

## ALGEBRAIC PAIRS OF PURE COMMUTING ISOMETRIES WITH FINITE MULTIPLICITY

UDENI D. WIJESOORIYA

*Communicated by Hari Bercovici*

**ABSTRACT.** An algebraic isopair is a commuting pair of pure isometries that is annihilated by a polynomial. The notion of the rank of a pure algebraic isopair with finite bimultiplicity is introduced as an  $s$ -tuple  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s)$  of natural numbers. A pure algebraic isopair of finite bimultiplicity with rank  $\alpha$ , acting on a Hilbert space, is nearly  $\max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ -cyclic and there is a finite codimensional invariant subspace such that the restriction to that subspace is  $\max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ -cyclic.

**KEYWORDS:** *Commuting isometries, algebraic isopairs, cyclic operators, rational inner functions, distinguished varieties.*

**MSC (2010):** Primary 47A13, 47B20, 47B32, 47A16; Secondary 14H50, 14M99, 30J05.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  (or in  $\mathbb{C}[z]$ ) let  $Z(p)$  denote its zero set. We say  $p$  is *square free* if  $q^2$  does not divide  $p$  for every non-constant polynomial  $q(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ . We say  $q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  is the *square free version* of  $p$  if  $q$  is the polynomial with smallest degree such that  $q$  divides  $p$  and  $Z(p) = Z(q)$ . The square free version is unique up to multiplication by a nonzero constant.

Let  $\mathbb{D}$ ,  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $\mathbb{E}$  denote the open unit disk, the boundary of the unit disk and complement of the closed unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$ , respectively. In [2] the notion of an inner toral polynomial is introduced. (See also [5], [6], [9], [11].) A polynomial  $q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  is *inner toral* if

$$Z(q) \subset \mathbb{D}^2 \cup \mathbb{T}^2 \cup \mathbb{E}^2.$$

In other words, if  $(z, w) \in Z(q)$  then either  $|z|, |w| < 1$  or  $|z| = 1 = |w|$  or  $|z|, |w| > 1$ . A *distinguished variety* in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is the zero set of an inner toral polynomial.

Let  $V$  be an isometry defined on a Hilbert space  $H$ . By the Wold decomposition, there exist two reducing subspaces for  $V$ , say  $K$  and  $L$ , such that  $H =$

$K \oplus L$  and  $S = V|_K$  is a shift operator and  $U = V|_L$  is a unitary operator. We say  $V$  is *pure*, if there is no unitary part. An isometry  $V$  is pure if and only if  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} V^j(H) = \{0\}$ . A subspace  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $H$  is called a wandering subspace for  $V$  if

$V^n(\mathcal{W}) \perp V^m(\mathcal{W})$  for  $n \neq m$  and  $H = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V^n(\mathcal{W})$ . If  $V$  is a pure isometry and  $\mathcal{W} = H \ominus V(H) = \ker(V^*)$ , then  $\ker(V^*)$  is a wandering subspace for  $V$ . Moreover, if  $V$  is a pure isometry then  $V \cong M_z$  on the Hilbert–Hardy space  $H^2_{\mathcal{W}}$  of  $\mathcal{W}$ -valued functions for a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{W}$  with dimension  $\dim(\ker(V^*))$ . The *multiplicity* of a pure isometry  $V$  is defined as  $\text{mult}(V) = \dim(\ker(V^*))$ .

A *pure isopair* is a pair of commuting pure isometries. A pure isopair  $V = (S, T)$  is a *pure algebraic isopair* if there is a nonzero polynomial  $q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  such that  $q(S, T) = 0$  and is also referred to as *pure  $q$ -isopair*. The study of pure algebraic isopairs was initiated in [2] and also discussed in [10]. Among the many results in [2] it is shown (see Theorem 1.20) if  $V = (S, T)$  is a pure algebraic isopair, then there is a square free inner toral polynomial  $\mathbf{p}$  such that  $\mathbf{p}(S, T) = 0$  that is minimal in the sense if  $q(S, T) = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{p}$  divides  $q$ . We call this polynomial  $\mathbf{p}$  the *minimal polynomial* of  $V$ . The minimal polynomial of  $V$  is unique up to multiplication by a nonzero constant. Moreover, in [2] the notion of a *nearly cyclic* pure isopair is introduced. Here we fix a square free inner toral polynomial  $\mathbf{p}$  and consider nearly multi-cyclic pure isopairs with the minimal polynomial  $\mathbf{p}$ .

An isopair  $V = (S, T)$  acting on a Hilbert space  $H$  is called *at most nearly  $k$ -cyclic* if there exist distinct  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in H$  such that the closure of

$$(1.1) \quad \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k q_j(S, T) f_j : q_j \in \mathbb{C}[z, w] \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, k \right\}$$

is of finite codimension in  $H$ . It is called *at least nearly  $k$ -cyclic* if the closure of

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^l q_j(S, T) f_j : q_j \in \mathbb{C}[z, w] \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, l \right\}$$

is not of finite codimension in  $H$  for any  $l < k$  and for any set of  $f_1, \dots, f_l \in H$ . We say  $V = (S, T)$  is *nearly  $k$ -cyclic* if it is both at most nearly  $k$ -cyclic and at least nearly  $k$ -cyclic. Moreover,  $V = (S, T)$  is called  *$k$ -cyclic* if it is nearly  $k$ -cyclic and the span given in (1.1) is dense in  $H$ .

Given a pair of isometries  $V = (S, T)$ , define the *bimultiplicity* of  $V$  by

$$\text{bimult}(V) = (\text{mult}(S), \text{mult}(T)).$$

It is a well known fact that we can view pure isopairs as pairs of multiplication operators. In particular, if  $V = (S, T)$  is a pure  $\mathbf{p}$ -isopair of finite multiplicity  $(M, N)$ , then there exists an  $M \times M$  matrix-valued rational inner function  $\Phi$  with its poles in  $\mathbb{E}$ , such that  $V$  is unitarily equivalent to  $(M_z, M_{\Phi})$  on  $H^2_{\mathbb{C}_M}$  and

$\mathbf{p}(M_z, M_\Phi) = 0$  (see [2]). Moreover

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{p}(\lambda, \Phi(\lambda)) = 0 \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

DEFINITION 1.1. We say a point  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  is a *regular point for  $\mathbf{p}$*  if  $(\lambda, \mu) \in Z(\mathbf{p})$ , but

$$\nabla \mathbf{p}(\lambda, \mu) = \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial w} \right) \Big|_{(\lambda, \mu)} \neq 0.$$

Let  $\mathbf{p}$  be a square free inner toral polynomial. Write  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2 \cdots \mathbf{p}_s$  as a product of (distinct) irreducible factors. Then each  $\mathbf{p}_j$  is inner toral. In other words, each  $Z(\mathbf{p}_j)$  is a distinguished variety. The zero set of  $\mathbf{p}$  is the union of the zero sets of  $\mathbf{p}_j$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j) = Z(\mathbf{p}_j) \cap \mathbb{D}^2, \quad \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}) = Z(\mathbf{p}) \cap \mathbb{D}^2 = \bigcup_{j=1}^s \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j).$$

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the nonnegative integers and  $\mathbb{N}_+$  denote the positive integers.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Let  $V = (S, T)$  be a pure  $\mathbf{p}$ -isopair of finite bimultiplicity with minimal polynomial  $\mathbf{p}$  and suppose  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2 \cdots \mathbf{p}_s$ , a product of distinct irreducible factors. For each  $j$  and  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$  that is a regular point for  $\mathbf{p}$ , the dimension of the intersection of  $\ker(S - \lambda)^*$  and  $\ker(T - \mu)^*$  is a nonzero constant.*

DEFINITION 1.3. Let  $V = (S, T)$  be a pure  $\mathbf{p}$ -isopair of finite bimultiplicity with minimal polynomial  $\mathbf{p}$  and suppose  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2 \cdots \mathbf{p}_s$ , a product of distinct irreducible factors. The rank of  $V$  is a  $s$ -tuple,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s) \in \mathbb{N}_+^s$ , denoted by  $\text{rank}(V)$ , where

$$\alpha_j = \dim(\ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^*),$$

and  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$  and a regular point for  $\mathbf{p}$ .

THEOREM 1.4. *Suppose  $V = (S, T)$  is a pure  $\mathbf{p}$ -isopair of finite bimultiplicity with minimal polynomial  $\mathbf{p}$  and write  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2 \cdots \mathbf{p}_s$  as a product of distinct irreducible factors. If  $V$  has rank  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s)$ , then  $V$  is nearly  $\max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ -cyclic.*

REMARK 1.5. Compare Theorem 1.4 with the results in [2].

We prove Theorem 1.4 in section 5. An important ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.4 is a representation for a pure  $\mathbf{p}$ -isopair as a pair of multiplication operators on a reproducing kernel Hilbert space over  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$  in the case  $\mathbf{p}$  is irreducible. Representations of this type already appear in the literature, (Theorem D.14 of [7] for instance). Here we provide additional information. See Theorems 4.1 and 4.9.

REMARK 1.6. The concept of nearly multi-cyclic isopairs was introduced in [2]. A discussion on multicyclicity of a bundle shift given in terms of its multiplicities can be found in [1]. In [13], the article presents a way to realize a Riemann surface with a distinguished variety.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

PROPOSITION 2.1. Suppose  $p, q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ .

