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#### Abstract

In this note we define two functors Ext and Ext ${ }_{\mathrm{u}}$ which capture unitary equivalence classes of extensions in a manner which is finer than $K K^{1}$.

We prove that for every separable nuclear $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, and for every $\sigma$ unital nonunital simple continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{B}, \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is an abelian group. We have a similar result for $E x t_{u}$. We study some functorial properties of the covariant functor $X \mapsto \operatorname{Ext}_{u}(C(X), \mathcal{B})$, where $X$ ranges over the category of compact metric spaces.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Motivated by the goal of classifying essentially normal operators using Fredholm indices, Brown, Douglas and Fillmore (BDF) classified all extensions of the form

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow C(X) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $X$ is a compact subset of the plane ([3]; see also [4]).
Perhaps one reason for the success of their theory is that the Calkin algebra $\mathbb{B}\left(l_{2}\right) / \mathcal{K}$ has particularly nice structure. Among other things, $\mathbb{B}\left(l_{2}\right) / \mathcal{K}$ is a simple purely infinite $C^{*}$-algebra, and, for example, the BDF-Voiculescu result ([1], [3], [28]), which roughly says that every essential extension is absorbing, would not be true if $\mathbb{B}\left(l_{2}\right) / \mathcal{K}$ were not simple.

In fact, comparison theory and structure theory for multiplier algebras and corona algebras have dotted the landscape of this subject even from the very beginning - though oftentimes these considerations were only present implicitly. (See, for example, [1], [3], [4], [6], [11], [12], [16], [20], [26], [28].) For example, it is by now clear, that for the classical theory of absorbing extensions, "niceness"
of the extension theory corresponds to "niceness" of the corona algebra structure. (E.g., see [7].)

Recall that a $\sigma$-unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is said to have continuous scale if $\mathcal{B}$ has an approximate unit $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that (i) $e_{n+1} e_{n}=e_{n}$ for all $n$, and (ii) for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_{+}-\{0\}$, there exists $N \geqslant 1$ such that for all $m>n \geqslant N$,

$$
e_{m}-e_{n} \preceq b .
$$

Lin proved that for every $\sigma$-unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) / \mathcal{B}$ is simple if and only if $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) / \mathcal{B}$ is simple purely infinite if and only if either $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{K}$ or $\mathcal{B}$ has continuous scale. (See [14], [21].) The class of simple continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebras is an ideal first context for a generalization of BDF theory, and such a theory was first definitively constructed in [16] (see also [17] and [18]). We note that in this context, $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ can be too small to admit infinite repeats, and certain portions of the classical theory of absorbing extensions are no longer available. Thus, one needs to develop a type of nonstable absorption theory, where the fine structure of the additional K-theory is taken into account. This is part of the interesting new technical challenges which were first addressed in [16] and related papers.

In this paper, we continue the work of previous authors. Our first result shows that $\operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is always an abelian group when $\mathcal{A}$ is separable nuclear and $\mathcal{B}$ is simple continuous scale. We also have a result for the unital case. We note that similar, but independent, results were proven in [23] for the case where $\mathcal{A}$ is unital commutative but $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) / \mathcal{B}$ is purely infinite without the requirement of simplicity. Moreover, the argument of [23] gives an explicit construction of the neutral element, whereas the proof of the present paper only gives existence. Functorial properties are then proven for the unital case when $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative. The proof techniques for the latter, which are similar in spirit to those in the original BDF paper ([3]), extensively involve real rank zero, and seem to also to play a role for classifying extensions in the setting of nonsimple purely infinite corona algebras.

This paper is part of a series of papers. In [10], we characterize (not necessarily simple) purely infinite corona algebras. I.e., under mild conditions on a simple separable nonunital finite $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$, we show that the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $\mathcal{B}$ has quasicontinuous scale;
(ii) $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ has strict comparison;
(iii) $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) / \mathcal{B}$ is purely infinite;
(iv) $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) / I_{\min }$ is purely infinite;
(v) $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ has finitely many ideals;
(vi) $I_{\text {min }}=I_{\text {fin }}$;
(vii) $V(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}))$ has finitely many order ideals.

Moreover, under the conditions of the previous paragraph, $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) / \mathcal{B}$ has real rank zero ([25]).

In a future paper, we will use the ideas from this paper to classify all extensions of the form

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow C(X) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is a nonunital simple real rank zero continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra and $X$ is a compact subset of the plane. We note that extensions of the above form have already been classified for the case where $X$ is a finite CW complex but with no restriction on the topological dimension ([24]).

## 2. WHEN Ext IS A GROUP

Good references for extension theory, continuous scale algebras and some of the notation here used are [2], [6], [9], [14], [15], [16], [19], and [21]. Though KK-theory is not explicitly used, it nonetheless is spiritually present, and we refer the reader to the good references [2], [12] and [19].

We begin by fixing some notation. For a $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we let $\mathcal{A}^{\sim}$ denote the unitization of $\mathcal{A}$ if $\mathcal{A}$ is nonunital, and $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ if $\mathcal{A}$ is unital. If $\mathcal{A}$ is unital then $U(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the unitary group of $\mathcal{A}$ and $U_{0}(\mathcal{A})$ the elements of $U(\mathcal{A})$ that are in the connected component of the identity. $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the projections in $\mathcal{A}$. For a nonunital simple $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$, we let $T(\mathcal{B})$ be the collection of all (norm) lower semicontinuous densely defined traces on $\mathcal{B}$ which are normalized on a fixed nonzero element of $\operatorname{Ped}(\mathcal{B})_{+}(\operatorname{Ped}(\mathcal{B})$ is the Pedersen ideal of $\mathcal{B})$. For stably finite $\mathcal{B}$, we will always assume that all quasitraces are traces. We let $\operatorname{Aff}(T(\mathcal{B}))$ denote the affine continuous functions $T(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\operatorname{Aff}(T(\mathcal{B}))_{++}$denote the strictly positive elements of $\operatorname{Aff}(T(\mathcal{B})) . \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ denote the multiplier algebra and corona algebra of $\mathcal{B}$, respectively. For $A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})_{+}, \widehat{A}: T(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty]$ is the affine lower semicontinuous function given by $\widehat{A}(\tau)={ }_{\mathrm{df}} \tau(A)$ for all $\tau \in T(\mathcal{B})$. We let $\chi: K_{0}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aff}(T(\mathcal{B}))$ denote the map induced by $\chi([p])={ }_{\mathrm{df}}$ $\widehat{p}$ for all $p \in \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})$.

