from S_n to M_p , it satisfies $M(I) = I_p$, $M(S_i) = A_i$. Thus, since M is unitally completely positive, we have that (A_1, \ldots, A_n) is a row contraction. Conversely, if (A_1, \ldots, A_n) is a row contraction, then there exists a unital completely positive map which sends S_i to A_i , S_i^* to A_i^* , by Theorem 1.1. But this map is necessarily $M : S_n \to M_p$, and this means $M \in M_p(S_n^d)^+$.

PROPOSITION 5.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra and $\phi : S_n^d \to \mathcal{A}$ be a unital linear map, then we have that ϕ is completely positive if and only if ϕ is self-adjoint and

$$w(A_1 \otimes \phi(\delta_1) + \cdots + A_n \otimes \phi(\delta_n)) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}.$$

for each row contraction $(A_1, ..., A_n) \in M_p$, each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, where w denotes the numerical radius.

Proof. Suppose ϕ is unitally completely positive, then for

$$M = I_p \otimes \delta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* \otimes \delta_i^* \in M_p(\mathcal{S}_n^d)^+,$$

we must have

$$I_p \otimes \phi(M) = I_p \otimes I + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes \phi(\delta_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* \otimes \phi(\delta_i^*)$$

$$=I_p\otimes I+\sum_{i=1}^nA_i\otimes\phi(\delta_i)+\sum_{i=1}^nA_i^*\otimes\phi(\delta_i)^*\geq 0.$$

By Proposition 5.1, *M* is positive if and only if $(A_1, ..., A_n)$ is a row contraction. Noting that $(zA_1, ..., zA_n)$ is also a row contraction, we then have that

$$I_p \otimes I + z \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes \phi(\delta_i) + \overline{z} \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* \otimes \phi(\delta_i)^* \ge 0,$$

which means that $w\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \otimes \phi(\delta_i)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, for each row contraction $(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \in M_p$ and each $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Conversely, we suppose $w\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \otimes \phi(\delta_i)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, for each row contraction $(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \in M_p$ and each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and this implies that

$$I_p \otimes I + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes \phi(\delta_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* \otimes \phi(\delta_i)^* \ge 0,$$

for each row contraction $(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \in M_p$ and each $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Choose an arbitrary $N = B_0 \otimes \delta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \otimes \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^* \otimes \delta_i^* \in M_p(\mathcal{S}_n^d)^+$, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\varepsilon I_p \otimes \delta_0 + N = (\varepsilon I_p + B_0) \otimes \delta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \otimes \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^* \otimes \delta_i^* \ge 0,$$

which implies

$$I_p \otimes \delta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\varepsilon I_p + B_0)^{-1/2} B_i (\varepsilon I_p + B_0)^{-1/2} \otimes \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^n (\varepsilon I_p + B_0)^{-1/2} B_i^* (\varepsilon I_p + B_0)^{-1/2} \otimes \delta_i^* \ge 0.$$

By Proposition 5.1, we have that $((\varepsilon I_p + B_0)^{-1/2} B_1 (\varepsilon I_p + B_0)^{-1/2}, \dots, (\varepsilon I_p + B_0)^{-1/2} B_n (\varepsilon I_p + B_0)^{-1/2})$ is a row contraction, and therefore

$$I_p \otimes I + \sum_{i=1}^n (\varepsilon I_p + B_0)^{-1/2} B_i (\varepsilon I_p + B_0)^{-1/2} \otimes \phi(\delta_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\varepsilon I_p + B_0)^{-1/2} B_i^* (\varepsilon I_p + B_0)^{-1/2} \otimes \phi(\delta_i)^* \ge 0.$$

Thus,

$$\phi(\varepsilon I_p \otimes \delta_0 + N) \ge 0$$
, for each $\varepsilon > 0$.

So we have that $\phi(N) \ge 0$, and this completes the proof.