- (i)  $Z(p) \cap Z(q)$  is a finite set if and only if  $p$  and  $q$  are relatively prime.
- (ii) If  $p$  and  $q$  are relatively prime, then the ideal  $I \subset \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  generated by  $p$  and  $q$  has finite codimension in  $\mathbb{C}[z, w]$ ; i.e. there is a finite dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $\mathbb{C}[z, w]$  such that for each  $\psi \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  there exist polynomials  $s, t \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  and  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  such that

$$\psi = sp + tq + r.$$

*Proof.* Bezout's theorem says that if two algebraic curves, say described by  $p = 0$  and  $q = 0$ , do not have any common factors, then they have only finitely many points in common. In particular if  $p$  and  $q$  do not have any common factors, then  $Z(p)$  and  $Z(q)$  have only finitely many points in common. In particular, for the ideal  $I$  generated by  $p$  and  $q$ , the affine variety  $V(I) = Z(p) \cap Z(q)$  is finite. The *Finiteness theorem* of [7], page 13, says that if  $V(I)$  is finite then the quotient ring  $\mathbb{C}[z, w]/I$  has a finite dimension. Hence the ideal  $I$  has finite codimension in  $\mathbb{C}[z, w]$ . ■

For  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ , let  $p_\lambda(w) = p(\lambda, w)$ .

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose  $\mathbf{p}$  is square free and inner toral and write  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2 \cdots \mathbf{p}_s$  as a product of irreducible factors. Let  $q$  be a nonzero polynomial.

- (i) If  $q$  vanishes on a countably infinite subset of  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$ , then  $\mathbf{p}_j$  divides  $q$ .
- (ii) If  $q$  vanishes on a cofinite subset of  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ , then  $\mathbf{p}$  divides  $q$ .
- (iii) If  $Z(q) \cap Z(\mathbf{p}) \cap \mathbb{D}^2$  is finite, then  $q$  and  $\mathbf{p}$  are relatively prime.
- (iv) The polynomial  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial w}$  has only finitely many zeros in  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ .
- (v) If  $q \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial w}$  is zero on a cofinite subset of  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ , then  $\mathbf{p}$  divides  $q$ .
- (vi) If  $\Lambda$  is the set of all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$  for which  $\mathbf{p}_\lambda(w)$  has distinct zeros, then  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{D}$  is cofinite.

*Proof.* The proof of item (i) follows from Proposition 2.1 item (i) and by the fact that  $\mathbf{p}_j$  is irreducible. By item (i), each  $\mathbf{p}_j$  divides  $q$ . Since the  $\mathbf{p}_j$ 's are distinct, their product divides  $q$ , proving item (ii). If  $q$  and  $\mathbf{p}$  have a common factor, then because  $\mathbf{p}$  is inner toral,  $Z(q)$  and  $Z(\mathbf{p})$  have infinitely many common points in  $\mathbb{D}^2$ , proving (iii).

Let  $q = \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial w}$  and suppose  $q$  has infinitely many zeros in  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ . In this case there is a  $j$  such that  $q$  has infinitely many zeros in  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$ . Hence by (i),  $q$  vanishes on  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$ . Therefore, either  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_j}{\partial w}$  has infinitely many zeros in  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$  or there is an  $\ell$  such that  $\mathbf{p}_\ell$  has infinitely many zeros in  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$  and thus, by part (i),  $\mathbf{p}_j$  divides  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_j}{\partial w}$  or  $\mathbf{p}_j$  divides  $\mathbf{p}_\ell$ , a contradiction. Item (v) follows from item (ii). To prove item (vi), if  $\Lambda$  is not cofinite, then  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial w}$  has infinitely many zeros in  $Z(\mathbf{p})$ . Since  $\mathbf{p}$  is inner toral,  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial w}$  has infinitely many zeros in  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ , a contradiction to item (iv) and hence  $\Lambda$  is cofinite. ■

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Suppose  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  is a square free polynomial and write  $p = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_s$  as a product of irreducible factors  $p_j \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ . If  $q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  and  $Z(p) \subseteq Z(q)$ , then there exist  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s) \in \mathbb{N}_+^s$  and an  $r \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  such that  $p_j$  and  $r$  are relatively prime and*

$$q = p_1^{\gamma_1} p_2^{\gamma_2} \cdots p_s^{\gamma_s} r.$$

The proof is an application of Bezout’s theorem.

REMARK 2.4. If  $p$  and  $q$  are inner toral polynomials, then we may replace the condition  $Z(p) \subseteq Z(q)$  with  $\mathfrak{V}(p) \subseteq Z(q)$ .

### 3. RESULTS FOR GENERAL $p$

In this section  $p = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_s$  is a general square free inner toral polynomial with (distinct) irreducible factors  $p_j$ . Let  $(n_j, m_j)$  be the bidegree of  $p_j(z, w)$ .

In [2] it is proven that any nearly cyclic pure  $p$ -isopair is unitarily equivalent to a cyclic pure  $p$ -isopair restricted to a finite codimensional invariant subspace (see Proposition 3.6 in [2]). Next proposition is a more generalized version of this result.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Suppose  $V = (S, T)$  is a pure  $p$ -isopair of finite bimultiplicity  $(M, N)$  acting on the Hilbert space  $K$ . If  $H$  is a finite codimension  $V$ -invariant subspace of  $K$  and  $W$  is the restriction of  $V$  to  $H$ , then there exists a finite codimension subspace  $L$  of  $H$  such that  $V$  is unitarily equivalent to the restriction of  $W$  to  $L$ .*

REMARK 3.2. In case the codimension of  $H$  is one, the codimension of  $L$  (in  $H$ ) can be chosen as  $N - 1$  (or as  $M - 1$ ). In general, the proof yields a relation between the codimensions of  $H$  in  $K$  and  $L$  in  $H$  (or in  $K$ ).

COROLLARY 3.3. *Suppose  $V = (S, T)$  is a pure  $p$ -isopair of finite bimultiplicity  $(M, N)$  acting on the Hilbert space  $K$ . If there exists a finite codimension  $V$ -invariant subspace  $H$  of  $K$  such that the restriction of  $V$  to  $H$  is  $\beta$ -cyclic, then there exists a  $\beta$ -cyclic pure isopair  $W$  acting on a Hilbert space  $L$  and on a finite codimension  $W$ -invariant subspace  $F$  of  $L$  such that  $W|_F$  is unitarily equivalent to  $V$ .*

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* Following the argument in Proposition 3.6 of [2], let  $F = K \ominus H$  and write, with respect to the decomposition  $K = H \oplus F$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad V = (S, T) = \begin{pmatrix} W = (S, T)|_H & (X, Y) \\ 0 & (A, B) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular  $A$  (and likewise  $B$ ) is a contraction on a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Because  $V$  is pure and  $A$  is a contraction,  $A$  has spectrum in the open disc  $\mathbb{D}$ . Choose a (finite) Blaschke  $u$  such that  $u(A) = 0$ . Note that  $u(S)$  is an isometry on  $K$  and moreover the codimension of the range of  $u(S)$  (equal to the dimension of the kernel of  $u(S)^*$ ) in  $K$  is (at most)  $dM$ , where  $d$  is the degree (number of

zeros) of  $u$ . Further, since

$$u(S) = \begin{pmatrix} u(S|_H) & X' \\ 0 & u(A) = 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

the range  $L = u(S)K$  of  $u(S)$  is a subspace of  $H$  of finite codimension. Since  $u(S)V = Wu(S)$  it follows that  $L$  is invariant for  $W$  and  $V$  is unitarily equivalent to  $W$  restricted to  $L$ .

To prove the remark, note that if  $A$  is a scalar (equivalently  $H$  has codimension one in  $K$ ), then  $u$  can be chosen to be a single Blaschke factor. In which case the codimension of  $L$  is  $N$  in  $K$  and hence  $N - 1$  in  $H$ . In general, if  $d$  is the degree of the Blaschke  $u$ , then the codimension of  $L$  in  $K$  is  $dN$ . By reversing the roles of  $S$  and  $T$  one can replace  $N$  with  $M$ , the multiplicity of the shift  $T$ . ■

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let  $(M_z, M_\Phi)$  be a pure isopair of finite bimultiplicity  $(M, N)$  with minimal polynomial  $\mathbf{p}$ , where  $\Phi(z)$  is an  $M \times M$  matrix-valued rational inner function. There exists an  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s) \in \mathbb{N}_+^s$  such that:*

(i) *for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ , the characteristic polynomial  $f_\lambda(w)$  of  $\Phi(\lambda)$  satisfies*

$$(3.2) \quad f_\lambda(w) = \det(w - \Phi(\lambda)) = c(\lambda) \mathbf{p}_{1,\lambda}^{\alpha_1}(w) \cdots \mathbf{p}_{s,\lambda}^{\alpha_s}(w),$$

for a constant (in  $w$ )  $c(\lambda)$ ;

(ii) *for each  $\lambda$  such that  $\mathbf{p}_\lambda$  has  $m$  distinct zeros,  $\Phi(\lambda)$  is diagonalizable and similar to*

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^s \bigoplus_{\mu_j \in Z(\mathbf{p}_j)} \mu_j I_{\alpha_j};$$

(iii) *if  $(\lambda, \mu) \in Z(\mathbf{p}_j)$  and  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial w}|_{(\lambda, \mu)} \neq 0$ , then*

$$\dim \ker(\Phi(\lambda) - \mu) = \alpha_j.$$

*Proof.* First note that, by equation (1.2), for all  $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{p}_\lambda(\Phi(\lambda)) = \mathbf{p}(\lambda, \Phi(\lambda)) = 0.$$

In particular, the spectrum,  $\sigma(\Phi(\lambda))$ , is a subset of  $Z(\mathbf{p}_\lambda)$ .