For a continuous map $\rho: X \rightarrow Y$ between compact Hausdorff topological spaces, we let $\phi_{\rho}: C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$ denote the corresponding *-homomorphism (i.e., $\phi_{\rho}(f)={ }_{\mathrm{df}} f \circ \rho$ for all $f \in C(X)$ ).

For $A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})_{+}$, we let $\operatorname{Her}(A)={ }_{\mathrm{df}} \overline{A \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) A}$ and $\operatorname{her}(A)=\mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{Af}} \overline{A \mathcal{B}}$, the hereditary subalgebras of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{B}$, respectively, generated by $A$.

Recall that to each extension $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0$, we can associate a *-homomorphism $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ called the Busby invariant of the extension ([2], 15.2). Recall that $\phi$ determines the extension up to "strong isomorphism" (in the terminology of Blackadar; [2], 15.4). Moreover, the extension is essential if and only if $\phi$ is injective. Throughout this paper, we will be identifying an extension with its Busby invariant.

Let $\phi, \psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be two extensions. We say that $\phi$ and $\psi$ are weakly unitarily equivalent if there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\phi(a)=u \psi(a) u^{*}$
for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. We say that $\phi$ and $\psi$ are unitarily equivalent or strongly unitarily equivalent if there exists a unitary $U \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\phi(a)=\pi(U) \psi(a) \pi\left(U^{*}\right)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\pi: \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ is the standard quotient map. We write $\phi \sim \psi$ to mean that both $\phi$ and $\psi$ are unitarily equivalent.

If $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}$ are $C^{*}$-algebras with $\mathcal{C}$ unital, then we say that a $*$-homomorphism $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is nonunital if $1_{\mathcal{C}} \notin \operatorname{Ran}(\phi)$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\sigma$-unital $C^{*}$-algebra, and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a $\sigma$-unital simple continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra. Suppose that $\phi, \psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ are two nonunital *-homomorphisms. If $\phi$ and $\psi$ are weakly unitarily equivalent then they are unitarily equivalent.

Proof. Firstly, we prove that there is a nonzero projection $p \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $p \perp \psi(\mathcal{A})$.

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-unital, let $c$ be a strictly positive element of $\mathcal{A}$. Since $\psi$ is nonunital, $\operatorname{her}(\psi(c))={ }_{\mathrm{df}} \overline{\psi(c) C(\mathcal{B}) \psi(c)}$ is a proper hereditary $C^{*}$-subalgebra of $C(\mathcal{B})$. By Theorem 7.7 of [27] $\operatorname{her}(\psi(c))^{\perp \perp}=\operatorname{her}(\psi(c))$. Hence, $\operatorname{her}(\psi(c))^{\perp} \neq$ 0 . Hence, since $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ has real rank zero, there exists a nonzero projection $p \in$ $\operatorname{her}(\psi(c))^{\perp}$.

Since $\phi$ and $\psi$ are weakly unitarily equivalent, let $u \in U(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$ be such that $\phi(a)=u \psi(a) u^{*}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, since $C(\mathcal{B})$ is simple purely infinite, there exists a $v \in U(p \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}) p)$ such that $u^{\prime}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} u(v+1-p)$ is in the connected component of 1 in $U(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$. Clearly, $\phi(a)=u^{\prime} \psi(a)\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u^{\prime}$ lifts to a unitary in $U(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}))$.

Under additional hypotheses, we will prove that Proposition 2.1 holds for unital extensions.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra with no one-dimensional hereditary $C^{*}$-subalgebras, and let $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{n}$ be finitely many nonzero hereditary $C^{*}$-subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$.

Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ and for every finite subset $S \subset \mathcal{A}$, there exist norm one positive elements $b_{j} \in \mathcal{B}_{j}(1 \leqslant j \leqslant n)$ such that

$$
\left\|b_{j} x b_{k}\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

for all $x \in S$ and for all $j \neq k$.
The proof follows from [5] and an induction argument.
Lemma 2.3. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a unital simple purely infinite $C^{*}$-algebra.
Then for every $\varepsilon>0$, for every finitely many $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathcal{D}_{+}$with norm one, and for every finite subset $S \subset \mathcal{D}$, there exist contractions $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$
\left\|r_{j} a_{j} r_{j}^{*}-1\right\|<\varepsilon \text { and }\left\|r_{j} x r_{k}^{*}\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

for all $j \neq k$ and for all $x \in S$.

Proof. Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be the unique continuous function satisfying

$$
f(t)= \begin{cases}0 & t \leqslant 1-\varepsilon / 3 \\ 1 & t \geqslant 1-\varepsilon / 6 \\ \text { linear on } & {[1-\varepsilon / 3,1-\varepsilon / 6]}\end{cases}
$$

For all $j$, let $\mathcal{B}_{j}={ }_{\text {df }} \operatorname{her}\left(f\left(a_{j}\right)\right)$. By Lemma 2.2. for all $j$, let $b_{j} \in\left(\mathcal{B}_{j}\right)_{+}$with $\left\|b_{j}\right\|=1$ be such that every element of $b_{j} S b_{k}$ has norm less than $\varepsilon$ for all $j \neq k$.

For all $j$, since $1-\varepsilon / 3 \leqslant\left\|b_{j} a_{j} b_{j}\right\| \leqslant 1$ and since $\mathcal{D}$ is simple purely infinite, let $x_{j} \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\left\|x_{j}\right\|=1$ be such that $\left\|x_{j} b_{j} a_{j} b_{j} x_{j}^{*}-1\right\|<\varepsilon$.

For all $j$, let $r_{j}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} x_{j} b_{j}$.
Versions of the next two results were proven in [23] for the case where $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, but $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ can be a nonsimple purely infinite corona algebra.

THEOREM 2.4. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital simple $\sigma$-unital continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra, $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital separable nuclear $C^{*}$-algebra, and $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be a unital $*$-monomorphism.

Suppose that $\psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ is a c.p.c. map. ("c.p.c." abbreviates "completely positive contractive").

Then there exists a $v \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ such that

$$
\psi(a)=v^{*} \phi(a) v
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
Proof. The proof is exactly the same as that of Theorem 3.4 in [13] except that in the argument of Lemma 3.3 in [13] we replace Propositions 2.10 (and 2.9) in [13] with Lemma 2.3 in our paper. (This allows us to remove the "no one-dimensional hereditary $C^{*}$-subalgebras" condition from the hypothesis.) We further note that, in the arguments, separability of $\mathcal{B}$ can be replaced with $\sigma$-unitality.