REMARK 5.3. Since compressions of row contractions are still row contractions, it follows that if

$$w(A_1 \otimes \phi(\delta_1) + \cdots + A_n \otimes \phi(\delta_n)) \leqslant \frac{1}{2},$$

for each row contraction $(A_1, ..., A_n) \in M_p$, each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, then for Cuntz isometries $S_1, ..., S_n$,

$$w(S_1 \otimes \phi(\delta_1) + \cdots + S_n \otimes \phi(\delta_n)) \leqslant \frac{1}{2},$$

where S_i 's are Cuntz isometries and the tensor product is the minimal one so that $S_1 \otimes a_1^* + \cdots + S_n \otimes a_n^* \in \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$. Conversely, using the universal property of S_n (1.1), we have that for each row contraction $(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \in M_p$, the map sending S_i to A_i , S_i^* to A_i^* , I to I_p is completely positive and hence

$$w(S_1 \otimes \phi(\delta_1) + \dots + S_n \otimes \phi(\delta_n)) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$$

implies that

$$w(A_1 \otimes \phi(\delta_1) + \dots + A_n \otimes \phi(\delta_n)) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$w(S_1 \otimes \phi(\delta_1) + \dots + S_n \otimes \phi(\delta_n)) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$$

if and only if

$$I \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \otimes a_j^* + \sum_{j=1}^n S_j^* \otimes a_j \ge 0.$$

So we have proved the following corollary.

COROLLARY 5.4. Let $\phi : S_n^d \to A$ be a self-adjoint, unital linear map. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) ϕ is completely positive;

(ii) $w(S_1 \otimes \phi(\delta_1) + \dots + S_n \otimes \phi(\delta_n)) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, where S_1, \dots, S_n are Cuntz isometries; (iii) $(\phi(\delta_1)^*, \dots, \phi(\delta_n)^*)$ is a dual row contraction.

In [13], the joint numerical radius for *n*-tuple of operators $(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is defined as:

$$w(T_1,\ldots,T_n):=\sup\Big|\sum_{\alpha\in F_n^+}\sum_{j=1}^n\langle h_{\alpha},T_jh_{g_j\alpha}\rangle\Big|,$$

where F_n is the free group on n generators g_1, \ldots, g_n , and the supremum is taken over all families of vectors $\{h_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in F_n^+} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ with $\sum_{\alpha \in F_n^+} ||h_{\alpha}||^2 = 1$.

It is shown in the same paper that $w(T_1, ..., T_n) = w(S_1 \otimes T_1^* + \cdots + S_n \otimes T_n^*)$ ([13], Corollary 1.2), where w on the right hand side is the numerical radius of an operator on \mathcal{H} defined in the usual way. Thus, it is natural to extend the notion of joint numerical radii of *n*-tuples to the category of C^* -algebras.

DEFINITION 5.5. Let A be a C^* -algebra. The *joint numerical radius* of the *n*-tuple $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A$ is:

$$w(a_1,\ldots,a_n):=w(S_1\otimes a_1^*+\cdots+S_n\otimes a_n^*),$$

where S_i 's are Cuntz isometries.

REMARK 5.6. Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then the *n*-tuple $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A$ is a dual row contraction if and only if

$$w(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\leqslant \frac{1}{2}.$$

THEOREM 5.7. Let $E'_n = \operatorname{span}\{I_{n+1}, E_{i0}, E_{0i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subseteq M_{n+1}$, then $S_n^d = E'_n$ via the map $\theta : S_n^d \to E'_n$, with $\theta(\delta_0) = I_{n+1}$, $\theta(\delta_i) = E_{0i}$, $\theta(\delta_i^*) = E_{i0}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. We first show that θ is completely positive. By Corollary 5.4 and Remark 5.6, we just need to show that for *n* Cuntz isometries S_1, \ldots, S_n ,

$$w\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_1 & \cdots & S_n \\ 0 & 0 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & zS_1 & \cdots & zS_n \\ \overline{z}S_1^* & I & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \overline{z}S_n^* & & & I \end{pmatrix} \ge 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{T}$$

which clearly holds since (zS_1, \ldots, zS_n) is row contraction.