Note that  $\det(wI_m - \Phi(z))$  is a rational function whose denominator  $d(z)$  (a polynomial in  $z$  alone) does not vanish in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . Let  $q(z, w) = d(z) \det(wI_m - \Phi(z))$ , the numerator of  $\det(wI_m - \Phi(z))$ . For fixed  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , let

$$q_z(w) = d(z) \det(wI_m - \Phi(z)) = \sum_{j=0}^M q_j(z) w^j.$$

By Cayley–Hamilton theorem,  $q_z(\Phi(z)) = \sum_{j=0}^M q_j(z) \Phi(z)^j = 0$  and therefore

$q(z, \Phi(z)) = 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Now for  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^M$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$q(M_z, M_\Phi(z))^* \gamma_{s\lambda} = \overline{q(\lambda, \Phi(\lambda))} \gamma_{s\lambda} = 0.$$

Therefore,  $q(M_z, M_\Phi) = 0$ . Since  $\mathbf{p}$  is the minimal polynomial for  $(M_z, M_\Phi)$ ,  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$  is a subset of  $Z(q)$ . Hence there exist an  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s) \in \mathbb{N}_+^s$  and a polynomial  $r$  such that  $\mathbf{p}_j$  does not divide  $r$  for each  $j$  and

$$(3.4) \quad d(z) \det(w - \Phi(z)) = q(z, w) = \mathbf{p}_1^{\alpha_1}(z, w) \cdots \mathbf{p}_s^{\alpha_s}(z, w) r(z, w).$$

For  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ ,  $\mu$  is in the spectrum of  $\Phi(\lambda)$  if and only if  $q(\lambda, \mu) = 0$ . In particular,  $q(z, w)$  is a polynomial whose zero set in  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  is the set  $\{(z, w) : z \in \mathbb{D}, w \in \sigma(\Phi(z))\} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ . Observe  $Z(r) \cap [\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}] \subseteq Z(q) \cap [\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}] \subseteq \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ . On the other hand,  $r$  can have only finitely many zeros in  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$  as otherwise  $r$  has infinitely many zeros on some  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$  and, by Lemma 2.2 item (i)  $\mathbf{p}_j$  divides  $r$ . Hence  $r(z, w)$  has only finitely many zeros in  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$ . We conclude there are only finitely many  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $r_z(w) = r(z, w)$  has a zero and consequently  $r$  depends on  $z$  only so that  $r(z, w) = r(z)$ . Thus, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ , the characteristic polynomial  $f_\lambda(w)$  of  $\Phi(\lambda)$  satisfies

$$(3.5) \quad f_\lambda(w) = \det(w - \Phi(\lambda)) = c(\lambda) \mathbf{p}_{1,\lambda}^{\alpha_1}(w) \cdots \mathbf{p}_{s,\lambda}^{\alpha_s}(w),$$

for a constant (in  $w$ )  $c(\lambda)$ .

Let  $\Lambda$  be the set of all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$  for which  $\mathbf{p}_\lambda$  has  $\sum_{j=1}^s m_j$  distinct zeros. By Lemma 2.2 item (vi),  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{D}$  is cofinite. For  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , the polynomial  $\mathbf{p}_\lambda$  has distinct zeros and by (3.3),  $\mathbf{p}_\lambda(\Phi(\lambda)) = 0$ . Hence,  $\Phi(\lambda)$  is diagonalizable and, for given  $\mu_j \in Z(\mathbf{p}_{j,\lambda})$ , the dimension of the eigenspace of  $\Phi(\lambda)$  at  $\mu_j$  is  $\alpha_j$ . Thus  $\Phi(\lambda)$  is similar to

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^s \bigoplus_{\mu_j \in Z(\mathbf{p}_{j,\lambda})} \mu_j I_{\alpha_j}.$$

Let  $(\lambda, \mu) \in Z(\mathbf{p}_j)$  be such that  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial w}|_{(\lambda, \mu)} \neq 0$ . The minimal polynomial for  $\Phi(\lambda)$  has a zero of multiplicity 1 at  $\mu$ , since it divides  $\mathbf{p}_\lambda$ . Hence  $\Phi(\lambda)$  is similar to  $\mu I_{\alpha_j} \oplus J$  where the spectrum of  $J$  does not contain  $\mu$ . Therefore, the kernel of  $\Phi(\lambda) - \mu$  has dimension  $\alpha_j$ . ■

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** *Let  $V = (S, T)$  be a pure  $\mathbf{p}$ -isopair of finite bimultiplicity and suppose  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2 \cdots \mathbf{p}_s$  a product of distinct irreducible factors. For each  $j$  and  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$  such that  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial w}|_{(\lambda, \mu)} \neq 0$ , the dimension of the intersection of  $\ker(S - \lambda)^*$  and  $\ker(T - \mu)^*$  is a nonzero constant.*

*Proof.* By the standard model theory for pure isopairs with finite bimultiplicity, there exists an  $M \times M$  matrix-valued rational inner function  $\Phi$  such that  $V = (S, T)$  is unitarily equivalent to  $(M_z, M_\Phi)$  on  $H_{CM}^2$  and  $\mathbf{p}(M_z, M_\Phi) = 0$ . Let  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$  be a regular point for  $\mathbf{p}$ . Observe that for any  $\gamma \in \ker(\Phi(\lambda) - \mu)^*$ , both  $(S - \lambda)^* s_\lambda \gamma = 0$  and  $(T - \mu)^* s_\lambda \gamma = 0$ . Hence  $s_\lambda \gamma \in \ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^*$ . Now suppose  $f \in \ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^*$ . Since  $(S - \lambda)^* f = 0$ , there is a vector  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^N$  such that  $f = s_\lambda \gamma$ . Thus,  $0 = (T - \mu)^* s_\lambda \gamma = s_\lambda (\Phi(\lambda)^* - \mu^*) \gamma$ .

Hence

$$s_\lambda \ker(\Phi(\lambda) - \mu)^* = \ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^*.$$

Since  $\dim \ker(\Phi(\lambda) - \mu)^* = \dim \ker(\Phi(\lambda) - \mu)$ , we have

$$(3.6) \quad \dim[\ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^*] = \dim \ker(\Phi(\lambda) - \mu),$$

and hence by Proposition 3.4 item (iii),  $\dim[\ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^*] = \alpha_j$ . ■

**COROLLARY 3.6.** *Let  $V = (S, T)$  be a pure  $\mathbf{p}$ -isopair of finite bimultiplicity and suppose  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2 \cdots \mathbf{p}_s$  a product of distinct irreducible factors. For each  $j$  and  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$  such that  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial z}|_{(\lambda, \mu)} \neq 0$ , dimension of the intersection of  $\ker(S - \lambda)^*$  and  $\ker(T - \mu)^*$  is a nonzero constant.*

The proof is immediate from the symmetry of  $S$  and  $T$  and Proposition 3.5.

*Proof of Proposition 1.2.* Let  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$ . If  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial w}|_{(\lambda, \mu)} \neq 0$ , then by Proposition 3.5, there exists a non zero constant  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}^+$  such that

$$\dim(\ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^*) = \alpha_j.$$

If  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial z}|_{(\lambda, \mu)} \neq 0$ , then by Corollary 3.6, there exists a non zero constant  $\beta_j \in \mathbb{N}^+$  such that

$$\dim(\ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^*) = \beta_j.$$

Note that, since  $\mathbf{p}$  is square free, so is  $\mathbf{p}_j$  and hence there are infinitely many points in  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$  such that both partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial z}|_{(z_0, w_0)}$  and  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial w}|_{(z_0, w_0)}$  do not vanish. If  $(\lambda, \mu)$  is a regular point for  $\mathbf{p}$  such that  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial z}|_{(\lambda, \mu)} \neq 0$  and  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial w}|_{(\lambda, \mu)} \neq 0$ , then  $\alpha_j = \beta_j$ . Therefore, if  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$  is a regular point for  $\mathbf{p}$ , then the dimension of the intersection of  $\ker(S - \lambda)^*$  and  $\ker(T - \mu)^*$  is a nonzero constant. ■

**COROLLARY 3.7.** *If  $(S, T)$  is a pure  $\mathbf{p}$ -isopair of finite bimultiplicity  $(M, N)$  with rank  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s) \in \mathbb{N}_+^s$ , then*

$$(3.7) \quad M = \sum_{j=1}^s m_j \alpha_j \quad \text{and} \quad N = \sum_{j=1}^s n_j \alpha_j.$$