Recall that for a unital $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, the "unitization" $\mathcal{A}^{\sim}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}$ are unital $C^{*}$-algebras and $\psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a unital map. By the "unitization" map $\widetilde{\psi}: \mathcal{A}^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, we mean the unique $*$-homomorphism such that $\left.\widetilde{\psi}\right|_{\mathcal{A}}=\psi$ and $\widetilde{\psi}(\mathbb{C})=0$. When $\psi$ or $\mathcal{A}$ is not unital, we have the usual definitions of "unitization".

COROLLARY 2.5. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital simple $\sigma$-unital continuous scale $C^{*}$ algebra, $\mathcal{A}$ be a separable nuclear $C^{*}$-algebra, and $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be a nonunital $*-$ monomorphism.

Suppose that $\psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ is a $*$-homomorphism.
Then there exists an isometry $v \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ such that

$$
\psi(a)=v^{*} \phi(a) v
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{\psi}: \mathcal{A}^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be the unitizations of $\phi$ and $\psi$, respectively. By the argument of Proposition 2.1, there exists a nonzero projection orthogonal to $\phi(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\widetilde{\phi}$ is also a $*$-monomorphism. The result then follows from Theorem 2.4. We note that $v$ is an isometry since $\widetilde{\phi}$ and $\widetilde{\psi}$ are unital.

Proposition 2.6. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital simple $\sigma$-unital continuous scale $C^{*}$ algebra, with $\left[1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})}\right]=0$ in $K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital separable nuclear $C^{*}-$ algebra, $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ a unital $*$-monomorphism, and $\psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ is a unital *-homomorphism.

Then there exists $a *$-homomorphism $\sigma: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ and isometries $S, T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ with $S S^{*}+T T^{*}=1$ such that

$$
\phi(a)=S \psi(a) S^{*}+T \sigma(a) T^{*}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, we can choose $\sigma$ to be injective.
Proof. Since $[1]_{K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))}=0$, let $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be isometries such that $X X^{*}+$ $Y Y^{*}=1$. Consider the unital $*$-homomorphism $\rho: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ given by $\rho(a)={ }_{\mathrm{df}}$ $X \phi(a) X^{*}+Y \psi(a) Y^{*}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

By Theorem 2.4. let $v \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be such that $v^{*} \phi(a) v=\rho(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\phi$ and $\rho$ are unital, $v$ is an isometry.

Now for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_{+}, v^{*} \phi(a) v v^{*} \phi(a) v=\rho(a)^{2}=\rho\left(a^{2}\right)=v^{*} \phi(a)^{2} v$. So for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_{+}, v v^{*} \phi(a)\left(1-v v^{*}\right) \phi(a) v v^{*}=0$. Hence, the projection $v v^{*}$ commutes with every element of $\phi(\mathcal{A})$.

Hence, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}, \phi(a)=\phi(a)\left(1-v v^{*}\right)+v \rho(a) v^{*}=\phi(a)\left(1-v v^{*}\right)+$ $v X \phi(a) X^{*} v^{*}+v Y \psi(a) Y^{*} v^{*}$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be an isometry such that $T T^{*}=\left(1-v v^{*}\right)+v X X^{*} v^{*}$, let $\sigma(a)={ }_{\mathrm{df}} T^{*}\left(\phi(a)\left(1-v v^{*}\right)+v X \phi(a) X^{*} v^{*}\right) T$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and let $S={ }_{\mathrm{df}} v Y$.

Proposition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital simple $\sigma$-unital continuous scale $C^{*}$ algebra, $\mathcal{A}$ be a separable nuclear $C^{*}$-algebra, $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ a nonunital *-monomorphism, and $\psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}) a *$-homomorphism.

Then there exists $a *$-homomorphism $\sigma: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ and isometries $S, T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ with $S^{*}+T T^{*} \leqslant 1$ such that

$$
\phi(a)=S \psi(a) S^{*}+T \sigma(a) T^{*}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, we can choose $\sigma$ to be injective and nonunital.
Proof. The proof is a modification of the proof of Proposition 2.6. Let $X, Y \in$ $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be isometries with $X X^{*}+Y Y^{*} \leqslant 1$. Consider the nonunital $*$-homomorphism $\rho: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ given by $\rho(a)={ }_{\mathrm{df}} X \phi(a) X^{*}+Y \psi(a) Y^{*}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

By Corollary 2.5. let $v \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be an isometry such that $v^{*} \phi(a) v=\rho(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

By the same argument as that of Proposition 2.6, the projection $v v^{*}$ commutes with every element of $\phi(\mathcal{A})$.

So as in the proof of Propositon 2.6, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}, \phi(a)=\phi(a)\left(1-v v^{*}\right)+$ $v X \phi(a) X^{*} v^{*}+v Y \psi(a) Y^{*} v^{*}$.

By the argument of Proposition 2.1, there is a nonzero subprojection of $v X X^{*} v^{*}$ which is orthogonal to $v X \phi(\mathcal{A}) X^{*} v^{*}$. Hence, there exists a projection $p \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ with $p \sim 1, p \perp v Y Y^{*} v^{*}$, and $p$ is strictly bigger than some local unit for $\phi(\mathcal{A})\left(1-v v^{*}\right)+v X \phi(\mathcal{A}) X^{*} v^{*}$. Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be an isometry with $T T^{*}=p$, let $\sigma(a)={ }_{\mathrm{df}} T^{*}\left(\phi(a)\left(1-v v^{*}\right)+v X \phi(a) X^{*} v^{*}\right) T$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and let $S={ }_{\mathrm{df}} v Y$.

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a separable $C^{*}$-algebra, and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital simple continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra.

Let $\operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denote the collection of all unitary equivalence classes of nonunital essential extensions $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$.

If, in addition $\mathcal{A}$ is unital, let $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denote the collection of all unitary equivalence classes of unital essential extensions $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$.

To simplify notation, for a compact metric space $X$, we will often write " $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{u}}(X, \mathcal{B})$ " for $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{u}}(C(X), \mathcal{B})$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a separable $C^{*}$-algebra, and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra. Let $S, T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be isometries such that $S S^{*}+T T^{*} \leqslant 1$, and let $\phi, \psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be nonunital essential extensions. We define

$$
\phi(a) \oplus \psi(a)={ }_{\mathrm{df}} S \phi(a) S^{*}+T \psi(a) T^{*}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. This sum is well-defined up to weak unitary equivalence. Thus, by Proposition 2.1. this sum is well-defined up to unitary equivalence. Thus we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.9. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a separable nuclear $C^{*}$-algebra, and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra.

Then $\operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is an abelian semigroup.
THEOREM 2.10. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a separable nuclear $C^{*}$-algebra, and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra.