Next, we show that θ^{-1} is also completely positive. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and note that $M_p(E'_n) = E'_n(M_p)$, we can write a positive element $A \in M_p(E'_n)$ as

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_0 & A_1 & \cdots & A_n \\ A_1^* & A_0 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ A_n^* & & & A_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A_i \in M_p$. Consider $\varepsilon I_p \otimes I_n + A$, where I_p denotes the identity matrix in M_p , for $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $B = (\varepsilon I_p + A_0)^{-1/2}$, we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_p & BA_1B & \cdots & BA_nB \\ BA_1^*B & I_p & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ BA_n^*B & & & I_p \end{pmatrix} \geqslant 0.$$

This implies that (BA_1B, \ldots, BA_nB) is a row contraction, and hence

$$(\theta^{-1})^{(p)} \begin{pmatrix} I_p & BA_1B & \cdots & BA_nB \\ BA_1^*B & I_p & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \\ BA_n^*B & & I_p \end{pmatrix} \\ = I_p \otimes \delta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n BA_iB \otimes \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^n BA_i^*B \otimes \delta_i^* \ge 0,$$

by Proposition 5.1. Thus,

$$(\varepsilon I_p + A_0) \otimes \delta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* \otimes \delta_i^* \ge 0, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0,$$

which is the same as $(\theta^{-1})^{(p)}(\varepsilon I_p \otimes I_n + A) \ge 0$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Since θ is unital, we know that $(\theta^{-1})^{(p)}(A) \ge 0$. Hence, θ^{-1} is also completely positive.

We recall the following result of Kavruk:

THEOREM 5.8 ([10]). Let S be a finite dimensional operator system. Then S is C^{*}-nuclear if and only if S^d is C^{*}-nuclear.

COROLLARY 5.9. The operator system E'_n is C^* -nuclear.

Proof. Since S_n is C^* -nuclear, S_n^d is C^* -nuclear by the above theorem. But $E'_n = S_n^d$ up to complete order isomorphism.

REMARK 5.10. The operator system E'_n seems more elementary to deal with and if we could show directly that E'_n is C^* -nuclear, then that would imply by Kavruk's result that S_n is C^* -nuclear, which in turn would give another proof of the nuclearity of the Cuntz algebras. However, we have been unable to prove directly that E'_n is C^* -nuclear.

6. A LIFTING THEOREM FOR THE JOINT NUMERICAL RADIUS

The local lifting property of an operator system S is defined in [12]:

DEFINITION 6.1. Let S be an operator system, A be a unital C^* -algebra, $I \lhd A$ be an ideal, $q : A \rightarrow A/I$ be the quotient map and $\phi : S \rightarrow A/I$ be a unital completely positive map. We say ϕ *lifts locally*, if for every finite dimensional operator system $S_0 \subseteq S$, there exists a completely positive map $\psi : S_0 \rightarrow A$ such that $q \circ \psi = \phi$. We say that S has the *operator system locally lifting property* (OSLLP) if for every C^* -algebra A and every ideal $I \subseteq A$, every unital completely positive map $\phi : S \rightarrow A/I$ lifts locally.

THEOREM 6.2 ([12]). Let S be an operator system, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) S has the OSLLP;
- (ii) $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} B(\mathcal{H}).$

We have seen that the operator system S_n^d is C^* -nuclear (Theorem 5.8). In particular, we have that for a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_i

$$\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathsf{d}} \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{S}_n^{\mathsf{d}} \otimes_{\max} B(\mathcal{H}).$$

Thus, the operator system S_n^d has the lifting property (LP).

By using the LP of S_n^d , we are able to derive the following result concerning the joint numerical radius.

THEOREM 6.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^{*}-algebra and $J \triangleleft \mathcal{A}$ be an ideal. Suppose $T_1 + J, \ldots, T_n + J \in \mathcal{A}/J$, then there exist $W_1, \ldots, W_n \in \mathcal{A}$ with $W_i + J = T_i + J$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $w(W_1, \ldots, W_n) = w(T_1 + J, \ldots, T_n + J)$.

Proof. Suppose $w(T_1 + J, ..., T_n + J) = K$. If K = 0, then clearly $T_i + J = 0$ for each $1 \le i \le n$, and we can choose $W_i = 0$ for every $1 \le i \le n$.

So we consider the case when K > 0. A little scaling shows that

$$w\Big(\frac{T_1}{2K}+J,\ldots,\frac{T_n}{2K}+J\Big)=\frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, by Corollary 5.4 and Remark 5.6, the linear map $\phi : S_n^d \to \mathcal{A}/J$ defined by

$$\phi(\delta_0) = I + J, \quad \phi(\delta_i) = \frac{T_i^*}{2K} + J, \quad \phi(\delta_i^*) = \frac{T_i}{2K} + J,$$

is unitally completely positive.