*Proof.* First, view  $(S, T)$  as  $(M_z, M_\Phi)$  where  $\Phi(z)$  is an  $M \times M$  matrix-valued rational inner function. By Proposition 3.4 item (i), for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$\det(w - \Phi(\lambda)) = c(\lambda) \mathbf{p}_{1, \lambda}^{\alpha_1}(w) \cdots \mathbf{p}_{s, \lambda}^{\alpha_s}(w)$$

for a constant (in  $w$ )  $c(\lambda)$ . Comparing the degree in  $w$  on the left and the right, for all but finitely many  $\lambda$ , we have

$$M = \sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_j m_j.$$

To see the relation on  $N$ , view  $\mathbf{p}$  as  $\mathbf{p}(w, z)$  a polynomial of bidegree  $(m, n)$ . Note that each factor  $\mathbf{p}_j = \mathbf{p}_j(w, z)$  has bidegree  $(m_j, n_j)$ . Moreover  $\mathbf{p}(T, S) = 0$



and  $(T, S)$  has bimultiplicity  $(N, M)$ . Model  $(T, S)$  as  $(M_w, M_{\Psi(w)})$ , where  $\Psi(w)$  is an  $N \times N$  matrix valued rational inner function. By Proposition 3.4, item (i), there exists  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s) \in \mathbb{N}_+^s$  such that for  $\mu \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$(3.8) \quad \det(z - \Psi(\mu)) = c'(\mu) p_{1,\mu}^{\beta_1}(z) \cdots p_{s,\mu}^{\beta_s}(z)$$

for a constant (in  $z$ )  $c'(\mu)$ . By Proposition 3.4 item (iii), for  $(\mu, \lambda) \in Z(\mathbf{p}_j)$  that is a regular point for  $\mathbf{p}$ ,

$$\dim \ker(\Psi(\mu) - \lambda) = \beta_j.$$

Now by equation (3.6),

$$\dim[\ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^*] = \beta_j.$$

Since  $(S, T)$  has rank  $\alpha$ , we get  $\beta_j = \alpha_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, s$  and by comparing the degree in  $z$  on the left and the right of (3.8), for all but finitely many  $\mu$ , we have

$$N = \sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_j n_j. \quad \blacksquare$$

PROPOSITION 3.8. *If  $V = (S, T)$  is a finite bimultiplicity  $k$ -cyclic pure  $\mathbf{p}$ -isopair acting on the Hilbert space  $K$ , then for each  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{p})$ ,*

$$\dim(\ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^*) \leq k.$$

*In particular, if  $\mathbf{p}$  is the minimal polynomial for  $V$  and if  $V$  has rank  $\alpha$ , then  $k \geq \max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  be a cyclic set for  $(S, T)$ . For any  $q(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ ,  $f \in \ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^*$  and  $1 \leq j \leq k$ ,

$$\langle q(S, T)f_j, f \rangle = \langle f_j, q(S, T)^* f \rangle = \langle f_j, q(\lambda, \mu)^* f \rangle = q(\lambda, \mu) \langle f_j, f \rangle.$$

If  $\dim(\ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^*) > k$ , then there exists a non zero vector  $f \in \ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^*$  perpendicular to  $f_j$  for all  $j$ . Thus  $\langle q(S, T)f_j, f \rangle = 0$

for all  $j$  and for any  $q$ , and hence  $\langle g, f \rangle = 0$  for any  $g \in \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k q_j(S, T)f_j : q_j \in \mathbb{C}[z, w] \right\}$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $\dim(\ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^*) \leq k$ . The last statement of the proposition follows from the definition of the rank.  $\blacksquare$

PROPOSITION 3.9. *Suppose  $V = (S, T)$  is a finite bimultiplicity pure  $\mathbf{p}$ -isopair with minimal polynomial  $\mathbf{p}$  and with rank  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s) \in \mathbb{N}_+^s$  acting on a Hilbert space  $K$ . If  $H$  is a finite codimension  $V$ -invariant subspace of  $K$ , then  $W = V|_H$  has rank  $\alpha$  too.*

*Proof.* Write  $W = V|_H = (S_0, T_0)$ . Let  $F = K \ominus H$ . Thus  $F$  has finite dimension and  $K = H \oplus F$ . With respect to this decomposition, write

$$S^* = \begin{pmatrix} S_0^* & 0 \\ X^* & A^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad T^* = \begin{pmatrix} T_0^* & 0 \\ Y^* & B^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that  $\sigma(A) \times \sigma(B)$  is a finite set since  $A$  and  $B$  act on a finite dimensional space. Fix  $1 \leq j \leq s$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$  such that the dimension of  $\ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^*$  is  $\alpha_j$  and  $(\lambda, \mu) \notin \sigma(A) \times \sigma(B)$ . Hence by Proposition 1.2,  $\Gamma$  contains the cofinite set of all regular points. Since also the set  $\sigma(A) \times \sigma(B)$  is finite,  $\Gamma$  is a cofinite subset of  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$ . Fix  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Gamma$  and let

$$L = \ker(S - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T - \mu)^* \quad \text{and} \quad L_0 = \ker(S_0 - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(T_0 - \mu)^*.$$

Let  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq H$  be the projection of  $L$  onto  $H$ . Given  $f \in L$ , write  $f = f_1 \oplus f_2$ , where  $f_1 \in H$  and  $f_2 \in F$ . Since  $f \in L$ , the kernel of  $(S_0 - \lambda)^*$  contains  $f_1$ . Likewise the kernel of  $(T_0 - \lambda)^*$  contains  $f_1$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq L_0$ . If  $\dim(L_0) < \alpha_j$ , then, since  $\dim(L) = \alpha_j$ , there exists a non zero vector of the form  $0 \oplus v$  in  $L$  and hence  $\ker(A - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(B - \mu)^*$  is non-empty. But,  $\ker(A - \lambda)^* \cap \ker(B - \mu)^*$  is empty by the choice of  $(\lambda, \mu)$ . Thus  $\dim(L_0) = \alpha_j$  for almost all  $(\lambda, \mu)$  in  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}_j)$ . Therefore  $W$  also has rank  $\alpha$ . ■

**COROLLARY 3.10.** *Suppose  $V = (S, T)$  is a finite bimultiplicity pure  $\mathbf{p}$ -isopair with minimal polynomial  $\mathbf{p}$  and with rank  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s) \in \mathbb{N}_+^s$  acting on a Hilbert space  $K$ . If  $H$  is a finite codimension  $V$ -invariant subspace of  $K$ , then  $W = V|_H$  is at least  $\beta = \max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ -cyclic. Hence  $V$  is at least nearly  $\beta$ -cyclic.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.9,  $W$  has rank  $\alpha$ . By Proposition 3.8,  $W$  is at least  $\beta$ -cyclic. Thus, each restriction of  $V$  to a finite codimension invariant subspace is at least  $\beta$ -cyclic and hence  $V$  is at least nearly  $\beta$ -cyclic. ■

4. THE CASE  $\mathbf{p}$  IS IRREDUCIBLE

In this section  $\mathbf{p}$  is an irreducible square free inner toral polynomial of bidegree  $(n, m)$ .

A rank  $\alpha$ -admissible kernel  $\mathcal{K}$  over  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$  consists of an  $\alpha \times m\alpha$  matrix polynomial  $Q$  and an  $\alpha \times n\alpha$  matrix polynomial  $P$  such that

$$\frac{Q(z, w)Q(\zeta, \eta)^*}{1 - z\zeta^*} = \mathcal{K}((z, w), (\zeta, \eta)) = \frac{P(z, w)P(\zeta, \eta)^*}{1 - w\eta^*}, \quad (z, w), (\zeta, \eta) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$$

where  $Q$  and  $P$  have full rank  $\alpha$  at some point in  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ . In particular, at some point  $x \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$  the matrix  $\mathcal{K}(x, x)$  has full rank  $\alpha$  [8]. An  $\alpha \times \alpha$  matrix-valued kernel on a set  $\Omega$  has full rank at  $x \in \Omega$ , if  $\mathcal{K}(x, x)$  has full rank  $\alpha$ . We refer to  $(\mathcal{K}, P, Q)$  as an  $\alpha$ -admissible triple.

Let  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$  denote the Hilbert space associated to the rank  $\alpha$  admissible kernel  $\mathcal{K}$ . For a point  $y \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ , denote by  $\mathcal{K}_y$  the  $\alpha \times \alpha$  matrix function on  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$  defined by  $\mathcal{K}_y(x) = \mathcal{K}(x, y)$ . Elements of  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$  are  $\mathbb{C}^\alpha$  vector-valued functions on  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$  and the linear span of  $\{\mathcal{K}_y\gamma : y \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}), \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^\alpha\}$  is dense in  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ . Note that the operators  $X$  and  $Y$  determined densely on  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$  by

$X\mathcal{K}_{(\lambda,\mu)}\gamma = \lambda^*\mathcal{K}_{(\lambda,\mu)}\gamma$  and  $Y\mathcal{K}_{(\lambda,\mu)}\gamma = \mu^*\mathcal{K}_{(\lambda,\mu)}\gamma$  are contractions. By Theorem 4.1 item (i) below,  $X^*$  is a bounded operator on  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ . Further for  $f \in H^2(\mathcal{K})$ ,  $\langle X^*f, \mathcal{K}_{\lambda,\mu}\gamma \rangle = \lambda\langle f(\lambda, \mu), \gamma \rangle$ . Hence  $X^*$  is the operator of multiplication by  $z$  on  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ . Likewise,  $Y^*$  is a bounded operator on  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$  and it is the multiplication by  $w$  on  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *If  $\mathcal{K}$  is a rank  $\alpha$ -admissible kernel over  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ , then*

- (i)  $X$  is bounded on the linear span of  $\{\mathcal{K}_y\gamma : y \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}), \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^\alpha\}$ ;
- (ii) for each  $1 \leq j \leq m\alpha$  and each positive integer  $n$ , the vector  $z^n Qe_j$  ( $Qe_j$  is the  $j$ -th column of  $Q$ ) lies in  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ ;
- (iii) the span of  $\{s_\lambda Q(\lambda, \mu)^*\gamma : (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}), \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^\alpha\}$  is dense in  $H^2_{\mathbb{C}^{m\alpha}}$ ;
- (iv) the set  $\mathcal{B} = \{z^n Qe_j : n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq m\alpha\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ ; and
- (v) the operators  $S$  and  $T$  densely defined on  $\mathcal{B}$  by  $Sf = zf$  and  $Tf = wf$  extend to a pair of pure isometries on  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ .

*Proof.* For a finite set of points  $(\lambda_1, \mu_1), \dots, (\lambda_n, \mu_n) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ , and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \mathbb{C}^\alpha$ , observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle (I - X^*X) \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{K}_{(\lambda_j, \mu_j)} \gamma_j, \sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{K}_{(\lambda_k, \mu_k)} \gamma_k \right\rangle &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle (1 - \lambda_k \bar{\lambda}_j) \mathcal{K}_{(\lambda_j, \mu_j)}(\lambda_k, \mu_k) \gamma_j, \gamma_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle Q(\lambda_k, \mu_k) Q^*(\lambda_j, \mu_j) \gamma_j, \gamma_k \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n Q^*(\lambda_j, \mu_j) \gamma_j, \sum_{k=1}^n Q^*(\lambda_k, \mu_k) \gamma_k \right\rangle \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $X$  is bounded on the linear span of  $\{\mathcal{K}_y\gamma : y \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}), \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^\alpha\}$ .

To prove item (ii), note that by Theorem 4.15 of [12], if  $f$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^\alpha$  valued function defined on  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$  and if  $\mathcal{K}((z, w), (\zeta, \eta)) - f(z, w)f(\zeta, \eta)^*$  is a (positive semidefinite) kernel function then  $f \in H^2(\mathcal{K})$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}((z, w), (\zeta, \eta)) - (z\bar{\zeta}^*)^n Q(z, w)Q^*(\zeta, \eta) \\ = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (z\bar{\zeta}^*)^j Q(z, w)Q^*(\zeta, \eta) + (z\bar{\zeta}^*)^{n+1} \mathcal{K}((z, w), (\zeta, \eta)) \end{aligned}$$

is positive semidefinite, it follows that  $z^n Qe_j \in H^2(\mathcal{K})$ .

By a result in Lemma 4.1 of [8], there exists a cofinite subset  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{D}$  such that for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  there exist distinct points  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $(\lambda, \mu_j) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$  and the  $m\alpha \times m\alpha$  matrix,

$$R(\lambda) := (Q(\lambda, \mu_1)^* \quad \cdots \quad Q(\lambda, \mu_m)^*)$$

has full rank. Define a map  $U$  from  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$  to  $H^2_{\mathbb{C}^{m\alpha}}$  by

$$U\mathcal{K}_{(\lambda,\mu)}(z, w)\gamma = s_\lambda(z)Q(\lambda, \mu)^*\gamma.$$

Observe that for  $(\lambda_1, \mu_1), (\lambda_2, \mu_2) \in \mathbb{D}^2$  and  $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}^\alpha$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle UK_{(\lambda_1, \mu_1)}(z, w)\gamma, UK_{(\lambda_2, \mu_2)}(z, w)\delta \rangle &= \langle s_{\lambda_1}(z)Q(\lambda_2, \mu_2)Q^*(\lambda_1, \mu_1)\gamma, s_{\lambda_2}(z)\delta \rangle \\ &= \delta^*Q(\lambda_2, \mu_2)Q^*(\lambda_1, \mu_1)\gamma \langle s_{\lambda_1}(z), s_{\lambda_2}(z) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\delta^*Q(\lambda_2, \mu_2)Q^*(\lambda_1, \mu_1)\gamma}{1 - \bar{\lambda}_1\lambda_2} \\ &= \delta^*\mathcal{K}((\lambda_2, \mu_2), (\lambda_1, \mu_1))\gamma \\ &= \langle \mathcal{K}_{(\lambda_1, \mu_1)}(z, w)\gamma, \mathcal{K}_{(\lambda_2, \mu_2)}(z, w)\delta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $U$  is an isometry and hence a unitary onto its range. Given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ , the span of

$$\{UK_{(\lambda, \mu_j)}\gamma : \mu_j \in Z(\mathbf{p}_\lambda), \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^\alpha\}$$

is equal to  $s_\lambda$  times the span of

$$\{Q(\lambda, \mu_j)^*e_k : 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq \alpha\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m\alpha}.$$

If  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , then  $R(\lambda)$  has full rank. Thus for such  $\lambda$ , the span of  $\{Q(\lambda, \mu)^*\gamma : \mu \text{ such that } (\lambda, \mu) \in \Gamma, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^\alpha\}$  is all of  $\mathbb{C}^{m\alpha}$ . Since  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{D}$  is cofinite,  $\{s_\lambda\mathbb{C}^{m\alpha} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is dense in  $H_{\mathbb{C}^{m\alpha}}^2$ . Since,

$$\{s_\lambda\mathbb{C}^{m\alpha} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq \text{span}\{s_\lambda Q(\lambda, \mu)^*\gamma : (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}), \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^\alpha\},$$

the span of  $\{s_\lambda Q(\lambda, \mu)^*\gamma : (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p}), \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^\alpha\}$  is also dense in  $H_{\mathbb{C}^{m\alpha}}^2$ , proving item (iii). Moreover, it proves that  $U$  is onto and hence unitary.

Let  $q_k$  denote the  $k$ -th column of  $Q$ . Thus  $q_k = Qe_k$ . Note that, for any  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m\alpha$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U^*z^a e_j(\zeta, \eta), e_k \rangle &= \langle U^*z^a e_j, \mathcal{K}_{(\zeta, \eta)}e_k \rangle = \langle z^a e_j, UK_{(\zeta, \eta)}e_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m\alpha} \langle z^a e_j, (s_\zeta q_i^*(\zeta, \eta)e_k)e_i \rangle = \langle q_j(\zeta, \eta)\zeta^a, e_k \rangle = \langle (z^a q_j)(\zeta, \eta), e_k \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and hence it follows that  $U^*z^a e_j = z^a q_j$  and  $Uz^a q_j = z^a e_j$ . In particular,  $\{z^a q_j : a \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq m\alpha\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$  completing the proof of item (iv).

To prove item (v), observe that  $M_z U = US$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  and then extending to  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ , it is true on  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$  too. It is now evident that  $S$  is a pure isometry of multiplicity  $m\alpha$  with wandering subspace  $\{Q\gamma : \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^{m\alpha}\}$  (the span of the columns of  $Q$ ). Likewise for  $T$  by symmetry. ■

**PROPOSITION 4.2 ([3]).** *Suppose  $\Phi$  is an  $M \times M$  matrix-valued rational inner function and the pair  $(M_z, M_\Phi)$  of multiplication operators on  $H_{\mathbb{C}^M}^2$ . If the rank of the projection  $I - M_\Phi M_\Phi^*$  is  $N$ , then there exists a unitary matrix  $U$  of size  $(M + N) \times (M + N)$ ,*

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} M, \\ N \end{matrix}$$

such that

$$\Phi(z) = A + zB(I - zD)^{-1}C.$$

PROPOSITION 4.3. *If  $V = (S, T)$  is a finite bimultiplicity  $(M, N)$  pure  $\mathfrak{p}$ -isopair of rank  $\alpha$ , modeled as  $(M_z, M_\Phi)$  on  $H_{\mathbb{C}^M}^2$ , where  $\Phi$  is an  $M \times M$  matrix-valued rational inner function, then  $M = m\alpha$  and*

(i) *there exists an  $\alpha \times m\alpha$  matrix polynomial  $Q$  such that  $Q(z, w)$  has full rank at almost all points of  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathfrak{p})$ ;*

(ii) *for  $(z, w) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathfrak{p})$*

$$Q(z, w)(\Phi(z) - w) = 0;$$

(iii) *there exists an  $\alpha \times n\alpha$  matrix polynomial  $P$  such that  $P(z, w)$  has full rank at almost all points of  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathfrak{p})$  and an  $\alpha$ -admissible kernel  $\mathcal{K}$  such that*

$$\frac{Q(z, w)Q(\zeta, \eta)^*}{1 - z\zeta^*} = \mathcal{K}((z, w), (\zeta, \eta)) = \frac{P(z, w)P(\zeta, \eta)^*}{1 - w\eta^*} \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{V}(\mathfrak{p}) \times \mathfrak{V}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

REMARK 4.4. The triple  $(\mathcal{K}, P, Q)$  in Proposition 4.3 is a rank  $\alpha$ -admissible triple.