Then $\operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is an abelian group.
Proof. Firstly, we prove the existence of a neutral element. Let $x \in \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$. By Proposition 2.7, there exists a $y \in \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ such that $x=x+y$.

We claim that $y$ is the identity for $\operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$. Let $x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be arbitrary. By Proposition 2.7, there exists $x^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ such that $x^{\prime}=x^{\prime \prime}+x$. Hence

$$
x^{\prime}+y=x^{\prime \prime}+x+y=x^{\prime \prime}+x=x^{\prime}
$$

Since $x^{\prime}$ was arbitrary, $y$ is the identity for $\operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$.
Finally, let $x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ again be arbitrary. By Proposition 2.7 , there exists $x^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ such that $y=x^{\prime}+x^{\prime \prime}$. Thus $x^{\prime \prime}$ is the inverse for $x^{\prime}$.

Proposition 2.11. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital separable nuclear $C^{*}$-algebra such that $\mathcal{A}$ has a one-dimensional $*$-representation. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital simple continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra with $\left[1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})}\right]=0$ in $K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$.

Suppose that $\phi, \psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ are two unital $*$-monomorphisms.
If $\phi$ and $\psi$ are weakly unitarily equivalent then they are unitarily equivalent.
Proof. Let $u \in U(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$ be such that $\phi(a)=u \psi(a) u^{*}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\sigma: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be a unital $*$-homomorphism with one-dimensional range. By Proposition 2.6, let $\rho: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be a unital $*$-homomorphism, and let $S, T \in$ $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be isometries with $S S^{*}+T T^{*}=1$ such that

$$
\psi(a)=S \sigma(a) S^{*}+T \rho(a) T^{*}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
Let $p={ }_{\mathrm{df}} S S^{*}$. Since $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ is simple purely infinite, we can find a unitary $v \in U(p \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}) p)$ such that $w={ }_{\mathrm{df}} u(v+(1-p))$ is in the connected component of the identity of $U(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$.

Then for all $a \in \mathcal{A}, w \psi(a) w^{*}=u \psi(a) u^{*}=\phi(a)$.
We can now define an addition on Ext $_{\mathrm{u}}$ in a manner similar to that on Ext. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital separable nuclear $C^{*}$-algebra such that $\mathcal{A}$ has a one-dimensional *-representation. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra with $\left[1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})}\right]=0$ in $K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$. Let $S, T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be isometries such that $S S^{*}+T T^{*}=1$, and let $\phi, \psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be unital essential extensions. We define

$$
\phi(a) \oplus \psi(a)={ }_{\mathrm{df}} S \phi(a) S^{*}+T \psi(a) T^{*}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. This sum is well-defined up to weak unitary equivalence, and thus, by Proposition 2.11, this sum is well-defined up to unitary equivalence. Thus, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.12. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital separable nuclear $C^{*}$-algebra such that $\mathcal{A}$ has a one-dimensional $*$-representation. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra with $\left[1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})}\right]=0$ in $K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$.

Then $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is an abelian semigroup.
THEOREM 2.13. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital separable nuclear $C^{*}$-algebra such that $\mathcal{A}$ has a one-dimensional $*$-representation. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital continuous scale $C^{*}$ algebra with $\left[1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})}\right]=0$ in $K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$.

Then $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is an abelian group.
The proof is exactly the same as that of Theorem 2.10 . except that we replace every occurrence of Proposition 2.7 with Proposition 2.6 .

Corollary 2.14. Let X be a locally compact second countable topological space, and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra.

Then $\operatorname{Ext}\left(C_{0}(X), \mathcal{B}\right)$ is an abelian group.

If, in addition, X is compact and $[1]_{\mathrm{K}_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))}=0$, then $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X}), \mathcal{B})$ is an abelian group.

## 3. NULL EXTENSIONS

The notion of a null extension (defined in Theorem 3.1) is due to Lin (e.g., see [16]), with precursors in the original BDF work ([3]). Some of what follows, in this and the next section, have the flavour of operator theory. (E.g., see Halmos' proof of the Weyl-von Neumann-Berg theorem; Theorem 5.3 of [3] and [8].)

THEOREM 3.1. Let $X$ be a compact metric space and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital simple continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra such that $\left[1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})}\right]=0$ in $K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$. Then there exists a null unital essential extension $\phi: C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$. I.e., there exists a unital essential extension $\phi: C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ and a unital commutative AF-subalgebra $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\operatorname{Ran}(\phi) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and $[p]=0$ in $K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$ for every projection $p \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ is simple purely infinite with $[1]_{K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))}=0$, this follows immediately from standard results. (E.g., $C(X)$ can easily be unitally embedded into a commutative unital AF-algebra, and any commutative unital AF-algebra can easily be unitally embedded into $\mathrm{O}_{2}$.) 】

THEOREM 3.2. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital simple $\sigma$-unital continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra with $\left[1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})}\right]=0$ in $K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$. Let $X$ be a compact metric space and let $\phi: C(X) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be a unital essential extension. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $\phi$ is null;
(ii) $\phi$ is self-absorbing, i.e., $\phi \oplus \phi \sim \phi$, i.e., $[\phi]+[\phi]=[\phi]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}_{u}(C(X), \mathcal{B})$.

Proof. The proof is contained in Theorem 3.5 of [23]. Since this paper is not yet published, for the convenience of the reader, we here sketch the argument of the proof.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) The argument for this direction of the proof was pointed out to us by Professor Huaxin Lin.

Since $\phi$ is null, let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be a commutative unital AF-subalgebra such that $\phi(C(X)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and $[p]_{K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))}=0$ for all $p \in \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{C})$.

Let $\left\{p_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right\}_{1 \leqslant n<\infty, 1 \leqslant i_{j} \leqslant m_{j}}$ be a collection of projections in $\mathcal{C}$ such that
(1) $1=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}} p_{j}$,
(2) for all $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, p_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{n+1}} p_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}$, and
(3) the linear span of $\left\{p_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right\}_{1 \leqslant n<\infty, 1 \leqslant i_{j} \leqslant m_{j}}$ is norm dense in $\mathcal{C}$.

Let $b \in \mathcal{B}$ be a strictly positive element. Let $S, T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be isometries such that $S S^{*}+T T^{*}=1$. For all $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}$, let $q_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} S p_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} S^{*}+T p_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} T^{*}$.

For each $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}$, we construct contractive positive elements $A_{i_{1}, . ., i_{n}}, A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime}$, $B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}, B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ and a unitary $U_{n} \in U(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}))$. The construction is by induction on $n$.