By the argument before the theorem, we know that S_n^d has the LP, so there exists a unitally completely positive map $\hat{\phi} : S_n^d \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\pi \circ \hat{\phi} = \phi$, where π denotes the canonical map from \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{A}/J . Let $W_i^* = 2K\hat{\phi}(\delta_i)$, then we have that $W_i^* + J = T_i + J$. Moreover, by Corollary 5.4, we know that $(\frac{W_1}{2K}, \dots, \frac{W_n}{2K})$ is a dual row contraction. Hence, by Remark 5.6, we have that

$$w(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\leqslant K.$$

Now, to complete the proof, we need to show that $w(W_1, ..., W_n) = K$. Suppose that

$$w\Big(\frac{W_1}{2K},\ldots,\frac{W_n}{2K}\Big)<\frac{1}{2}.$$

Then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$, such that

$$w\Big(\frac{(1+\varepsilon)W_1}{2K},\ldots,\frac{(1+\varepsilon)W_n}{2K}\Big)<\frac{1}{2}.$$

However, this implies that

$$I\otimes 1+\sum_{i=1}^n S_i\otimes \frac{(1+\varepsilon)W_i^*}{2K}+\sum_{i=1}^n S_i^*\otimes \frac{(1+\varepsilon)W_i}{2K} \ge 0,$$

in $S_n \otimes_{\min} A$. Since id $\otimes \pi$ is completely positive, we further have that

$$I \otimes 1 + J + \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i \otimes \frac{(1+\varepsilon)T_i^* + J}{2K} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i^* \otimes \frac{(1+\varepsilon)T_i + J}{2K} \ge 0.$$

It now follows that

$$w(T_1+J,\ldots,T_n+J) \leqslant \frac{K}{1+\varepsilon},$$

which is a contradiction.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1101231. The authors would like to thank the referee for several useful comments.

REFERENCES

- W.N. ANDERSON JR., G.E. TRAPP, Shorted operators. II, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 28(1975), 60–71.
- [2] T. ANDO, Structure of operators with numerical radius one, *Acta Sci. Math.* **34**(1973), 11–15.
- [3] J.W. BUNCE, Models for *n*-tuples of noncommuting operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **57**(1984), 21–30.
- [4] M.-D. CHOI, E.G. EFFROS, Injectivity and operator spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 24(1977), 156–209.
- [5] J. CUNTZ, Simple C*-algebra generated by isometries, Comm. Math. Phys. 57(1977), 173–185.
- [6] D. FARENICK, A.S. KAVRUK, V.I. PAULSEN, C*-algebras with the weak expectation property and a multivariable analogue of Ando's theorem on the numerical radius, J. Operator Theory 70(2013), 573–590.
- [7] D. FARENICK, A.S. KAVRUK, V.I. PAULSEN, I.G. TODOROV, Operator systems from discrete groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 329(2014), 207–238.
- [8] D. FARENICK, V.I. PAULSEN, Operator system quotients of matrix algebras and their tensor products, *Math. Scand.* 111(2012), 210–243.
- [9] A.S. KAVRUK, Nuclearity related properties in operator systems, J. Operator Theory 71(2014), 95–156.
- [10] A.S. KAVRUK, On a non-commutative analogue of a classical result of Namioka and Phelps, J. Funct. Anal. 269(2015), 3282–3303.
- [11] A.S. KAVRUK, V.I. PAULSEN, I.G. TODOROV, M. TOMFORDE, Tensor products of operator systems, J. Funct. Anal. 261(2011), 267–299.
- [12] A.S. KAVRUK, V.I. PAULSEN, I.G. TODOROV, M. TOMFORDE, Quotients, exactness, and nuclearity in the operator system category, *Adv. Math.* 235(2013), 321–360.
- [13] G. POPESCU, Unitary invariants in multivariable operator theory, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 200(2009), no. 941.

[14] D. ZHENG, The operator system generated by Cuntz isometries, arXiv:1410.6950 [math.OA].

VERN I. PAULSEN, DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS AND INSTITUTE FOR QUANTUM COMPUTING, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO, CANADA *E-mail address*: vpaulsen@uwaterloo.ca

DA ZHENG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, U.S.A. *E-mail address*: dazheng@math.uh.edu

Received August 4, 2015; revised April 4, 2016.