*Proof.* Applying Corollary 3.7 to irreducible  $\mathfrak{p}$  gives  $M = m\alpha$ . Let  $\Lambda$  denote the set of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}_\lambda$  has  $m$  distinct zeros. By Lemma 2.2 item (vi)  $\Lambda$  is cofinite. Let

$$\Gamma = \{(\lambda, \mu) : \lambda \in \Lambda, \mu \in Z(\mathfrak{p}_\lambda)\}.$$

By Proposition 3.4 item (ii), for each  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Gamma$ , the matrix  $\Phi(\lambda)$  is diagonalizable and  $\Phi(\lambda) - \mu$  has an  $\alpha$  dimensional kernel. Now fix  $(\lambda_0, \mu_0) \in \Gamma$ . Hence there exist unitary matrices  $\Pi$  and  $\Pi_*$  such that

$$\Pi_*(\Phi(\lambda_0) - \mu_0)\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A$  is  $(m - 1)\alpha \times (m - 1)\alpha$  and invertible. Let

$$\Sigma(z, w) = \Pi_*(\Phi(z) - w)\Pi.$$

For  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Gamma$ , the matrix  $\Sigma(z, w)$  has an  $\alpha$  dimensional kernel. Write,

$$\Sigma(z, w) = \begin{pmatrix} E(z) - w & G(z) \\ H(z) & L(z) - w \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $E$  is  $\alpha \times \alpha$  and  $L$  is of size  $(m - 1)\alpha \times (m - 1)\alpha$ . By construction  $L(z) - w$  is invertible at  $(\lambda_0, \mu_0)$  and the other entries are 0 there. In particular,  $L(\lambda) - \mu$  is invertible for almost all points  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathfrak{p})$ . Moreover, if  $L(z) - w$  is invertible, then

$$\Sigma(z, w) = \begin{pmatrix} I & G(z) \\ 0 & L(z) - w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi(z, w) & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ (L(z) - w)^{-1}H(z) & I \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\Psi(z, w) = E(z) - w - G(z)(L(z) - w)^{-1}H(z).$$

Thus, on the cofinite subset of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{p})$  where  $L(\lambda) - \mu$  is invertible and  $\Sigma(\lambda, \mu)$  has an  $\alpha$  dimensional kernel,  $\Psi(\lambda, \mu) = 0$  and moreover,

$$(I_\alpha \quad -G(\lambda)(L(\lambda) - \mu)^{-1}) \Pi_*(\Phi(\lambda) - \mu) = 0.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{Q}(z, w) = (I_\alpha \quad -G(z)(L(z) - w)^{-1}) \Pi_*.$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{Q}(z, w)(\Phi(z) - w) = 0$$

for almost all points in  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{p})$ . After multiplying  $\mathcal{Q}$  by an appropriate scalar polynomial we obtain an  $\alpha \times m\alpha$  matrix polynomial  $Q(z, w)$  that has full rank at almost all points of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{p})$  and satisfies

$$Q(z, w)(\Phi(z) - w) = 0$$

for all  $(z, w) \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{p})$ .

Since  $T$  has multiplicity  $N$ , the operator  $M_\Phi$  also has multiplicity  $N$  and hence the projection  $I - M_\Phi M_\Phi^*$  has rank  $N$ . By Theorem 4.2, there exists a unitary matrix  $U$  of size  $(M + N) \times (M + N)$ ,

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} M, \\ N \end{matrix}$$

such that

$$\Phi(z) = A + zB(I - zD)^{-1}C.$$

Define  $P$  by  $P(z, w) = Q(z, w)B(I - zD)^{-1}$  and verify, for  $(z, w) \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{p})$ ,

$$(Q \quad zP) \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = (wQ \quad P) \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{p}).$$

It follows that, for  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{p})$ ,

$$Q(z, w)Q(\zeta, \eta)^* + z\zeta^*P(z, w)P(\zeta, \eta)^* = w\eta^*Q(z, w)Q(\zeta, \eta)^* + P(z, w)P(\zeta, \eta)^*.$$

Rearranging gives

$$\frac{Q(z, w)Q(\zeta, \eta)^*}{1 - z\zeta^*} = \mathcal{K}((z, w), (\zeta, \eta)) = \frac{P(z, w)P(\zeta, \eta)^*}{1 - w\eta^*} \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{p}) \times \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{p}).$$

Finally, if  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{p})$  is such that  $Q(\zeta, \eta)$  has full rank  $\alpha$ , then  $P(\zeta, \eta)P(\zeta, \eta)^*$  also has full rank  $\alpha$ . Therefore,  $P(\zeta, \eta)$  also has full rank  $\alpha$  and hence  $\mathcal{K}$  is a rank  $\alpha$ -admissible kernel. ■

**THEOREM 4.5.** *If  $V = (S, T)$  is a finite bimultiplicity  $(M, N)$  pure  $\mathbf{p}$ -isopair with rank  $\alpha$ , then there exists a rank  $\alpha$ -admissible triple  $(\mathcal{K}, P, Q)$  such that  $V$  is unitarily equivalent to the operators of multiplication by  $z$  and  $w$  on  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $(S, T)$  is unitarily equivalent to  $(M_z, M_\Phi)$  on  $H_{\mathbb{C}^M}^2$ , where  $\Phi$  is an  $M \times M$  matrix-valued rational inner function. By Proposition 4.3, there exists a rank  $\alpha$ -admissible triple  $(\mathcal{K}, P, Q)$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad Q(z, w)(\Phi(z) - w) = 0$$

for all  $(z, w) \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{p})$ . Define

$$U : H_{\mathbb{C}^M}^2 \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{K})$$

on the span of

$$\mathcal{B} = \{s_\zeta Q^*(\zeta, \eta)\gamma : (\zeta, \eta) \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{p}), \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^\alpha\} \subseteq H_{\mathbb{C}^M}^2$$

by

$$Us_\zeta(z)Q^*(\zeta, \eta)\gamma = \mathcal{K}_{(\zeta, \eta)}(z, w)\gamma.$$

For  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{p})$  and  $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{C}^\alpha$  for  $1 \leq j \leq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Us_{\zeta_1}(z)Q^*(\zeta_1, \eta_1)\gamma_1, Us_{\zeta_2}(z)Q^*(\zeta_2, \eta_2)\gamma_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{K}_{(\zeta_1, \eta_1)}(z, w)\gamma_1, \mathcal{K}_{(\zeta_2, \eta_2)}(z, w)\gamma_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{K}_{(\zeta_1, \eta_1)}(\zeta_2, \eta_2)\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle s_{\zeta_1}(\zeta_2)Q(\zeta_2, \eta_2)Q^*(\zeta_1, \eta_1)\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle s_{\zeta_1}(z)Q^*(\zeta_1, \eta_1)\gamma_1, s_{\zeta_2}(z)Q^*(\zeta_2, \eta_2)\gamma_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $U$  is an isometry. By Theorem 4.1 item (iii) the span of  $\mathcal{B}$  is dense in  $H_{\mathbb{C}^M}^2$ . Moreover, the range of  $U$  is dense in  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ . Thus,  $U$  is a unitary. Rewrite (4.1) as

$$(4.2) \quad w^*Q^*(z, w) = \Phi^*(z)Q^*(z, w).$$

Let  $\tilde{M}_z$  and  $\tilde{M}_w$  be the operators of multiplication by  $z$  and  $w$  on  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ , respectively. For  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{p})$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^\alpha$ , using (4.2), observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}_w^*U(s_\zeta(z)Q^*(\zeta, \eta)\gamma) &= \tilde{M}_w^*(\mathcal{K}_{(\zeta, \eta)}(z, w)\gamma) = \bar{\eta}\mathcal{K}_{(\zeta, \eta)}(z, w)\gamma \\ &= \bar{\eta}U(s_\zeta Q^*(\zeta, \eta)\gamma) = U(s_\zeta(z)\bar{\eta}Q^*(\zeta, \eta)\gamma) \\ &= U(s_\zeta(z)\Phi(\zeta)^*Q^*(\zeta, \eta)\gamma) = UM_\Phi^*(s_\zeta(z)Q^*(\zeta, \eta)\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\tilde{M}_z^*U(s_\zeta(z)Q^*(\zeta, \eta)\gamma) = UM_z^*(s_\zeta(z)Q^*(\zeta, \eta)\gamma).$$

Therefore,  $UM_z^* = \tilde{M}_z^*U$  and  $UM_\Phi^* = \tilde{M}_w^*U$  on the span of  $\mathcal{B}$ , and hence on  $H_{\mathbb{C}^M}^2$ . Thus our original  $(S, T)$  is unitarily equivalent to  $(\tilde{M}_w, \tilde{M}_w)$  on  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ . ■

**DEFINITION 4.6.** If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a subspace of vector space  $\mathcal{X}$ , then the *codimension* of  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is the dimension of the quotient space  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{B}$ .

**LEMMA 4.7.** Suppose  $\mathcal{X}$  is a vector space (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are subspaces of  $\mathcal{X}$ . If  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  has finite codimension in  $\mathcal{X}$ , then  $\mathcal{Q}$  has finite codimension in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

LEMMA 4.8. Suppose  $K$  is a Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{B} \subset K$  are linear subspaces (thus not necessarily closed) and let  $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$  denote the closure of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . If  $\mathcal{Q}$  has finite codimension in  $\mathcal{B}$  and if  $\mathcal{B}$  is dense in  $K$ , then there exists a finite dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $K$  such that  $K = \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \oplus \mathcal{D}$ .