Let $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m_{1}}\right\}$ and $\left\{A_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{m_{1}}^{\prime}\right\}$ be two collections of pairwise orthogonal contractive positive elements of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\pi\left(A_{j}\right)=\pi\left(A_{j}^{\prime}\right)=p_{j}$ and $A_{j}^{\prime} A_{j}=A_{j}$ for all $j$. Let $V_{1} \in U(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$ such that $V_{1} p_{j} V_{1}^{*}=q_{j}$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ is simple purely infinite, we can choose $V_{1}$ to be path-connected to 1 . Hence, there exists $U_{1} \in U(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}))$ for which $\pi\left(U_{1}\right)=V_{1}$. Let $B_{j}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} U_{1} A_{j} U_{1}^{*}$ and $B_{j}^{\prime}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} U_{1} A_{j}^{\prime} U_{1}^{*}$, for all $j$.

Suppose that $U_{n}, A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}, A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime}, B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}$ and $B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime}$ have been constructed for $1 \leqslant i_{j} \leqslant m_{j}$. We now construct $U_{n+1}, A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n+1}}, A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n+1}}^{\prime}, B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n+1}}$ and $B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n+1}}^{\prime}$, for $1 \leqslant i_{j} \leqslant m_{j}$.

For all $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}$, let $A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}$ and $A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}^{\prime}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m_{n+1}$, be two collection of contractive, pairwise orthogonal, positive elements of $\operatorname{Her}\left(A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right)$ such that $\pi\left(A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}\right)=\pi\left(A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}^{\prime}\right)=p_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}$ and $A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}^{\prime} A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}=A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}$ for all $j$. Let $W={ }_{\mathrm{df}} U_{n} \cdots U_{1}$. By the induction hypothesis, $W A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} W^{*} \in \operatorname{Her}\left(B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right)$, $W A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime} W^{*} \in \operatorname{Her}\left(B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime}\right), A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime} A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}=A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}, B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime} B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}=B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi(W) \pi\left(A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right) \pi\left(W^{*}\right) & =\pi(W) \pi\left(A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime}\right) \pi\left(W^{*}\right)=\pi(W) p_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} \pi\left(W^{*}\right) \\
& =\pi\left(B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right)=\pi\left(B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime}\right)=q_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $W A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}^{\prime} W^{*} \in \operatorname{Her}\left(B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right)$ for all $j$. (Recall that $A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Her}\left(A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right)$ ). For all $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}$, let $V_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} \in U\left(q_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}) q_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right)$ be such that

$$
V_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} \pi(W) p_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j} \pi\left(W^{*}\right) V_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{*}=q_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}
$$

for all $j$. Since $q_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}) q_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}$ is simple purely infinite, we may choose $V_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}$ to be homotopic to $q_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}$. Hence, since $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ is simple purely infinite, there exist self-adjoint elements $C_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}, C_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime} \in q_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}) q_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}$, with norm at most $2 \pi$, such that $V_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}=\exp \left(\mathrm{i} C_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right) \exp \left(\mathrm{iC}_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $D_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}, D_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Her}\left(B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right)$ be self-adjoint elements, with norm at most $2 \pi$, such that $\pi\left(D_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right)=C_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}$ and $\pi\left(D_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime}\right)=C_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime}$. Let $U_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} \in$ $U\left(\operatorname{Her}\left(B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right)\right)^{\sim}$ be given by $U_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}={ }_{d f} \exp \left(\mathrm{i} D_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right) \exp \left(\mathrm{i} D_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime}\right)$. For each $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}$, by replacing $D_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}$ and $D_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime}$ with $(1-c) D_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}(1-c)$ and $(1-$ c) $D_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{\prime}(1-c)$, respectively, where $c \in \operatorname{her}\left(B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right)+$ is an appropriate element, we may assume that $\left\|U_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} W b-W b\right\|<1 / 2^{n} 2^{m_{1}+\cdots+m_{n}}$ and $\left\|b U_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}-b\right\|<$ $1 / 2^{n} 2^{m_{1}+\cdots+m_{n}}$.

Finally, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} U_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} W A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j} W^{*} U_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{*} \quad \text { and } \\
& B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}^{\prime}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} U_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} W A_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}^{\prime} W^{*} U_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $j$. (Note that $\pi\left(B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}\right)=\pi\left(B_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}^{\prime}\right)=q_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, j}$ for all $j$.)
Let $U_{n+1}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} \prod_{1 \leqslant i_{j} \leqslant m_{j}} U_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}$.
Then the sequence of products $\left\{U_{n} \cdots U_{1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in the strict topology to a unitary $U \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$. Moreover, for all $f \in C(X), U \phi(f) U^{*}=S \phi(f) S^{*}+$ $T \phi(f) T^{*}$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) From the previous direction $((\mathrm{i}) \Rightarrow$ (ii)) and from Theorem 3.1. we have proven that unital null essential extensions exist and are self-absorbing. Hence, it suffices to prove that any two unital self-absorbing essential extensions are unitarily equivalent.

Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}: C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be two unital essential self-absorbing extensions. By Theorem 2.13, let $\psi: C(X) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{B})$ be a unital extension such that $\phi_{1} \sim$ $\psi \oplus \phi_{2}$. Hence, $\phi_{1} \oplus \phi_{2} \sim \psi \oplus \phi_{2} \oplus \phi_{2} \sim \psi \oplus \phi_{2} \sim \phi_{1}$.

By a similar argument, $\phi_{1} \oplus \phi_{2} \sim \phi_{2}$. Hence, $\phi_{1} \sim \phi_{2}$.
Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a $\sigma$-unital nonunital simple continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra such that $\left[1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})}\right]=0$ in $K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$.

Let $X, Y$ be compact metric spaces and let $\rho: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. Then $\rho$ induces a group homomorphism $\rho_{*}: \operatorname{Ext}_{u}(X, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{u}(Y, \mathcal{B})$ in the following manner: say that $\phi: C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) / \mathcal{B}$ is a unital essential extension. Then $\rho_{*}([\phi])={ }_{\mathrm{df}}\left[\left(\phi \circ \phi_{\rho}\right) \oplus \psi\right]$ where $\psi: C(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) / \mathcal{B}$ is a null unital essential extension. It is straightforward to check that the map $\rho_{*}$ is a well-defined group homomorphism. (Recall that we often denote $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X}), \mathcal{B})$ by $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{u}}(X, \mathcal{B})$ etc.)

PROPOSITION 3.3. $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{u}}(\cdot, \mathcal{B})$ is a covariant functor from the category of compact metrizable spaces to the category of abelian groups.