THEOREM 4.9. If  $\mathcal{K}$  is a rank  $\alpha$  admissible kernel function defined on  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$  and  $S = M_z, T = M_w$  are the operators of multiplication by  $z$  and  $w$ , respectively on  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ , then the pair  $(S, T)$  is nearly  $\alpha$ -cyclic.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is a rank  $\alpha$  admissible kernel, there exist matrix polynomials  $Q$  and  $P$  of size  $\alpha \times m\alpha$  and  $\alpha \times n\alpha$  respectively, such that

$$\mathcal{K}((z, w), (\zeta, \eta)) = \frac{Q(z, w)Q^*(\zeta, \eta)}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} = \frac{P(z, w)P^*(\zeta, \eta)}{1 - w\bar{\eta}}, \quad (z, w), (\zeta, \eta) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$$

and  $Q$  and  $P$  have full rank  $\alpha$  at some point in  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ . Fix  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$  so that  $Q(\zeta, \eta)$  has full rank  $\alpha$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{K}$  and Lemma 3.3 of [8],  $\mathcal{K}((z, w), (\zeta, \eta))$  has full rank  $\alpha$  at almost all points in  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ . Let

$$Q_0 = Q_0(z, w) = Q(z, w)Q^*(\zeta, \eta).$$

Then  $Q_0e_j = (1 - S\bar{\zeta})\mathcal{K}_{(\zeta, \eta)}e_j$ . By Theorem 4.1 item (ii),  $Q_0e_j$ , the  $j$ -th column of  $Q_0$ , is also in  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ . Letting  $\tilde{q} = \tilde{q}(z, w)$  to be the determinant of  $Q_0$ , since  $\mathcal{K}((z, w), (\zeta, \eta))$  has full rank  $\alpha$  at almost all points in  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ ,  $\tilde{q}$  is nonzero except for finitely many points in  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\tilde{q}$  have only finitely many common zeros in  $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$ . By Lemma 2.2 item (iii),  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\tilde{q}$  are relatively prime. Let  $I$  be the ideal generated by  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\tilde{q}$ . By Proposition 2.1 item (ii),  $\mathbb{C}[z, w]/I$  is finite dimensional. Observe that

$$\tilde{q}_j = \tilde{q}e_j = Q_0 \text{Adj}(Q_0)e_j = \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} b_{kj}Q_0e_k \in H^2(\mathcal{K}),$$

where  $b_{kj}$  is the  $(k, j)$ -entry of  $\text{Adj}(Q_0)$ . If  $\vec{r}$  is an  $\alpha \times 1$  matrix polynomial with entries  $r_j$ , then

$$(4.3) \quad \vec{r}\tilde{q} = \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} r_j \text{Adj}(Q_0)Q_0e_j \in H^2(\mathcal{K}).$$

Since  $\mathbb{C}[z, w]/I$  is finite dimensional, there is a finite dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  such that

$$\{r\tilde{q} + s\mathbf{p} + t : r, s \in \mathbb{C}[z, w], t \in \mathcal{S}\} = \mathbb{C}[z, w].$$

Therefore

$$\left\{ \vec{r}\tilde{q} + \vec{s}\mathbf{p} + \vec{t} : \vec{r}, \vec{s} \text{ are vector polynomials, } \vec{t} \in \bigoplus_1^{\alpha} \mathcal{S} \right\} = \bigoplus_1^{\alpha} \mathbb{C}[z, w],$$

and hence the span  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $\{r_1\tilde{q}_1, \dots, r_{\alpha}\tilde{q}_{\alpha} : r_1, \dots, r_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]\}$  is of finite codimension in  $\bigoplus_1^{\alpha} \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ .



Let  $\mathcal{B} = \vee\{z^n Qe_j : n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq m\alpha\} \subseteq \bigoplus_1^\infty \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ . By equation (4.3)  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{B}$ . By Lemma 4.7,  $\mathcal{Q}$  has finite codimension in  $\bigoplus_1^\alpha \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{B}$  is dense in  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$  by Theorem 4.1 item (iv). Hence by Lemma 4.8, the closure of  $\mathcal{Q}$  in  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$  has finite codimension in  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ . Equivalently, the closure of  $\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\alpha r_j(S, T)\tilde{q}_j : r_j \in \mathbb{C}[z, w] \right\}$  is of finite codimension in  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ . Thus  $(S, T)$  is  $\alpha$ -cyclic on  $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$  and hence at most nearly  $\alpha$ -cyclic in  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ .

Moreover, by Corollary 3.10,  $(S, T)$  has rank at most  $\alpha$ . For  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$  and for  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^\alpha$ , note that

$$\mathcal{K}_{(\zeta, \eta)}\gamma \in \ker(M_z - \zeta)^* \cap \ker(M_w - \eta)^*.$$

Hence, if  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{p})$  is such that  $\mathcal{K}_{(\zeta, \eta)}$  has full rank  $\alpha$ , then  $\ker(M_z - \zeta)^* \cap \ker(M_w - \eta)^*$  has dimension at least  $\alpha$ . Therefore,  $(S, T)$  has rank at least  $\alpha$ . Thus  $(S, T)$  has rank  $\alpha$ . By Corollary 3.10,  $(S, T)$  is at least nearly  $\alpha$ -cyclic and hence  $(S, T)$  is nearly  $\alpha$ -cyclic on  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$ . ■

PROPOSITION 4.10. *If  $V = (S, T)$  is a finite bimultiplicity pure  $\mathbf{p}$ -isopair of rank  $\alpha$  acting on the Hilbert space  $K$ , then there exists a finite codimension  $V$  invariant subspace  $H$  of  $K$  such that the restriction of  $V$  to  $H$  is  $\alpha$ -cyclic.*

For the proof combine Theorems 4.5 and 4.9.

### 5. DECOMPOSITION OF FINITE RANK ISOPAIRS

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Suppose  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  are relatively prime square free inner toral polynomials, but not necessarily irreducible. If  $V_j = (S_j, T_j)$  are  $\beta_j$ -cyclic  $p_j$ -pure isopairs, then  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$  is a  $p_1 p_2$ -isopair and is at most nearly  $\max\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ -cyclic.*

*Proof.* Clearly,

$$p_1 p_2(V) = (p_1(V_1) \oplus p_1(V_2))(p_2(V_1) \oplus p_2(V_2)) = (0 \oplus p_1(V_2))(p_2(V_1) \oplus 0) = 0.$$

Let  $I$  be the ideal generated by  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . By Proposition 2.1 item (ii),  $I$  has finite codimension in  $\mathbb{C}[z, w]$ . Hence there exists a finite dimension subspace  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $\mathbb{C}[z, w]$  such that, for each  $\psi \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ , there exist  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  and  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  such that

$$\psi = s_1 p_1 + s_2 p_2 + r.$$

Let  $K$  denote the Hilbert space that  $V$  acts upon. Let  $\beta = \max\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$  and suppose without loss of generality  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta$ . For  $j = 1, 2$ , choose cyclic sets  $\Gamma_j = \{\gamma_{j,1}, \dots, \gamma_{j,\beta}\}$  for  $V_j$ . (In the case where  $\beta_1 < \beta_2$  we can set  $\Gamma_1$  to be  $\{\gamma_{1,1}, \dots, \gamma_{1,\beta_1}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\}$ , so that this new  $\Gamma_1$  has  $\beta = \beta_2$  vectors.) Let  $K_0 = \{\psi_1(V_1)\gamma_{1,k} \oplus \psi_2(V_2)\gamma_{2,k} : 1 \leq k \leq \beta, \psi_j \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]\}$ . By the hypothesis,  $K_0$  is

dense in  $K$ . For given polynomials  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ , there exist  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  and  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  such that

$$\psi_1 - \psi_2 = -s_1 p_1 + s_2 p_2 + r.$$

Rearranging gives,

$$\psi_1 + s_1 p_1 = \psi_2 + s_2 p_2 + r.$$

Let  $\varphi = \psi_1 + s_1 p_1$ . It follows that

$$\varphi = \psi_2 + s_2 p_2 + r.$$

Consequently,

$$\varphi(V)[\gamma_{1,k} \oplus \gamma_{2,k}] = \varphi(V_1)\gamma_{1,k} \oplus \varphi(V_2)\gamma_{2,k} = \psi_1(V_1)\gamma_{1,k} \oplus (\psi_2(V_2)\gamma_{2,k} + r(V_2)\gamma_{2,k}).$$

Let  $H_0$  denote the span of  $\{\varphi(V)[\gamma_{1,k} \oplus \gamma_{2,k}] : 1 \leq k \leq \beta, \psi \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]\}$  and  $H$  be the closure of  $H_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the span of  $\{0 \oplus r(V_2)\gamma_{2,k} : 1 \leq k \leq \beta, r \in \mathcal{R}\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{L}$  is finite dimensional since  $\mathcal{R}$  is and hence  $\mathcal{L}$  is closed. Moreover,

$$K_0 = H_0 + \mathcal{L}.$$

Hence  $H_0$  has finite codimension in  $K_0$ . By Lemma 4.8,  $H$  has finite codimension in  $K$ . Evidently  $H$  is  $V$  invariant and the restriction of  $V$  to  $H$  is at most  $\beta$ -cyclic. Therefore,  $V$  is at most nearly  $\beta$ -cyclic. ■

**PROPOSITION 5.2.** *If  $V_j = (S_j, T_j)$  are finite bimultiplicity pure  $p_j$ -isopairs with rank  $\alpha_j$  acting on Hilbert spaces  $K_j$ , where  $p_j$  are irreducible and relatively prime inner toral polynomials for  $1 \leq j \leq s$ , then  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^s V_j$  is nearly  $\max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ -cyclic on*

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^s K_j.$$

*Proof.* First suppose  $s = 2$ . By Proposition 4.10, each  $V_j$  is  $\alpha_j$ -cyclic on some finite codimensional invariant subspace  $H_j$  of  $K_j$ . By Proposition 5.1,  $V_1|_{H_1} \oplus V_2|_{H_2}$  is at most nearly  $\max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ -cyclic on  $H_1 \oplus H_2$ . Since each  $H_j$  has finite codimension in  $K_j$ , it follows that  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$  is at most nearly  $\max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ -cyclic on  $K_1 \oplus K_2$ . On the other hand,  $V$  has rank  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  and hence, by Corollary 3.10, is at least  $\max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ -cyclic. Thus  $V$  is nearly  $\max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ -cyclic.