## 4. FUNCTORIAL PROPERTIES

In this section, we follow closely the ideas of [3] and [16].
Lemma 4.1. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital simple real rank zero continuous scale stably finite $C^{*}$-algebra. Suppose that $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of nonzero pairwise orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\sum P_{n}$ converges strictly in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$.

Then there exists a sequence $\left\{P_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ of nonzero pairwise orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ such that:
(i) $P_{n}^{\prime} \leqslant P_{n}$ for all $n$;
(ii) $P_{n}-P_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}$ for all $n$; and
(iii) for all $L, M \geqslant 1$, there exists $N \geqslant 1$ such that $M \tau\left(P_{n}\right)<\tau\left(P_{l}-P_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ for all $n \geqslant N$, for all $l \leqslant L$ and for all $\tau \in T(\mathcal{B})$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the facts that $\mathcal{B}$ has real rank zero, and that since $\mathcal{B}$ has continuous scale, for all $A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})_{+}, \widehat{A}$ is a continuous function on $T(\mathcal{B})$.

LEMMA 4.2. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital simple real rank zero continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra with strict comparison.

If $p \in \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$ and $[p]_{K_{0}(\mathcal{B})}=0$ then there exists a $P \in \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}))$ with $\widehat{P} \in \chi\left(K_{0}(\mathcal{B})\right)$ such that $\pi(P)=p$.

Proof. Suppose that $p$ is a nonzero proper subprojection of $1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})}$. Let $R \in$ $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}))-\{0\}$ be such that $1-R \notin \mathcal{B}$ and $\widehat{R} \in \chi\left(K_{0}(\mathcal{B})_{+}\right)$. Then $[\pi(R)]_{K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))}$ $=0$ and there exists a unitary $u \in U_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$ such that $u \pi(R) u^{*}=p$. Let $U \in$ $U(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}))$ be such that $\pi(U)=u$. Then $\pi\left(U R U^{*}\right)=p$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital simple real rank zero continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra with strict comparison. If $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of nonzero pairwise orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\left[p_{n}\right]_{K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))}=0$ for all $n$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ of pairwise orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ with $\widehat{P}_{n} \in \chi\left(K_{0}(\mathcal{B})\right)$ such that $\pi\left(P_{n}\right)=p_{n}$ for all $n$ and $\sum P_{n}$ converges strictly to $1_{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}))}$.

Proof. The proof is a variation on Lemma 1.1 of [18]. Let $b \in \mathcal{B}$ be a strictly positive element. Suppose, for induction, that pairwise orthogonal $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{n}$ have already been constructed. Let $P={ }_{\mathrm{df}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{j}$. Then $(1-P) \mathcal{B}(1-P)$ has continuous scale and $\left[p_{n+1}\right]_{K_{0}(\mathcal{C}((1-P) \mathcal{B}(1-P)))}=0$. So by Lemma 4.2, we can find $P_{n+1} \in(1-P) \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})(1-P)$ such that $\pi\left(P_{n+1}\right)=p_{n+1}$. Since $\mathcal{B}$ has real rank zero, by adding a projection in $\left(1-P_{n+1}-P\right) \mathcal{B}\left(1-P_{n+1}-P\right)$ to $P_{n+1}$ if necessary, we may assume that

$$
\left\|\left(P_{n+1}+P\right) b-b\right\|<\frac{1}{n+1}
$$

The next lemma is an example of how real rank zero had a persistent (though implicit) presence in the BDF arguments.

Lemma 4.4. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital simple real rank zero continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra with strict comparison and cancellation. Let X be a compact metric space and $F \subseteq X$ a closed subset such that $X$ is the disjoint union of $F$ and clopen subsets $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$

Let $\phi: C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ be a $*$-homomorphism for which $\left[\phi\left(\chi_{n}\right)\right]_{K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))}=0$ for all $n$. (Here, $\chi_{n}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} \chi_{X_{n}}$, the characteristic function of $X_{n}, \forall n$.)

Then there exists a sequence $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of pairwise orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{n}$ converging strictly to 1 in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\pi\left(P_{n}\right)=\phi\left(\chi_{n}\right)$ for all $n$ and for all $g \in C(F)$,

$$
\phi(g \circ r)=P_{0} \phi(g \circ r) P_{0}+\pi\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g\left(x_{n}\right) P_{n}\right)
$$

where $r: X \rightarrow F$ is a retraction which brings each $X_{n}$ to a nearest point $x_{n} \in F$.

Moreover, we can find a unital commutative AF-subalgebra $\mathcal{C} \subset\left(1-P_{0}\right) \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})(1-$ $\left.P_{0}\right)$ for which $[p]=0$ in $K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$ for all $p \in \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{C})$ and

$$
\phi\left(C_{0}(X-F)\right) \cup\left\{\pi\left(\sum_{n \geqslant 1} g\left(x_{n}\right) P_{n}\right): g \in C(F)\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{C} .
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{g_{l}\right\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ be a dense sequence in the closed unit ball of $C(F)_{+}$. Let $\left\{\widetilde{g}_{l}\right\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ be elements of the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})_{+}$such that $\pi\left(\widetilde{g}_{l}\right)=\phi\left(g_{l} \circ r\right)$ for all $l$.

Step 1. We will first construct a sequence $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ in $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}))$ which satisfies all the conditions except the statement about $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, we will additionally have that $\left\|Q_{n} \widetilde{\widetilde{g}}_{l}-g_{l}\left(x_{n}\right) Q_{n}\right\|<1 / 10^{n}$ and $Q_{n} \widetilde{g}_{l}-g_{l}\left(x_{n}\right) Q_{n} \in \mathcal{B}$, for all $l \leqslant n$.

By Lemma 4.3. let $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of pairwise orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ with $Q_{n} \in \chi\left(K_{0}(\mathcal{B})\right)$ such that $\pi\left(Q_{n}\right)=\phi\left(\chi_{n}\right)$ for all $n$ and $\sum Q_{n}$ converges strictly. Note that for all $n, l, \phi\left(\left(g_{l} \circ r\right) \chi_{n}\right)=g_{l}\left(x_{n}\right) \pi\left(Q_{n}\right)$. Hence, since $\mathcal{B}$ has real rank zero, replacing each $Q_{n}$ by a subprojection (which differs by a projection in $\mathcal{B}$ ) if necessary, we may assume that $\left\|Q_{n} \widetilde{g}_{l}-g_{l}\left(x_{n}\right) Q_{n}\right\|<1 / 10^{n}$ and $Q_{n} \widetilde{g}_{l}-g_{l}\left(x_{n}\right) Q_{n} \in \mathcal{B}$, for all $l \leqslant n$. Let $Q_{0}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} 1-\sum Q_{n}$. Hence, for all $l$,

$$
\widetilde{g}_{l}=\widetilde{g}_{l} Q_{0}+\sum \widetilde{g}_{l} Q_{n}=\widetilde{g}_{l} Q_{0}+\sum g\left(x_{n}\right) Q_{n}(\bmod \mathcal{B}) .
$$

So for all $l$,

$$
\phi\left(g_{l} \circ r\right)=\phi\left(g_{l} \circ r\right) \pi\left(Q_{0}\right)+\pi\left(\sum g\left(x_{n}\right) Q_{n}\right) .
$$

This completes Step 1.
Apply Lemma 4.1 to $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ to get a sequence of subprojections $\left\{Q_{n, 1}^{\prime}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.
Now let $\left\{F_{l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{k}}\right\}_{1 \leqslant l_{j} \leqslant m_{j}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k, 1 \leqslant k<\infty}$ be a collection of subsets of $F$ such that the following are true:
(i) $F_{l} \cap F_{l^{\prime}}=\varnothing$ for all $l \neq l^{\prime}$;
(ii) for all $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k-1}$, for all $l \neq l^{\prime}, F_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k-1}, l} \cap F_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k-1}, l^{\prime}}=\varnothing$;
(iii) $F=\bigcup_{l=1}^{m_{1}} F_{l}$;
(iv) for all $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k-1} ; F_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k-1}}=\bigcup_{l=1}^{m_{k}} F_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k-1}, l}$;
(v) for all $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k-1}$, for all $1 \leqslant l \leqslant m_{k}, \operatorname{diam}\left(F_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k-1}, l}\right)<1 / 10^{k}$.

For all $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}$, let $S_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}}={ }_{\text {df }}\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}: x_{n} \in F_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}}\right\}$.
For all $k$, we will now construct a sequence $\left\{Q_{n, k}^{\prime}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}))$ such that:
(1) $Q_{n, k}^{\prime} \leqslant Q_{n}$ for all $n, k$;
(2) $Q_{n}-Q_{n, k}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}$ for all $n, k$;
(3) $Q_{n, k}^{\prime}=Q_{n, l}^{\prime}$ for all $k, l \geqslant n$; and
(4) for all $j \geqslant k$, for all $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}, \sum_{n \in S_{l_{1}, \ldots l_{k}}} \widehat{Q}_{n, j}^{\prime}=\sum_{n \in S_{l_{1}, \ldots l_{k}}} \widehat{Q}_{n, k}^{\prime} \in \chi\left(K_{0}(\mathcal{B})_{+}\right)$.

The construction is by induction on $k$.
Say that $\left\{Q_{n, k}^{\prime}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has been constructed. Let $\left\{\overrightarrow{l_{1}}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{l_{L}}\right\}=\left\{\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}\right)\right.$ : $\left.1 \leqslant l_{j} \leqslant m_{j}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k\right\}$.

Fix $1 \leqslant j \leqslant L$.
If for all $1 \leqslant l \leqslant m_{k+1}$,

$$
\sum_{n \in S_{\left(\vec{l}_{j}, l\right)}} \widehat{Q}_{n, k}^{\prime} \in \chi\left(K_{0}(\mathcal{B})_{+}\right)
$$

then define, for all $n \in S_{\left(\vec{l}_{j}, l\right)}$ and for all $1 \leqslant l \leqslant m_{k+1}$,

$$
Q_{n, k+1}^{\prime}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} Q_{n, k}^{\prime}
$$

Suppose that there exists $1 \leqslant l \leqslant m_{k+1}$ such that

$$
\sum_{n \in S_{\left(\vec{l}_{j}, l\right)}} \widehat{Q}_{n, k}^{\prime} \notin \chi\left(K_{0}(\mathcal{B})_{+}\right)
$$

For simplicity, let us assume that for all $1 \leqslant l \leqslant m_{k+1}, S_{\left(\vec{l}_{j}, l\right)}$ is infinite. (The proofs for the other cases are similar.)

For all $1 \leqslant l \leqslant m_{k+1}$, let $\left\{n_{(l, s)}\right\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ be a subsequence of $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$such that the following are true:
(a) $n_{(l, 1)} \geqslant 10^{k}$;
(b) $n_{(l, s)} \in S_{\left(\vec{l}_{j}, l\right)}$ for all $s$;
(c) if $l \leqslant m_{k+1}-1$ then for all $s$, for all $\tau \in T(\mathcal{B}), 10^{k+1} \tau\left(Q_{n_{(l+1, s)}^{\prime}, k}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant$ $\tau\left(Q_{n_{(l, s)}}-Q_{n_{(l, s)}, k}^{\prime}\right)$.

By an inductive construction, for $2 \leqslant l \leqslant m_{k+1}$, there exists a sequence $\left\{p_{(l, s)}^{\prime}\right\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ in $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{B})$, and for $1 \leqslant l \leqslant m_{k+1}-1$, there exists a sequence $\left\{p_{(l, s)}\right\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ in $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{B})$ such that the following are true:
(i) for all $l, s, \oplus^{10^{k+1}} p_{(l, s)} \leqslant Q_{n_{(l, s)}}-Q_{n_{(l, s)}, k}^{\prime}$ and $\oplus^{10^{k+1}} p_{(l, s)}^{\prime} \leqslant Q_{n_{(l, s)}, k}^{\prime}$;
(ii) for all $1 \leqslant l \leqslant m_{k+1}-1, p_{(l, s)} \sim p_{(l+1, s)}^{\prime}$;
(iii) $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\left(Q_{n_{\left(m_{k+1}, s\right.}, k}^{\prime}-p_{\left(m_{k+1}, s\right)}^{\prime}\right)^{\hat{m}}+\sum_{n \in S_{\overrightarrow{l_{j}, m_{k+1}}}, n \neq n_{\left(m_{k+1}, s\right)} \forall s} \widehat{Q}_{n, k}^{\prime} \in \chi\left(K_{0}(\mathcal{B})_{+}\right)$;
(iv) $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\left(Q_{n(1, s), k}^{\prime} \oplus p_{(1, s)}\right)+\sum_{n \in S_{\overrightarrow{l_{j}}, 1}} \sum_{n \neq n(1, s) \forall s} \widehat{Q}_{n, k}^{\prime} \in \chi\left(K_{0}(\mathcal{B})_{+}\right)$;
(v) for all $2 \leqslant l \leqslant m_{k+1}-1, \sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(Q_{n(l, s), k}^{\prime}-p_{(l, s)}^{\prime}\right) \oplus p_{(l, s)}\right)+\sum_{n \in S_{\overrightarrow{l_{j}}, l, n}} \sum_{n \neq n_{(l, s)} \forall s} \widehat{Q}_{n, k}^{\prime}$ $\in \chi\left(K_{0}(\mathcal{B})_{+}\right)$.