Arguing by induction, suppose the result is true for  $0 \leq j - 1 < s$ . Thus  $V' = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_{j-1}$  is nearly  $\beta = \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}\}$ -cyclic on  $K' = K_1 \oplus K_2 \oplus \dots \oplus K_{j-1}$ . Hence there exists a finite codimensional invariant subspace  $H'$  of  $K'$  such that the restriction of  $V'$  to  $H'$  is  $\beta$ -cyclic. Since  $V_j$  is a finite bimultiplicity  $p_j$  isopair with rank  $\alpha_j$ , by Proposition 4.10, there exists a finite codimensional invariant subspace  $H_j$  of  $K_j$  such that  $V_j|_{H_j}$  is  $\alpha_j$ -cyclic. Note that  $p_1 \dots p_{j-1}$  and  $p_j$  are relatively prime. Applying Proposition 5.1 to  $V'|_{H'}$  and  $V_j|_{H_j}$ , it follows that  $V'|_{H'} \oplus V_j|_{H_j}$  is at most nearly  $\gamma = \max\{\beta, \alpha_j\}$ -cyclic on  $H' \oplus H_j$ . Since  $H'$  and  $H_j$  have finite codimension in  $K'$  and  $K_j$  respectively,  $W = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_j$  is at most nearly  $\gamma$ -cyclic on  $K_1 \oplus K_2 \oplus \dots \oplus K_j$ . On the other hand,  $W$  has rank

$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j)$  and is therefore at least nearly  $\gamma$ -cyclic by Corollary 3.10. Thus  $W$  is nearly  $\gamma = \max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j\}$ -cyclic. ■

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* By Theorem 2.1 of [2], there exist a finite codimension subspace  $H$  of  $K$  that is invariant for  $V$  and pure  $\mathfrak{p}_j$ -isopairs  $V_j$  such that

$$W = V|_H = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_s.$$

By Proposition 3.9,  $W$  has rank  $\alpha$ . Hence  $V_j$  has rank  $\alpha_j$ . By Proposition 5.2, there is a finite codimension invariant subspace  $L$  of  $H$  such that the restriction of  $W$  to  $L$  is  $\beta = \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ -cyclic. Thus  $L$  is a finite codimensional subspace of  $K$  such that  $V|_L$  is  $\beta$ -cyclic. Hence  $V$  is at most nearly  $\beta$ -cyclic. By Corollary 3.10,  $V$  is at least nearly  $\beta$ -cyclic. Therefore,  $V$  is nearly  $\max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s\}$  cyclic. ■

**COROLLARY 5.3.** *Suppose  $V = (S, T)$  is a pure  $\mathfrak{p}$ -isopair of finite bimultiplicity with minimal polynomial  $\mathfrak{p}$  and write  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2 \dots \mathfrak{p}_s$  as a product of distinct irreducible factors. If  $V$  has rank  $\alpha$  and  $\beta = \max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ , then*

- (i) *there exists a finite codimension invariant subspace  $H$  for  $V$  such that the restriction of  $V$  to  $H$  is  $\beta$ -cyclic;*
- (ii)  *$V$  is not  $k$ -cyclic for any  $k < \beta$ ; and*
- (iii) *there exists a  $\beta$ -cyclic pure  $\mathfrak{p}$ -isopair  $V'$  and an invariant subspace  $K$  for  $V'$  such that  $V$  is the restriction of  $V'$  to  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Proofs of items (i) and (ii) follow from Theorem 1.4 and the definition of nearly  $k$ -cyclic isopairs. The proof of item (iii) is an application of item (i) and Corollary 3.3. ■

**5.1. EXAMPLE.** In this section we discuss an example of pure  $\mathfrak{p}$ -isopairs of finite rank to illustrate the connection of the rank of a pure  $\mathfrak{p}$ -isopair to nearly cyclicity and to the representation as direct sums.

Consider the irreducible, square free inner toral polynomial,  $\mathfrak{p} = z^3 - w^2$ . The distinguished variety,  $\mathcal{V}$ , defines by  $\mathfrak{p}$  is called *Neil parabola* [8]. The triple  $(\mathcal{K}_1, Q_1, P_1)$  given by

$$Q_1(z, w) = (1 \ w), \quad P_1(z, w) = (1 \ z \ z^2)$$

and the corresponding kernel function

$$\frac{1 + w\bar{\eta}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} = \mathcal{K}_1((z, w), (\zeta, \eta)) = \frac{1 + z\bar{\zeta} + z^2\bar{\zeta}^2}{1 - w\bar{\eta}},$$

is a 1-admissible triple. Likewise for the choice of

$$Q_2(z, w) = (z \ w), \quad P_2(z, w) = (w \ z \ z^2)$$

and the corresponding kernel function

$$\frac{z\bar{\zeta} + w\bar{\eta}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} = \mathcal{K}_2((z, w), (\zeta, \eta)) = \frac{w\bar{\eta} + z\bar{\zeta} + z^2\bar{\zeta}^2}{1 - w\bar{\eta}},$$

the triple  $(\mathcal{K}_2, Q_2, P_2)$  is also a 1-admissible triple. For  $j = 1, 2$ , let  $V_j$  be the pair  $(M_z, M_w)$  defined on  $H^2(\mathcal{K}_j)$ . Now each  $V_j$  is a pure  $p$ -isopair or rank 1 and each  $V_j$  is nearly 1-cyclic.

Let  $Q = Q_1 \oplus Q_2, P = P_1 \oplus P_2$  and  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2$ . Observe that the triple  $(\mathcal{K}, Q, P)$  is a 2-admissible triple and  $V = (M_z, M_w)$  defined on  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$  is a pure  $p$ -isopair and nearly 2-cyclic. In fact  $V$  is a pure  $p$ -isopair of rank 2 that can be written as a direct sum of two pure  $p$ -isopairs,  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ .

However this is not true in general. In other words, there exist pure  $p$ -isopairs of finite rank (say  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ ), that cannot be expressed as a direct sum of  $\alpha$  number of pure  $p$ -isopairs. For instance, let

$$H' = \{f \in H^2(\mathcal{K}) : \langle f, (1 - z)^T \rangle = 0\}$$

and  $V' = V|_{H'}$ . Observe that  $H'$  is a finite codimensional subspace of  $H^2(\mathcal{K})$  and  $H'$  is invariant under  $V$ . By the stability of the rank  $V'$  has rank 2 and hence nearly 2-cyclic.

Moreover, the collection of vectors of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ z/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} z^n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{n \geq 1}, \begin{pmatrix} wz^n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{n \geq 0}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ z^n \end{pmatrix}_{n \geq 2}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ wz^n \end{pmatrix}_{n \geq 0},$$

forms an orthonormal basis for  $H'$ . Hence the reproducing kernel,  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ , for  $H'$  has the form

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}((z, w), (\zeta, \eta)) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{z\bar{\zeta} + w\bar{\eta}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} & \frac{\bar{\zeta}}{2} \\ \frac{z}{2} & z\bar{\zeta} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{z\bar{\zeta} + w\bar{\eta}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}((z, w), (0, 0))$  is not diagonalizable,  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$  is not diagonalizable. Consequently,  $H'$  and  $V'$  are not direct sums. In other words,  $V'$  is a pure  $p$ -isopair of rank 2 that cannot be expressed as a direct sum of two other pure  $p$ -isopairs.

*Acknowledgements.* I am very grateful to my adviser, Scott McCullough, for his valuable guidance and insights that greatly improved the content of this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] M.B. ABRAHAMSE, R.G. DOUGLAS, A class of subnormal operators related to multiply-connected domains, *Adv. Math* **19**(1976), 106–148.  
 [2] J. AGLER, G. KNESE, J.E. MCCARTHY, Algebraic pairs of isometries, *J. Operator Theory* **67**(2012), 215–236.  
 [3] J. AGLER, J.E. MCCARTHY, *Pick Interpolation and Hilbert Function Spaces*, Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 44, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2002.  
 [4] J. AGLER, J.E. MCCARTHY, Distinguished varieties, *Acta Math.* **194**(2005), 133–153.

- [5] J. AGLER, J.E. MCCARTHY, Parametrizing distinguished varieties, in *Recent Advances in Operator-related Function Theory*, Contemp. Math., vol. 393, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2006, pp. 29–34.
- [6] J. AGLER, J. MCCARTHY, M. STANKUS, Toral algebraic sets and function theory on polydisks, *J. Geom. Anal.* **16**(2006), 551–562.
- [7] D.A. COX, Introduction to Grobner bases, in *Applications of Computational Algebraic Geometry (San Diego, CA, 1997)*, Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math., vol. 53, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1998, pp. 1–24,
- [8] M.T. JURY, G. KNESE, S. MCCULLOUGH, Nevalinna–Pick interpolation on distinguished varieties in the bidisk, *J. Funct. Anal.* **262**(2012), 3812–3838.
- [9] G. KNESE, Polynomials defining distinguished varieties, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362**(2010), 5635–5655.
- [10] J.E. MCCARTHY, Shining a Hilbertian lamp on the bidisk, in *Topics in Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, Contemp. Math., vol. 561, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2012, pp. 49–65.
- [11] S. PAL, O.M. SHALIT, Spectral sets and distinguished varieties in the symmetrized bidisc, *J. Funct. Anal.* **266**(2014), 5779–5800.
- [12] V.I. PAULSEN, M. RAGHUPATHI, *An Introduction to the Theory of Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math, vol. 152, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 2016.
- [13] W. RUDIN, Pairs of inner functions on finite Riemann surfaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140**(1969), 423–434.

UDENI D. WIJESOORIYA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIV. OF FLORIDA,  
GAINESVILLE, 32611, U.S.A.

*E-mail address:* wudeni.pera06@ufl.edu

Received May 12, 2017; revised November 14, 2017 and March 13, 2018.