For all $s$, define

$$
Q_{n\left(m_{k+1}, s\right), k+1}^{\prime}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} Q_{n\left(m_{k+1}, s\right), k}^{\prime}-p_{m_{k+1}, s^{\prime}}^{\prime} \quad Q_{n(1, s), k+1}^{\prime}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} Q_{n(1, s), k}^{\prime} \oplus p_{1, s}
$$

and for all $2 \leqslant l \leqslant m_{k+1}-1$,

$$
Q_{n(l, s), k+1}^{\prime}={ }_{\mathrm{df}}\left(Q_{n(l, s), k}^{\prime}-p_{(l, s)}^{\prime}\right) \oplus p_{(l, s)}
$$

Finally, for all $1 \leqslant l \leqslant m_{k+1}$, for all $n \in S_{\overrightarrow{l_{j}}, l^{\prime}}$ if

$$
n \neq n(l, s)
$$

for all $s$, then define

$$
Q_{n, k+1}^{\prime}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} Q_{n, k}^{\prime}
$$

This completes the inductive construction.
For all $n$, let

$$
P_{n}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} Q_{n, k}^{\prime}
$$

for all $k \geqslant n$. It follows that
(i.) for all $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}, \sum_{n \in S_{\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}\right)}} \widehat{P}_{n} \in \chi\left(K_{0}(\mathcal{B})_{+}\right)$;
(ii.) $P_{n} \leqslant Q_{n}$ and $Q_{n}-P_{n} \in \mathcal{B}$ for all $n$; and
(iii.) $\left\|P_{n} \widetilde{g}_{l}-g_{l}\left(x_{n}\right) P_{n}\right\|<1 / 10^{n}$ for all $n$ and for all $l \leqslant n$, and $P_{n} \widetilde{g}_{l}-g_{l}\left(x_{n}\right) P_{n} \in$ $\mathcal{B}$ for all $n$ and for all $l, n$.

As a consequence, for all $l$,

$$
\pi\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{n}\right) \phi\left(g_{l} \circ r\right)=\pi\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{l}\left(x_{n}\right) P_{n}\right)
$$

Let $P_{0}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} 1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{n}$. Then for all $g \in C(F)$,

$$
\phi(g \circ r)=\pi\left(P_{0}\right) \phi(g \circ r)+\pi\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g\left(x_{n}\right) P_{n}\right) .
$$

For all $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}$, let $P_{S\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}\right)}=\mathrm{df} \sum_{n \in S\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}\right)} P_{n}$. Then $P_{S\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}\right)} \in \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}))$ and $\widehat{P}_{S\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}\right)} \in \chi\left(K_{0}(\mathcal{B})_{+}\right)$.

For all $k$, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}_{k}}$ be given by $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}_{k}}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} \underset{1 \leqslant l_{j} \leqslant m_{j}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k}{ } \mathbb{C} P_{S\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}\right)}$.
Then $\mathcal{C}_{k}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} \pi\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}_{k}}\right)$ is a finite dimensional $C^{*}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ and $[p]_{K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))}=0$ for all $p \in \operatorname{Proj}\left(\mathcal{C}_{k}\right)$.

Note that for all $k$, we have a unital inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{k} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{k+1}$. Then

$$
\mathcal{C}={ }_{\mathrm{df}} C^{*}\left(\phi\left(C_{0}(X-F) \cup \bigcup_{k} \mathcal{C}_{k}\right)\right)
$$

is a unital commutative AF $C^{*}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ for which $[p]_{K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))}=0$ for all $p \in \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{C})$, and moreover,

$$
\phi\left(C_{0}(X-F)\right) \cup\left\{\pi\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g\left(x_{n}\right) P_{n}\right): g \in C(F)\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}
$$

We note that there is a slight gap in the proof of Theorem 5.2 in [16] (half exactness of the functor). In particular, in Lemma 5.1 of [16] one cannot conclude (from the argument of 3.2 in [16]) that the extension $\tau_{X}$ is null (in the notation of Lemma 5.2 in [16]). This is remedied by our Lemma 4.4 (in particular, the last paragraph in the statement of our Lemma 4.4.

THEOREM 4.5. Let $X$ be a compact metric space and $F \subseteq X$ a closed subset. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital simple $\sigma$-unital real rank zero continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra with strict comparison and cancellation such that $\left[1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})}\right]_{K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))}=0$.

Then

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{u}}(F, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{u}}(X, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{u}}(X / F, \mathcal{B})
$$

is exact.
Proof. The proof is exactly the same as Theorem 5.2 of [16], except that we replace Lemma 5.1 of [16] with Lemma 4.4 in our paper. Also, we use the existence and characterization of null extension from Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 in our paper.

THEOREM 4.6. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital simple real rank zero continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra with strict comparison and cancellation such that $\left[1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})}\right]_{K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))}=0$. For all $n$, let $\rho_{n}: X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_{n}$ be a continuous map between compact metrizable spaces. Suppose that $X$ is a compact metrizable space which can be expressed as an inverse limit

$$
X=\lim _{\leftarrow}\left(X_{n}, \rho_{n}\right)
$$

Then the map $\Phi: \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{u}}(X, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \underset{\leftarrow}{\lim } \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{u}}\left(X_{n}, \mathcal{B}\right)$ is surjective.
Proof. The proof is exactly the same as that of Theorem 5.3 in [16] (which, in turn, is essentially the same as Theorem 8.4 of [3]). The main (minor) differences is that we replace Theorem 5.2 of [16] with Theorem 4.5 in our paper, and we use the existence and characterization of null extensions from Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 in our paper.

Corollary 4.7. Assume the same hypotheses and notation as Theorem 4.6 Assume, in addition, that each $\rho_{n}: X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_{n}$ is surjective and each $X_{n}$ has only finitely many points.

Then $\Phi$ is an isomorphism.
The (short) proof is exactly the same as that of Corollary 5.4 in [16].
Following the argument of [16], we have that the above results imply that $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{u}}(\cdot, \mathcal{B})$ is homotopy invariant.

THEOREM 4.8. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonunital $\sigma$-unital simple real rank zero continuous scale $C^{*}$-algebra with strict comparison and cancellation such that $\left[1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})}\right]_{K_{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))}=0$.

Then $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{u}}(\cdot, \mathcal{B})$ is homotopy-invariant.

The proof follows from the beginning of Section 2 of [4] and Theorem 4.5, Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 4.7 in our paper.
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